

## Fourth Quiz, November 10, 2018

### CS345: Algorithms II

Time minutes 45

Max Marks 35

Instructions: Please write legibly and to the point. Be precise in your answer.

**Question 1.** [Marks 10].

Prove or disprove.

- (a) A system of linear equations can have no solution,
- (b) A system of linear equations can have exactly one solution.
- (c) A system of linear equations can have exactly two solutions.
- (d) A system of linear equations can have countably infinite solutions but not uncountable infinite solutions.

#### Solution

Let us assume that the system has  $n$  variables and  $m$  equations. If a system of linear equations  $Ax = b$  has two equations, explicitly or implicitly (i.e., two equations can be created by linearly combining the given equations, corresponding to two parallel hyperplanes), then their intersection is empty. Such a system is called *inconsistent*.

Otherwise if  $\text{rank}(A) = r$ , then any linearly independent  $r$  hyperplanes intersect in to an  $n - r$  dimensional plane, called *solution plane*. A point in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a solution of this system if and only if that point is in the solution plane.

- (a) If the system is inconsistent, then it has no solution. ....True.
- (b) If the system is consistent and the solution plane is  $n - r = 0$  dimensional, then there will be exactly one solution because a zero-dimensional plane has one point. ....True.
- (c) No plane in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  can have finite number of points except the 0-dimensional plane, which has exactly one point. ....False.
- (d) No plane in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  can have countable number of points, except zero-dimensional plane, because all other planes contain at least one (infinite) line which is isomorphic to the real line. ....False.

**Question 2.** [Marks 10].

Let

$$A(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_1^2 & x_1^3 & \dots & x_1^n \\ x_2 & x_2^2 & x_2^3 & \dots & x_2^n \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ x_n & x_n^2 & x_n^3 & \dots & x_n^n \end{bmatrix}$$

Let  $f_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \det(A(x_1, \dots, x_n))$ .

Determine a recurrence relation for  $f_n$ .

**Solution** Using explicit formula for the determinant we get.

$$|A| = x_1 \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x_2^2 & x_2^3 & \dots & x_2^n \\ x_2^2 & x_2^3 & \dots & x_2^n \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ x_n^2 & x_n^3 & \dots & x_n^n \end{bmatrix} - x_2 \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x_1^2 & x_1^3 & \dots & x_1^n \\ x_2^2 & x_2^3 & \dots & x_2^n \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ x_n^2 & x_n^3 & \dots & x_n^n \end{bmatrix} + \dots + (-1)^{n-1} x_n \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x_1^2 & x_1^3 & \dots & x_1^n \\ x_2^2 & x_2^3 & \dots & x_2^n \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ x_{n-1}^2 & x_{n-1}^3 & \dots & x_{n-1}^n \end{bmatrix}$$

Next use the fact that if

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \lambda.a_{j1} & \lambda.a_{j2} & \dots & \lambda.a_{jn} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{j1} & a_{j2} & \dots & a_{jn} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

then  $|A| = \lambda.|B|$ .

So we have

$$|A| = \prod_i x_i. \begin{bmatrix} x_2 & x_2^2 & \dots & x_2^{n-1} \\ x_3 & x_3^2 & \dots & x_3^{n-1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ x_n & x_n^2 & \dots & x_n^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} - \prod_i x_i. \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_1^2 & \dots & x_1^{n-1} \\ x_3 & x_3^2 & \dots & x_3^{n-1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ x_n & x_n^2 & \dots & x_n^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} + \dots + (-1)^{n-1} \prod_i x_i. \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_1^2 & \dots & x_1^{n-1} \\ x_2 & x_2^2 & \dots & x_2^{n-1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ x_{n-1} & x_{n-1}^2 & \dots & x_{n-1}^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

So we get  $f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_i x_i. (f_{n-1}(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{n-1}) - f_{n-1}(x_1, x_3, \dots, x_{n-1}) + \dots + (-1)^{n-1} f_{n-1}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-2}))$ .

**Question 3.** [Marks 15].

Following is an incomplete algorithm to compute the reciprocal of an  $n$ -bit number  $P$ ,  $\lfloor 2^{2n-1}/P \rfloor$ , modified to handle arbitrary  $n$ .

- (i) Complete the algorithm
- (ii) Complete the error analysis

**Input:** A positive integer  $P = \langle p_1 p_2 \dots p_n \rangle$  where  $n$  is positive integer.

**Output:**  $A = \lfloor 2^{2n-1}/P \rfloor$

**if**  $n = 1$  **then**

**return**  $\langle 10 \rangle$ ;

**else**

$n_1 := \lceil n/2 \rceil$ ;

$n_2 := \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ ;

$P_1 := \langle p_1 p_2 \dots p_{n_1} \rangle$  ;

$C := \text{Reciprocal\_General}(n_1, P_1)$ ;

$D := 2^{+n_1}.C - P.C^2$  ;

    /\* What should be  $\square$ ? \*/

$A := \lfloor D/2^{2n_1-1} \rfloor$ ;

    /\* fix the error in  $A$  \*/

    \_\_\_\_\_;

**return**  $A$ ;

**end**

**Algorithm 1:** *Reciprocal\_General*( $n, P$ )

**Error Analysis**

Complete the following analysis by determining  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $k$ . Show all steps of the deduction.

1. Fact 1:  $2^{2n_1} - 1 < P_1.C \leq 2^{2n_1}$ .
2. Fact 2:  $D/2^{2n_1-1} - 1 < A \leq D/2^{2n_1-1}$ .  
So  $PD/2^{2n_1-1} - P < PA \leq PD/2^{2n_1-1}$ .
3. Using  $D = 2^\square.C - PC^2$ , determine bounds for  $PD/2^{2n_1-1}$ :  
 $2^{2n-1} - \alpha \leq PD/2^{2n_1-1} \leq 2^{2n-1}$ .
4. Combine (2) and (3) to find bounds for  $PA$ :  
 $2^{2n-1} - \beta \leq PA \leq 2^{2n-1}$
5. Find an upperbound in terms of  $P$  for  $\beta$ :  $\beta \leq k.P$ .

Therefore error in  $A$  can be at most  $k$ .

### Solution

(3)  $PD = 2^{n+n_1}PC - P^2C^2 = 2^{n+n_1}PC - P^2C^2 - 2^{2n+2n_1-2} + 2^{2n+2n_1-2} = 2^{2n+2n_1-2} - (2^{n+n_1-1} - PC)^2$ . So  $PD/2^{2n_1} = 2^{2n-1} - (1/2^{2n_1-1})(2^{n+n_1-1} - PC)^2$   
So

$$2^{2n-1} - \alpha \leq PD/2^{2n_1-1} \leq 2^{2n-1}$$

where  $\alpha = (2^{n+n_1-1} - PC)^2/2^{2n_1-1}$ .

(4) Next let us use fact 2 to get bounds for  $PA$ . The fact is that

$PD/2^{2n_1-1} - P < PA \leq PD/2^{2n_1-1}$  so combining it with bounds for  $PD/2^{2n_1-1}$  we get  
 $2^{2n-1} - \alpha - P \leq PD/2^{2n_1-1} - P \leq PA \leq PD/2^{2n_1-1} \leq 2^{2n-1}$  so

$$2^{2n-1} - (\alpha + P) \leq PA \leq 2^{2n-1}.$$

(5) Now we will find an upperbound for  $\beta = \alpha + P$  in the form  $k.P$  for some integer  $k$ .

$\alpha + P = (2^{n+n_1-1} - PC)^2/2^{2n_1-1} + P = P + (2^{n+n_1-1} - 2^{n_2}P_1C - P_2C)^2 = P + (2^{n_2}(2^{2n_1-1} - P_1C) - P_2C)^2/2^{2n_1-1}$  because  $P = 2^{n_2}.P_1 + P_2$ .

Simplify it to get  $\alpha + P \leq P + \max\{2^{2n_2}(2^{2n_1-1} - P_1C) - P_2C\}^2 \cdot (1/2^{2n_1-1}) \leq P + \max\{2^{2n_2}P_1^2, P^2C^2\} \cdot (1/2^{2n_1-1})$  from the Fact 1.

Since  $2^{n-1} \leq P < 2^n$ ,  $P_1 < 2^{n_1}$ ,  $C < 2^n$ , and  $P_2 < 2^{n_2}$ ,  $\max\{2^{2n_2}P_1^2, P^2C^2\} \leq 2^{2n_1+2n_2} = 2^{2n}$ .  
This gives

$$\alpha + P \leq P + 2^{2n}/2^{2n_1-1} = P + 2^{2n_2+1} \leq P + 2^{n+1} \leq 4.2^{n-1} \leq P + 4P = 5P$$

So  $k = 5$ .