

Assignment 2: CS 754, Advanced Image Processing

Due: 20th Feb before 11:55 pm

Remember the honor code while submitting this (and every other) assignment. All members of the group should work on and understand all parts of the assignment. We will adopt a zero-tolerance policy against any violation.

Submission instructions: You should ideally type out all the answers in Word (with the equation editor) or using LaTeX. In either case, prepare a pdf file. Create a single zip or rar file containing the report, code and sample outputs and name it as follows: A2-IdNumberOfFirstStudent-IdNumberOfSecondStudent.zip. (If you are doing the assignment alone, the name of the zip file is A2-IdNumber.zip). Upload the file on moodle BEFORE 11:55 pm on 20th Feb. No late assignments will be accepted. Note that only one student per group should upload their work on moodle. Please preserve a copy of all your work until the end of the semester. If you have difficulties, please do not hesitate to seek help from me.

1. Your task here is to implement the ISTA algorithm for the following three cases:

- (a) Consider the image from the homework folder. Add iid Gaussian noise of mean 0 and variance 4 (on a $[0,255]$ scale) to it, using the ‘randn’ function in MATLAB. Thus $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\eta}$ where $\boldsymbol{\eta} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 4)$ $\boldsymbol{\eta} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 16)$. You should obtain \mathbf{x} from \mathbf{y} using the fact that patches from \mathbf{x} have a sparse or near-sparse representation in the 2D-DCT basis.
- (b) Divide the image shared in the homework folder into patches of size 8×8 . Let \mathbf{x}_i be the vectorized version of the i^{th} patch. Consider the measurement $\mathbf{y}_i = \Phi \mathbf{x}_i$ where Φ is a 32×64 matrix with entries drawn iid from $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. Note that \mathbf{x}_i has a near-sparse representation in the 2D-DCT basis \mathbf{U} which is computed in MATLAB as ‘kron(dctmtx(8),dctmtx(8))’. In other words, $\mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{U}\boldsymbol{\theta}_i$ where $\boldsymbol{\theta}_i$ is a near-sparse vector. Your job is to reconstruct each \mathbf{x}_i given \mathbf{y}_i and Φ using ISTA. Then you should reconstruct the image by averaging the overlapping patches. You should choose the α parameter in the ISTA algorithm judiciously. Choose $\lambda = 1$ (for a $[0,255]$ image). Display the reconstructed image in your report. State the RMSE given as $\|\mathbf{X}(\cdot) - \hat{\mathbf{X}}(\cdot)\|_2 / \|\mathbf{X}(\cdot)\|_2$ where $\hat{\mathbf{X}}$ is the reconstructed image and \mathbf{X} is the true image. [20 points]
- (c) Repeat the reconstruction task using the Haar wavelet basis via the MATLAB command ‘dwt2’ with the option ‘db1’. Display the reconstructed image in your report. State the RMSE. Use MATLAB function handles carefully. [10 points]
- (d) Consider a 100-dimensional sparse signal \mathbf{x} containing 10 non-zero elements. Let this signal be convolved with a kernel $\mathbf{h} = [1, 2, 3, 4, 3, 2, 1]/16$ followed by addition of Gaussian noise of standard deviation equal to 5% of the magnitude of \mathbf{x} to yield signal \mathbf{y} , i.e. $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{h} * \mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\eta}$. Your job is to reconstruct \mathbf{x} from \mathbf{y} given \mathbf{h} . Be careful of how you create the matrix \mathbf{A} in the ISTA algorithm. [10 points]

2. Refer to a copy of the paper ‘The restricted isometry property and its implications for compressed sensing’ in the homework folder. Your task is to open the paper and answer the question posed in each and every green-colored highlight. The task is essentially the complete proof of Theorem 3 done in class. [30 points = 2 points for each of the 15 questions]
3. Consider compressive measurements $\mathbf{y} = \Phi \mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\eta}$ of a purely sparse signal \mathbf{x} , where $\|\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_2 \leq \epsilon$. When we studied Theorem 3 in class, I had made a statement that the solution provided by the basis pursuit problem for a purely sparse signal comes very close (i.e. has an error that is only a constant factor worse than) an

oracular solution. An oracular solution is defined as the solution that we could obtain if we knew in advance the indices (set S) the non-zero elements of the signal \mathbf{x} . There were many questions about this statement. This homework problem is to understand this statement better. For this, do as follows. In the following, we will assume that the inverse of $\Phi_S^T \Phi_S$ exists.

- (a) Express the oracular solution $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ using a pseudo-inverse of the sub-matrix Φ_S . [5 points]
 - (b) Now, show that $\|\tilde{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x}\|_2 = \|\Phi_S^\dagger \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_2 \leq \|\Phi_S^\dagger\|_2 \|\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_2$. Here $\Phi_S^\dagger \triangleq (\Phi_S^T \Phi_S)^{-1} \Phi_S^T$ is standard notation for the pseudo-inverse of Φ_S . The largest singular value of matrix \mathbf{X} is denoted as $\|\mathbf{X}\|_2$. [3 points]
 - (c) Argue that the largest singular value of Φ_S^\dagger lies between $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \delta_{2k}}}$ and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \delta_{2k}}}$ where $k = |S|$ and δ_{2k} is the RIC of Φ of order $2k$. [4 points]
 - (d) This yields $\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{1 + \delta_{2k}}} \leq \|\mathbf{x} - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}\|_2 \leq \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{1 - \delta_{2k}}}$. Argue that the solution given by Theorem 3 is only a constant factor worse than this solution. [3 points]
4. Here is our obligatory Google search question :-). Your task is to search for a paper that proposes an application of CS that we did not cover in class (eg: microscopy, EEG, civil engineering, sensor networks, etc). Apart from Google, good places to look for are archives of journals such as IEEE Transactions on Computational Imaging, IEEE Transactions on Image Processing, IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, Journal of the Optical Society of America, Applied Optics, SIAM Journal of Imaging Sciences, and archives of various conferences such as ICIP, CVPR, ICCV, ECCV, ICASSP. *Remember, that this is the only question for which a Google search is allowed :-)* You should do as follows: (a) Briefly summarize the application and its contributions over the prevailing state of the art. (b) Describe the hardware setup (if any). (c) State the objective function optimized with the meaning of every term. (d) State which optimization algorithm was used. [15 points]