

## Assignment

**Course:** GenAI Core Essentials for QA Engineers [AI-Powered Testing Mastery]

**Topic:** Deep Dive into GPT, DeepSeek, Llama

**Live Session Date:** 8<sup>th</sup> Feb 2026

---

**Q. 1: From open router ai: <https://openrouter.ai/models>**

**Context window, input token and output token**

- Open AI: 3 models
- Claude: 3 models
- Qwen: 3 models

Service Provider	Model Name	Weekly Tokens	Input (\$/1M)	Output (\$/1M)	Context window
OpenAI	GPT Audio	5.05M	\$2.50	\$10	128000
	GPT Audio Mini	65.3M	\$0.60	\$2.40	128000
	GPT-5.2-Codex	67.4B	\$1.75	\$14	400000
Anthropic	Claude Sonnet 4.6	76.7B	\$3	\$15	1000000
	Claude Opus 4.6	655B	\$5	\$25	1000000
	Claude Opus 4.5	180B	\$5	\$25	200000
Alibaba	Qwen3.5 Plus 2026-02-15	4.59B	\$0.40	\$2.40	1000000
	Qwen3.5 397B A17B	8.75B	\$0.60	\$3.60	262144
	Qwen3 Max Thinking	1.13B	\$1.20	\$6	262144

---

**Q. 2: Check for the Moderation models in Groq (<https://console.groq.com/>)**

**Safety / Content Moderation Models in Groq**

**What is Content Moderation**

⊙ **Issue in prompts:**

- User prompts can sometimes include -
  - × **Harmful** content,
  - × **inappropriate** content , or
  - × **policy-violating** content
- This can be used to **exploit models** in production to generate **unsafe content**.

⊙ **Solution:** To address this issue, we can utilize **safeguard models** for content moderation.

⊙ **How:** Content moderation for models involves **detecting** and **filtering** harmful or **unwanted** content **in user prompts** and **model responses**.

⊙ **Need:**

- This is essential to ensure safe and responsible use of models.
- By integrating robust content moderation, we can –
  - ✓ **build trust** with users,
  - ✓ **comply** with **regulatory standards**, and
  - ✓ maintain a **safe environment**.

⊙ Groq offers multiple models for content moderation:

Model Name	Provider	Type	Purpose
Safety GPT OSS 20B	Open AI	Policy-following moderation	Flexible safety classification using custom policy definitions
Llama Prompt Guard 2 (86M)	Meta	Prompt/community guard	Lightweight detection of unsafe prompt content
Llama Prompt Guard 2 (22M)	Meta	Ultra-light safety guard	Very minimal safety prompt filtering

### Q. 3: Qwen / GPT using transformer or MOE?

Both **Qwen** and **GPT** are built on the **Transformer architecture**, but some variants use **Mixture-of-Experts (MoE)** on top of Transformers.

Model Family	Transformer	MoE Used?	Notes
Qwen Dense	✓ Yes	✗ No	Standard decoder Transformer
Qwen-MoE	✓ Yes	✓ Yes	Efficient scaling via expert routing
GPT-3	✓ Yes	✗ No	Fully dense
GPT-4	✓ Yes	Likely	Not officially confirmed



#### Technical Summary

- **Transformer = Base Architecture**
- **MoE = Scaling Strategy on top of Transformer**
- Both Qwen and GPT fundamentally rely on **self-attention mechanisms**
- MoE improves **compute efficiency per token** while increasing total parameter count



#### Transformer vs MoE — Systems Architecture Perspective

##### A. Dense Transformer (Standard GPT-style)

- **Concept:** Every token passes through **all layers and all parameters**.
- **Execution Flow:** Token → Embedding → Self-Attention → FFN → ... (repeat N layers) → Output

##### B. Mixture of Experts (MoE)

- **Concept:** A gating network routes each token to a **subset of expert FFNs** instead of all FFNs.
- **Execution Flow:** Token → Attention → Router → Top-k Experts → Combine → Next Layer

#### Architectural Visualization

- **Dense Transformer**  
[ GPU 1 ] → Full model execution
- **MoE (Distributed Experts)**  
 └─ Expert 1 (GPU A)  
 Token → Router └─ Expert 7 (GPU C)  
 └─ Expert 12 (GPU F)



#### Executive-Level Conclusion: If you are designing:

- 🏢 **Enterprise AI Platform (Controlled Scale)** → Choose Dense Transformer
- 💡 **Hyperscale LLM Service (Millions of requests/sec)** → MoE becomes economically attractive