# CSE406: Computer Security Sessional Side-Channel Attack

### 1. Assignment Overview

This assignment explores the concept of side-channel attacks in computer security, specifically focusing on website fingerprinting. By leveraging the timing and cache usage patterns, we will identify the websites a user visits without needing direct access to their screen or network traffic. The task involves several phases:

- > Timing Measurement: The first step involves understanding the timing side-channel by collecting latency data based on memory access.
- ➤ **Trace Collection**: Implementing the Sweep Counting Attack, which measures cache access patterns to infer the website being visited in a separate tab.
- ➤ **Automation**: Using Selenium for automated data collection of traces from various websites, storing them in a database.
- ➤ Machine Learning: Applying machine learning techniques to classify websites based on the collected trace data.

The final goal is to implement and analyze the effectiveness of side-channel attacks for website fingerprinting, culminating in a report documenting the process and findings.

# 2. Design and Architecture

The system comprises:

- > Frontend: Built with HTML, JavaScript, Alpine.js, and Pico CSS, it allows users to trigger trace collection, display latency data, and show heatmap visualizations based on the Sweep Counting Attack.
- ➤ **Backend**: Using Flask and SQLite, it handles API requests for collecting traces, storing data, and generating visualizations. PyTorch is used for training a machine learning model to classify websites based on side-channel data.
- ➤ Data Collection: Selenium automates the process of visiting websites, collecting side-channel data (timing and cache usage), and storing it in a database.
- ➤ **Side-Channel Attack**: The Sweep Counting Attack measures cache access patterns to infer the website being visited.
- ➤ Machine Learning: The collected data is processed and used to train a model that classifies websites based on side-channel traces.
- ➤ Workflow: The user triggers data collection, which is processed and visualized, with results documented in a final report.

#### 3. Data Collection

Traces were collected from three websites:

- 1. https://cse.buet.ac.bd/moodle/
- 2. <a href="https://google.com">https://google.com</a>
- 3. <a href="https://prothomalo.com">https://prothomalo.com</a>

A total of 3,750 traces were collected, with 1,250 traces gathered from each of the three websites: <a href="https://cse.buet.ac.bd/moodle/">https://cse.buet.ac.bd/moodle/</a>, <a href="https://cse.

## 4. Experimentation Results

To evaluate the effectiveness of cache-based side-channel website fingerprinting, two convolutional neural network architectures **SimpleCNN** and **ComplexCNN** were trained and tested using trace data (3,750 traces)

#### **Results from Trace Data:**

# **Simple CNN:**

Accuracy: 95.07%

Best Performing Site: prothomalo.com with 0.99 f1-score

| Training model: SimpleCNN Best test accuracy for SimpleCNN: 0.9507             |                      |                      |                      |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Classification Report:   | precision            | recall               | f1-score             | support           |  |  |  |  |  |
| https://cse.buet.ac.bd/moodle/<br>https://google.com<br>https://prothomalo.com | 0.92<br>0.95<br>0.99 | 0.96<br>0.90<br>0.99 | 0.94<br>0.92<br>0.99 | 250<br>250<br>250 |  |  |  |  |  |
| accuracy<br>macro avg<br>weighted avg  | 0.95<br>0.95         | 0.95<br>0.95         | 0.95<br>0.95<br>0.95 | 750<br>750<br>750 |  |  |  |  |  |

### **Complex CNN:**

Accuracy: 98.13%

Best Performing Site: prothomalo.com with 1.00 f1-score

| Training model: ComplexCNN Best test accuracy for ComplexCNN: 0.9813           |                      |                      |                      |                   |  |  |  |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| Classification Report:   | precision            | recall               | f1-score             | support           |  |  |  |
| https://cse.buet.ac.bd/moodle/<br>https://google.com<br>https://prothomalo.com | 0.98<br>0.97<br>1.00 | 0.97<br>0.98<br>1.00 | 0.97<br>0.97<br>1.00 | 250<br>250<br>250 |  |  |  |
| accuracy<br>macro avg<br>weighted avg  | 0.98<br>0.98         | 0.98<br>0.98         | 0.98<br>0.98<br>0.98 | 750<br>750<br>750 |  |  |  |

The results show that a small, well-collected dataset can achieve high accuracy. The Complex CNN model excelled due to its deeper architecture and ability to capture detailed patterns.

### **Model comparison:**

```
Model Comparison:
SimpleCNN: Best Test Accuracy = 0.9507
ComplexCNN: Best Test Accuracy = 0.9813
```

#### **5.Discussion**

The experiment showed that even a small, well-collected dataset can yield high accuracy. SimpleCNN achieved 95.07% accuracy, while ComplexCNN reached 98.13%, with the latter excelling due to its deeper architecture that captured finer patterns. The 3,750 traces from three websites were sufficient for training a reliable model, demonstrating the importance of model complexity in side-channel attacks.

# Best model link:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1GYo0MhHn6at7lXPI-PMJvPwh03o7nPzu/view?usp=drive\_link

## Dataset link:

 $\underline{https://drive.google.com/file/d/1myWpmv3gMX5Rdt62bOYswf0EitlVPJ-G/view?usp{=}s}\\\underline{haring}$