

Assignment - 4

Operating Systems

Date / /

Name - Sahil Bhardwaj

Roll - 2301010425

Part - A

Q1

Ans. A race condition occurs when two people try to use the same shared resource at the same time, causing an incorrect or unexpected result. For example - if two people try to take ₹500 from the same wallet simultaneously, each thinks the money is still there and both take it, even though the wallet only had ₹500 total. Mutual exclusion prevents this by ensuring that only one person can access the wallet at a time, forcing the second person to wait, which guarantees a safe & correct outcome.

Q2

Ans. Peterson's Solution Vs Semaphores -

- Implementation Complexity:
 - # Peterson's solution - More complex to implement correctly because it requires careful use of shared variables and is limited to two processes.
 - # Semaphores - Simpler and cleaner to use in programs; support many processes and offer standard operations (wait, signal).
- Hardware Dependency:
 - # Peterson's solution - Works only under strict assumptions and fails on modern CPUs due to instruction reordering, not hardware-independent in practice.
 - # Semaphores - Require minimal hardware support such as atomic instructions (e.g. test-and-set), make them reliable on modern systems.

Q3

ans

Monitors automatically handle mutual exclusion so in a multi-core system they reduce the chance of programming errors by ensuring that only one thread can execute the critical section at a time without manually managing semaphores.

Q4

ans

In the Reader-Writer problem, starvation happens when one type of process (usually writers) keeps getting delayed because the other type (readers) continuously arrives and is always given priority. As a result, a writer may wait indefinitely while readers keep accessing the shared resource.

One method to prevent it -

Use a fair (FIFO) scheduling policy, where readers and writers are queued in the order they arrive. This ensures that each process eventually gets its turn and no reader or writer waits forever.

Q5

ans

Eliminating hold and wait forces a process to request all needed resources at once before it starts. Drawback: This leads to poor resource utilization, because a process may hold resources it doesn't need yet, keeping them unavailable for others & reducing overall system efficiency.

Part-B

Q6

ms Given: $S_1 \Rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_2, P_2 \rightarrow P_4$
 $S_2 \Rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow P_5, P_5 \rightarrow P_6$
 $S_3 \Rightarrow P_6 \rightarrow P_1$

(a) Global wait-for Graphs:
 $P_1 \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow P_5 \rightarrow P_6 \rightarrow P_1$
 $P_2 \rightarrow P_4$

(b) Deadlock Detection;
 Cycle exists: $P_1 \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow P_5 \rightarrow P_6 \rightarrow P_1$
 Deadlock Processes: P_1, P_2, P_5, P_6

(c) Distributed Detection:
 Chandy-Misra-Hess Algorithm for distributed deadlock detection

Q7 Given: local access: 5ms
 remote access: 25ms
 remote probability: 0.3

(a) Expected file access time E[TT]:
 $E[TT] = (0.7 \times 5) + (0.3 \times 25)$
 $= 3.5 + 7.5 = 11\text{ms}$

(b) Caching strategy
 → Client side caching → store frequently accessed remote file locally
 → justification - reduces repeated remote access latency & network load.

Q8

ans

Given: Full: 200ms

Incremental: 50ms

RPO: 1s

(a) Optimal Mix - perform full checkpoint every 1s, followed by incremental checkpoints every 250ms.

(b) Reasoning - Incremental checkpoints are faster, reducing overhead, full checkpoints ensure complete recovery - combination meets RPO without blocking the system.

Q9 (a) Distributed Scheduling Challenges - Peak sales create sudden load spikes, uneven across regions.

Suitable Algorithm - Weighted Round Robin or Dynamic Load Balancing using least-loaded server.

(b) Fault Tolerance Strategy - Geo-Redundant Deployment replicate services across multiple data centres.

RTO/RPO - Use synchronous replication for critical services (low RPO) and asynchronous replication for less critical services (acceptable RTO).

Result: High availability even if a region fails.