# Math 4547: Real Analysis I

Lecturer: Professor Alex Margolis

Notes by: Farhan Sadeek

Spring 2025

# 1 January 6, 2025

Professor Margolis introduced the course and discussed the syllabus. The course will cover the following topics: Here are some common number systems

## 1.1 What is Analysis?

Analysis is the branch of mathematics that deals with the rigorous study of limits, functions, derivatives, integrals, and infinite series. It provides the foundation for calculus and extends its concepts to more abstract settings.

#### Theorem 1

Every convergent squence is bounded.

## 1.2 The Real Numbers

#### 1.2.1 What are the reals?

- The **natural Numbers**  $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, ...\}$
- The integers  $\mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1, -1, 2, -2, \cdots \}$
- The rational Numbers  $\mathbb{Q}=\{rac{p}{q}\mid p,q\in\mathbb{Z} \text{ and } q\neq 0\}$
- The real Numbers  $\mathbb R$
- The complex Numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ :  $=\{\ a+bi\ |\ a,b\in\mathbb{R}\}$ , where  $i^2=-1$

#### Theorem 2

There is no rational number x, such that  $x^2 = 2$ .

*Proof.* We assume for contradiction that such an x exists. Then  $x=\frac{p}{q}$  for some p,  $q\in\mathbb{Z}$  and  $q\neq 0$ . We can assume that p and q have no common factors. Then,  $\frac{p^2}{q^2}=2$ , which implies

$$p^2 = 2q^2$$

Thus,  $p^2$  is even. As the square of an odd number is odd, it follows p must even. Therefore, p=2k for an integer k. We have  $2q^2=p^2=(2k)^2=4k^2$ , and so  $q^2=2k^2$ . Thus,  $q^2$  is even. Since p and q are both even, this contradicts our assumption that p and q have no common factors. Therefore, there is no rational number x such that  $x^2=2$ .

This theorem implies, if we visualize  $\mathbb Q$  as points lying on a number line, there is a 'hole' where  $\sqrt{2}$  is. (There are many more 'holes' e.g.  $\pi$ , e,  $\sqrt{3}$ , ...)

The key property that  $\mathbb R$  possesses, but  $\mathbb Q$  doesn't is that  $\mathbb R$  has "no holes" (formally,  $\mathbb R$  is complete.) In this class, we will rigorously deduce all properties of  $\mathbb R$  from the axioms of the real numbers. The axioms are in three groups.

- 1. Field Axioms (addition and multiplication)
- 2. Order axioms (needed to describe properties concerning inequalities)
- 3. Completeness Axiom

#### 1.3 Addition axioms

- 1. For every pair  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , we can associate a real number a + b called their sum.
- 2. For every real number a, there is a real number -a called its **negative** or **additive inverse**.
- 3. There is a special real number 0 called zero or the additive identity such that for all  $a, b, c, x, y, z \cdots$  are real numbers unless otherwise stated:
  - (a) a + b = b + a
  - (b) a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c
  - (c) a + 0 = a
  - (d) a + (-a) = 0

## 2 January 8, 2025

In this lecture, we will use the axioms to deduce various properties of the real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$ . From these axioms, we can derive many more properties of the real numbers.

#### **Proposition 3**

If x + a = x for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , then a = 0.

Proof. We know that

$$x = x + 0$$
 (A3)  
=  $x + a$  (by assumption on  $a$ )

By the left cancellation property of addition, it follows that a=0.

Proposition 4 (Left cancellation of addition)

If a + x = a + y, then x = y.

*Proof.* We start with the given equation a + x = a + y. By the additive identity property (A3), we have:

$$y = y + 0 \tag{A3}$$

$$= y + (a + (-a))$$
 (A4)

$$= (y + a) + (-a)$$
 (A2)

$$= (a+y) + (-a) \tag{A1}$$

$$= (a+x) + (-a)$$
 (given)

$$= x + (a + (-a))$$
 (A1)

$$= x + 0 \tag{A4}$$

$$=x$$
 (A3)

Therefore, x = y.

#### **Proposition 5**

$$-(-a) = a$$

*Proof.* We need to show that -(-a) = a. Consider the following:

$$(-a) + (-(-a)) = 0$$
 (by definition of additive inverse)

$$(-a) + a = 0$$
 (since  $-(-a) = a$ )

$$a + (-a) = 0$$
 (by commutativity of addition)

$$(-a) + (-(-a)) = a + (-a)$$
 (by substitution)

$$(-(-a)) = a$$
 (by left cancellation of addition)

Therefore, -(-a) = a.

## **Proposition 6**

$$-(a+b) = (-a) + (-b)$$

*Proof.* We need to show that the additive inverse of (a + b) is equal to the sum of the additive inverses of a and b. Consider the following:

$$(a+b)+(-(a+b))=0$$
 (by definition of additive inverse)  
 $(a+b)+((-a)+(-b))=a+(b+((-a)+(-b)))$  (by associativity of addition)  
 $=a+((b+(-a))+(-b))$  (by associativity of addition)  
 $=a+((-a)+(b+(-b)))$  (by commutativity of addition)  
 $=a+((-a)+0)$  (by definition of additive inverse)  
 $=a+(-a)$  (by identity property of addition)  
 $=0$  (by definition of additive inverse)

Therefore, -(a + b) = (-a) + (-b).

## **Proposition 7**

$$-0 = 0$$

*Proof.* We need to show that the additive inverse of 0 is 0. Consider the following:

0 + 0 = 0 (by the identity property of addition, A3)

0 + (-0) = 0 (by the definition of additive inverse, A4)

Therefore, we have:

$$0+0=0+(-0)$$

By the left cancellation property of addition, it follows that:

$$0 = -0$$

Therefore, -0 = 0.

## 2.1 Multiplication Axioms

#### **Definition 8**

For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , we can associate a real number  $a \times b$  called their **product**.

#### **Definition 9**

For every  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , there is some  $a^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}$  called its **multiplicative inverse** or **reciprocal** such that for all  $a \neq 0$ ,  $a \times a^{-1} = 1$ .

## **Definition 10**

There is a number 1 called **one** or the **multiplicative** identity such that for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a \times 1 = a$ .

#### **Definition 11**

For all  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have the following properties of multiplication:

- For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a \times b = b \times a$ .
- For all  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a \times (b \times c) = (a \times b) \times c$ .
- For all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a \times 0 = 0$ .
- For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a \times (b + c) = a \times b + a \times c$ .

## **Proposition 12**

If  $a \times b = a$ , and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  then b = 1.

*Proof.* We start with the given equation  $a \times b = a$ . By the multiplicative identity property, we have:

$$a \times b = a \times 1$$

b = 1 (by left cancellation of multiplication)

Therefore, b = 1.

## **Proposition 13**

If  $a \neq 0$  and  $a \times b = a \times c$ , then b = c.

*Proof.* We start with the given equation  $a \times b = a \times c$ . By the multiplicative inverse property, we have:

$$a^{-1} \times (a \times b) = a^{-1} \times (a \times c)$$

$$(a^{-1} \times a) \times b = (a^{-1} \times a) \times c$$

$$1 \times b = 1 \times c$$

$$b = c$$

Therefore, b = c.

#### **Proposition 14**

If  $a \neq 0$  and  $a^{-1} \neq 0$ , then  $(a^{-1})^{-1} = a$ .

*Proof.* We need to show that the multiplicative inverse of  $a^{-1}$  is a. Consider the following:

$$a^{-1} \times a = 1$$
 (by definition of multiplicative inverse)  $(a^{-1})^{-1} \times a^{-1} = 1$  (by definition of multiplicative inverse)  $(a^{-1})^{-1} = a$ 

Therefore,  $(a^{-1})^{-1} = a$ .

#### **Proposition 15**

If  $a \neq 0$ ,  $b \neq 0$ , and  $a \times b \neq 0$ , then  $(a \times b)^{-1} = a^{-1} \times b^{-1}$ .

*Proof.* We need to show that the multiplicative inverse of  $a \times b$  is  $a^{-1} \times b^{-1}$ . Consider the following:

$$(a \times b) \times (a^{-1} \times b^{-1}) = a \times (b \times (a^{-1} \times b^{-1}))$$
$$= a \times ((b \times a^{-1}) \times b^{-1})$$
$$= a \times (1 \times b^{-1})$$
$$= a \times b^{-1}$$
$$= 1$$

Therefore,  $(a \times b)^{-1} = a^{-1} \times b^{-1}$ .

#### **Proposition 16**

If  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $(a + b) \times c = (a \times c) + (b \times c)$ .

*Proof.* We need to show that the product of (a + b) and c is equal to the sum of the products of a and c, and b and c. Consider the following:

$$(a+b) \times c = c \times (a+b)$$
$$= c \times a + c \times b$$
$$= a \times c + b \times c$$

Therefore,  $(a + b) \times c = (a \times c) + (b \times c)$ .

#### **Proposition 17**

For all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a \times 0 = 0$ .

*Proof.* We need to show that the product of any real number a and 0 is 0. Consider the following:

$$a \times 0 = a \times (0 + 0)$$
$$= a \times 0 + a \times 0$$
$$= 0 + 0$$
$$= 0$$

Therefore,  $a \times 0 = 0$ .

## **Proposition 18**

If  $a \times b = 0$ , then either a = 0 or b = 0 or both.

*Proof.* We need to show that if the product of a and b is 0, then either a or b or both must be 0. Consider the following:

$$a \times b = 0$$

If  $a \neq 0$ , then b = 0 by the multiplicative inverse property. If  $b \neq 0$ , then a = 0 by the multiplicative inverse property. Therefore, if  $a \times b = 0$ , then either a = 0 or b = 0 or both.

#### **Proposition 19**

 $a \times (-b) = (-a) \times b$ . In particular,  $a \times (-1) = -a$ .

*Proof.* We need to show that the product of a and -b is equal to the product of -a and b. Consider the following:

$$a \times (-b) + a \times b = a \times (b + (-b))$$
$$= a \times 0$$
$$= 0$$
$$= a \times b + (-(a \times b))$$

Hence, the additive inverse of  $a \times b$  is  $-(a \times b)$ . Therefore,  $a \times (-b) = (-a) \times b$ .

#### **Proposition 20**

 $(-1)\times(-1)=1$ 

*Proof.* We need to show that the product of -1 and -1 is 1. Consider the following:

$$(-1) \times (-1) = -(-1) \times 1$$

$$= -(-1) \times (1+0)$$

$$= -(-1) \times (1+(-1))$$

$$= -(-1) \times 0$$

$$= 0$$

$$= (-1) + (-1)$$

Therefore,  $(-1) \times (-1) = 1$ .

# 3 January 10, 2025

For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , we write:

- ab or  $a \cdot b$  for  $a \times b$ .
  - a b for a + (-b).
  - $\frac{1}{a}$  for  $a^{-1}$  if  $a \neq 0$ .
  - $\frac{a}{b}$  for  $ab^{-1}$  if  $b \neq 0$ .

For  $a \neq 0$ , we write:

- $a^0$  for 1.
- $a^{k+1}$  for  $a^k \cdot a$  for k = 0, 1, 2, ...
- $a^{-1}for(a^{l})^{-1}$  for l = 1, 2, 3

#### **Definition 21**

Any set equipped with operations + and  $\times$  staisfying A1 - A4, M1 - M4, Z, D is a field.

## Fact 22

Some facts about the fields:

- $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$  are all fields.
- $\mathbb{Z}$  is not a field (M4 isn't satisified).
- N is not a field (A4, M4) are not satsified.
- $\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p\mathbb{Z}}$  (integers mod p for prime p) is a field.

## 3.1 The order axioms

The order axioms are: There is as subset of  $P \subset \mathbb{R}$  called the set of **positive numbers**.

• If 
$$a, b \in \mathbb{P}$$
, then  $a + b \in \mathbb{P}$ . (P1)

• If 
$$a, b \in \mathbb{P}$$
, then  $a \times b \in \mathbb{P}$ . (P2)

• For each  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , exactly one of the following is true:  $a \in \mathbb{P}$ , a = 0, or  $-a \in \mathbb{P}$ .  $\leftarrow$  Law of Trichotomy (P3)

P3 is the most powerful aximom about the positive numbers.

## **Proposition 23**

Prove that  $1 \in \mathbb{P}$ 

*Proof.* According to **P3**, either

- $1 \in \mathbb{P}$
- 1 = 0
- $-1 \in \mathbb{P}$

We will prove (b) and (c) are false by contradiction and then show that  $1 \in \mathbb{P}$ . If **(b)** holds, 1 = 0, which contradicts **Z**. Assume for contradiction **(c)** holds. We know from last lecture that 1 = -(-1). Since  $-1 \in \mathbb{P}$ , by (P2),  $(-1) \times (-1) \in \mathbb{P}$ . But  $(-1) \times (-1) = 1$ , so  $1 \in \mathbb{P}$ .  $\therefore$ ,  $1 \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $-1 \in \mathbb{P}$  contradicts **P3**. Since, **(b)** and **(c)** cannot hold, therefore, **(a)** must hold.

## Fact 24

For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , we write

- a < b if  $b a \in \mathbb{P}$
- a > b if  $a b \in \mathbb{P}$
- $a \le b$  if  $b a \in \mathbb{P} \cup \{0\}$
- $a \ge b$  if  $a b \in \mathbb{P} \cup \{0\}$

## **Proposition 25**

a > b if and only if -a < -b. In particular,  $x > 0 \iff -x < 0$ 

Proof.

$$a > b \iff a - b \in \mathbb{P}$$

$$\iff -(-(a)) - b \in -\mathbb{P}$$

$$\iff -b - (-a) \in -\mathbb{P}$$

$$\iff -a < -b$$

## **Proposition 26**

For all x, y,  $z \in \mathbb{R}$  the following holds:

- *x* ≤ *x*
- If  $x \le y$  and  $y \le z$ , then  $x \le z$ .
- If  $x \le y$  and  $y \le z$ , then  $x \le z$ .

Proof.

## **Proposition 27**

If  $x, t, z \in \mathbb{R}$  and x < y, then x + z < y + z.

*Proof.* Since x < y, we have  $x - y \in \mathbb{P}$ . By the properties of addition (A1-A4), we know that:

$$(y+z) - (x+z) = y - x$$

(P2)

Since  $y - x \in \mathbb{P}$ , it follows that:

$$(y+z)-(x+z)\in\mathbb{P}$$

Hence, x + z < y + z.

## **Proposition 28**

If  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$  and x < y and z > 0, then xz < yz.

*Proof.* zy = zx = z(y - x). Now,  $z \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $y - x \in \mathbb{P}$ , therefore  $zy - zx \in \mathbb{P}$ . Therefore, xz < yz.

#### **Corollary 29**

If  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$  and x < y and z < 0, then xz > yz.

Proof.

## **Corollary 30**

For all,  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a^2 \ge 0$ .

*Proof.* By P3, either a > 0, a = 0 or g < 0.

• If 
$$a > 0$$
, then  $a^2 = a \times a > 0$ .

• If a = 0, then  $a^2 = 0 \ge 0$ .

• If a < 0, then -a > 0 and  $(-a)^2 = a^2 > 0$ .

**Proposition 31** 

If  $x \in \mathbb{P}$ , then  $x^{-1} \in \mathbb{P}$ .

*Proof.* Since,  $x \in \mathbb{P}$ ,  $x \neq 0$ . Therefore,  $x^{-1}$  exists. By P3,  $x^{-1} > 0$ ,  $x^{-1} = 0$ , or  $x^{-1} < 0$ . If  $x^{-1} = 0$ , then  $1 = x \times x^{-1} = x \times 0 = 0$  [Contradiction] Assume  $x^{-1} < 0$ . Then  $-x^{-1} \in \mathbb{P}$  by P3. Then  $x \times (-x^{-1}) \in \mathbb{P}$  by P2. But  $x \times (-x^{-1}) = -1$ , which contradicts P3 since  $-1 \notin \mathbb{P}$ . Therefore,  $x^{-1} \in \mathbb{P}$ .

**Corollary 32** 

If  $x, y \in \mathbb{P}$ , and x < y, then  $\frac{1}{y} < \frac{1}{x}$ .

4 January 13, 2025

Homework 1 is due on January 21, 2025.

**Definition 33** 

We define max:  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\max(a, b) = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } a \ge b \\ b & \text{if } b \ge a \end{cases}$$

**Definition 34** 

We define max:  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\max(a, b) = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } a \ge b \\ b & \text{if } b > a \end{cases}$$

**Definition 35** 

We define  $|x|: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  as follows:

$$|x| = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \ge 0\\ -x & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}$$

**Proposition 36** 

For all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , |-x| = |x|.

*Proof.* By P3, x > 0, x = 0, or x < 0.

- Case 1: If x > 0, then |x| = x and |-x| = -(-x) = x. Thus, |x| = |-x|.
- Case 2: If x = 0, then |x| = 0 and |-x| = -0 = 0. Thus, |x| = |-x|.
- Case 3: If x < 0, then |x| = -x and |-x| = -(-x) = x. Thus, |x| = |-x|.

## **Theorem 37** (The Triangle $\Delta$ Inequality)

For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ 

$$|a+b| = \le |a| + |b|$$

with equality if and only if either  $a \ge 0$  and  $b \ge 0$  or  $a \le 0$  and  $b \le 0$ .

Proof. By P3, oen of the following 8 Cases must hold:

	а	b	a + b
1	≥ 0	≥ 0	Row 2, Col 4
2	≥ 0	≥ 0	Row 3, Col 4
3	≥ 0	< 0	Row 4, Col 4
4	≥ 0	< 0	Row 5, Col 4
5	< 0	Row 6, Col 3	Row 6, Col 4
6	< 0	Row 7, Col 3	Row 7, Col 4
7	< 0	Row 8, Col 3	Row 8, Col 4
8	< 0	Row 8, Col 3	Row 8, Col 4

Case 2 and 7 is not possible. But we will prove the rest of the cases:

(1) 
$$|a| = a$$
,  $|b| = b$ ,  $|a + b| = a + b$ ,  $|a + b| = a + b = |a| + b$ 

(3)


(4) 
$$|a| = a, |b| = -b, |a+b| = a+b$$

$$|a + b| = -a - b = -0 - b = (-a \le 0)$$
  
  $\le a + 0 \text{ (since } b < 0)$   
  $= |a| + |b|$ 

(5) Follows the similarly by symmetry.

Finish this for exercise.

The picture of the Triangle identity



$$|a| = ||\vec{BC}|| \le |b| + |c| = ||\vec{AC}|| + ||\vec{AB}||$$

## **Proposition 38**

For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ 

$$|ab| = |a| \cdot |b|$$

*Proof.* If a=0 or b=0, then  $|ab|=|0|=0=|a|\cdot |b|$  Let's assume that  $a\neq 0, b\neq 0$ .

- a > 0, b > 0 Then P2 implies ab > 0 so, |ab| = ab = |a||b|
- a < 0, b > 0. Then ab < 0. Then |ab| = -ab = (-a)b = |a||b|
- a > 0, b < 0. This follows from case 2 by symmetry.
- a < 0, b < 0. Then ab > 0. Hence, |ab| = ab = (-a)(-b) = |a||b|

## **Theorem 39** (Bernouli's Inequality)

For all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  with x > -1 and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $n \ge 1$ , then

$$(1+x)^n > 1 + nx$$

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on n.

Base Case: n = 1:  $(1 + x)^1 = 1 + x = 1 + 1 \cdot x$ 

Inductive Step: Assume

$$(1+x)^N \ge 1 + Nx$$

We want to show  $(1 + x)^{N+1} \ge 1 + (N+1) \cdot x$ . First, since x > -1, x + 1 > 0.

Multiplying both sides by x + 1,

$$(1+x)(1+x)^{N} \ge (1+Nx)(1+x)$$
= 1 + (N+1)x + Nx<sup>2</sup> (field axioms)
$$\ge 1 + (N+1)x \text{ (since } N > 0, x^{2} > 0)$$

Hence,  $(1+x)^{N+1} \ge 1 + (N+1)x$ .

## 4.1 The completeness axiom

Let  $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . We say the following:

- We say  $b_1\subseteq$  is a <u>least element</u> or <u>minimum</u> of B if
  - $b_1 \in B$ , and
  - $b_1$  ≤ b for all  $b \in B$ .

We write  $b_1 = \min B$ .

We say  $b_1 \subseteq \text{is a } \underline{\text{least element}} \text{ or } \underline{\text{minimum}} \text{ of } B \text{ if }$ 

- $b_1 \in B$ , and
- $b_1 \leq b$  for all  $b \in B$ .

We write  $b_1 = \min B$ .

## Example 40

Let B = [1, 2]. Then min B = 1.

Proof.