

Math 4573: Number Theory

Lecturer: **Profesor James Cogdell**

Notes by: Farhan Sadeek

Spring 2025

1 January 8, 2025

Dr. Cogdell explained the logistics of the class and also took attendance. This class will be no exams and graded based on only homeworks.

1.1 Conjectures in Number Theory

- A number is divisible by 3 if the sum of its digits is divisible by 3.
- **Fermat's Last Theorem:** There are no three positive integers a , b , and c that satisfy the equation $a^n + b^n = c^n$ for any integer value of n greater than 2.
- There are infinitely many primes.
- $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.
- π is irrational.
- Every number can be written as the sum of four squares (Lagrange's Four Square Theorem). For example, $1000 = 10^2 + 30^2 + 0^2 + 0^2$ and $999 = 30^2 + 9^2 + 3^2 + 3^2$.
- The polynomial $n^2 - n + 41$ produces prime numbers for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 40$, but not for $n = 41$.
- Euler conjectured that no n^{th} power can be written as the sum of two n^{th} powers for $n > 2$. This was proven false by the counterexample $144^5 = 27^5 + 84^5 + 110^5 + 133^5$.
- **Goldbach's Conjecture:** Every even integer greater than 2 can be written as the sum of two primes. For example, $4 = 2 + 2$, $6 = 3 + 3$, $8 = 3 + 5$, $10 = 5 + 5$, $12 = 5 + 7$, $14 = 7 + 7$, $16 = 3 + 13$, $18 = 7 + 11$. This has been verified for numbers up to 100,000 but remains unproven.

Number theory is related to **Abstract Algebra**, but also intersects with other domains such as **Combinatorics, Analysis, and Topology**. We will accept a few fundamental facts about **Number Theory**.

Fact 1

If S is a non-empty set of positive integers, then S contains a smallest element. This is known as the Well-Ordering Principle.

1.2 Divisibility

This concept has been known since the time of Euclid.

Definition 2

An integer b is divisible by an integer $a \neq 0$ if there is an integer x such that $b = ax$. We write this as $a \mid b$. If b is not divisible by a , we write $a \nmid b$.

There are two derivative notions:

- If $0 < a < b$, then a is called a **proper divisor** of b .
- If $a^k \parallel b$, it means $a^k \mid b$ and $a^{k+1} \nmid b$.

Theorem 3

Let a , b , and c be integers. Then the following are true:

- If $a \mid b$, then $a \mid bc$.
- If $a \mid b$, then $a \mid b + c$.
- If $a \mid b$ and $a \mid c$, then $a \mid b + c$.
- If $a \mid b$ and $b \mid a$, then $a = b$ or $a = -b$.
- If $a \mid b$ and $a > 0$ and $b > 0$, then $a \leq b$.
- If $m \neq 0$ and $a \mid b$, then $am \mid bm$.
- If $a \mid b_1, a \mid b_2, \dots, a \mid b_n$, then $a \mid \sum_{i=1}^n b_i x_i$ for any integers x_i .

Theorem 4 (The Division Algorithm)

Given integers a and b with $a > 0$, there exist unique integers q and r such that

$$b = qa + r, \quad 0 \leq r < a.$$

If $a \nmid b$, then r satisfies the stronger inequality

$$0 < r < a.$$

Proof. Consider the arithmetic progression $\dots, b-3a, b-2a, b-a, b, b+a, b+2a, b+3a, \dots$. In this sequence, select the smallest non-negative member. This defines r and satisfies the inequalities of the theorem. Since r is in the sequence, it can be written as $b - qa$. To prove the uniqueness of q and r , suppose there is another pair q_1 and r_1 that satisfies the same conditions. We first prove that $r = r_1$. If not, assume $r < r_1$, so $0 < r_1 - r < a$. But $r_1 - r = a(q - q_1)$, meaning $a \mid (r_1 - r)$, which contradicts the fact that $0 < r_1 - r < a$. Thus, $r = r_1$ and $q = q_1$. \square

Fact 5

If $a \mid b$, then r satisfies the stronger inequality $0 \leq r < a$.

Fact 6

The Division Algorithm can be stated without the assumption $a > 0$. Given integers a and b with $a \neq 0$, there exist integers q and r such that $b = qa + r$ with $0 \leq |r| < |a|$.

Definition 7 (Common Divisor)

The integer a is a **common divisor** of b and c if $a \mid b$ and $a \mid c$. Since there is only a finite number of divisors of any non-zero integer, there is only a finite number of common divisors of b and c except in the case $b = c = 0$.

If at least one of b and c is not 0, the **greatest common divisor** is called the **gcd** $\gcd(b, c)$ (*greatest common divisor of b and c*), and is denoted by (b, c) . Similarly, we have the greatest common divisor g of the integers b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n (*not all 0*) denoted by (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) .

Theorem 8

If g is the **gcd** of b and c , then there exist integers x_0 and y_0 such that

$$g = bx_0 + cy_0$$

2 January 10, 2025

Dr. Cogdell takes attendance so I will have to be in class every single day.

Definition 9 (Common Divisor)

The integer a is a common divisor of b and c if $a \mid b$ and $a \mid c$. Since there is only a finite number of divisors of any nonzero integer, there is only a finite number of common divisors of b and c , except in the case $b = c = 0$. If at least one of b and c is not 0, the greatest among their common divisors is called the greatest common divisor of b and c and is denoted by (b, c) . Similarly, we denote the greatest common divisor g of the integers b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n , not all zero, by (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) .

Theorem 10

If g is the greatest common divisor of b and c , then there exist integers x_0 and y_0 such that $g = (b, c) = bx_0 + cy_0$.

Fact 11

Another fundamental way to state this is that the linear combination of b and c is with integral multipliers x_0 and y_0 . This assertion holds for any finite collection.

Proof. Consider the following linear combinations $\{bx + cy\}$ where x and y are all integers. Note this also contains $x = y = 0$. Choose $bx_0 + cy_0$ is the least positive integer l in the set.

We need to prove that $l \mid b$ and $l \mid c$. We will do this via indirect proof. If we assume that $l \nmid b$, we will obtain a contradiction. From $l \nmid b$, there are integers q and r such that $b = lq + r$ where $0 < r < l$. Since l is the least positive integer in the set, we can write $r = bx_1 + cy_1$ for some integers x_1 and y_1 . So we have

$$r = b - lq = b - q(bx_0 + cy_0) = b(1 - qx_0) + c(-qy_0)$$

and this r is in the set $bx + cy$. This contradicts the fact that l is the least positive integer in the set $\{bx + cy\}$. Thus, we have shown that $l \mid b$.

Since g is the greatest common divisor of b and c , we may write $l = bx_0 + cy_0 = g(Bx_0 + Cy_0)$. Then, $g \mid l$ and by parts of theorem 1.1, we have shown $g \leq l$. Now, $g < l$ is impossible since, g is the greatest common divisor, so $g = l = bx_0 + cy_0$. \square

Theorem 12

The greatest common divisor g of b and c can be characterized in the following two ways:

- It is the least positive value of $bx + cy$ where x and y range over all integers.
- It is the positive common divisor of b and c that is divisible by every common divisor.

Proof. Part 1 follows from the proof of Theorem 1.3. To prove part 2, we observe that if d is any common divisor of b and c , then $d \mid g$ by part 3 of Theorem 1.1. Moreover, there cannot be two distinct integers with property 2, because of Theorem 1.1, part 4. \square

Remark 13. If an integer d is expressible in the form $d = bx + cy$, then d is not necessarily the $\gcd(b, c)$. However, it does follow from such an equation that (b, c) is a divisor of d . In particular, if $bx + cy = 1$ for some integers x and y , then $(b, c) = 1$.

Theorem 14

Given any integers b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n not all zero, with greatest common divisor g , there exist integers x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n such that

$$g = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j x_j.$$

Furthermore, g is the least positive value of the linear form $\sum_{j=1}^n b_j y_j$ where the y_j range over all integers; also g is the positive common divisor of b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n that is divisible by every common divisor.

Proof. Consider the set $S = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n b_j y_j \mid y_j \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$. Since not all b_j are zero, there exists a non-zero integer in S . Let g be the smallest positive integer in S . Then g can be written as $g = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j x_j$ for some integers x_j .

We claim that g is the greatest common divisor of b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n . First, we show that g is a common divisor of b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n . For each b_i , we have

$$b_i = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \delta_{ij},$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta. Since g divides each term on the right-hand side, it follows that $g \mid b_i$ for all i .

Next, we show that g is the greatest common divisor. Let d be any common divisor of b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n . Then $d \mid \sum_{j=1}^n b_j x_j$, so $d \mid g$. Therefore, g is the greatest common divisor of b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n .

Finally, we show that g is the least positive value of the linear form $\sum_{j=1}^n b_j y_j$. Suppose there exists a positive integer h such that $h = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j z_j$ and $h < g$. Then h is in S , which contradicts the minimality of g . Therefore, g is the least positive value of the linear form.

Thus, we have shown that $g = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j x_j$ and g is the least positive value of the linear form $\sum_{j=1}^n b_j y_j$ where the y_j range over all integers. Also, g is the positive common divisor of b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n that is divisible by every common divisor. \square

Theorem 15

For any positive integer m we have

$$(ma, mb) = m(a, b)$$

Proof. By Theorem 1.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} (ma, mb) &= \text{least positive value of } max + mby \\ &= m \cdot \{\text{least positive value of } ax + by\} \\ &= m(a, b). \end{aligned}$$

\square

Theorem 16

If $d \mid a$ and $d \mid b$, $d > 0$, then

$$\left(\frac{a}{d}, \frac{b}{d}\right) = \frac{1}{d}(a, b)$$

If $(a, b) = g$, then

$$\left(\frac{a}{g}, \frac{b}{g}\right) = 1$$

Proof. The second assertion is the special case of the first obtained by using the greatest common divisor g of a and b in the role of d . The first assertion in turn is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.6 obtained by replacing m, a, b in that theorem by $d, \frac{a}{d}, \frac{b}{d}$ respectively. \square

Theorem 17

If $(a, m) = (b, m) = 1$, then $(ab, m) = 1$

Proof. By Theorem 1.3, there exist integers x_0, y_0, x_1, y_1 such that

$$1 = ax_0 + my_0 = bx_1 + my_1.$$

Thus, we may write

$$ax_0 - bx_1 = m(y_1 - y_0).$$

Let $y_2 = y_1 - y_0$. Then we have

$$ax_0 - bx_1 = my_2.$$

From the equation $ax_0 - bx_1 = my_2$, we note, by part 3 of Theorem 1.1, that any common divisor of a and b is a divisor of m . Hence, $(a, b, m) = 1$. \square

3 January 13, 2025

3.1 Euclidean Algorithm

Given two integers b and c , now we can generate the greatest common divisor. There is no algorithm to this problem, but there is an algorithm.

Question 18. *Given a set of integers $(bx + cy)$ how to find the greatest common divisor?*

Consider the case $b = 963$ and $c = 657$. If we divide c into b , we get the quotient $q = 1$ and the remainder $r = 306$. We can write this as $b = qc + r$ or $r = b - cq$. In particular, $306 = 963 - 1 \cdot 657$. Now $(b, c) = (b - cq, c)$ by replacing a and x by c and $-q$ in Theorem 1.9, so we see that

$$(963, 657) = (963 - 1 \cdot 657, 657) = (306, 657).$$

The integer 963 has been replaced by the smaller integer 306, and this suggests that the procedure be repeated. So we divide 306 into 657 to get a quotient 2 and a remainder 45, and

$$(306, 657) = (306, 657 - 2 \cdot 306) = (306, 45).$$

Next, 45 is divided into 306 with quotient 6 and remainder 36, then 36 is divided into 45 with quotient 1 and remainder 9. We conclude that

$$(963, 657) = (306, 657) = (306, 45) = (45, 36) = (36, 9).$$

Thus $(963, 657) = 9$, and we can express 9 as a linear combination of 963 and 657 by sequentially writing

each remainder as a linear combination of the two original numbers:

$$306 = 963 - 657,$$

$$45 = 657 - 2 \cdot 306 = 657 - 2 \cdot (963 - 657) = 3 \cdot 657 - 2 \cdot 963,$$

$$36 = 306 - 6 \cdot 45 = (963 - 657) - 6 \cdot (3 \cdot 657 - 2 \cdot 963) = 13 \cdot 963 - 19 \cdot 657,$$

$$9 = 45 - 36 = 3 \cdot 657 - 2 \cdot 963 - (13 \cdot 963 - 19 \cdot 657) = 22 \cdot 657 - 15 \cdot 963.$$

In terms of Theorem 1.3, where $g = (b, c) = bx_0 + cy_0$, beginning with $b = 963$ and $c = 657$ we have used a procedure called the Euclidean algorithm to find $g = 9$, $x_0 = -15$, $y_0 = 22$. Of course, these values for x_0 and y_0 are not unique: $-15 + 657k$ and $22 - 963k$ will do where k is any integer.

To find the greatest common divisor (b, c) of any two integers b and c , we now generalize what is done in the special case above. The process will also give integers x_0 and y_0 satisfying the equation $bx_0 + cy_0 = (b, c)$. The case $c = 0$ is special: $(b, 0) = |b|$. For $c \neq 0$, we observe that $(b, c) = (b, -c)$ by Theorem 1.9, and hence, we may presume that c is positive.

Theorem 19 (The Euclidean Algorithm)

Given integers b and $c > 0$, we make a repeated application of the division algorithm, Theorem 1.2, to obtain a series of equations:

$$\begin{aligned} b &= cq_1 + r_1, & 0 < r_1 < c, \\ c &= r_1q_2 + r_2, & 0 < r_2 < r_1, \\ r_1 &= r_2q_3 + r_3, & 0 < r_3 < r_2, \\ &\vdots & \vdots \\ r_{j-2} &= r_{j-1}q_j + r_j, & 0 < r_j < r_{j-1}, \\ r_{j-1} &= r_jq_{j+1}. \end{aligned}$$

The greatest common divisor (b, c) of b and c is r_j , the last nonzero remainder in the division process. Values of x_0 and y_0 in $(b, c) = bx_0 + cy_0$ can be obtained by writing each r_i as a linear combination of b and c .

Proof. The chain of equations is obtained by dividing c into b , r_1 into c , r_2 into r_1 , and so on, until r_j into r_{j-1} . The process stops when the division is exact, that is, when the remainder is zero. Thus, in our application of Theorem 1.2, we have written the inequalities for the remainder without an equality sign. For example, $0 < r_1 < c$ instead of $0 \leq r_1 < c$, because if r_1 were equal to zero, the chain would stop at the first equation $b = cq_1$, in which case the greatest common divisor of b and c would be c .

We now prove that r_j is the greatest common divisor g of b and c . By Theorem 1.9, we observe that

$$(b, c) = (c, r_1) = (r_1, r_2) = \cdots = (r_{j-1}, r_j) = (r_j, 0) = r_j.$$

To see that r_j is a linear combination of b and c , we argue by induction that each r_i is a linear combination of b and c . Clearly, r_1 is such a linear combination, and likewise r_2 . In general, r_i is a linear combination of r_{i-1} and r_{i-2} . By the inductive hypothesis, we may suppose that these latter two numbers are linear combinations of b and c , and it follows that r_i is also a linear combination of b and c . \square

4 January 15, 2025

Example 20

We will find the g.c.d of 42823 and 6409.

Solution. We apply the Euclidean algorithm to divide c into b , where $b = 42823$ and $c = 6409$. We obtain a quotient $q_1 = 6$ and a remainder $r_1 = 4369$. Continuing, if we divide 4369 into 6409, we get a quotient $q_2 = 1$ and a remainder $r_2 = 2040$. Dividing 2040 into 4369 gives $q_3 = 2$ and $r_3 = 289$. Dividing 289 into 2040 gives $q_4 = 7$ and $r_4 = 17$. Since 17 is an exact divisor of 289, the solution is that the g.c.d. is 17.

We can write this in tabular form:

$$42823 = 6 \cdot 6409 + 4369,$$

$$6409 = 1 \cdot 4369 + 2040,$$

$$4369 = 2 \cdot 2040 + 289,$$

$$2040 = 7 \cdot 289 + 17,$$

$$289 = 17 \cdot 17.$$

Thus, $(42823, 6409) = (6409, 4369) = (4369, 2040) = (2040, 289) = (289, 17) = 17$.

Example 21

Find integers x and y such that $42823x + 6409y = 17$.

Solution. We find integers x and y such that $42823x + 6409y = 17$.

Here it is natural to consider $i = 1, 2, \dots$, but to initiate the process we also consider $i = 0$ and $i = -1$.

We put $r_{-1} = 42823$, and write

$$42823 \cdot 1 + 6409 \cdot 0 = 42823.$$

Similarly, we put $r_0 = 6409$, and write

$$42823 \cdot 0 + 6409 \cdot 1 = 6409.$$

We multiply the second of these equations by $q_1 = 6$, and subtract the result from the first equation, to obtain

$$42823 \cdot 1 + 6409 \cdot (-6) = 4369.$$

We multiply this equation by $q_2 = 1$, and subtract it from the preceding equation to find that

$$42823 \cdot (-1) + 6409 \cdot 7 = 2040.$$

We multiply this by $q_3 = 2$, and subtract the result from the preceding equation to find that

$$42823 \cdot 3 + 6409 \cdot (-20) = 289.$$

Next we multiply this by $q_4 = 7$, and subtract the result from the preceding equation to find that

$$42823 \cdot (-22) + 6409 \cdot 147 = 17.$$

On dividing 17 into 289, we find that $q_5 = 17$ and that $289 = 17 \cdot 17$. Thus r_4 is the last positive remainder, so that $g = 17$, and we may take $x = -22$, $y = 147$. These values of x and y are not the only ones possible. In Section 5.1, an analysis of all solutions of a linear equation is given.

Remark 22. Section 5.1 on Analysis

Definition 23

The integers a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n all different from zero, have a common b if $a_i \mid b$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. The least positive multiple is called **least common multiple** and it's denoted $[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n]$

Theorem 24

If b is any common multiple of a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n , then $[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n] \mid b$. This is the same as saying that if h denotes $[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n]$, then $0, \pm h, \pm 2h, \pm 3, \dots$ comprise all the common multiples of a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n .

Proof. Let m be any common multiple and divide m by h . By Division Algorithm, there is a quotient q and a remainder r such that $m = qh + r$, where $0 \leq r < h$. We must prove that $r = 0$. If $r \neq 0$, we argue as follows. For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we know that $a_i \mid h$ and $a_i \mid m$, so that $a_i \mid r$. Thus r is a positive common multiple of a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n contrary to the fact that h is the least of all common positive multiple. \square

Theorem 25

If $m > 0$ $[ma, mb] = m[a, b]$. Also, $[a, b] \cdot (a, b) = |ab|$

Proof. Let $H = [ma, mb]$ and $h = [a, b]$. Then mh is a multiple of ma and mb , so that $mh \mid H$. Also, H is a multiple of both ma and mb , so H/m is a multiple of a and b . Thus, $H/m \mid h$, from which it follows that $mh = H$, and this establishes the first part of the theorem.

It will suffice to prove the second part for positive integers a and b , since $[a, -b] = [a, b]$. We begin with the special case where $(a, b) = 1$. Now $[a, b]$ is a multiple of a , say ma . Then $b \mid ma$ and $(a, b) = 1$, so by Theorem 1.10 we conclude that $b \mid m$. Hence $b \mid m$, $ba \mid ma$. But ba , being a positive common multiple of b and a , cannot be less than the least common multiple, so $ba = ma = [a, b]$.

Turning to the general case where $(a, b) = g > 1$, we have $(a/g, b/g) = 1$ by Theorem 1.7. Applying the result of the preceding paragraph, we obtain

$$\left[\frac{a}{g}, \frac{b}{g} \right] = \frac{ab}{g^2}.$$

Multiplying by g^2 and using Theorem 1.6 as well as the first part of the present theorem, we get $a, b = ab$. \square