



# **SMART CONTRACT AUDIT REPORT**

**For**

**MAGIC**

**Prepared By:** SFI Team

**Prepared on:** 19/09/2021

**Prepared for:** Magic Protocol

## Table of Content

- Disclaimer
- Overview of the audit
- Attacks made to the contract
- Good things in smart contract
- Critical vulnerabilities found in the contract
- High vulnerabilities found in the contract
- Medium vulnerabilities found in the contract
- Low severity vulnerabilities found in the contract
- Notes
- Summary of the audit

- **Disclaimer**

This is a limited report on our findings based on our analysis, in accordance with good industry practice as of the date of this report, in relation to cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in the framework and algorithms based on smart contracts, the details of which are set out in this report. In order to get a full view of our analysis, it is crucial for you to read the full report. While we have done our best in conducting our analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report and cannot claim against the team on the basis of what it says or doesn't say, or how team produced it, and it is important for you to conduct your own independent investigations before making any decisions. team go into more detail on this in the below disclaimer below – please make sure to read it in full.

By reading this report or any part of it, you agree to the terms of this disclaimer. If you do not agree to the terms, then please immediately cease reading this report, and delete and destroy any and all copies of this report downloaded and/or printed by you. This report is provided for information purposes only and on a non-reliance basis, and does not constitute investment advice. No one shall have any right to rely on the report or its contents, and Saferico and its affiliates (including holding companies, shareholders, subsidiaries, employees, directors, officers and other representatives) (Saferico s) owe no duty of care towards you or any other person, nor does Saferico make any warranty or representation to any person on the accuracy or completeness of

the report. The report is provided "as is", without any conditions, warranties or other terms of any kind except as set out in this disclaimer, and Saferico hereby excludes all representations, warranties, conditions and other terms (including, without limitation, the warranties implied by law of satisfactory quality, fitness for purpose and the use of reasonable care and skill) which, but for this clause, might have effect in relation to the report. Except and only to the extent that it is prohibited by law, Saferico hereby excludes all liability and responsibility, and neither you nor any other person shall have any claim against Saferico, for any amount or kind of loss or damage that may result to you or any other person (including without limitation, any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential or pure economic loss or damages, or any loss of income, profits, goodwill, data, contracts, use of money, or business interruption, and whether in delict, tort (including without limitation negligence), contract, breach of statutory duty, misrepresentation (whether innocent or negligent) or otherwise under any claim of any nature whatsoever in any jurisdiction) in any way arising from or connected with this report and the use, inability to use or the results of use of this report, and any reliance on this report. The analysis of the security is purely based on the smart contracts alone. No applications or operations were reviewed for security. No product code has been reviewed.

- **Overview of the audit**

The project has 1 file. It contains approx 809 lines of Solidity code. Most of the functions and state variables are well commented on using the Nat spec documentation, but that does not create any vulnerability.

- **Attacks made to the contract**

In order to check for the security of the contract, we tested several attacks in order to make sure that the contract is secure and follows best practices automatically.

1. Unit tests passing, checking tests configuration (matching the configuration of main network);
2. Compiler warnings;
3. Race Conditions. Reentrancy. Cross-function Race Conditions. Pitfalls in Race Condition solutions;
4. Possible delays in data delivery;
5. Transaction-Ordering Dependence (front running);
6. Timestamp Dependence;
7. Integer Overflow and Underflow;
8. DoS with (unexpected) Revert;
9. DoS with Block Gas Limit;
10. Call Depth Attack. Not relevant in modern ethereum network
11. Methods execution permissions;
12. Oracles calls;
13. Economy model. It's important to forecast scenarios when a user is provided with additional economic motivation or faced with limitations. If application logic is based on incorrect economy model, the application will not function correctly and participants will incur financial losses. This type of issue is most often found in bonus rewards systems.
14. The impact of the exchange rate on the logic;
15. Private user data leaks.

- **Good things in smart contract**

- **Compiler version is static: -**

- => In this file, you have put “pragma solidity 0.6.12;” which is a good way to define the compiler version.

- => Solidity source files indicate the versions of the compiler they can be compiled with. Pragma solidity ^ 0.6.12; // bad: compiles 0.6.12 and above pragma solidity 0.6.12; //good: compiles 0.6.12 only

```
pragma solidity 0.6.12;
```

- => If you put the (^) symbol then you are able to get compiler version 0.6.12 and above. But if you don't use the (^) symbol then you are able to use only 0.6.12 version. And if there are some changes come in the compiler and you use the old version then some issues may come at deploy time.

- => Use the latest version of solidity.

- **SafeMath library: -**

- MAGIC are using SafeMath library it is a good thing. This protects MAGIC from underflow and overflow attacks.

```
library SafeMath {
    /**
     * @dev Returns the addition of two unsigned integers, with an
     overflow flag.
     *
     * _Available since v3.4._
     */
}
```

- **Good required condition in functions: -**

- Here you are checking that newOwner address value should be a proper and valid address.

```
function transferOwnership(address newOwner) public virtual onlyOwner
{
    require(newOwner != address(0), "Ownable: new owner is the
zero address");
    emit OwnershipTransferred(_owner, newOwner);
    _owner = newOwner;
}
```

- Here you set fee , set trade, and  
set max Tx percent functions

```
function setFee( uint256 _tax,uint256 marketing,uint256 investment)
public onlyOwner{
    _taxFee = _tax;
    _investmentFee = investment;
    _marketingFee = marketing;
}
function setTradeEnabled()public onlyOwner{
    isTradeEnabled = true;
}

function setMaxTxPercent(uint256 maxTxPercent) external
onlyOwner() {
    require(maxTxPercent > 0,"invalid percent");
    _maxTxAmount = _tTotal.mul(maxTxPercent).div(
        10**2
    );
}
```

- Here you are checking that sender and recipient addresses value  
should be proper and valid.

```
function transfer(address recipient, uint256 amount) public
override returns (bool) {
    _transfer(_msgSender(), recipient, amount);
    return true;
}
```

- Here you are checking that account address value should be proper and  
valid address.

```

function approve(address spender, uint256 amount) public override
returns (bool) {
    _approve(_msgSender(), spender, amount);
    return true;}

```

- Here you some functions used for NFT market like (reflection From Token, exclude From Reward, include In Reward, and include Wallets In Reward)

```

function reflectionFromToken(uint256 tAmount, bool
deductTransferFee) public view returns(uint256) {
    require(tAmount <= _tTotal, "Amount must be less than
supply");
    if (!deductTransferFee) {
        (uint256 rAmount,,,,,) = _getValues(tAmount);
        return rAmount;
    } else {
        (,uint256 rTransferAmount,,,,) = _getValues(tAmount);
        return rTransferAmount; }
    function tokenFromReflection(uint256 rAmount) public view
returns(uint256) {
        require(rAmount <= _rTotal, "Amount must be less than total
reflections");
        uint256 currentRate = _getRate();
        return rAmount.div(currentRate); }
    function excludeFromReward(address account) public onlyOwner()
{if(!_isExcluded[account]){
        if(_rOwned[account] > 0) {
            _tOwned[account] =
tokenFromReflection(_rOwned[account]);}
            _isExcluded[account] = true;
            _excluded.push(account);}}
    function excludeFromReward(address[] memory accounts) public
onlyOwner{
        for(uint256 i=0; i<accounts.length;i++){
            excludeFromReward(accounts[i]);}}
    function includeInReward(address account) public onlyOwner() {
        if(!_isExcluded[account]){
            for (uint256 i = 0; i < _excluded.length; i++) {
                if (_excluded[i] == account) {
                    _excluded[i] = _excluded[_excluded.length - 1];
                    _tOwned[account] = 0;
                    _isExcluded[account] = false;
                    _excluded.pop();
                    break;}}}}
    function includeWalletsInReward(address[] memory accounts)
public onlyOwner{
        for(uint256 i=0; i<accounts.length;i++){
            includeInReward(accounts[i]);}}

```

- **Critical vulnerabilities found in the contract**

There not Critical severity vulnerabilities found

- **High vulnerabilities found in the contract**

There not High severity vulnerabilities found

- **Medium vulnerabilities found in the contract**

```
220 function sub(uint256 a, uint256 b) internal pure returns (uint256) {  
221     require(b <= a, "SafeMath: subtraction overflow");  
222     return a - b;  
}
```

---

#### In detail

An overflow/underflow happens when an arithmetic operation reaches the maximum or minimum size of a type. For instance if a number is stored in the uint8 type, it means that the number is stored in a 8 bits unsigned number ranging from 0 to  $2^8-1$ . In computer programming, an integer overflow occurs when an arithmetic operation attempts to create a numeric value that is outside of the range that can be represented with a given number of bits – either larger than the maximum or lower than the minimum representable value.

```
244     require(b > 0, "SafeMath: division by zero");  
245     return a / b;  
246 }
```

---



In detail

An overflow/underflow happens when an arithmetic operation reaches the maximum or minimum size of a type. For instance if a number is stored in the uint8 type, it means that the number is stored in a 8 bits unsigned number ranging from 0 to  $2^8-1$ . In computer programming, an integer overflow occurs when an arithmetic operation attempts to create a numeric value that is outside of the range that can be represented with a given number of bits – either larger than the maximum or lower than the minimum representable value.

- **Low severity vulnerabilities found**

**There not Low severity vulnerabilities found**

- **Notes**

```
360
361  uint256 private constant MAX = ~uint256(0);
362  uint256 private _tTotal = 10000000 * 10**18;
```

In detail

Unused variables are allowed in Solidity and they do not pose a direct security issue. It is best practice though to avoid them as they can:

- cause an increase in computations (and unnecessary gas consumption)
- indicate bugs or malformed data structures and they are generally a sign of poor code quality
- cause code noise and decrease readability of the code

- **Summary of the Audit**

According to automatically test, the customer`s solidity smart contract is **Secured**.

The general overview is presented in the Project Information section and all issues found are located in the audit overview section.

The test found 0 critical, 0 high, 2 medium, 0 low issues, and 1 notes.