Econometrics August 30, 2023

Topic 19: Community Detection

by Sai Zhang

Key points: .

Disclaimer: The note is built on Prof. Jinchi Lv's lectures of the course at USC, DSO 607, High-Dimensional Statistics and Big Data Problems.

19.1 Stochastic Block Model (Abbe et al., 2015)

Consider an undirected graph G, with nodes V and edges E. Let

- *n* be a positive integer: the number of **vertices**
- *k* be a positive integer: the number of **communities**
- $p = (p_1, \dots, p_k)$ be a probability vector on $\{1, \dots, k\} := [k]$: the **prior** on the k communities
- **W** be a $k \times k$ symmetric matrix with entries $W_{ij} \in [0,1]$: the matrix of **connectivity probabilities**

then we have

Definition 19.1.1: Stochastic Block Model

The pair (\mathbf{X}, G) is drawn under $SBM(n, p, \mathbf{W})$ if \mathbf{X} is an n dimensional random vector with i.i.d. components distributed under p, and G is an n-vertex simple graph where vertices i and j are connected with probability W_{X_i,X_j} , **independently** of other pairs of vertices. And the **community** sets can be defined by

$$\Omega_i = \Omega_i(\mathbf{X}) := \{v \in [n] : X_v = i\}, i \in [k]$$

Immediately, we can define the symmetry of SBM as:

Definition 19.1.2: Symmetric SBM

An SBM is called symmetric if

- p is uniform
- W takes the same value on the diagonal and the same value off the diagonal

 (\mathbf{X}, G) is drawn under SSBM(n, k, A, B) if $p = \{1/k\}^k$ and \mathbf{W} takes avolue A on the diagonal and B off the diagonal.

19.1.1 Recovery

The goal of community detection is to recover the labels X by observing G, up to some level of accuracy. First, define **agreement** as

Definition 19.1.3: Agreement of Communities

The agreement between two community vectors \mathbf{x} , $\mathbf{y} \in [k]^n$ is obtained by maximizing the common components between \mathbf{x} and any relabelling of \mathbf{y} , that is

$$A(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \max_{\pi \in S_k} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{1} \left[x_i = \pi(y_i) \right]$$

where S_k is the group of permutations on [k].

The **relabelling** permutation is used to handle symmetric communities such as in SSBM, as it is impossible to recover the actual labels in this case. But it's possible to recover the **partition**. There are 2 types of partition recovery we consider

Exact Recovery First, consider the case of **exact recovery**:

Definition 19.1.4: Exact Recovery

Let $(\mathbf{X}, G) \sim SBM(n, p, W)$, the exact recovery is solved if there exists an algorithm that takes G as an input and outpus $\hat{\mathbf{X}} = \hat{\mathbf{X}}(G)$ such that $\mathbb{P}\left\{A(\mathbf{X}, \hat{\mathbf{X}}) = 1\right\} = 1 - o_p(1)$

In the SSBM case, algorithms that guarantee

$$A(\mathbf{X}, \hat{\mathbf{X}}) \to \frac{1}{k}$$

would be trivial.

Weak Recovery On the other hand, we the case of weak recovery defined as

Definition 19.1.5: Weak Recovery

Weak recovery or detection is solved SSBM(n,k,A,B) if for $(\mathbf{X},G) \sim SSBM(n,k,A,B)$, then $\exists \epsilon > 0$ and an algorithm that takes G as an input and outputs $\hat{\mathbf{X}}$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{A(\mathbf{X}, \hat{\mathbf{X}}) \ge \frac{1}{k} + \epsilon\right\} = 1 - o(1)$$

19.1.2 **Example:** SSBM(n,2)

Let's look at the example of $SSBM(n, 2, \alpha \frac{\log n}{n}, \beta \frac{\log n}{n})$, where

- *n*: number of vertices (assumed to be even for simplicity)
- for each $v \in [n]$, a binary label X_v is attached s.t.

$$|\{v \in [n] : X_v = 1\}| = n/2$$

• for each pair of distinct nodes $u, v \in [n]$, an edge is placed with probability

$$-\alpha \frac{\log n}{n} \text{ if } X_u = X_v$$

$$-\beta \frac{\log n}{n} \text{ if } X_u \neq X_v$$

where edges are placed independently conditionally on the vertex labels

• WLOG, $\alpha > \beta$

then we have the following theorem

Theorem 19.1.6: Exact Recovery in $SSBM(n, 2, \alpha \log(n)/n, \beta \log(n)/n)$

- Exact recovery in $SSBM(n, 2, \alpha \log(n)/n, \beta \log(n)/n)$ is solvable and efficiently so if $|\sqrt{\alpha} \sqrt{\beta}| > \sqrt{2}$ nad unsolvable if $|\sqrt{\alpha} \sqrt{\beta}| < \sqrt{2}$
- Exact recovery of the ground truth assignment of the partition (A, B) is also achieveable, that is: if

$$\frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} - \sqrt{\alpha \beta} > 1$$

i.e.

$$\alpha + \beta > 2$$
, $(\alpha - \beta)^2 > 4(\alpha + \beta) - 4$

the maximum likelihood estimator exactly recovers the communities (up to a global flip), with high probability.

See Abbe (2017) for the proof of this theorem.

In summary, for a graph structure G = (V, E) represented by adjacency matrix $\mathbf{X}_{n \times n}$, Stochastic Block Model (SBM)

- assumes that there is a symmetric matrix $\mathbf{P} = \{p_{ij}\} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$, for $k \ll n$ and a map $C : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$, s.t. $\Pr(\mathbf{X}_{ij} = 1) = \mathbf{P}_{C(i), C(i)}$
- Define $\Pi = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n)' \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ where $\Pi_{ij} = 1$ if C(i) = j, and $\Pi_{ij} = 0$ otherwise
- Let $\mathbf{H} = \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X})$ be the probability matrix, then $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{\Pi} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{\Pi}'$
- A variant of SBM is degree corrected SBM which incorporates the degree heterogeneity.
 - each node is assigned a parameter $\theta_i > 0$ such that $\Pr(\mathbf{X}_{ij} = 1) = \theta_i \theta_j \mathbf{P}_{C(i),C(j)}$
 - $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{\Theta} \mathbf{\Pi} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{\Pi}' \mathbf{\Theta}$, where $\mathbf{\Theta} = \text{diag}(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$

19.2 SIMPLE Model (Fan et al., 2022)

In SBM, each $\pi_i \in \{e_1, \dots, e_K\}$ with e_k a one entry vector whose k-th component is one. But what if each node i can belong to K different communities? We generalize π_i to be a compositional vector, and interpret it as community membership profile for node i, then

$$\Pr\left(\mathbf{X}_{ij}=1\right) = \theta_i \theta_j \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{l=1}^K \pi_i(k) \pi_j(l) p_{kl}$$

and $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{\Theta} \mathbf{\Pi} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{\Pi}' \mathbf{\Theta}$. Now, consider a new statistical tests for testing whether any given pair of nodes share the same membership profiles, and providing the associated p-values.

19.2.1 Problem Setting

For an undirected graph G = (V, E) with n nodes, let $\mathbf{X} = \{x_{ij}\} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be the **symmetric** adjacency matrix. Under a probabilistic model, assume x_{ij} is an independent realization from a Bernoulli random variable for all upper triangular entries of random matrix \mathbf{X} . Consider the adjacency matrix with the deterministic-random latent structure

$$X = H + W$$

where

- $\mathbf{H} = \{h_{ij}\} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is the deterministic mean matrix of low rank $K \ge 1$
- $\mathbf{W} = \{w_{ij}\} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a symmetric random matrix with zero mean and independent entries on and above the diagonal

Assume *V* is decomposed into *K* disjoint latent communities

$$C_1, \cdots, C_K$$

where each node i is associated with the community membership probability vector

$$\pi_i = (\pi_i(1), \cdots, \pi_i(K))' \in \mathbb{R}^K$$

s.t.

$$\Pr(i \in C_k) = \pi_i(k), \ k = 1, \dots, K$$

here, K is unknown but bounded away from ∞ .

19.2.2 Hypothesis Testing

For any given pair of nodes $i \neq j \in V$, the goal is to infer whether they share the same community identity with quantified uncertainty level based on adjacency matrix X, the hypothesis

References

Emmanuel Abbe. Community detection and stochastic block models: recent developments. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 18(1):6446–6531, 2017.