

MATH 135

Proof Techniques

Sachin Kumar*
University of Waterloo

Winter 2023[†]

* *skmuthuk@uwaterloo.ca*

[†]Last updated: February 26, 2023

Contents

1	Proving Mathematical Statements	3
1.1	Proving Universally Quantified Statements	3
1.1.1	Proof Technique 1	3
1.1.2	Proof Technique 2	3
1.2	Proving Existentially Quantified Statements	3
1.2.1	Proof Technique 3	3
1.2.2	Proof Technique 4	3
1.3	Proving Implications	3
1.3.1	Proof Technique 5	3
1.4	Proof by Contrapositive	4
1.4.1	Proof Technique 6	4

1 Proving Mathematical Statements

1.1 Proving Universally Quantified Statements

1.1.1 Proof Technique 1

To prove the universally quantified statement " $\forall x \in S, P(x)$ ":

Choose a representative mathematical object $x \in S$. This cannot be a specific object. It has to be a placeholder, that is, a variable, so that our argument would work for any specific member of the domain S .

Then, show that the open sentence P must be true for our representative x , using known facts about the elements of S .

1.1.2 Proof Technique 2

To disprove the universally quantified statement " $\forall x \in S, P(x)$ ":

Find an element $x \in S$ for which the open sentence $P(x)$ is false. This process is called finding a **counter-example**.

1.2 Proving Existentially Quantified Statements

1.2.1 Proof Technique 3

To prove the existentially quantified statement " $\exists x \in S, P(x)$ ":

Provide an explicit value of x from the domain S , and show that $P(x)$ is true for this value of x . In other words, find an element of S that satisfies property P .

1.2.2 Proof Technique 4

To disprove the existentially quantified statement " $\exists x \in S, P(x)$ ":

Prove the universally quantified statement " $\forall x \in S, \neg P(x)$ "

1.3 Proving Implications

1.3.1 Proof Technique 5

For proving an implication:

1. To prove the implication " $A \implies B$ ", **assume** that the hypothesis A is true, and use this assumption to show that the conclusion B is true. The hypothesis A is what you start with. The conclusion B is where you must end up.
2. To prove the universally quantified implication " $\forall x \in S, P(x) \implies Q(x)$ ":
Let x be an arbitrary element of S , assume that the hypothesis $P(x)$ is true, and use this assumption to show that the conclusion $Q(x)$ is true.

1.4 Proof by Contrapositive

1.4.1 Proof Technique 6

For proving an implication using the contrapositive:

1. To prove the implication " $A \implies B$ ", replace it with its contrapositive " $(\neg B) \implies (\neg A)$ ". Then prove this contrapositive, usually by a direct proof. That is, assume $\neg B$ is true and deduce that $\neg A$ must be true as well.
2. To prove the universally quantified implication " $\forall x \in S, P(x) \implies Q(x)$ ", replace it with its universally quantified contrapositive " $\forall x \in S, (\neg Q(x)) \implies (\neg P(x))$ ". Then prove this universally quantified contrapositive.