

# Functions

Functions, as we defined, are a special case of relations. Recall that a binary relation  $R \subseteq S_1 \times S_2$  is a (partial) function if for each  $x \in S_1$ , there exists (at most) one  $y \in S_2$  such that  $(x, y) \in R$ .

## Predomain, codomain, domain and range.

For a functional relation  $R_f \subseteq S_1 \times S_2$ , the set  $S_1$  is called the *pre-domain* and  $S_2$  is called the *co-domain* of the function. We usually write  $f : S_1 \rightarrow S_2$  instead of  $R_f \subseteq S_1 \times S_2$  and write  $y = f(x)$  when  $(x, y) \in R_f$ . We write  $\text{predom}(f) = S_1$  and  $\text{codom}(f) = S_2$ ; the relation  $R_f$  is often referred to as the “*extension*” or *graph* of the function  $f$ .

A partial function *need not* have a value  $y = f(x)$  for each  $x \in S_1$ .

We say that function  $f$  is defined at  $x \in S_1$  if there exists a  $y \in S_2$  such that  $(x, y) \in R_f$ . The *domain* of a partial function  $f : S_1 \rightarrow S_2$  is defined as

$\text{dom}(f) = \{x \in S_1 \mid \exists y \in S_2 : y = f(x)\}$ , i.e., the elements  $x \in S_1$  for which  $f(x) \in S_2$  is defined. Note that  $\text{dom}(f) \subseteq S_1 = \text{predom}(f)$ .

The *range* of a partial function  $f : S_1 \rightarrow S_2$  is defined as

$\text{range}(f) = \{y \in S_2 \mid \exists x \in S_1 : y = f(x)\}$ , i.e., the elements  $y \in S_2$  such that  $y = f(x)$  for some  $x \in S_1$ . Note that  $\text{range}(f) \subseteq S_2 = \text{codom}(f)$ .

**Total function.** If the functional relation  $R_f$  is *total*, i.e., for each  $x \in S_1$  there exists a  $y \in S_2$  such that  $y = f(x)$ , we call  $f$  a total function and  $\text{dom}(f) = S_1 = \text{predom}(f)$ .

**Mappings and notation.** We will use the notation of mappings “ $x \mapsto y$ ” to indicate that the element  $x \in S_1$  is mapped by a function  $f : S_1 \rightarrow S_2$  to the element  $y \in S_2$ . Note that the “mapping” arrow “ $\mapsto$ ” tells us how *elements* are mapped by a function, and *notice the little vertical bar on the left of the arrow*. In contrast,  $S_1 \rightarrow S_2$  is a *set-level construction* that depicts a functional relation between  $S_1, S_2$ .

**Function Restriction.** Suppose  $f : S_1 \rightarrow S_2$  is a function. Let  $A \subseteq S_1$ . Then the restriction of function  $f$  to (pre)domain  $A$ , written as  $f|_A$  is defined as the mapping that associates  $f(x)$  to each element  $x \in A$ . ( $f|_A(x) = f(x)$  for all  $x \in A$ ). That is  $f|_A$  behaves just as function  $f$  would but takes as its (pre)domain only the set  $A$ .

**Onto.** If a function  $f$  (namely, its functional relation  $R_f$ ) is *onto*, i.e., for each  $y \in S_2$  there exists an  $x \in S_1$  such that  $y = f(x)$ , then we call  $f$  an *onto* (*surjective, epi*) function and  $\text{range}(f) = S_2 = \text{codom}(f)$ .

**(1-1 or injection).** A partial function  $f : S_1 \rightarrow S_2$  is called *1-1* (*injective, mono*) if whenever  $f(x_1) = f(x_2) \in S_2$ , then  $x_1 = x_2 \in S_1$ .

**(Cardinality)** The *cardinality* of set  $S_2$  (written  $|S_2|$ ) is at least as great as that of set  $S_1$  (i.e.,  $|S_1| \leq |S_2|$ ) if there exists a 1-1 total function  $f : S_1 \rightarrow S_2$ .

**Exercise:** If  $S_1 \subseteq S_2$  then  $|S_1| \leq |S_2|$ .

**Exercise.**  $|0| \leq |S|$  for any set  $S$ .

**(Bijection)** A function  $f : S_1 \rightarrow S_2$  is called a *bijection* if it is both *1-1* and *onto*.

Note that a bijection has a natural inverse *function*, written  $f^{-}$  or more commonly  $f^{-1}$ , whose graph is defined relationally as the symmetric inverse  $R_f^{-}$  of relation  $R_f$ .

**Exercise:** Show that the *identity* function on any set  $id_S : S \rightarrow S$  defined by the mapping " $x \mapsto x$ " is (i) total; (ii) 1-1; (iii) onto and (iv) a bijection.

Two sets  $S_1, S_2$  are said to be in bijection with each other (written  $S_1 \cong S_2$ ) if there exists a bijective function  $f : S_1 \rightarrow S_2$ . Note that if  $S_1 \cong S_2$ , then  $|S_1| = |S_2|$

**Exercise.** Recall the set **1**, with its canonical element written as ().

[Note: this is the empty tuple, and resembles writing a 0]

Show that  $S \times \mathbf{1} \cong S \cong \mathbf{1} \times S$

### Natural numbers and Peano's Axioms:

The set of Natural *numbers*  $\mathbb{N}$  is an infinite set defined inductively in terms of two constructors: (zero) 0 and (successor)  $s(\_)$ . Natural numbers can be defined by the following Peano's Axioms.

1. (Base constant Zero)  $0 \in \mathbb{N}$ .
2. (Equality-Reflexive) For all  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x = x$ .
3. (Equality-Symmetric) For all  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $x = y$  then  $y = x$
4. (Equality-Transitive) For all  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $x = y$  and  $y = z$  then  $x = z$
5. (Closure-Equality) For all  $x, y$ , if  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $x = y$  then  $y \in \mathbb{N}$ .
6. (Closure-Successor) For all  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s(x) \in \mathbb{N}$ .
7. (Successor-Injective) For all  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $s(x) = s(y)$  then  $x = y$ .
8. (Zero-not-Successor) For all  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s(x) \neq 0$ .
9. (Induction-Set) Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . **If** (i)  $0 \in A$ ; and (ii) for all  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ : if  $x \in A$  then  $s(x) \in A$ ; **then**  $A = \mathbb{N}$ .
- (9') (Induction-Pred) For *any* unary predicate  $P$ : **If** (i)  $P(0)$ ; and (ii) for all  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ : if  $P(x)$  implies  $P(s(x))$ ; **then** for all  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ :  $P(x)$ .

Axioms 1 and 6 define the constructors, Axioms 2-5 characterise equality and closure of the set with respect to it, and Axiom 7 and 8 define (non)equality properties of the constructors. Axiom 9 is an induction scheme. (Axiom 9') is an alternative way to state Axiom 9, on predicates rather than on sets.

### Finite and Denumerable Sets.

A prefix of cardinality  $n$  of the natural numbers  $\mathbb{N}$  is defined as the set  $\mathbb{N}_n = \{0, 1, \dots, (n - 1)\}$ .

Let  $N' \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . A set  $A$  is called *denumerable* or *countable* if there exists a 1-1 total function  $f : A \rightarrow N'$ , i.e., there is an injective mapping from all of  $A$  to a subset of the natural numbers.

A *finite* set is a set whose cardinality is equal to some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Clearly every finite set of size  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  has a 1-1 total function mapping to  $\mathbb{N}_n$ .

Note that *every* natural number is *finite*, but the *set of natural numbers*  $\mathbb{N}$  is denumerably infinite (countably infinite).  $\mathbb{N}$  is not in bijection with any  $\mathbb{N}_n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Every finite set is trivially denumerable.

**Finite-domain functions.** A function  $f : S_1 \rightarrow S_2$  is called a *finite-domain function* if its domain is *finite*. That is  $\text{dom}(f)$  is a finite subset of  $S_1$ . We write  $f : S_1 \rightarrow_{\text{fin}} S_2$  to highlight  $\text{dom}(f)$  is finite.

**Function composition** is a special case of relational composition where the relations involved are (partial) functions: Suppose  $f : S_1 \rightarrow S_2$ , and  $g : S_2 \rightarrow S_3$  are (partial) functions. Then their composition, which we will write as  $f; g : S_1 \rightarrow S_3$  is defined as the mapping  $x \mapsto g(f(x))$  provided element  $g(f(x)) \in S_3$  is defined (that is, there must exist  $y = f(x) \in S_2$ , and  $z = g(y) \in S_3$ ).

**Exercise:** Show that function composition is associative, i.e., if  $f : S_1 \rightarrow S_2$ ,  $g : S_2 \rightarrow S_3$  and  $h : S_3 \rightarrow S_4$ , then  $f; (g; h) = (f; g); h : S_1 \rightarrow S_4$ .

**Exercise:** Show that  $\text{dom}(f; g) = \{x \in \text{dom}(f) \mid f(x) \in \text{dom}(g)\}$  and  $\text{range}(f; g) = \{g(y) \in \text{range}(g) \mid y \in \text{range}(f) \cap \text{dom}(g)\}$ .

**Exercise:** Show that total functions are closed under composition. That is, if  $f : S_1 \rightarrow S_2$  and  $g : S_2 \rightarrow S_3$  are total functions, then so is  $f; g : S_1 \rightarrow S_3$ .

**Exercise:** Show that 1-1 functions are closed under composition. That is, if  $f : S_1 \rightarrow S_2$  and  $g : S_2 \rightarrow S_3$  are 1-1 functions, then so is  $f; g : S_1 \rightarrow S_3$ .

**Exercise:** Show that onto functions are closed under composition. That is, if  $f : S_1 \rightarrow S_2$  and  $g : S_2 \rightarrow S_3$  are onto functions, then so is  $f; g : S_1 \rightarrow S_3$ .

**Exercise:** Show that bijections are closed under composition. That is, if  $f : S_1 \rightarrow S_2$  and  $g : S_2 \rightarrow S_3$  are bijections, then so is  $f; g : S_1 \rightarrow S_3$ .

**Exercise:** Show that finite-domain functions are closed under composition. That is, if  $f : S_1 \rightarrow_{\text{fin}} S_2$  and  $g : S_2 \rightarrow_{\text{fin}} S_3$  are finite-domain functions, then so is  $f; g : S_1 \rightarrow_{\text{fin}} S_3$ .

## Some denumerable sets

**Proposition:** (i) The set of even natural numbers is denumerable but not finite.  
(ii) The set of odd natural numbers is denumerable but not finite.  
(iii) The cardinalities of the even naturals (respectively, odd naturals) is the same as that of  $\mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof hint:** (Trivial) Define a total 1-1 function from the even (respectively odd) natural numbers to a subset of the naturals. (More interesting) Also show that the cardinality of these sets is at least that of the natural numbers by showing a total 1-1 function *from* the naturals to each of them.

**Proposition:** The set of integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  is denumerable (countably infinite).

**Proof hint:** Define a total 1-1 function from  $\mathbb{Z}$  to (a subset of)  $\mathbb{N}$ .

**Proposition:** (First diagonal argument — Cauchy) The set of pairs of natural numbers  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  is denumerable (countably infinite).

**Proof hint:** Define a total 1-1 function from  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  to (a subset of)  $\mathbb{N}$ .

**Proposition:** (Corollary) The set of pairs of integers  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  is denumerable (countably infinite).

**Proposition:** (Corollary) The set of rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}$  is denumerable (countably infinite).

**Proof:**  $\mathbb{Q} = \{m/n \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge n > 0 \wedge m, n \text{ co-prime}\}$ .

Consider  $f : \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  defined by the mapping (for  $q \in \mathbb{Q} = m/n$ ),  $q \mapsto (m, n)$ .

**Proposition:** (Corollary) Let  $A$  be a denumerable set. Let us define

$$A^0 \cong \mathbf{1}$$
$$A^{s(n)} \cong A \times A^n$$

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the set  $A^n$  of lists over  $A$  of length  $n$  is a denumerable set.

Proof Hint: Proof by induction on  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Theorem** (Cauchy): The denumerable union of denumerable sets is denumerable.

**Proposition:** (Corollary) Let  $A$  be a denumerable set. Let us define

$$A^* = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} A^n$$

Then the set  $A^*$  of all lists (sequences) built over elements from  $A$  is a denumerable set.

**Proposition:** The cardinality  $|\mathbb{R}|$  of the set of real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$  is the same as that of any open interval  $(a, b)$ , in particular  $(0, 1)$  or  $(-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ .

**Theorem** (Cantor: Second Diagonal Argument): The set of real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$  is *not* denumerable.

**Proof by Cantor's dictation.**

We rely on the fact that if any subset of a  $\mathbb{R}$  is *not* denumerable, then  $\mathbb{R}$  is *not* denumerable.

- (1) Assume  $(0,1)$  is denumerable.
- (2) Consider the set  $D$  of *all* non-terminating decimals in  $(0,1)$ .  $D$  is a subset of  $(0,1)$ , and so must be denumerable (a subset of a denumerable set is also denumerable). That is, there is a total 1-1 function  $e : D \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore we can write down *all* the non-terminating decimals that are elements of  $(0,1)$ , i.e., the elements of  $D$ , in *some* enumerated order as a series of “rows”:

$$\begin{array}{l} r_0 = d_{00} d_{01} \dots d_{0j} \dots \\ r_1 = d_{10} d_{11} \dots d_{1j} \dots \\ \vdots \vdots \vdots \quad \vdots \dots \vdots \dots \\ r_i = d_{i0} d_{i1} \dots d_{ij} \dots \\ \vdots \vdots \vdots \quad \vdots \dots \vdots \dots \end{array}$$

where each of the rows  $(r_i)$  represents a non-terminating decimal of the form  $0.d_{i0}d_{i1}\dots d_{ij}\dots$  [Note  $r_i \in (0,1)$ , and if  $e(x) = i$ , then  $x$  is represented as the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row  $r_i$ .]

(Note that all finite decimals, which have finitely many digits followed by an infinite number of 0's at the end can instead be represented by an indistinguishable form “in the limit” — with one less in the last non-zero digit and then an infinite series of 9's. For example, instead of 0.5 let us write 0.49999...)

(Note: we don't know — and don't care — what the order of enumeration is. It is not at all likely to be the usual  $<$  ordering. We only assume that a suitable total 1-1 function  $e : D \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  exists, but know no other properties about it.)

Now consider a number represented as  $r' = r'_0 r'_1 \dots r'_i \dots$  such that for *each*  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ :  $r'_j \neq d_{jj} \wedge r'_j \neq 0$ . (The second conjunct is to ensure we do not get a terminating decimal). That is,  $r'_j$  —  $j^{\text{th}}$  digit of  $r'$  — is different from the “diagonal digit”  $d_{jj}$  (the  $j^{\text{th}}$  digit of the element  $r_j$ .)  
[ Note: There are many many such choices for  $r'$ . ]

Claim:  $r' \in D$ . By construction,  $r' = r'_0 r'_1 \dots r'_i \dots$  is a non-terminating decimal in  $(0,1)$ .

Therefore by (2),  $r' = r_k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , since  $D$  is assumed denumerable, and so  $e(r') = k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

But  $r' \neq r_k$  because its  $k^{\text{th}}$  digit  $r'_k \neq d_{kk}$ , which is  $k^{\text{th}}$  digit of the  $r_k$ . (Note that by construction, for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , the  $j^{\text{th}}$  digit  $r'_j \neq d_{jj}$ ).

Therefore  $r' \in D$  but  $e(r') \notin \mathbb{N}$ . So  $e$  is either not total, or not 1-1.

So  $D$  is *not* denumerable. This contradicts (2), and thus contradicts Assumption (1). So  $(0,1)$  and therefore  $\mathbb{R}$  are not denumerable. □