The CC-DNN Framework: A Computational Model of Human Cognitive Biases

Saeed Sabzeh
B.Sc. in Computer Science
Saeed.Sabzeh.80@gmail.com

March 2025

Abstract

Cognitive biases, decision-making heuristics, and emotional conditioning have been extensively studied in psychology and neuroscience. This paper extends the **Dual-DNN cognitive architecture** by introducing the **Central Cognitive Deep Neural Network (CC-DNN)** as a fine-tuning mechanism for belief formation, survival strategies, and trauma-driven adaptations. The CC-DNN serves as an intermediary between the **Right-Hemisphere DNN (R-DNN) and Left-Hemisphere DNN (L-DNN)**, optimizing for **survival efficiency** and reinforcing behaviors that minimize perceived threats and discomfort. We analyze how outdated strategies persist due to overfitting, how language structures thought, and how neuroplasticity enables real-time fine-tuning. Finally, we discuss practical applications for belief revision, emotional resilience, and adaptive thinking.

Introduction

The **CC-DNN framework builds upon the Dual-DNN brain model**, which replicates hemispheric specialization in artificial cognition. In the **Dual-DNN model**, the **R-DNN** processes holistic, emotional, and non-verbal information, while the **L-DNN** specializes in logical reasoning and language. The **CC-DNN serves as a mediator**, integrating these hemispheric outputs to refine decision-making and adapt belief structures over time.

Like AI models fine-tuned on large datasets, the brain refines its decision-making heuristics based on past rewards and punishments (Sutton & Barto, 1998). However, unlike AI systems that can be **easily retrained**, human cognition tends to **overfit** to early survival strategies, reinforcing behaviors that may no longer be relevant. The **CC-DNN optimizes cognitive balance**, preventing the **L-DNN from suppressing intuitive insights** and avoiding **over-amplification of emotional heuristics by the R-DNN**.

By conceptualizing human cognition in AI terms, we gain insight into the **resilience of cognitive biases**, the role of language in shaping thought, and potential methods for **retraining mental heuristics**.

Language as a Structural Constraint on Thought

Language is not merely a tool for communication but a **structural constraint on cognition** (Pinker, 1994; Vygotsky, 1962). Without linguistic representation, strategies cannot be fully adopted or refined. Thought formation depends on **named concepts**, which make abstract ideas easier to process and apply.

Experiences and the words spoken around us shape our mental frameworks. For example, if a child grows up in an environment where failure is framed as "a learning step," their cognitive model will differ significantly from one where failure is labeled as "unacceptable." Internal dialogue mirrors external communication, reinforcing **self-confidence, self-doubt, or anxiety**. The **CC-DNN fine-tunes how language influences internal thought**, balancing emotional and rational processing.

The CC-DNN as an Adaptive Reinforcement Model

The **CC-DNN** continuously learns from past experiences, optimizing for survival rather than absolute truth. This mechanism aligns with reinforcement learning models and integrates seamlessly with the **Dual-DNN architecture**:

1. **Training on Past Data**
   - The CC-DNN refines **R-DNN and L-DNN outputs** based on **personal experiences**.
   - Every interaction, failure, or reward serves as training data, adjusting the balance between **intuitive-emotional** and **rational-analytical** decision-making.
2. **Loss Function and Survival Metrics**
   - Unlike AI models optimized for accuracy, the CC-DNN prioritizes **survival efficiency**—minimizing emotional discomfort and maintaining social cohesion.
   - Example: If a person experiences **shame** when expressing an unpopular opinion, their CC-DNN **learns to suppress similar expressions**, reinforcing self-censorship as a survival mechanism.
3. **Overfitting to Past Experiences**
   - Just as an AI model can **overfit** to specific datasets, the CC-DNN may overfit to **outdated beliefs**.
   - Example: A child experiencing neglect may develop **hyper-independence**, which persists into adulthood even when **support systems** are available.

Trauma, Emotional Development, and Belief Formation

Emotions serve as **primary training signals** for the CC-DNN, especially in early development (Damasio, 1994; Van der Kolk, 2014). Since language skills are underdeveloped in childhood, emotions take precedence in shaping cognitive heuristics. The **CC-DNN acts as a buffer**, preventing **R-DNN-driven emotional imprints** from overwhelming logical reasoning while ensuring that **L-DNN-driven rationalization does not dismiss core emotional lessons**.

- **Pattern Recognition and Emotional Conditioning**
  - The CC-DNN identifies **patterns in past experiences** to anticipate future outcomes.

- Example: A child observing a parent's grief after a **grandparent's passing** learns to associate loss with **pain**, reinforcing avoidance of similar emotional scenarios.

- **Reprogramming the CC-DNN**
    - Cognitive biases can be **updated** through exposure therapy, cognitive-behavioral techniques, and deliberate belief examination.
    - Introducing new **contradictory experiences** can help reframe maladaptive mental models.

Religious Belief as an Emergent Cognitive Strategy

Religious belief, like other cognitive strategies, emerges as an **adaptive mechanism** for survival and social cohesion (Boyer, 2001; Haidt, 2012). The **CC-DNN refines religious adherence by balancing emotional security needs (R-DNN) and logical justifications (L-DNN).**

- **Justifying Uncertainty**: Religion provides **structured explanations** for existential questions, reducing cognitive strain.
- **Loss Minimization**: Fear-based doctrines (e.g., hell, divine punishment) exploit the CC-DNN's **loss-aversion mechanisms**.
- **Prayer as Reinforcement Learning**: The act of prayer reinforces belief through **confirmation bias**—when a prayed-for event occurs, the CC-DNN strengthens its internal reward mapping.

Religious adherence can be viewed as a **default cognitive safety protocol**, optimizing for **social harmony and psychological comfort**.

Conclusion & Future Work

The **CC-DNN framework extends the Dual-DNN cognitive model**, offering an AI-inspired mechanism for refining belief structures, bias correction, and decision optimization. By conceptualizing thought processes as reinforcement learning systems, we gain insights into **why certain behaviors persist despite contradicting evidence**.

To optimize cognition, individuals must recognize when their **CC-DNN has overfitted to outdated experiences** and **actively retrain their mental heuristics**. Future research should explore:

1. **Computational Implementation**: Developing AI models that integrate **CC-DNN as a refinement layer within Dual-DNN**.
2. **Experimental Validation**: Conducting **psychological and AI studies** to test CC-DNN's predictive accuracy.
3. **Cognitive Debugging Techniques**: Creating AI-driven **self-improvement tools** for belief revision and mental optimization.

By understanding and **retraining our cognitive architectures**, we can develop **more adaptive, resilient, and rational decision-making processes**.
