Econometrics July 3, 2023

## Topic 18: Eigenvalue and Spike Models

by Sai Zhang

Key points: .

**Disclaimer**: The note is built on Prof. Jinchi Lv's lectures of the course at USC, DSO 607, High-Dimensional Statistics and Big Data Problems.

#### 18.1 Motivation

Consider n independent observations  $\mathbf{X}_i \in \mathbb{R}^p$  drawn from a  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Sigma})$ , then the covariance can be decomposed into 2 parts, white noise and low rank

$$\Sigma = \text{Cov}(\mathbf{X}_i) = \mathbf{I} + \sum_{k=1}^{M} \theta_k \nu_k \nu_k' = \Sigma_0 + \mathbf{\Phi}$$

where M denotes the **number of spikes** in the distribution of eigenvalues. The idea is: spikes deviate from a reference model along a <u>small fixed number</u> of unknown directions. If  $\Phi = 0$ , then none of the sample eigenvalues is separated from the bulk.

**Why a spike model is interesting?** A spike model can help determine the latent dimension of the data, some examples being

- Principal component analysis (PCA): spikes are related to the directions of the most variations of the data, i.e., the principal components
- Clustering model: M spikes is equivalent to M+1 clusters
- Economic significance: *M* is related to the number of factor loadings

Then the question is threefold:

- How to determine *M*
- How to estimate  $v_k$
- How to test  $\theta_k$

Under rank one alternative, we would like to test the hypothesis

$$H_1: \mathbf{\Sigma} = \mathbf{I}_p + \theta \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}', \theta > 0$$

against the null

$$H_0: \mathbf{\Sigma} = \mathbf{I}_p$$

with the key assumptions:

A1 Gaussian error

A2 large  $p: p \le n$  but allows  $p/n \to \gamma \in (0,1)$ 

Under these assumptions, for the  $n \times p$  data matrix  $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{X}'_1 \cdots \mathbf{X}'_n)'$ ,  $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}$  has a p-dimensional **Wishart** distribution  $W_p(n, \Sigma)$  with the degree of freedom n and covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ , which is a *random matrix*.

If  $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{M} + \mathbf{X}$ , that is, the sum of the *random matrix*  $\mathbf{X}$  and a *deterministic matrix*  $\mathbf{M}$  (also  $n \times p$ ), then  $\mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{Y}$  has a p-dimensional Wishart distribution  $W_p(n, \Sigma, \Psi)$  with n degrees of freedom, covariance matrix  $\Sigma$  and non-centrality matrix  $\mathbf{\Psi} = \Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{M}'\mathbf{M}$ .

#### Definition 18.1.1: Density of Wishart Distribution

The PDF of Wishart distribution is defined as

$$f(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{1}{2^{np/2} \Gamma_p\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) |\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{n/2}} |\mathbf{X}|^{(n-p-1)/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\right)\right)$$

where **X** is a symmetric positive semidefinite and  $\Gamma_p\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)$  is a multivariate gamma function such that

$$\Gamma_p\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) = \pi^{\frac{p(p-1)}{4}} \prod_{j=1}^p \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} - \frac{j-1}{2}\right)$$

Notice that the sample covariance matrix  $S = \frac{1}{n}X'X$  is just a scaled version of Wishart distribution

$$n\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} \sim W_n(n, \mathbf{\Sigma})$$

For  $\Sigma = \mathbf{I}_p$ , the empirical distribution fo eigenvalues converges to Marcenko-Pastur distribution

$$f^{\text{MP}}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi\gamma x} \sqrt{(b_+ - x)(x - b_-)}$$

where  $b_{\pm} = (1 \pm \sqrt{\gamma})^2$ . Then:

• under  $H_0: \Sigma = \mathbf{I}_p$ , we have

$$n^{2/3} \left( \frac{\lambda_1 - \mu(\gamma)}{\sigma(\gamma)} \right) \stackrel{d}{\to} TW_1$$

where TW<sub>1</sub> is the Tracy-Widom distribution

• under  $H_1: \Sigma = \mathbf{I}_p + \theta \nu \nu', \theta > 0$ , if  $\theta$  is strong  $(\theta \gg \sqrt{\gamma})$ , then

$$n^{1/2}\left(\frac{\lambda_1-\rho(\theta,\gamma)}{\tau(\theta,\gamma)}\right) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0,1)$$

Here, the largest eigenvalue test is the best test. **But** when the signal is weak  $(0 \le \theta < \sqrt{\gamma})$ , the largest eigenvalue under the alternative converges to the same distribution as null:

$$n^{2/3} \left( \frac{\lambda_1 - \rho(\theta, \gamma)}{\tau(\theta, \gamma)} \right) \xrightarrow{d} TW_1$$

which means that the largest eigenvalue test *fails*. On top of this, **resampling** also fails when p is large. Next, we develop another test to cope with these problems.



Figure 18.1: Failure of Resampling Test (n = p = 100)

### 18.2 Johnstone and Onatski (2020)

Consider the basic equation of classical multivariate statistics:

$$\det\left(\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{x}\mathbf{E}\right) = 0\tag{18.1}$$

with  $p \times p$  matrices

$$n_1\mathbf{H} = \sum_{k=1}^{n_1} \mathbf{x}_k \mathbf{x}'_k$$
 hypothesis SS
$$n_1\mathbf{E} = \sum_{k=1}^{n_1} \mathbf{z}_k \mathbf{z}'_k$$
 error SS

The solution  $\mathbf{x}$  is generalized eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^p$ , which are the eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{F}$ -ratio  $\mathbf{E}^{-1}\mathbf{H}$ . Johnstone and Onatski (2020) summarized 5 topics using  $\mathbf{E}^{-1}\mathbf{H}$  relying on the five most common hypergeometric functions  $\mathbf{E}^{-1}\mathbf{H}$  relying on the five most common hypergeometric functions  $\mathbf{E}^{-1}\mathbf{H}$  relying on the five most common hypergeometric functions  $\mathbf{E}^{-1}\mathbf{H}$ 

• scalar inputs

$${}_{\mathbf{p}}\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{q}}(a,b;x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_p)_k} \frac{x^k}{k!}$$

where  $(a_i)_k$  are generalized Pochhammer symbols

- single matrix inputs, where  $\boldsymbol{S}$  is symmetric and usually diagonal

$$_{\mathbf{p}}\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{q}}(a,b;\mathbf{S}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\kappa} \frac{(a_1)_{\kappa} \cdots (a_p)_{\kappa}}{(b_1)_{\kappa} \cdots (b_p)_{\kappa}} \frac{C_{\kappa}(\mathbf{S})}{k!}$$

where  $C_k$  are the zonal polynomials. Easily,  $_0\mathcal{F}_0(\mathbf{S}) = e^{\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{S})}, _1\mathcal{F}_0(a,\mathbf{S}) = |\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{S}|^{-a}$ 

• two matrix inputs, where **S**, **T** are both symmetric

$$_{\mathbf{p}}\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{q}}(a,b;\mathbf{S},\mathbf{T}) = \int_{O(p)} {}_{\mathbf{p}}\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{q}}(a,b;\mathbf{SUTU'})(d)\mathbf{U}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Hypergeometric functions are:

		Statistical method	$n_1\mathbf{H}$	$n_2\mathbf{E}$	Univariate Analog
$\overline{_{0}\mathcal{F}_{0}}$	PCA	Principal components analysis	$W_p(n_1, \Sigma + \Phi)$	$n_2\Sigma$	$\chi^2$
$_1\mathcal{F}_0$	SigD	Signal detection	$W_p(n_1, \Sigma + \Phi)$	$W_p(n_2, \Sigma)$	non-central $\chi^2$
$_0\mathcal{F}_1$	$REG_0$	Multivariate regression, with known error	$W_p(n_1, \Sigma, n_1\mathbf{\Phi})$	$n_2\Sigma$	F
$_1\mathcal{F}_1$	REG	Multivariate regression, with unknown error	$W_p(n_1, \mathbf{\Sigma}, n_1\mathbf{\Phi})$	$W_p(n_2, \Sigma)$	non-central F
$_2\mathcal{F}_1$	CCA	Canonical correlation analysis	$W_p(n_1, \mathbf{\Sigma}, \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{Y}))$	$W_p(n_2, \Sigma)$	$\frac{r^2}{1-r^2}$

For  $_0\mathcal{F}_0$  and  $_0\mathcal{F}_1$ , **E** is deterministic,  $\Sigma$  is known,  $n_2$  disppears, otherwise **E** is independent of **H**.

## 18.2.1 Definitions and global assumptions

Let **Z** be an  $n \times p$  data matrix with rows (observations) drawn i.i.d. from  $\mathcal{N}_p(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma)$  Johnstone and Onatski (2020) established a unified statistical problem symmetric matrix denoising (SMD).

# References

Iain M Johnstone and Alexei Onatski. Testing in high-dimensional spiked models. *The Annals of Statistics*, 48(3), 2020.