# Math 4573: Number Theory

Lecturer: **James Cogdell**Notes by: Farhan Sadeek

Spring 2025

# 1 January 8, 2025

Dr. Cogdell explained the logistics of the class and also took attendance.

## 1.1 Conjectures in Number Theory

- Every number is divisible by 3 if the sum of its digits are deivisimble by 3.
- Fermat's last theorem: Every number is a solution to  $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ .
- There are infinitely many primes.
- $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational.
- $\pi$  is irrational.
- Every number can be written as the sum of 4 squares (Lagrange). e.g.  $1000 = 10^2 + 30^2 + 0^2 + 0^2$  and  $999 = 30^2 + 9^2 + 3^2 + 3^2$ .
- $n^2 n + 41$  is a prime. [This is proven to be false if n = 41]. There is a counterexample to this.
- Euler conjectured that no  $n^{th}$  power can be written as the sum of two  $n^{th}$  powers for n > 2. [This is proben to be false] e.g.  $144^5 = 27^5 + 84^5 + 10^5 + 133^5$
- **Goldbach's Conjecture**: Every even integer greater than 2 can be written as the sum of two primes. e.g. 4=2+2, 6=3+3, 8=3+5, 10=5+5, 12=5+7, 14=7+7, 16=3+13, 18=7+11. [Yet to be proven if it's true or false, but this has been verified till 100,000]

The theory of number is related to **Abstract Algebra**. But also, in other domains like **Combinatorics**, **Analysis**, **Topology**. We will accept a few facts about **Number Theory**.

#### Fact 1

However, if SSis a set of positive integers, not empty then SScontains a member such that  $s \le a$ . This is stated as follows: If SSis a set of positive integers that contains 1 and contains n+1 then SScontains all positive integers.

## 1.2 Divisibility

This has been known since the time of Euclid.

#### **Definition 2**

An integer b is divisible by an integer a, not zero, if there is an integer x so that b = ax. So we will write as  $a \mid b$ . In case, n isn't divisible by b, we write as  $a \nmid b$ .

There are two derivative notion.

- if 0 < a < b, then a is called a **proper divisor**
- if  $a^k \mid\mid b$  means  $a^k \mid b$  and  $a^{k+1} \nmid b$ .

**Theorem 3** • If  $a \mid b$  then  $a \mid bc$ .

- If  $a \mid b$  then  $a \mid b + c$ .
- If  $a \mid b$  and  $a \mid c$  then  $a \mid b + c$ .
- If  $a \mid b$  and  $b \mid a$  then a = b.
- If  $a \mid b$  and a > 0 and b > 0 then  $a \le b$ .
- If  $m \neq 0$  and  $a \mid b$ , then  $am \mid bm$ .
- If  $a | b_1, a | b_2, ..., a | b_n \to \sum_{i=1}^n b_i X_i$

### **Theorem 4** (The division algorithm)

Given integers a b, with a > 0, then there exists unique integers q and r such that  $0 \le r < a$  and b = aq + r.

*Proof.* Consider the arithmetic progression ..., b-3a, b-2a, b-a, b, b+a, b+2a, b+3a, ... In the sequence, select the sequence if the smallest non-negative member. So this definition of r is satisfies the inequalities of the theorem. But also, the being in the sequence of the form

This is defined in terms of qr. To prove the uniqueness of q and r, suppose there is another r pair  $q_1$ , and  $r_1$  satisfies the same conditions.

We first prove that  $r=r_1$ . For if not, we may assume  $r< r_1$ , so  $0< r_1-r< a$ . But we see that  $r-1=a(q-q_1)$  meaning  $a\mid (r_1-r)$  so it's a contradiction to to the theorem 1, part 5. So  $q=q_1$  and  $r=r_1$ .

### Fact 5

If a + b then r satisfies the stronger inequality  $0 \le r < a$ .

### Fact 6

If we stated the theorem, with the assumption, a > 0. However, this hypothesis is not necessary. We may formulate the theorem without a, given integers a and b such that  $a \neq 0$  there then exists q and r such that b = qa + r wich  $0 \leq |a|$ .