

Pylinac: Image analysis for routine quality assurance in radiotherapy

James R. Kerns ¹

¹ Radformation, USA  Corresponding author

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- [Review](#) 
- [Repository](#) 
- [Archive](#) 

Editor: [Open Journals](#) 

Reviewers:

- [@openjournals](#)

Submitted: 01 January 1970

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](#)).

Summary

Pylinac is a python library that analyzes routine quality assurance images generated by devices in the radiotherapy treatment domain. It contains multiple independent modules that map to different quality assurance tasks and will ingest and analyze the images for common metrics as required by the medical physics society. The library is designed to be concise and easy to use as the target audience is not developers. Thus, most workflows can be executed in a few lines of code. At the same time, the library is modular and allows for easy extension via plugins and configuration settings. Comprehensive documentation is available with usage examples and algorithm explanations.

Statement of need

Within the therapeutic medical physics domain, verification that radiotherapy machines are performing in accordance with specification is an obvious need considering their use on humans and is required by relevant societies ([Dieterich & Pawlicki, 2008](#); [Klein et al., 2009](#); [Kutcher et al., 1994](#)). This involves routine quality assurance (QA) at regular intervals by medical physicists. A subset of this QA involves acquisition and analysis of images generated by the radiotherapy devices. This includes the mechanical size of the “isocenter” of the linear accelerator ([Winston & Lutz, 1988](#)), dosimetric performance of the accelerator as it rotates around the patient ([Ling et al., 2008](#)), and examination of the individual “leaves” of the multileaf collimator that shape the radiation ([Calvo-Ortega et al., 2014](#)). These images and data test the various mechanical and dosimetric performance dimensions of the machine. The images are usually the same pattern at every interval and are used for constancy testing. Manual examination of images is subject to interpersonal interpretation ([Ho et al., 1995](#); [Kerns & Anand, 2013](#)). Performing this quality assurance has been examined as being quantifiable by image or digital analysis in the past ([Depuydt et al., 2012](#); [Du & Yang, 2009](#); [Eckhause et al., 2015](#); [Jørgensen et al., 2011](#); [Kerns et al., 2014](#); [Rowshanfarzad et al., 2011](#)). Commercial applications exist but can be prohibitively expensive and at the time the library was written no open-source alternatives existed. Medical physicists usually do not have computer science training and creating their own in-house software for such evaluation can be difficult to justify. There is thus a need for software for budget-constrained radiotherapy clinics as well as an open standard for analysis of these data instead of proprietary programs made by individual authors and clinics.

Example usage

Although pylinac contains multiple independent modules focused on analyzing different images, this example will focus on one: planar image analysis for image metrics of a radiation source and camera combination. Linear accelerators have a built-in scintillation camera that can

40 record and visualize radiation. As part of the routine quality assurance, the performance of the
41 camera is measured monthly and annually. This is performed with a device that can measure
42 contrast and spatial resolution or more, also known as a “phantom”. A device is placed in the
43 path of the beam and the image is captured on the scintillating camera (Figure 1).




Figure 1: A DICOM image with a phantom in place

44 The image can be exported in the format of the Digital Imaging and Communications in
45 Medicine (DICOM). This DICOM image can be passed to pylinac and analyzed. The only
46 input required is the image and the type of phantom being analyzed.

```
from pylinac import LeedsT0R

dicom_path = r"path\to\dicom.dcm"
leeds = LeedsT0R(dicom_path)
leeds.analyze()
leeds.plot_analyzed_image()
```

47 Pylinac will localize the phantom within the image, meaning the user's placement of the
48 phantom is not a variable. Rotation can be corrected within a certain range, usually within
49 5 degrees for most phantoms. This also removes the placement technique of the user as
50 a result variable. After localization and rotational correction, regions of interest (ROI)
51 are then sampled. Each phantom's ROIs are known ahead of time so simple offsets based on the
52 phantom center and angle can be utilized. After the ROIs are sampled (Figure 2) the metrics
53 can be computed (Figure 3).

Leeds Phantom Analysis




Figure 2: Image after analysis with ROI overlay.

The most common metrics are contrast and spatial resolution. Contrast can be defined many ways, but the default one in pylinac is:

$$\frac{I_{mean} - R_{mean}}{I_{mean} + R_{mean}}$$

where I is the ROI of the contrast region in question and R is the background ROI, usually placed somewhere within the phantom area that is uniform.

This corresponds to the circular ROIs on the outer edge of the phantom (Figure 2). The contrast is calculated for each ROI and can then be plotted as a curve. Spatial resolution is defined as

$$\frac{\frac{I_{max} - I_{min}}{I_{max} + I_{min}}}{\max\left(\frac{I_{max} - I_{min}}{I_{max} + I_{min}}\right)}$$

where $I = 1...n$ line pair ROIs. This is also called the modulation transfer function (MTF) (Schroeder, 1981). The ROIs at the center of the phantom with the quickly-alternating lines define the spatial resolution. For each ROI, the spacing of a high-density and low-density material is fixed. The spatial resolution of each ROI is calculated and can be plotted as a curve. Typically, the medical physicist is looking at the resolution value at the 50% line of the curve (Figure 3).




Figure 3: Contrast, Contrast-to-Noise, and spatial resolution (MTF) plots

After analysis, these values are used by the medical physicist to compare to previous values or expected values. From there, calibration of the camera may be necessary. The results can also be saved as records that may be audited by state authorities.

Adoption and impact

Pylinac has been used widely in literature since its release in 2014, either validation of the algorithms for use by individual clinics (Boudet et al., 2022; Bredikin & Walsh, 2022; Ji & Cong, 2022; Lay, Chuang, Wu, et al., 2022), as a research tool for other ends (Alexander et al., 2021; Al-Kabkabi et al., 2022; Bozhikov et al., 2019; Cullom et al., 2021; Hu et al., 2022; Huang et al., 2021; Mendes et al., 2022; Pant et al., 2020; Pearson et al., 2022; Salari et al., 2023; Tegtmeier et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2020; Wojtasik et al., 2020), or used within other packages (Chuang et al., 2021; Lay, Chuang, Giles, et al., 2022; Oliver et al., 2022)

Author contribution statement

Conceptualization, coding, development and paper writing by James Kerns.

Acknowledgements

We thank Radformation for continuing to support the open-source work of this project and Dr. Stephen Kry for providing protected time to initially develop the project. Several individuals have contributed to the project over the years, which can be viewed here: <https://github.com/jrkerns/pylinac/graphs/contributors>.

References

- Alexander, D. A., Bruza, P., Rassias, A. G., Andreozzi, J. M., Pogue, B. W., Zhang, R., & Gladstone, D. J. (2021). Visual isocenter position enhanced review (VIPER): A cherenkov imaging-based solution for MR-linac daily QA. *Medical Physics*, 48. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mp.14892>

- 90 Al-Kabkabi, A., Ramachandran, P., Aamry, A., Tamam, N., Abuhadi, N. H., Johary, Y., Aamri,
91 H., Sulieman, A., & Trapp, J. (2022). Assessment of cone beam computed tomography
92 image quality and dose for commonly used pre-sets in external beam radiotherapy. *Radiation
93 Physics and Chemistry*, 199. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radphyschem.2022.110287>
- 94 Boudet, J., Aubignac, L., Beneux, A., Mazoyer, F., & Bessieres, I. (2022). Evaluation of QA
95 software system analysis for the static picket fence test. *Journal of Applied Clinical Medical
96 Physics*, 23. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acm2.13618>
- 97 Bozhikov, S., Vassileva, F., Mitarova, K., Paarvanova, B., Tacheva, B., & Karabaliev, M.
98 (2019). Using trajectory log files as additional tool for dosimetry verification plan. A case
99 in practice. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 2186. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5138024>
- 100 Bredikin, A. Z., & Walsh, M. J. (2022). Dose rate versus gantry speed performance evaluation
101 for slow gantry speeds using DICOM RT plans. In *Journal of Applied Clinical Medical
102 Physics* (Vol. 23). <https://doi.org/10.1002/acm2.13786>
- 103 Calvo-Ortega, J. F., Teke, T., Moragues, S., Pozo, M., & Casals, J. (2014). A varian dynalog
104 file-based procedure for patient dose-volume histogram-based IMRT QA. *Journal of Applied
105 Clinical Medical Physics*, 15. <https://doi.org/10.1120/jacmp.v15i2.4665>
- 106 Chuang, K. C., Giles, W., & Adamson, J. (2021). A tool for patient-specific prediction of
107 delivery discrepancies in machine parameters using trajectory log files. *Medical Physics*, 48.
108 <https://doi.org/10.1002/mp.14670>
- 109 Cullom, E. T., Xia, Y., Chuang, K. C., Gude, Z. W., Zlateva, Y., Adamson, J. D., & Giles, W. M.
110 (2021). Single isocenter SRS using CAVMAT offers improved robustness to commissioning
111 and treatment delivery uncertainty compared to VMAT. *Journal of Applied Clinical Medical
112 Physics*, 22. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acm2.13248>
- 113 Depuydt, T., Penne, R., Verellen, D., Hrbacek, J., Lang, S., Leysen, K., Vandevondel, I., Poels,
114 K., Reynders, T., Gevaert, T., Duchateau, M., Tournel, K., Boussaer, M., Cosentino, D.,
115 Garibaldi, C., Solberg, T., & Ridder, M. D. (2012). Computer-aided analysis of star shot
116 films for high-accuracy radiation therapy treatment units. *Physics in Medicine and Biology*,
117 57. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0031-9155/57/10/2997>
- 118 Dieterich, S., & Pawlicki, T. (2008). Cyberknife image-guided delivery and quality assurance.
119 *International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics*, 71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2007.08.081>
- 120 Du, W., & Yang, J. (2009). A robust hough transform algorithm for determining the radiation
121 centers of circular and rectangular fields with subpixel accuracy. *Physics in Medicine and
122 Biology*, 54. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0031-9155/54/3/006>
- 123 Eckhause, T., Al-Hallaq, H., Ritter, T., Demarco, J., Farrey, K., Pawlicki, T., Kim, G. Y.,
124 Popple, R., Sharma, V., Perez, M., Park, S., Booth, J. T., Thorwarth, R., & Moran,
125 J. M. (2015). Automating linear accelerator quality assurance. *Medical Physics*, 42.
126 <https://doi.org/10.1118/1.4931415>
- 127 Ho, A., Thomadsen, B., & Paliwal, B. (1995). On visual interpretation of light localization/ra-
128 diation field coincidence films. In *Medical Physics* (Vol. 22). <https://doi.org/10.1118/1.597601>
- 129 Hu, J., Gu, S., Wang, N., Cui, F., Zhang, S., Yin, C., Cai, Y., Gou, C., Zou, L., & Wu, Z. (2022).
130 Sensitivity of three patient-specific quality assurance systems to MLC aperture errors with
131 volumetric modulated arc therapy. *Technology in Cancer Research and Treatment*, 21.
132 <https://doi.org/10.1177/15330338221114499>
- 133 Huang, Y., Pi, Y., Ma, K., Miao, X., Fu, S., Chen, H., Wang, H., Gu, H., Shao, Y., Duan, Y.,
134 Feng, A., Wang, J., Cai, R., Zhuo, W., & Xu, Z. (2021). Virtual patient-specific quality

- 137 assurance of IMRT using UNet++: Classification, gamma passing rates prediction, and dose
138 difference prediction. *Frontiers in Oncology*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2021.700343>
- 139 Ji, T., & Cong, X. (2022). Spatial uncertainty of Elekta stereotactic cones in the treatment of
140 multiple brain metastases using multiple cones. *Journal of Radiation Research and Applied
141 Sciences*, 15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrras.2022.01.011>
- 142 Jørgensen, M. K., Hoffmann, L., Petersen, J. B. B., Præstegaard, L. H., Hansen, R., & Muren,
143 L. P. (2011). Tolerance levels of EPID-based quality control for volumetric modulated arc
144 therapy. *Medical Physics*, 38. <https://doi.org/10.1118/1.3552922>
- 145 Kerns, J. R., & Anand, A. (2013). The use of computed radiography plates to determine light
146 and radiation field coincidence. *Medical Physics*, 40. <https://doi.org/10.1118/1.4823775>
- 147 Kerns, J. R., Childress, N., & Kry, S. F. (2014). A multi-institution evaluation of MLC log
148 files and performance in IMRT delivery. *Radiation Oncology*, 9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1748-717X-9-176>
- 149 Klein, E. E., Hanley, J., Bayouth, J., Yin, F. F., Simon, W., Dresser, S., Serago, C., Aguirre,
150 F., Ma, L., Arjomandy, B., Liu, C., Sandin, C., & Holmes, T. (2009). Task group
151 142 report: Quality assurance of medical accelerators. In *Medical Physics* (Vol. 36).
152 <https://doi.org/10.1118/1.3190392>
- 153 Kutcher, G. J., Coia, L., Gillin, M., Hanson, W. F., Leibel, S., Morton, R. J., Palta, J. R., Purdy,
154 J. A., Reinstein, L. E., Svensson, G. K., Weller, M., & Wingfield, L. (1994). Comprehensive
155 qa for radiation oncology: Report of aapm radiation therapy committee task group 40.
156 *Medical Physics*, 21. <https://doi.org/10.1118/1.597316>
- 157 Lay, L. M., Chuang, K. C., Giles, W., & Adamson, J. (2022). TARDIS: An updated artificial
158 intelligence model to predict linear accelerator machine parameters at treatment delivery.
159 *SoftwareX*, 19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.softx.2022.101146>
- 160 Lay, L. M., Chuang, K. C., Wu, Y., Giles, W., & Adamson, J. (2022). Virtual patient-
161 specific QA with DVH-based metrics. *Journal of Applied Clinical Medical Physics*, 23.
162 <https://doi.org/10.1002/acm2.13639>
- 163 Ling, C. C., Zhang, P., Archambault, Y., Bocanek, J., Tang, G., & LoSasso, T. (2008). Com-
164 missioning and quality assurance of RapidArc radiotherapy delivery system. *International
165 Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics*, 72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2008.05.060>
- 166 Mendes, V. D. S., Reiner, M., Huang, L., Reitz, D., Straub, K., Corradini, S., Niyazi, M.,
167 Belka, C., Kurz, C., Landry, G., & Freislederer, P. (2022). ExacTrac dynamic workflow
168 evaluation: Combined surface optical/thermal imaging and x-ray positioning. *Journal of
169 Applied Clinical Medical Physics*, 23. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acm2.13754>
- 170 Oliver, P. A. K., Wood, T. R., & Baldwin, L. N. (2022). A customizable, open-source
171 winston-lutz system for multi-target, single isocentre radiotherapy. *Biomedical Physics and
172 Engineering Express*, 8. <https://doi.org/10.1088/2057-1976/ac8e72>
- 173 Pant, K., Umeh, C., Oldham, M., Floyd, S., Giles, W., & Adamson, J. (2020). Comprehensive
174 radiation and imaging isocenter verification using NIPAM kV-CBCT dosimetry. *Medical
175 Physics*, 47. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mp.14008>
- 176 Pearson, M., Butterworth, V., Misson-Yates, S., Naeem, M., Vaz, R. G., Eaton, D., & Greener,
177 T. (2022). Application of failure mode and effects analysis to validate a novel hybrid linac
178 QC program that integrates automated and conventional QC testing. *Journal of Applied
179 Clinical Medical Physics*, 23. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acm2.13798>
- 180 Rowshanfarzad, P., Sabet, M., O'Connor, D. J., & Greer, P. B. (2011). Verification of the linac
181 isocenter for stereotactic radiosurgery using cine-EPID imaging and arc delivery. *Medical
182 Physics*, 38. <https://doi.org/10.1118/1.3597836>
- 183
184

- 185 Salari, E., Xu, K. S., Sperling, N. N., & Parsai, E. I. (2023). Using machine learning to predict
186 gamma passing rate in volumetric-modulated arc therapy treatment plans. *Journal of*
187 *Applied Clinical Medical Physics*, 24. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acm2.13824>
- 188 Schroeder, M. R. (1981). MODULATION TRANSFER FUNCTIONS: DEFINITION AND
189 MEASUREMENT. *Acustica*, 49.
- 190 Tegtmeier, R. C., Ferris, W. S., Bayouth, J. E., Miller, J. R., & Culberson, W. S. (2022).
191 Characterization of imaging performance of a novel helical kVCT for use in image-guided
192 and adaptive radiotherapy. *Journal of Applied Clinical Medical Physics*, 23. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1002/acm2.13648)
193 [org/10.1002/acm2.13648](https://doi.org/10.1002/acm2.13648)
- 194 Wang, R., Du, Y., Yao, K., Liu, Z., Wang, H., Yue, H., Zhang, Y., & Wu, H. (2020). Halcyon
195 clinical performance evaluation: A log file-based study in comparison with a c-arm linac.
196 *Physica Medica*, 71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmp.2020.01.023>
- 197 Winston, K. R., & Lutz, W. (1988). Linear accelerator as a neurosurgical tool for stereotactic
198 radiosurgery. *Neurosurgery*, 22. <https://doi.org/10.1227/00006123-198803000-00002>
- 199 Wojtasik, A. M., Bolt, M., Clark, C. H., Nisbet, A., & Chen, T. (2020). Multivariate log file
200 analysis for multi-leaf collimator failure prediction in radiotherapy delivery. *Physics and*
201 *Imaging in Radiation Oncology*, 15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phro.2020.07.011>

DRAFT