

Properties of Regular Expressions

Let R and S be regular expressions over a finite alphabet Σ .

1. Associativity w.r.t \cup : $(R \cup S) \cup T = R \cup (S \cup T)$
2. Identity w.r.t. \cup : $R \cup \emptyset = R$.
3. Commutativity w.r.t. \cup : $R \cup S = S \cup R$.
4. Idempotence w.r.t. \cup : $R \cup R = R$.
5. Associativity w.r.t \circ : $(R \circ S) \circ T = R \circ (S \circ T)$
6. Identity w.r.t \circ : $R \circ \epsilon = R$.
7. Nullity w.r.t. \circ : $R \circ \emptyset = \emptyset$.
8. Distributivity:
 - (a) $R \circ (S \cup T) = (R \circ S) \cup (R \circ T)$.
 - (b) $(R \cup S) \circ T = (R \circ T) \cup (S \circ T)$.
9. $(R^*)^* = R^*$.
10. $\emptyset^* = \epsilon$
11. $\epsilon^* = \epsilon$

DFA to Regular Expressions

(Ref: “Automata and Complexity” by Dexter Kozen)

- Let $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, s, F)$ be a DFA.
- Let $p, q \in Q$ and $X \subseteq Q$.
- Let R_{pq}^X denote a regular expression for the set of strings w over Σ such that:
 - there is a path from p to q in M with label w , and
 - all *intermediate* states along this path are from the set X .
- The union over all final states $f \in F$ of R_{sf}^Q represents $L(M)$: $L(M) = \bigcup_{f \in F} R_{sf}^Q$.
- Construct R_{pq}^X using induction on X .
 - Basis: $X = \emptyset$.
 - * Let $p \neq q$.
 - * If no transition from p to q in M :

$$R_{pq}^\emptyset = \emptyset$$
 - * If a_1, \dots, a_k are the symbols in Σ from which there are transitions from p to q in M :

$$R_{pq}^\emptyset = a_1 \cup a_2 \cup \dots \cup a_k$$
 - * Let $p = q$.
 - * If no transition from p to q in M :

$$R_{pq}^\emptyset = \epsilon$$
 - * If a_1, \dots, a_k are the symbols in Σ from which there are transitions from p to q in M :

$$R_{pq}^\emptyset = a_1 \cup a_2 \cup \dots \cup a_k \cup \epsilon$$

DFA to Regular Expressions (continued)

– Induction: $X \neq \emptyset$.

- * Let P be any path in M from p to q with all intermediate states in X .
- * Let $r \in X$.
- * Either P does not visit r . Then,

$$R_{pq}^X = R_{pq}^{X - \{r\}}$$

* Or P visits r at least once. In this case, P can be split into the following pieces:

- The first piece is from p to r without visiting r , the middle pieces go from r to r without visiting r in between, and the last piece goes from r to q without visiting r . Then,

$$R_{pq}^X = R_{pr}^{X - \{r\}} \circ (R_{rr}^{X - \{r\}})^* \circ R_{rq}^{X - \{r\}}$$

* So, the resulting expression is:

$$R_{pq}^X = R_{pq}^{X - \{r\}} \cup (R_{pr}^{X - \{r\}} \circ (R_{rr}^{X - \{r\}})^* \circ R_{rq}^{X - \{r\}})$$

• A bottom-up algorithm:

- Let $|Q| = n$. Let the states in Q be $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.
- The basis case is as above.
- Assume that R_{pq}^X has been constructed for $X = \{1, 2, \dots, k - 1\}$.
- To construct R_{pq}^X for $X = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$.
- Choose r in the above proof to be the state k .
- Then, for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, we have

$$R_{ij}^X = R_{ij}^{X - \{k\}} \cup (R_{ik}^{X - \{k\}} \circ (R_{kk}^{X - \{k\}})^* \circ R_{kj}^{X - \{k\}}).$$