**Problem A:** Show that there is no injective smooth function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ 

with n > m.

We first prove a convilory of IFI that we will need for the proof.

Lemma constant rank theorem

if  $f: \text{ open } U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is C' and  $\forall \pi \in U$ .

York  $(\text{Det D}f(\pi)) = \Gamma$  is const

> Yxo ∈ U, 3 some nbh V > xo and W > fixe)

and C p diffeo y: V → V'≤R^n

y. W → W'≤R^n

st. Yof o y: V → W'

PF of Lemma

 $\begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix}$  where the v+1th  $\sim p$ th coordinate of ingge is 0.

By hw 10:  $\forall x \in U$ , Df(x) has a nonsingular rxr minor and all larger minors are singular WLOG suppose the left upper rxr minor is non-singular let  $y(x) = (f_1(x), ..., f_r(x), x^{r+1}, ..., x^n)$   $\exists \forall x \in U, \det Dy(x) \neq 0$ 

⇒ by 187, p is a C° diffeo around every point in U

and fog (u) = (v, ..., v, g mu), ..., g (u)

Since  $y^{-1}$  invertible, the rank(Dg) = ran(Dfoy<sup>-1</sup>) = r  $D_{S} = \begin{pmatrix} I_{r} & O_{r\times(n-r)} \\ \left(\frac{\partial g_{i}}{\partial v_{j}}\right)_{\substack{i=r \in I, \dots, m \\ j=1,\dots,r}} \left(\frac{\partial g_{i}}{\partial v_{j}}\right)_{\substack{j=r \in I,\dots,m \\ j=1,\dots,r}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial g_{i}}{\partial v_{j}} \end{pmatrix}_{\substack{j=r \in I,\dots,m \\ j=1,\dots,r}}$ 

So  $\left(\frac{\partial g_i}{\partial v_j}\right)_{\substack{i=rq,...,m\\j=l,...,r}}^{\substack{i=rq,...,m\\j=rq,...,r}} is 0 mathex, <math>g(v)$ 

let y(y) = (y1, -., y2) y2+1-94(x) .... /m-5/(x)

and yof of V'(v) = (V', ..., V', o, ..., o) locally

Then suppose  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  is injective and smooth with n>mSince rank(Of) is lower semi-ctn and take values from finite set(0,..., m),

it must be locally const on some no ER"

Thus 3 CP differ y: V -> V'> x. and y: W -> W'> f(xo)

s.t. y of o y -': ( !! ) -> ( vi)
vn ) not injective

Since  $\psi$ ,  $\psi^{-1}$  are differ thus bijustive and finjective,  $\psi$  of  $\circ \psi^{-1}$  should be injective, contradicts.

**Problem B:** Let  $f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous functions. Suppose that f and g are 0 outside a compact set. Define the convolution f \* g by:

 $(f * g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x - y)g(y)dy.$ 

Show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f * g = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \right) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g \right).$$

Also show that convolution is commutative and associative

If integrating both sides on R^

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (f * 5) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x - y) g(y) \, dy \right) \, dx$$

Since fig are supported only on a cot set

let B=B1xB2≤1R^x1R^ be box st. supp(f), supp(g)≤B

= \int\_{B\_1}\int\_{B\_2}\text{fix-y35(y) by Fibinis Thin

So  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x-y) S(y) dy dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} f(x-y) S(y) dx dy$   $= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x-y) S(y) dx \right) dy$ 

since we can interchange the order by Futini

= \int\_{\mathbb{R}^{\sigma}} \quad \int\_{\mathbb{R}^{\sigma}} \int\_{\mathbb{R}^{\sigma}} \quad \delta\_{\mathbb{R}^{\sigma}} \right) \delta\_{\mathbb{R}^{\sigma}} \int\_{\mathbb{R}^{\sigma}} \right) \delta\_{\mathbb{R}^{\sigma}} \int\_{\mathbb{R}^{\sigma}} \right) \delta\_{\mathbb{R}^{\sigma}} \int\_{\mathbb{R}^{\sigma}} \delta\_{\mathbb{R}^{\sigma}} \delta\_{\mathbb{R}^

Pf of commutativity
Let xelph

 $f * g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x-y) g(y) dy$ 

= \left\{z\) \( \text{f(z)} \) \( \text{g(x-z)} \) \( \text{dz} \)

Pf of associativity

= g\*f(x)

let x EPC

 $((f*g)*h)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f*g(x-y) h(y) dy$ 

= \int\_{\mu^{\chi}} \left(\pe^{\dagger} \f(\pi - y - \frac{2}{2}) \g(\frac{2}{2}) \degree \degree \left(\pi - y - \frac{2}{2}) \g(\frac{2}{2}) \degree \degree

= \int\_{R^nR^n} f(x,y-z) g(z) hy) dz dy
by Fibini as previous

= \len f(x-y-2) (\lens gashy) da

= /pr/pr fix-w g(u-v) has duch

 $(f*(g*h))(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x-y)(g*h(y)) dy$ 

=  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x-y) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(y-z) h(z) dz \right) dy$ =  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x-u) g(u-v) h(v) du dv = (f * g) * h) (x)_{\square}$  **Problem C:** Find the maximum and minimum values of  $f(x,y) = 4x^2 + 10y^2$  on the disk  $x^2 + y^2 \le 4$ .

On the boundary:  $x^2+y^2=4$   $f(x,y)=4(x^2+y^2)+6y^2=16+6y^2$ , so f takes maximum when |y| reaches maximum

 $\Rightarrow f_{max} = 16 + 6 \times 2^{2} = 40$ on 2D with  $y_{0} = L^{2}$ So 70 = 0

Thus  $f_{min} = 0$  at (0.0) $f_{max} = 40$  at (0.2) and (0.1-2) **Problem D:** Determine which of the following are tensors on  $\mathbb{R}^4$ , and express those that are in terms of the elementary tensors:

 $f(x,y) = 3x_1y_2 + 5x_2x_3,$   $g(x,y) = x_1y_2 + x_2y_4 + 1,$  $h(x,y) = x_1y_1 - 7x_2y_3.$ 

f is not a tensor since  $f(\lambda x, y) = 3\lambda x_1 y_2 + 5x^2 x_2 x_3$   $f(2x, y) = 6x_1 x_2 + 20x_2 x_3$   $+ 2f(x_1 y)$ 

g is not a tensor since  $g(nx_1y) = Nx_1y_2 + Nx_2y_4 + 1$   $so g(2x_1y) = 2g(x_1y) - 1 \neq 2g(x_1y)$ 

h is a tensor since it is linear in both xy  $h(\lambda x+2,\gamma) = \lambda x_1 y_1 - \lambda x_2 y_3 + 2_1 y_1 - \lambda x_2 y_3$   $= (\lambda x_1 + 2_1) y_1 - \lambda (\lambda x_2 + 2_2) y_3$   $= \lambda h(x_1 y_1) + h(x_2 y_2)$ Similarly it is linear in y.

Define  $e^{i}: \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}$  $x \mapsto x_i$  for each i=1,...,4,

Then  $h(x,y) = x_i, y_i - 7x_2y_3 = e^{i} \otimes e^{i} - 7e^{i} \otimes e^{3}$ elementary tensors

**Problem E:** Pick one fact related to tensors whose proof was omitted in class. State it and prove it carefully.

Pick: Let V be a vector space, then  $\forall k, L^k(V)$  is also a vector space

by defining  $f(f+g)(V_1, ..., V_k) = f(V_1, ..., V_k) + g(V_1, ..., V_k)$   $(cf)(V_1, ..., V_k) = cf(V_1, ..., V_k)$ 

Pf D let fig. h  $\in V$ ,  $C \in F$  (scalar field of V)

Let  $V_1, \dots, V_k \in V$   $(cf + a)(v_k, y_k) = cf(v_k, y_k) + a(v_k, y_k)$ 

(cf + g/(v,..., vk) = cf(v,..., vk) + g(v,..., vk)

let a ∈ F, we U, i ∈ (v,..., k)

= (cf+g)(V<sub>1</sub>,..., αν<sub>i</sub>+w,..., ν<sub>k</sub>) + g(ν<sub>1</sub>,..., w,..., ν<sub>k</sub>) = (αlf (ν<sub>1</sub>,..., ν<sub>k</sub>) + f(ν<sub>1</sub>,..., w<sub>1</sub>,..., ν<sub>k</sub>) + αλ g(ν<sub>1</sub>,..., ν<sub>k</sub>)

=  $\alpha(cfig)(v_1,...,v_N) + (cfig)(v_1,...,w_1,...,v_r)$  is linear in  $i^{th}$  and  $\rightarrow cfig \in L^k(V)$ 

=> LKW) is closed under addition and stratar multiplication

D community, assiciosity of tensor addition follows from def

3 additive identity: f: Vx...xV -IF sending army element to Up

(4) additive inverse: let for LKW) => -f: (v,..., vx) +> -f(v,..., vx) => chistier (f+t-f)(v,..., vx) =>

(3) distributivity: follows from def

 $\Box$ 

**Problem F:** Let  $\sigma \in S_k$  be the permutation described by

$$1 \mapsto 2 \mapsto 3 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto k \mapsto 1$$
.

number of transpositions:  $\lfloor L - \rfloor$ So  $sgn \sigma = (-1)^{k-1}$  **Problem G:** Let  $T: V \to W$  be a linear transformation. If  $f \in \mathcal{A}^k(W)$ , show  $T^*(f) \in \mathcal{A}^k(V)$ .

Pf let feA<sup>k</sup>(W),

T\*(f) (V1,..., Vk) = f(TV1, TV2,..., TVK)

Y(V1,..., Vk) \in V

So  $T^*CfI \in L^k(V)$  since it it linear in each courdinate, by linearity of T it remains to show the alternating property of  $T^*Cf$ ) let  $(v_1,...,v_k) \in V^k$ ,  $\sigma \in S_k$ 

= Sgn(0) T\*(f) (Vou),..., Vody) = f(TVou),..., Tvo(4)
= Sgn(0) f(TV,..., TV\_E)
= sgn(0) T\*(f) (Y,..., VE)

Ω

**Problem H:** Read Theorem 27.7 and its proof in the text. Then, without looking at it, write out the statement and its proof.

The let  $Y_I$  be an elementary alternating tensor on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with respect to the usual basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $I=(i_1,...,i_k)$  biven  $x_1,...,x_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , let  $X=[\overline{x},...,\overline{x}_k]$ 

 $\frac{1}{\text{The modific whose NZ denotes}}$ the modific whose ness are now i, i2, ..., ix of X

 $\frac{\text{pf}}{\text{pf}} \quad \varphi_L(x_1,...,x_k) = \sum_{\sigma} (s_{g} n \sigma) \varphi_L(x_{\sigma v_1},...,x_{\sigma v_k})$   $= \sum_{\sigma} (s_{g} n \sigma) \chi_{i_1 \sigma v_2} \cdot \chi_{i_2 \sigma v_3} \cdot ... \cdot \chi_{i_k \sigma v_k}$ which is the expansion formula of del  $\chi_1$ 

**Bonus:** Let I be an open interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ , and let  $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function. We say that f is (real) analytic if for all  $x_0 \in I$  there are real numbers  $c_n, n \geq 0$  such that the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n (x - x_0)^n$$

converges and is equal to f(x) in a neighborhood of  $x_0$ .

(1) For each  $n \geq 1$  let  $a_n \in \{0,1\}$  and let  $0 \leq k_n \leq n$ . Consider the function  $f:(0,1) \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$f(p) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n p^{k_n} (1-p)^{n-k_b}.$$

Note that this sum converges by comparison to a geometric series. Show that f is (real) analytic.

(2) Given a power sets of the form  $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n(x-x_0)^n$ , define the radius of convergence R by the equation

$$R = 1/\limsup |c_n|^{\frac{1}{n}},$$

where in this specific instance we use the convention  $1/0 = \infty$  and  $1/\infty = 0$ . Show that the series converges uniformly and absolutely for  $x \in (x_0 - R, x_0 + R)$  and diverges for  $x \notin [x_0 - R, x_0 + R]$ . (No statement is made about  $x = x_0 + \pm R$ .)

- (3) Conclude that if the series converges on  $(x_0-r,x_0+r)$  for some r>0, then for any  $0<\rho< r$  there is a constant C such that  $|c_n|\leq \frac{C}{\rho^n}$ .
- (4) Conclude that if the series converges on  $(x_0 r, x_0 + r)$  for some r > 0 then  $f'(x_0)$  exists and is equal to  $c_1$ .

**Remark** The final part of the bonus should give you some intuition for the fact that real analytic functions are smooth. It wouldn't take all that much more work to prove this now, but the bonus is already long enough as it is! The idea is to define  $g(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nc_n(x-x_0)^{n-1}$ . You can show that g has the same radius of convergence as f. Using that the uniform limit of continuous functions is continuous, you can show it defines a continuous function on  $(x_0 - R, x_0 + R)$ .

The main claim now is that f'(x) and is equal to g(x). With this claim in hand we see that f is  $C^1$ , and repeating we get that f is  $C^{\infty}$ . The main claim is proved via the following result, which could be a regular HW question for us: If  $\phi_n$  are differentiable functions, and  $\phi_n$  converge uniformly to  $\phi$ , and  $\phi'_n$  converge uniformly to  $\psi$ , then  $\phi'$  exists and is equal to  $\psi$ . (All of this is on an interval.)