# QUANTIZATION IN MACHINE LEARNING

**A Comprehensive Guide to Model Optimization** 

By: Safia Tifour

**Professional Technical Documentation** 

# **Contents**

1	What is Quantization in ML?  1.1 Goal	<b>2</b>
2	Mathematical Formulation of Quantization2.1 Quantization Formula	
3	Inference with Quantized Models 3.1 Inference Flow	3
4	Types of Quantization 4.1 Post-Training Quantization (PTQ)	4 5
5	Accuracy Impact and Trade-Offs	6

## 1 What is Quantization in ML?

**Quantization** is the process of reducing the precision of the numbers used to represent model parameters (weights, biases) and activations (intermediate outputs) from higher-precision formats (e.g., float32) to lower-precision formats (e.g., int8 or int16).

#### 1.1 Goal

The primary objectives of quantization are:

- Make models smaller (less memory)
- Run faster (especially on edge/mobile devices)
- Reduce power consumption

## 2 Mathematical Formulation of Quantization

For a floating point value  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , quantization maps it to an integer  $x_q \in \mathbb{Z}$ :

## 2.1 Quantization Formula

$$x_q = \mathsf{round}(x/S) + Z$$

Where:

- S: scale (a positive real number)
- Z: zero point (an integer that maps 0.0 in float to int)
- round: rounding to the nearest integer

This maps the real value x into a fixed integer range (e.g.,  $int8 \in [-128, 127]$ ).

## 2.2 Dequantization Formula

$$x = S \times (x_q - Z)$$

## 3 Inference with Quantized Models

To avoid converting values back to float ahead of time (explicit dequantization), we perform inference directly in integer space using a mathematically equivalent formulation.

## 3.1 Inference Flow

Suppose we quantized:

- Input vector  $x_q$  with scale  $S_x$ , zero-point  $Z_x$
- Weight matrix  $W_q$  with scale  $S_w$ , zero-point  $Z_w$

Then matrix multiplication is computed as:

$$y_{\text{int32}} = (x_q - Z_x) \times (W_q - Z_w)$$

Then, the final float output is recovered as:

$$y_{\mathsf{float}} = S_x \times S_w \times y_{\mathsf{int32}}$$

Optionally, an output quantization step can be added:

$$y_q = \mathsf{round}(y_{\mathsf{float}}/S_y) + Z_y$$

**Note:** Intermediate results are often in int32 to preserve precision, and only final results are optionally dequantized.

## 4 Types of Quantization

## 4.1 Post-Training Quantization (PTQ)

Overview: Done after training the model. No retraining needed.

#### **Key Steps:**

- 1. Weights quantized statically:
- During PTQ, weights are converted from float32 to int8
- Compute min/max of each layer's weights
- Derive scale and zero-point:

$$ext{scale} = rac{ ext{max} - ext{min}}{q_{ ext{max}} - q_{ ext{min}}}, \quad ext{zero-point} = ext{round} \left(q_{ ext{min}} - rac{ ext{min}}{ ext{scale}}
ight)$$

· Quantize each weight:

$$w_q = \mathsf{round}\left( rac{w_f}{\mathsf{scale}} + \mathsf{zero\text{-}point} 
ight)$$

## 2. Activations quantized using a calibration dataset:

Run a small representative dataset through the model

- Record min/max of activations at each layer
- Use these to compute scale/zero-point for activations
- This is static quantization of activations

#### Math in inference:

$$Y_f = (\operatorname{scale}_X \cdot \operatorname{scale}_W) \cdot [(X_q - z_X) \cdot (W_q - z_W)] + \operatorname{bias}$$

#### Pros:

- · Simple to apply
- · No retraining needed

#### Cons:

· Accuracy drop may be higher, especially for sensitive models

## 4.2 Dynamic Quantization

Overview: Done after training. Only weights are statically quantized. Activations are quantized at runtime — i.e., dynamically.

### **Key Steps:**

- 1. Weights statically quantized (same as PTQ)
- 2. Activations quantized on the fly:
- · At inference time, for each batch:
  - Compute min/max of the actual activation values
  - Compute scale and zero-point
  - Quantize the activation tensors just before use
- Matrix multiplications are in int8, but activations use per-batch scale

#### **Pros:**

- Easier to apply (no calibration dataset needed)
- Works well for NLP models (e.g., LSTM, Transformer)

## Cons:

- · Not as efficient as full PTQ on hardware
- Slightly more compute overhead for per-batch scale computation

## 4.3 Weight-only Quantization

Overview: Only weights are quantized to int8. Activations remain float32.

## **Key Steps:**

- 1. Weights statically quantized same as PTQ
- 2. Activations are not quantized
- 3. Inference uses mixed precision:

$$Y_f = W_q \cdot X_f$$
 (convert  $W_q \to W_f$  before mul)

#### Pros:

- · Very minimal accuracy loss
- Easy to implement

#### Cons:

- Smaller memory savings (only weights)
- · Limited acceleration

## 4.4 Quantization-Aware Training (QAT)

Overview: Model is trained while simulating quantization noise. Best accuracy among all quantization types.

#### **Key Steps:**

1. Fake quantization layers simulate quantization during forward pass:

$$x_q = \mathsf{round}\left(\frac{x}{\mathsf{scale}} + \mathsf{zero\text{-}point}\right) \cdot \mathsf{scale} - \mathsf{zero\text{-}point}$$

- 2. Gradients flow through unquantized tensors (via Straight Through Estimator)
- **3.** After training, convert real weights to int8 using trained quantization params **Pros:**
- · Best accuracy retention
- · Works well even for sensitive models

#### Cons:

- More training time
- More complex to implement

# 5 Accuracy Impact and Trade-Offs

- PTQ is simpler but may drop accuracy on sensitive models
- QAT is more complex but retains better accuracy
- Per-channel quantization mitigates large activation dynamic ranges
- Inference is efficient due to int8/int32 math
- Output can remain quantized or dequantized depending on use case