# **Final Project**

## **Files**

- GPUEngine: The updated version of OldGPUEngine. It is made to handle path dependent exotic option pricing using GPU tech. Inspired by the SNN codes in github.
- OldGPUEngine: Classic functional programming.
- OptionSystem: Ultimate goal of my project. Combining all pricing modules into a bascket system. However, it is relatively finished only in c++ part. So, it can be used as an alternative and comparison.

#### Intent

In my project, the goal is to construct an option pricing system as codes in folder GPUOptionSystem. I have implement the most part of c++ part and some skeleton for GPU part.

However, the GPU part(most of them are skeleton) I wrote in GPUOptionSystem is wrong because CUDA doesn't support all features of c++. For example, cuda class do not allow dynamic polymorphism which is a base of most pattern.

Inspired from your guidance, I reconstruct a project named GPUEngine. And I defined a class to handle path-dependent option.

## **Features**

- Can price both vanilla options and exotic time dependent options (Asian or knock-out option)
- Transfer the computation of mean pricing into device by reduction. Much more quickly.
- Object-Oriented programming tried on cuda

#### Results

• GPU engine result:(GPUEngine->kernal)

Old GPU engine result for vanilla option(OldGPUEngine->Euler\_Euro\_Option.cu)

```
Call option or put option? (c/p)
This is Monte-Carlo simulation using Euler method.
rate: 0.02
volatility: 0.2
time to mature: 1
stock price: 20
exercise price: 20
Trials: 100000
Steps: 300
Time comsumed: 0.361616s
The mean of option value: 1.81101
The std of option value: 2.79994
The mean of underlying asset: 20.3965
The std of of underlying asset: 18.7952
The mean of log underlying asset: 2.99544
The std of log underlying asset: 0.199524
Comparation:
mean(log(samples of future stockPrices))=2.99544
                                                         log(stockPrice) = 2.99573
std(log(samples of future stockPrices))=0.199524
                                                         volatility*sqrt(time to mature)=0.2
                              std error: -0.238039%
mean error: -0.00990051%
The number of zero stock price: 0 Ratio: 0%
Press any key to continue . . .
```

CPU asian engine result(OptionSystem->AsianCallMain):

```
Enter vol
0.2
0.02
barrier
Number of dates
300
Number of paths
1000
For the asian call price the results are
0.0767405 2
0.828113 4
0.592598 8
0.835102 16
1.03513 32
1.08369 64
1.07149 128
0.968619 256
0.959884 512
0.973957 1000
```

• CPU up-and-out engine result(OptionSystem->UpAndOutCallMain):

```
Enter vol
0.2
0.02
barrier
30
Number of dates
300
Number of paths
1000
For the up-and-out call price the results are
0.66094 2
2.107114
1.46376 8
1.6086 16
1.37138 1000
```

# **Conclusions**

- 1. Although OO programming brings a lot of convenient especially in group project. An unpropered construction of class can cause memory shortage which may restrain our number of trials awfully. Exactly as you mentioned before.
- 2. GPU engine is much more powerful than cpu engine.

## **Problems and todo list:**

- 1. my visual studio do not identify function \_\_syncthreads() for some reason(do you know the reason?)
- 2. If the above problem can be solved. The random number generator can be more efficient by initializing curandState t array once.
- 3. The structure remains lots of redundant codes.
- 4. Switch from geometric brownian motion to stochastic volatility model(Heston Model)

## Reference

Engler, Gary. "timeSeriesSNN." github, 2017, https://github.com/gengler1123/GPU-Computing-For-Finance. Accessed 14 Feb. 2017

Joshi, M. S. (2004). C++ Design Patterns and Derivatives Pricing (Mathematics, Finance and Risk) (2nd ed.). N.p.: Cambridge University Press.

Sanders, Jason, and Edward Kandrot. CUDA by Examplean: an introduction to general-purpose GPU programming. 1 ed., Addison-Wesley Professional, 2010.