Ctrapters: Binomial & Poission Distributions

In this chapter we seek to study some specialized probability distributions, which has a lot of applicability. In this chapter in particular we shall focus on Binomial and Poission distributions which are important distributions for discrete random variables. For a discrete random variable X, to its probability distribution is simply an adjebraic expression of its probability mass function. Of course special distributions are for particular type of random variables, describing special situations.

Seiten 1: Binomial Distributions

Suppose we make repeated coin lossing. Of course a fair coin is used. Suppose we too a coin 100 times and ask the question that what is the probability that head appears 25 times? The Binomial Distribution answers such questions.

Binomial Distribution deals with repeated trials and each trial has bus only two possible outcomes, which mark as success or failure. Each such trial is often called a Bernoulli trial. For example when we took a coin for example we can consider the appearance of head (H) as success and appearance of tail as failure. If we denote success success and sailure as F, then any repeated random trial with the Midle books hi may look like the following cases

SSFF...FSSS.....S

So there could be k successes out of n trials. We ask our selve ourselves what is the probability of such an event if each success has a fixed probability b. Note when n trials are carried out k successes can appear in (n) ways.

Thus if X denotes the random variable of denoting the number of success, then

$$P(\overset{\times}{\bullet}=k) = \binom{n}{k} p^{k} (1-p)^{n-k}$$

In Part S denot.

So the Binomial p.m.f is usually uniteen as

$$f_{\chi}(z) = {n \choose z} p^{2} q^{n-z}$$
, where $q=1-p$

Let us first check that fx is indeed a probability mass function.

i)
$$f_{x}(x) \ge 0$$
, is of course clear as $b \ge 0$

$$\lim_{x \to 1} \int_{X} f_{X}(x) = \sum_{x=1}^{n} \binom{n}{x} p^{x} q^{n-x}$$

So why it is called a Binomial distribution is clean. Before providing and any example of a numerical problem let us compute two important measures arrowated with a random variable, namely expectation and variance. a random variable, namely expectation and variance. Thus if X is a binomial random variable, then thus if X is a binomial random variable, then we will compute E(X) = Var(X). There are two approaches to it. We shall choose the approach using the approach using the moment generating function. Now the mgf of the binomial random variable is

able is
$$m_{\chi}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} e^{t \cdot k} \binom{n}{k} \sum_{k=0}^{n} q_{1}^{n-\chi}$$

$$= \left(pe^{t} + q_{1} \right)^{n}$$

Thus $m_{\times}(t) = (pe^{t} + q)^{n}$ mx(t) = n (pet+q) 1-1 pet $m_{\chi}'(0) = m \left(p + q \right)^{n-1} p$ $m \times (0) = m \rightarrow (as p+q=1)$ Hence $\mu_X = E(x) = m_X(0) = np.$ Now to compute the variance we compute $m_X'(0)$. So mx(t) = n(n-1) (pet+q)"-2 pet pet + n (pet + q) n-1 pet $m''_{x}(0) = m(n-1)(p+q)^{n-2}(pet) p^{2}$ + n (p+q) "> p. $m_{x}^{"}(0) = n(m-1)b^{2} + nb$ $= (n^2 - n) p^2 + np$

$$m_{\times}'(0) = m(m-1) p^{2} + mp$$

$$= (m^{2} - n) p^{2} + mp$$

$$= m^{2} p^{2} - mp^{2} + mp - mp^{2}$$

$$= m^{2} p^{2} + mp (1-p)$$

$$= m^{2} p^{2} + mp q$$

 $E(x^{2}) = n^{2} p^{2} + n pq$ $Van(x) = E(x^{2}) - [E(x)]^{2} = n^{2} p^{2} + n pq - n^{2} p^{2}$ Van(x) = n pq

The whole idea of using m.g.f might appear counter-intuitive as if something is forced on to us, without motivation and does not seem to have immediate motivation connect with the definition. Of course we can definitely compute E[X] and Van(X) of a binomial random variable, using brute force, which we demonstrate below.

Brute force computation:

$$\mu_{X} = E(x) = \sum_{x=0}^{n} x \binom{n}{x} p^{x} q^{n-x}$$

$$= \sum_{x=0}^{n} \frac{x \cdot m!}{x! \cdot (n-x)!} p^{x} q^{n-x}$$

$$= \sum_{x=0}^{n} \frac{m!}{(x-i)! \cdot (n-x)!} p^{x} q^{n-x}$$

$$= m \sum_{x=0}^{n} \frac{(m-1)!}{(x-1)! \cdot (m-x)!} p^{x-1} q^{n-x}$$

$$= m \sum_{x=0}^{n} \binom{m-1}{x-1} p^{x-1} q^{n-x}$$

However computing $E(X^2)$ using brute force technique is not so simple. There is a small trick. This is as follows.

$$E(x^{2}) = E(x^{2}-x+x)$$

$$= E(x^{2}-x) + E(x)$$

$$= E(x(x-1)) + E(x).$$

$$E(X(x-1)) = \sum_{x=0}^{n} x(x-1) {n \choose x} p^{x} q^{n-x}$$

$$= \sum_{x=0}^{n} x(x-1) \frac{n!}{x! (n-x)!} p^{x} q^{n-x}$$

$$= \sum_{x=0}^{n} x(x-1) \frac{n!}{x! (n-x)!} p^{x} q^{n-x}$$

$$= \sum_{x=0}^{n} \frac{n!}{(x-2)! (n-x)!} p^{x} q^{n-x}$$

$$= m(m-1) p^{2} \sum_{x=0}^{n} \frac{(m-2)!}{(x-2)! (n-2)!} p^{x-2} q^{n-x}$$

$$= m(m-1) p^{2} (p+q)^{n-2}$$

$$= m(n-1) p^{2}$$

$$= m(n-1) p^{2}$$

$$= m(n-1) p^{2} + mp$$

$$= m(m-1) p^{2} + mp - m^{2} p^{2}$$

$$= m^{2} p^{2} - mp^{2} + mp - m^{2} p^{2}$$

$$= mp (1-p) = mp q^{n}.$$

The square root of the Vart variance of X is called the standard deviation of X. $\nabla_X = \sqrt{Var(X)}$. Let us now look into a numerical example, where we can see the application of binomial distribution.

Example 5.1: A jumbo-jet, say Boeing-747-400 has four engines. the operate independently. Let each engine has a probability of of failure and for a successful flight at least him engines should work. What is the probability that we will flight will be successful.

Solution: The probability of engine not failing is p Let X be the number of engines working during the flight We have to find the probability that X > 2, ie

P(x>2) = 1 - P(x<2)

Of course X follows a binomial distribution with n= 4 & probability of success p. Thus

$$P(x \le 2) = 1 - q^4 - 4pq^3$$

Observe that if X is a binomial random variable, then its distribution depends on two parameters, the number of trials or and the probability of success \$. So often

one write $X \sim B(n, p)$

which means that X is a binomial random variable with re parameters n = p.

Section 2: Poisson Distribution

Suppose you are editing an essay with 5,000 words and you want to know if there what is the probability, that there are five spelling mistakes, given that the probability of finding the mistake is 0.005. If we consider that find occurrence of a spelling mistake is a success, then modelling through the Binomial distribution shows that

$$f_{x}(5) = {5000 \choose 5} (0.005)^{5} (0.995)^{4995}$$

Of course this is a cumbersome computation. The question is can we make it simpler. We are in a situation where n is large and p small while the product up is moderate. Our question is such cases, what form would the Binomial Our question is such cases, what form would the Poisson put take. Trying to figure out this leads up to the Poisson distribution.

Let us assume the n > 2 > 0, then setting $p = \frac{\lambda}{n}$, we can rewrite the Binomial distribution as

$$f_{\chi}(x) = {m \choose x} \left(\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{x} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{m-x}$$

$$= \frac{m!}{x! (m-x)!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{x} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{n} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{x}$$

$$= \frac{m(n-1)(n-2) \dots (n-x+1)(m-x)!}{x! (n-x)!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{x} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{n} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{x}$$
Thus
$$= \frac{m(n-1)(n-2) \dots (n-x+1)(m-x)!}{x! (n-x)!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{x} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{n} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{x}$$

 $f_{\chi}(x) = 1 \underbrace{\left(1 - \frac{1}{h}\right) \left(1 - \frac{2}{h}\right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{(x-i)}{h}\right)}_{\chi} \quad \chi^{\chi} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{h}\right)^{n} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{h}\right)^{n}$

Now we need to see what happens to the right hand side when n->00 We of course know the celebrated formula

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(1 + \frac{z}{n} \right) = e^{z}$$

Thus

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^n = e^{-\lambda}$$

Further $\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{-\chi} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence the robs

$$\frac{\lambda^{x}e^{-\lambda}}{x!}$$

This gives us a new kind of probability distribution, which we call a Poisson distribution with parameter 2.

isson distribution with parameter
$$\frac{\lambda^{x} e^{-\lambda}}{\sum_{x} (x) = \frac{\lambda^{x} e^{-\lambda}}{x!}}$$
, $x = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Note that though & can take any non-negative value, in practice it is small, but even if it is large we can always use Stirlings approximation to x!. Let us now compute the mean and variance of the Poission rand random variable. In fact we unte X~ Poisson(x), i.e x follows a Poisson distribution with parameter 2. Let us use the m.g.f. technique and leave the bruce force technique to the reader

$$m_{x}(t) = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} e^{tx} \lambda^{x} e^{-x\lambda}$$

$$= e^{-\lambda} \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} (\lambda e^{t})^{x}$$

$$= e^{-\lambda} e^{\lambda e^{t}}$$

Now
$$m'_{x}(t) = e^{-\lambda} \lambda e^{\lambda e^{t}} = \lambda e^{-\lambda} e^{t} e^{\lambda t}$$

$$m'_{x}(0) = \mu_{x} = \lambda$$

$$m_{X}''(t) = \lambda e^{-\lambda} \left[e^{t} e^{\lambda e^{t}} + \lambda e^{t} e^{\lambda e^{t}} e^{t} \right]$$

$$m_{X}''(0) = \lambda e^{-\lambda} \left[e^{\lambda} + \lambda e^{\lambda} \right]$$

$$m_{X}''(0) = \lambda + \lambda^{2}$$

Thus

$$Van(x) = E(x^{2}) - (\mu_{x})^{2}$$

$$= m_{x}^{ij}(0) - (\mu_{x})^{2}$$

$$= \lambda + \lambda^{2} - \lambda^{2}$$

$$= \lambda$$

$$Van(x) = \lambda$$

I find this amazing, both mean and variance have the same value, I the parameter of the Poisson distribution.

In his small book called "Facts from Figures", M. J. Moroney almost magically brings up the Poisson Distribution, for a discrete random variable X, with countable range, i.e.

Range
$$X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots \}$$

= NU{0}.

Observe that,

$$1 = e^{-\lambda} e^{\lambda} = e^{-\lambda} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{1!} + \frac{\lambda^2}{2!} + \dots + \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} + \dots \right)$$

$$1 = e^{-\lambda} e^{\lambda} = e^{-\lambda} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{1!} + \frac{\lambda^2}{2!} + \dots + \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} + \dots \right)$$

$$1 = e^{-\lambda} + \frac{\lambda e^{-\lambda}}{1!} + \frac{\lambda^2 e^{-\lambda}}{2!} + \dots + \frac{\lambda^n e^{-\lambda}}{n!} + \dots$$

Observe that each term on the rhs is a term of the Poisson

how Poission distribution works effectively Moreney Moroney tested it on her a data collected by the great Statistician R.A. Fisher This a data on the death of a cavalryman getting killed by a horsekick in the course of a year. The data has been collected over twenty years from ten army corps (of Britain I guess thus has 200 readings

,		J												
Army Cor	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11, 1	2 -		20
1 2					4	100	of.	dea	₩					
3	·Q,	n 0	of d	leat	L									
10												#	:	wh

So the data is represent is a @ 10 x 20 matrix, which has 200 entries. It can be summarized as follows

No of deaths	Frequency of occurrence Cells in the of such deaths [above making with the given no
٥ ,	109
i	65
2	22
3	3
4	1
\$	$\begin{cases} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (3 \times 3) + (4 \times 1) + (6 \times 0) \end{cases}$

Total death = (0×109)+ (1×65) +(2×22) = (3×3)+(4×1)+(5×0) + (6×0)

=122 (in twenty years) among 200 observations

So average death $= \frac{122}{200} = 0.61$ per year per corps

So $\lambda = 0.61$ in this case. Once we fix it we have $e^{-\lambda} \approx 0.543$. Let us assume that number of deaths is a random variable following Poission with the assumption that $\lambda = 0.61$ is fixed.

No of deaths	Poisson Bob, 0.543	Poisson freque = 200 x Poisson in 109	b Actual
1	0.331	66.3	65
2	0.101	20.2	22
3	0.021	4.1	3
4	0.003	0.6	1

[Table is taken from page 98 of "Facts and from Figures" by M.J. Morner Moroney, Pelican, 1951]

So you his data can be indeed very modelled very well by Poisson distributions.

__x__

[&]quot;Thus statistics works"