

COL 765: Introduction to Logic and Functional Programming
Quiz 2, 01.08.2024
(Monotonicity of Closures)

Name: _____ **SOLUTION** _____ Entry No. _____ **XXXX** _____

Q1 [4] Let $R_1 \subseteq R_2$. Prove Monotonicity of Symmetric Closure: Show from the definition or properties of symmetric closure (the smallest symmetric relation containing the given relation) that $R_1^\leftrightarrow \subseteq R_2^\leftrightarrow$.

Proof Let $R_1 \subseteq R_2$.

So by Def of subset, if $(x, y) \in R_1$ then $(x, y) \in R_2$.

But $(x, y) \in R_1$ if and only if $(y, x) \in R_1^-$. (Def of relational inverse & its involution)

So $(y, x) \in R_2^-$, (since $(x, y) \in R_2$.)

Therefore $R_1^- \subseteq R_2^-$. (This establishes monotonicity of relational inverse).

So $R_1 \cup R_1^- \subseteq R_2 \cup R_2^-$ (monotonicity of union; this can also be proved easily)

That is, by Def of symmetric closure (or a proved fact about it), $R_1^\leftrightarrow \subseteq R_2^\leftrightarrow$.

Q2 [6] Let $R_1 \subseteq R_2$. Prove Monotonicity of Transitive Closure: Show from the definition or properties of transitive closure (the smallest transitive relation containing the given relation) that $R_1^+ \subseteq R_2^+$.

Proof Let $R_1 \subseteq R_2$.

We show *by induction* that for each $i > 0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $R_1^i \subseteq R_2^i$.

Base case: ($i = 1$). $R_1^1 = R_1 \subseteq R_2 = R_2^1$ (definition of R^1)

Induction Hypothesis: Assume that for $i = n \in \mathbb{N}$, $R_1^n \subseteq R_2^n$.

Induction Step: Let $i = 1 + n$

$$R_1^{1+n} = R_1 \circ R_1^n \quad (\text{definition of } R^{1+n})$$

$$\subseteq R_2 \circ R_2^n \quad (\text{monotonicity of relational composition } \circ ; \text{ prove this})$$

$$= R_2^{1+n} \quad (\text{definition of } R^{1+n})$$

So, by the *principle of simple induction*, for each $i > 0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $R_1^i \subseteq R_2^i$.

So, by monotonicity of denumerable union (prove this),

$$\bigcup_{i>0 \in \mathbb{N}} R_1^i \subseteq \bigcup_{i>0 \in \mathbb{N}} R_2^i$$

That is, by Def of transitive closure (or a proved result about it), $R_1^+ \subseteq R_2^+$.