

## Topic 6: DID and TWFE

by Sai Zhang

**Key points:** This note is on the causal panel data, building upon [Arkhangelsky and Imbens \(2023\)](#).

**Disclaimer:** *This note is compiled by Sai Zhang.*

## 6.1 Panel Data Configurations

### 6.1.1 Data Types

#### 6.1.1.1 Panel Data

For observations on  $N$  units, indexed by  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , over  $T$  periods, indexed by  $t = 1, \dots, T$ , the outcome of interest is denoted by  $Y_{it}$ , the treatment  $W_{it}$ . These observations may themselves consist of averages over more basic units:

$$\mathbf{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_{11} & \cdots & Y_{1T} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ Y_{N1} & \cdots & Y_{NT} \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathbf{W} = \begin{pmatrix} W_{11} & \cdots & W_{1T} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ W_{N1} & \cdots & W_{NT} \end{pmatrix}$$

we may also observe exogenous variables  $X_{it}$  or  $X_i$ . Typically, we focus on a balanced panel where for all units  $i = 1, \dots, N$  we observe outcomes for all  $t = 1, \dots, T$ .

#### 6.1.1.2 Grouped Repeated Cross-Section Data

In a GRCS data, we have observations on  $N$  units, each observed only once in period  $T_i$  for unit  $i$ . Different units may be observed at different points in time,  $T_i$  typically takes on only a few values, with many units sharing the same value for  $T_i$ . The outcome  $Y_i$  and treatment  $W_i$  are indexed by the unit index  $i$ . The set of units is **partitioned** into 2 or more groups, with the group that unit  $i$  belongs to denoted by  $G_i \in \mathcal{G} = \{1, 2, \dots, G\}$ .

Define the average outcomes for each group-time-period pair:

$$\bar{Y}_{gt} \equiv \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{1}_{G_i=g, T_i=t} Y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{1}_{G_i=g, T_i=t}}$$

for treatment

$$\bar{W}_{gt} \equiv \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{1}_{G_i=g, T_i=t} W_i}{\sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{1}_{G_i=g, T_i=t}}$$

then treat the  $G \times T$  group averages  $\bar{Y}_{gt}$  and  $\bar{W}_{gt}$  as the unit of observation, then the grouped data is just a panel. The major issue in practice is that the number of groups is very small comparing to proper panel data.

### 6.1.1.3 Row and Column Exchangeable Data

The data are doubly indexed by  $i = 1, \dots, N$  and  $j = 1, \dots, J$ , with outcomes  $Y_{ij}$ . They are different from panel data in that there is **no time ordering** for the second index. Many methods developed for panel data are also applicable here.

## 6.1.2 Shapes of Data Frames

Panel data can also be loosely classified by the shape:

- **Thin Frames** ( $N \gg T$ ), where the number of cross-section units is large relative to the number of time periods:
  - unit-specific parameters (individual FEs) **can not be estimated consistently** due to the short time series
  - REs might be more suitable since they place a stochastic structure on the individual components
- **Fat Frames** ( $N \ll T$ ), where the number of cross-section units is large relative to the number of time periods.
- **Square**  $N \approx T$ , where the number of units and time periods is comparable.

## 6.1.3 Assignment Mechanisms

### 6.1.3.1 The General Case

In the most general case, the treatment may vary both across units and over time, with units **switching** in and out of the treatment group:

$$\mathbf{W}^{\text{general}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

This is more relevant for the RCED configurations, and for panel data of products and promotions as treatments. The assumption on the absence/presence of **dynamic treatment** effects is very important.

### 6.1.3.2 Single Treated Period

One special case arises when a substantial number of units is treated, but these units are only treated **in the last period**

$$\mathbf{W}^{\text{last}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

If  $T$  is relatively small, this case is often analyzed as a cross-section problem, the lagged outcomes are used as exogenous covariates or pre-treatment variables to be adjusted. Here, dynamic effects are not testable,

nor do they matter since the shortness of the panel.

$$\mathbf{W}^{\text{last}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

this setting is prominent in the original applications of the synthetic control literature, here  $T$  is usually small.

### 6.1.3.3 Single Treated Unit and Single Treated Period

An extreme case is where only a single unit is treated, and it is only treated in a single period (typically the last).

$$\mathbf{W}^{\text{block}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Normally, we focus on the effect for the single treated/time-period pair and construct prediction intervals.

### 6.1.3.4 Block Assignment

The case of block assignment is where a subset of units is treated every period after a common starting date:

$$\mathbf{W}^{\text{block}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

There is typically a sufficient number of treated unit/time-period pairs to allow for reasonable approximations. The presence of dynamic effects change the interpretation of the average effect of the treated: the average effect for the treated now is an average over short **and** medium term effects during different periods.

### Staggered Adoption (a.k.a. absorbing treatment setting)

The staggered adoption is the case where units adopt the treatment at various period, and remain in the treatment group once they adopt the treatment:

$$\mathbf{W}^{\text{block}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Here, with some assumptions, we can separate dynamic effects from heterogeneity across calendar time.

### 6.1.3.5 Event Study Designs

In the event-study design, units are exposed to the treatment in at most one period:

$$\mathbf{W}^{\text{block}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

There are often dynamic effects of the treatment past the time of initial treatment, however, the effects might be changing over time.

### 6.1.3.6 Clustered Assignment

In many applications, units are grouped together in clusters. Units within the same clusters are always assigned to the treatment:

$$\mathbf{W}^{\text{cluster}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \text{cluster} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 3 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & C \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & C \end{pmatrix}$$

Clustering creates complications for inference.

## References

Dmitry Arkhangelsky and Guido Imbens. Causal models for longitudinal and panel data: A survey. Technical report, National Bureau of Economic Research, 2023.