# Smart Contract Security Audit V1

# **NFTigers Smart Contract**

10/1/2022



## **Table of Contents**

#### **Table of Contents**

### **Background**

### **Project Information**

NFT Information

**Executive Summary** 

## File and Function Level Report

File in Scope:

## **Issues Checking Status**

Severity Definitions Audit Findings

## **Automatic testing**

Testing proves Inheritance graph Call graph

## **Unified Modeling Language (UML)**

Functions signature Automatic general report

**Conclusion** 

**Disclaimer** 

# Background

The purpose of the audit was to achieve the following:

- Ensure that the smart contract functions as intended.
- Identify potential security issues with the smart contract.

The information in this report should be used to understand the risk exposure of the smart contract, and as a guide to improve the security posture of the smart contract by remediating the issues that were identified.

# **Project Information**

- Platform: Ethereum
- Contract Address: 0x141b18C08798c22905eC68e2a9202743BFe610FA
- Code:

https://rinkeby.etherscan.io/address/0x8CF419f34C9fCC431277C02FC1709a7553c54a0f#code

### **NFT** Information

- Name: NFTigers
- Total Supply: 9999
- Holders:
- Total transactions:

Contracts address deployed to test net (ETH) NFTigers Smart contract on ETH test net.

https://rinkeby.etherscan.io/address/0x141b18c08798c22905ec68e2a9202743bfe610fa

## **Executive Summary**

According to our assessment, the customer's solidity smart contract is **Well-Secured**.because all medium and low issues which have main effect on the contract fixed on version 2.

Well Secured	*
Secured	
Poor Secured	
Insecure	

Automated checks are with remix IDE. All issues were performed by the team, which included the analysis of code functionality, manual audit found during automated analysis were manually reviewed and applicable vulnerabilities are presented in the audit overview section. The general overview is presented in the Project Information section and all issues found are located in the audit overview section.

Team found 0 critical, 0 high, 1 medium, 2 low, 0 very low-level issues and 1 note in all solidity files of the contract

The files:

NFTigers.sol

# File and Function Level Report

# File in Scope:

Contract Name	SHA 256 hash	Contract Address
NF 1 1gers.sol	935e6941ff223af395a8c36e c370640b2951343d5ad2489 06dab0095342143f4	0x141b18C08798c22905eC68e2a9202743BFe6 10FA

• Contract: NFTigers

• Inherit: ERC721, ownable

• Observation: All passed including security check

• Test Report: passed

• Score: passed

• Conclusion: passed

Function	Test Result	Type / Return Type	Score
name	<b>~</b>	Read / public	Passed
symbol	<b>~</b>	Read / public	Passed
onlyWhitelisted	<b>*</b>	Read / public	Passed
supportsInterface	<b>~</b>	Read / public	Passed
isWhitelisted	<b>~</b>	Read / public	Passed
balanceOf	<b>~</b>	Read / public	Passed
Owner	<b>~</b>	Read / public	Passed
getBaseURI	<b>~</b>	Read / public	Passed
hasSaleStarted	<b>&gt;</b>	Read / public	Passed
getApprovedForAll	<b>~</b>	Read / public	Passed
addressMintedBalance	<b>*</b>	Read / public	Passed
getApproved	<b>*</b>	Read / public	Passed

ownerOf	<b>~</b>	Read / public	Passed
tokenURI	<b>~</b>	Read / public	Passed
baseURI	<b>&gt;</b>	Read / public	Passed
maxMintAmount	>	Read / public	Passed
CURR_MINT_COST	>	Read / public	Passed
totalSupply	>	Read / public	Passed
nftPerAddressLimit	>	Read / public	Passed
MAX_TOKENS_VIP	>	Read / public	Passed
MAX_TOKENS	>	Read / public	Passed
getInformations	>	Read / public	Passed
currentVIPs	>	Read / public	Passed
currentNormal	>	Read / public	Passed
CURR_ROUND_TIME	>	Read / public	Passed
CURR_ROUND_SUPPL Y	<b>&gt;</b>	Read / public	Passed
CURR_ROUND_PASSW ORD	<b>*</b>	Read / public	Passed
CURR_ROUND_NAME	<b>&gt;</b>	Read / public	Passed
setSaleStarted	>	Write / public	Passed
approve	>	Write / public	Passed
safeTransferFrom	>	Write / public	Passed
safeTransferFrom	>	Write / public	Passed
setBaseURI	>	Write / public	Passed
setmaxMintAmount	<b>*</b>	Write / public	Passed
mintNFT	<b>*</b>	Write / payable	Passed
setCurrentSupply	<b>*</b>	Write / public	Passed
transferOwnership	<b>~</b>	Write / public	Passed
setApprovalForAll	<b>~</b>	Write / public	Passed

transferFrom	<b>~</b>	Write / public	Passed
Giveaways	<b>~</b>	Write / public	Passed
removeWhitelistAddresse	<b>&gt;</b>	Write / public	Passed
setOnlyWhitelisted	>	Write / public	Passed
setNftPerAddressLimit	<b>&gt;</b>	Write / public	Passed
setCost	<b>~</b>	Write / public	Passed
withdrawAll	<b>~</b>	Write / payable	Passed
whitelistAddresses	<b>~</b>	Write / public	Passed
setNewRound	<b>~</b>	Write / public	Passed
reserveVIP	<b>~</b>	Write / public	Passed
renounceOwnership	<b>~</b>	Write / public	Passed

# **Issues Checking Status**

No.	Issue Description	Checking Status
1	Compiler warnings.	Passed
2	Race conditions and Reentrancy. Cross-function race conditions.	Passed
3	Possible delays in data delivery.	Passed
4	Oracle calls.	Passed
5	Front running.	Passed
6	Timestamp dependence.	Passed
7	Integer Overflow and Underflow.	Passed
8	DoS with Revert.	Passed
9	DoS with block gas limit.	Passed
10	Methods execution permissions.	Passed
11	Economy model. If application logic is based on an incorrect economic model, the application would not function correctly and participants would incur financial losses.  This type of issue is most often found in bonus rewards systems, Staking and Farming contracts, Vault and Vesting contracts, etc.	Passed
12	The impact of the exchange rate on the logic.	Passed
13	Private user data leaks.	Passed
14	Malicious Event log.	Passed
15	Scoping and Declarations.	Passed
16	Uninitialized storage pointers.	Passed
17	Arithmetic accuracy.	Passed
18	Design Logic.	Passed

# Severity Definitions

Risk Level	Description
Critical	Critical vulnerabilities are usually straightforward to exploit and can lead to tokens loss etc.
High	High-level vulnerabilities are difficult to exploit; however, they also have significant impact on smart contract execution, e.g. public access to crucial functions
Medium	Medium-level vulnerabilities are important to fix; however, they can't lead to tokens lose
Low	Low-level vulnerabilities are mostly related to outdated, unused etc. code snippets, that can't have significant impact on execution
Note	Lowest-level vulnerabilities, code style violations and info statements can't affect smart contract execution and can be ignored.

## **Audit Findings**

#### **Critical:**

No critical severity vulnerabilities were found.

#### High:

No High severity vulnerabilities were found

#### Medium:

# The owner can mint a new number of NFT anytime

## Description

The owner has the ability to mint more token which can effect on the price of the token; this represents a risk for the users because in that case their funds will be more less in price.

P.S in the contract there 2 mint functions one mint the NFT when you buy the NFT, these issues we take about the other one which the owner has the ability to mint NFT as he wants.

```
function setNewRound(uint _supply, uint cost, string memory name, unit maxMint, uint
perAddressLimit, uint theTime, string memory password) public onlyOwner {
    require(_supply <= MAX_TOKENS - totalSupply(), "Exceeded supply");
    CURR_ROUND_SUPPLY = _supply;
    CURR_MINT_COST = cost;
    CURR_ROUND_NAME = name;
    maxMintAmount = maxMint;
    nftPerAddressLimit = perAddressLimit;
    CURR_ROUND_TIME = theTime;
    CURR_ROUND_PASSWORD = password;
} function setmaxMintAmount(uint _newmaxMintAmount) public onlyOwner {
    maxMintAmount = _newmaxMintAmount;
}</pre>
```

#### Remediation

Make mint() function internal so no one can mint more tokens.

Status: Closed, fixed in version 2

Low:

## **#Useless import library**

## Description

In the smart contract the developer import "@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Strings.sol"; Which is useless library doesn't effect on the code just cost a lot of Gas Fees

#### Remediation

Remove the useless library from the code.

Status: Closed, fixed in version 2

### #Pragam version not fixed

### Description

It is a good practice to lock the solidity version for a live deployment (use 0.8.0 instead of ^0.8.0). contracts should be deployed with the same compiler version and flags that they have been tested the most with. Locking the pragma helps ensure that contracts do not accidentally get deployed using, for example, the latest compiler which may have higher risks of undiscovered bugs. Contracts may also be deployed by others and the pragma indicates the compiler version intended by the original authors.

Remediation

Remove the ^ sign to lock the pragma version.

Status: Acknowledged.

#### Very Low:

No Very Low severity vulnerabilities were found.

#### **Notes:**

## #Compiler version is old

Description

The compiler being used was released a year ago. It's recommended to use more recent compiler version, there can be benefits like reduction in bytecode size etc.


Status: Acknowledged

# **Automatic Testing**


1- Check for security




#### 2- SOLIDITY STATIC ANALYSIS




## 3- Inheritance graph




## 4- SOLIDITY UNIT TESTING



## 5- Call graph



## Unified Modeling Language (UML)



## Functions signature

```
92642744 => mintNFT(uint256)
18160ddd => totalSupply()
743976a0 => _baseURI()
3af32abf => isWhitelisted(address)
3c5e310b => getInformations()
3b557f56 => setNewRound(uint256, uint256, string, uint256, uint256, uint256, string)
d0eb26b0 => setNftPerAddressLimit(uint256)
7f00c7a6 => setmaxMintAmount(uint256)
dc547301 => setCurrentSupply(uint256)
44a0d68a => setCost(uint256)
2bf04304 => whitelistAddresses(address[])
b83921a6 => removeWhitelistAddresses(address[])
3c952764 => setOnlyWhitelisted(bool)
55f804b3 => setBaseURI(string)
714c5398 => getBaseURI()
bff84fc5 => reserveVIP(uint256,address)
cc0b8d15 => Giveaways(uint256,address)
853828b6 => withdrawAll()
a854ffba => setSaleStarted(bool)
```

## Automatic general report

```
Files Description Table
| File Name | SHA-1 Hash |
|-----|
| /Users/macbook/Desktop/smart contracts/NFTigers.sol |
04ded3ca4799b7dbac55830282d3fd637700f46b |
Contracts Description Table
| Contract |
                  Type Bases
|:----:|:----:|:----:|:-----:|:------
| **Function Name** | **Visibility** | **Mutability** |
**Modifiers** |
| **NFTigers** | Implementation | ERC721, Ownable ||| | |
| L | totalSupply | Public [ | NO[ |
| L | mintNFT | Public | | III | NO | |
| L | isWhitelisted | Public | | | NO
| L | getInformations | Public | | | NO | | | | | | | | | | | |
| L | setNewRound | Public | | | | onlyOwner |
| L | setNftPerAddressLimit | Public | | onlyOwner |
| L | setmaxMintAmount | Public | | onlyOwner | L | setCurrentSupply | Public | onlyOwner | L | setCost | Public | onlyOwner |
| L | whitelistAddresses | Public | | OnlyOwner |
| L | setOnlyWhitelisted | Public | | OnlyOwner |
| L | setBaseURI | Public | | onlyOwner | | L | getBaseURI | Public | | onlyOwner | | L | reserveVIP | Public | | onlyOwner |
| L | Giveaways | Public | | OnlyOwner |
| L | withdrawAll | Public | | Deligo | OnlyOwner |
| L | setSaleStarted | Public | | OnlyOwner |
Legend
| Symbol | Meaning |
|:----|
    Function can modify state |
   Function is payable |
```

## Conclusion

The contracts are written systematically. Team found no critical issues. So, it is good to go for production.

Since possible test cases can be unlimited and developer level documentation (code flow diagram with function level description) not provided, for such an extensive smart contract protocol, we provide no such guarantee of future outcomes. We have used all the latest static tools and manual observations to cover maximum possible test cases to scan Everything.

Security state of the reviewed contract is "Well secured".

- ✓ No volatile code.
- ✓ Not many high severity issues were found.

## Disclaimer

This is a limited report on our findings based on our analysis, in accordance with good industry practice as of the date of this report, in relation to cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in the framework and algorithms based on smart contracts, the details of which are set out in this report. In order to get a full view of our analysis, it is crucial for you to read the full report. While we have done our best in conducting our analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report and cannot claim against the team on the basis of what it says or doesn't say, or how team produced it, and it is important for you to conduct your own independent investigations before making any decisions. team go into more detail on this in the below disclaimer below – please make sure to read it in full.

By reading this report or any part of it, you agree to the terms of this disclaimer. If you do not agree to the terms, then please immediately cease reading this report, and delete and destroy any and all copies of this report downloaded and/or printed by you. This report is provided for information purposes only and on a non-reliance basis, and does not constitute investment advice. No one shall have any right to rely on the report or its contents, and Saferico and its affiliates (including holding companies, shareholders, subsidiaries, employees, directors, officers and other representatives) (Saferico s) owe no duty of care towards you or any other person, nor does Saferico make any warranty or representation to any person on the accuracy or completeness of the report. The report is provided "as is", without any conditions, warranties or other terms of any kind except as set out in this disclaimer, and Saferico hereby excludes all representations, warranties, conditions and other terms (including, without limitation, the warranties implied by law of satisfactory quality, fitness for purpose and the use of reasonable care and skill) which, but for this clause, might have effect in relation to the report. Except and only to the extent that it is prohibited by law, Saferico hereby excludes all liability and responsibility, and neither you nor any other person shall have any claim against Saferico, for any amount or kind of loss or damage that may result to you or any other person (including without limitation, any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential or pure economic loss or damages, or any loss of income, profits, goodwill, data, contracts, use of money, or business interruption, and whether in delict, tort (including without limitation negligence), contract, breach of statutory duty, misrepresentation (whether innocent or negligent) or otherwise under any claim of any nature whatsoever in any jurisdiction) in any way arising from or connected with this report and the use, inability to use or the results of use of this report, and any reliance on this report. The analysis of the security is purely based on the smart contracts alone. No applications or operations were reviewed for security. No product code has been reviewed.