Econometrics October 17, 2023

## Topic 20: Random Forest

by Sai Zhang

Key points: .

**Disclaimer**: The note is built on Prof. Jinchi Lv's lectures of the course at USC, DSO 607, High-Dimensional Statistics and Big Data Problems.

#### 20.1 Motivation

Denote by  $m(\mathbf{X})$  the measurable nonparametric regression function with p-dimensional random vector  $\mathbf{X}$  taking values in  $[0,1]^p$ . The Random Forest algorithm aims to learn the regression function in a nonparametric way based on the observations  $\mathbf{x}_i \in [0,1]^p$ ,  $y_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , from the model

$$y_i = m(\mathbf{x}_i) + \epsilon_i$$

where X,  $x_i$ ,  $\varepsilon_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  are independent, and  $\{x_i\}$  and  $\{\varepsilon_i\}$  are two sequences of identically distributed random variables.  $x_i$  is distributed identically as X.

Why Random Forest (RF)? RF has gained significant popularity due to its

- High accuracy: RF consistently rank among the top performer, often surpassing more complex models
- Robustness: RF are less subject to overfitting due to the ensemble nature leveraging multiple decision trees
- Interpretability: RF provide rankings of feature importance

As illustrated in Figure 20.1, in a level-2 tree, each node (cell) defines the point where the current cell split and new cells are produced. The sets of features eligible for splitting cells at level k-1 are denoted as  $\Theta_k := \{\Theta_{k,1}, \cdots, \Theta_{k,2^{k-1}}\}$ , where  $\Theta_{k,s} \subset \{1, \cdots, p\}$ .



Figure 20.1: Level-2 Tree Example

Given any T (and the associated splitting criterion) and  $\Theta_{1:k}$ , the tree estimate denoted as  $\hat{m}_{T(\Theta_{1:k})}$  for a test

20-2 Week 20: Random Forest

point  $\mathbf{c} \in [0,1]^p$  is defined as

$$\hat{m}_{T(\Theta_{1:k})}(\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{X}_n) := \sum_{(\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_k) \in T(\Theta_{1:k})} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{t}_k} \left( \frac{\sum_{i \in \{i: \mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbf{t}_k\}} y_i}{\# \{i: \mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbf{t}_k\}} \right)$$

where  $X_n := \{\mathbf{x}_i, y_i\}_{i=1}^n$  the fraction is defined as 0 when no sample is in the cell  $\mathbf{t}_k$ , and  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{t}_k}$  is an indicator function = 1 if  $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{t}_k$  and = 0 otherwise.

### 20.2 Chi et al. (2022): High Dimensional RFs

Following Chi et al. (2022), for a RF model where

- a sequence of distinct  $\Theta_{1:k}$  results in a distinct tree
- every set of available features  $\Theta_{l,s}$ ,  $l=1,\cdots,k$ ;  $s=1,\cdots,2^{l-1}$

**Column subsampling** Define a **column subsampling** procedure:  $\Theta_{l,s}$ ,  $\forall l,s$  has  $[\gamma_0 p]$  distinct integers among  $1, \dots, p$ , with  $[\cdot]$  the ceiling function for some  $0 < \gamma_0 \le 1$ .  $\gamma_0$  is the predetermined constant parameter of column subsampling. Introduce the boldface random mappings  $\Theta_{1:k}$ , which are independent and uniformly distributed over all possible  $\Theta_{1:k}$  for all integer k. Then random forests estimate for  $\mathbf{c}$  with observations  $X_n$  is given by

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\hat{m}_{T(\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{1:k})}\left(\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{X}_{n}\right) \mid \mathcal{X}_{n}\right) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{1:k}} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{s=1}^{k} \left\{\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{s} = \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{s}\right\}\right) \hat{m}_{T(\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{1:k})}\left(\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{X}_{n}\right)$$

The expectation is taken over sets of available features.

**Observation resampling** Let  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_B\}$  be a set of subsamples with each  $a_i$  consisting of  $\lceil bn \rceil$  observations (indices) drawn without replacement from  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  for some positive integer B and  $0 < b \le 1$ ; in addition, each  $a_i$  is independent of model training. The default values of B and B are 500 and 0.632 $^1$ . Then the tree estimate using subsample B is define as

$$\hat{m}_{T(\Theta_{1:k}),a}\left(\mathbf{c},\mathcal{X}_{n}\right) \coloneqq \sum_{\left(\mathbf{t}_{1},\cdots,\mathbf{t}_{k}\right)\in T(\Theta_{1:k})} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{c}\in\mathbf{t}_{k}} \left(\frac{\sum_{i\in a\cap\left\{i:\mathbf{x}_{i}\in\mathbf{t}_{k}\right\}} y_{i}}{\#\left(a\cap\left\{i:\mathbf{x}_{i}\in\mathbf{t}_{k}\right\}\right)}\right)$$

the random forests estimate given A is then

$$B^{-1} \sum_{a \in A} \mathbb{E} \left[ \hat{m}_{T,a} \left( \mathbf{\Theta}_{1:k}, \mathbf{c}, \mathcal{X}_n \right) \mid \mathcal{X}_n \right] := B^{-1} \sum_{a \in A} \mathbb{E} \left[ \hat{m}_{T(\mathbf{\Theta}_{1:k}),a} \left( \mathbf{c}, \mathcal{X}_n \right) \mid \mathcal{X}_n \right]$$

**CART-split criterion** Given a cell t, a subset of observation indices a and a set of available features  $\Theta \subset \{1, \dots, p\}$ , the CART-split is defined as

$$(\hat{j}, \hat{c}) = \arg \min_{j \in \Theta, c \in \{x_{ij}: \mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbf{t}, i \in a\}} \left[ \sum_{i \in a \cap P_L} (\overline{y}_L - y_i)^2 + \sum_{i \in a \cap P_R} (\overline{y}_R - y_i)^2 \right]$$
(20.1)

 $<sup>{}^{1}\</sup>text{Or}$ , b = 1 but observations are drawn with replacement.

Week 20: Random Forest 20-3

where

$$P_L := \left\{ i : \mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbf{t}, x_{ij} < c \right\}$$

$$\overline{y}_L := \sum_{i \in a \cap P_L} \frac{y_i}{\#(a \cap P_L)}$$

$$P_R := \left\{ i : \mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbf{t}, x_{ij} \ge c \right\}$$

$$\overline{y}_R := \sum_{i \in a \cap P_R} \frac{y_i}{\#(a \cap P_R)}$$

The CART-split criterion conditional on the sample is a deterministic splitting criterion; conditioning on another sample leads to another deterministic splitting criterion. Define  $\hat{T}_a$  as the sample tree growing rule that is associated with a splitting criterion following Eq. (20.1), the tree estimates using  $\hat{T}_a$  can be similarly defined as

$$\hat{m}_{\hat{T}_a(\Theta_{1:k})}(\mathbf{c}, \mathcal{X}_n) := \sum_{(\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_k) \in \hat{T}_a(\Theta_{1:k})} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{t}_k} \left( \frac{\sum_{i \in \{i: \mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbf{t}_k\}} y_i}{\# \{i: \mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbf{t}_k\}} \right)$$

the definition is the same for  $\hat{m}_{\hat{T}_{a,d}}$ . Then the random forests estimate for a test point  $\mathbf{c} \in [0,1]^p$  is given by

$$B^{-1} \sum_{a \in A} \mathbb{E} \left( \hat{m}_{\hat{T}_a, a} \left( \mathbf{\Theta}_{1:k}, \mathbf{c}, \mathcal{X}_n \right) \mid \mathcal{X}_n \right)$$

where the average and conditional expectation correspond to the sample and column subsamplings respectively, and they are interchangeable.

**Bias-variance decomposition** For a tree growing rule T and  $\Theta_{1:k}$ , the population version is defined as

$$m_{T(\Theta_{1:k})}^{*}(\mathbf{c}) := \sum_{(\mathbf{t}_{1}, \dots, \mathbf{t}_{k}) \in T(\Theta_{1:k})} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{t}_{k}} \mathbb{E}\left(m(\mathbf{X}) \mid \mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{t}_{k}\right)$$
(20.2)

for each test point  $\mathbf{c} \in [0,1]^p$ . And the  $\mathbb{L}^2$  prediction loss for random forests is defined as

$$\mathbb{E}\left[m(\mathbf{X}) - B^{-1} \sum_{a \in A} \mathbb{E}\left(\hat{m}_{\hat{T}_a, a}\left(\mathbf{\Theta}_{1:k}, \mathbf{X}, \mathcal{X}_n\right) \mid \mathbf{X}, \mathcal{X}_n\right)\right]^2$$
(20.3)

if we use the full sample  $a = \{1, \dots, n\}$ , and denote  $\hat{T}_a$  and  $\hat{m}_{\hat{T}_a, a}$  as  $\hat{T}$  and  $\hat{m}_{\hat{T}}$ , the sample subsampling and average  $B^{-1} \sum_{a \in A} (\cdot)$  in the random forests estimate are no longer needed, then Eq.(20.3) can be simplified as

$$\mathbb{E}\left[m(\mathbf{X}) - \mathbb{E}\left(\hat{m}_{\hat{T}}\left(\mathbf{\Theta}_{1:k}, \mathbf{X}, \mathcal{X}_{n}\right) \mid \mathbf{X}, \mathcal{X}_{n}\right)\right]^{2}$$

By Jensen's inequality and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left[m(\mathbf{X}) - \mathbb{E}\left(\hat{m}_{\hat{T}}\left(\mathbf{\Theta}_{1:k}, \mathbf{X}, \mathcal{X}_{n}\right) \mid \mathbf{X}, \mathcal{X}_{n}\right)\right]^{2}$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}\left[m(\mathbf{X}) - m_{\hat{T}}^{*}\left(\mathbf{\Theta}_{1:k}, \mathbf{X}\right)\right]^{2} + \mathbb{E}\left[m_{\hat{T}}^{*}\left(\mathbf{\Theta}_{1:k}, \mathbf{X}\right) - \hat{m}_{\hat{T}}\left(\mathbf{\Theta}_{1:k}, \mathbf{X}, \mathcal{X}_{n}\right)\right]^{2}$$
approximation error (squared bias) estimation variance

# Consistency of RF Models

For a cell t and its two daughter cells t' and t", define

$$\begin{split} (\mathbb{I})_{\mathbf{t},\mathbf{t}'} &:= \mathbb{P}\left(X \in \mathbf{t}' \mid X \in \mathbf{t}\right) \operatorname{Var}\left(m(X) \mid X \in \mathbf{t}'\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(X \in \mathbf{t}'' \mid X \in \mathbf{t}\right) \operatorname{Var}\left(m(X) \mid X \in \mathbf{t}''\right) \\ (\mathbb{II})_{\mathbf{t},\mathbf{t}'} &:= \mathbb{P}\left(X \in \mathbf{t}' \mid X \in \mathbf{t}\right) \left[\mathbb{E}(m(X) \mid X \in \mathbf{t}') - \mathbb{E}(m(X) \mid X \in \mathbf{t})\right]^2 \\ &+ \mathbb{P}\left(X \in \mathbf{t}'' \mid X \in \mathbf{t}\right) \left[\mathbb{E}(m(X) \mid X \in \mathbf{t}'') - \mathbb{E}(m(X) \mid X \in \mathbf{t})\right]^2 \end{split}$$

20-4 Week 20: Random Forest

and  $(\mathbb{I})_{\mathbf{t},\mathbf{t}''}$  and  $(\mathbb{II})_{\mathbf{t},\mathbf{t}''}$  are defined similarly.

in this context, we assume the following regularity conditions:

- **Absolutely continuous distribution**: f, the density function of X, is bounded away from 0 and  $\infty$
- Covariates and model errors: assume  $p = O(n^{K_0})$  for  $K_0 > 0$ , and there is a symmetric distribution around 0 for  $\epsilon_1$ , s.t.  $\mathbb{E} |\epsilon_1|^q < \infty$  for sufficiently large q > 0
- **Bounded regression functions**:  $\sup_{\mathbf{c} \in [0,1]^p} |m(\mathbf{c})| \le M_0$ , for some  $M_0 > 0$
- Sufficient impurity decrease:  $\exists \alpha_1 \ge 1 \text{ s.t. } \forall \mathbf{t} = t_1 \times \cdots \times t_p$ ,

$$\operatorname{Var}\left[m(\mathbf{X})\mid\mathbf{X}\in\mathbf{t}\right]\leq\alpha_{1}\sup_{j\in\left\{1,\cdots,p\right\},c\in t_{j}}\left(\mathbb{II}\right)_{\mathbf{t},\mathbf{t}\left(j,c\right)}$$

where

- $-(\mathbb{I})_{t,t'}$ : conditional bias decrease (or conditional impurity decrease)
- Var [m(X) | X ∈ t]: conditional *total* bias, Var [m(X) | X ∈ t] = ( $\mathbb{I}$ )<sub>t,t'</sub> + ( $\mathbb{I}$ )<sub>t,t'</sub>
- Intuition: having large conditional bias decrease on each cell is a desired property for achieving a good control of the squared bias of random forests estimate

#### **Sufficient impurity decrease (SID)** Define the functional class

$$SID(\alpha) := \{m(\mathbf{X}) : m(\mathbf{X}) \text{ satisfies SID with } \alpha_1 \leq \alpha \}$$

the size of  $SID(\alpha)$  is **non-decreasing** in  $\alpha \ge 1$ : if  $m(\mathbf{X}) \in SID(\alpha - c)$  for some  $\alpha - c \ge 1$  and c > 0, then  $m(\mathbf{X}) \in SID(\alpha)^2$ .

Under the regularity conditions mentioned above, we have the following theorem

#### Theorem 20.2.1: Consistency

Let  $0 < b \le 1, 0 < \gamma_0 \le 1, \alpha_2 > 1, 0 < \eta < 1/8, 0 < c < 1/4 and <math>\delta > 0, 2\eta < \delta < \frac{1}{4}$ . Let  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_B\}$  with  $\#a_i = \lceil bn \rceil$  for  $i = 1, \dots, B$  and  $a \in A$ . Then  $\exists C > 0$  s.t. for all large n and each  $1 \le k \le c \log_2 \lceil bn \rceil$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[m(\mathbf{X}) - \mathbb{E}\left(\hat{m}_{\hat{T}_{a},a}\left(\mathbf{\Theta}_{1:k}, \mathbf{X}, X_{n}\right) \mid \mathbf{X}, X_{n}\right)\right]^{2}$$

$$\leq C\left[\alpha_{1}\left(\lceil bn \rceil\right)^{-\eta} + \left(1 - \gamma_{0}\left(\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}\right)^{-1}\right)^{k} + \left(\lceil bn \rceil\right)^{-\delta + c}\right]$$

In addition, when aggregate over row subsamples (over  $a \in A$ ), get

$$\mathbb{E}\left[m(\mathbf{X}) - \frac{1}{B}\mathbb{E}\left(\hat{m}_{\hat{T}_a,a}\left(\mathbf{\Theta}_{1:k}, \mathbf{X}, X_n\right) \mid \mathbf{X}, X_n\right)\right]^2$$

$$\leq C\left[\alpha_1\left(\lceil bn \rceil\right)^{-\eta} + \left(1 - \gamma_0\left(\alpha_1 \alpha_2\right)^{-1}\right)^k + \left(\lceil bn \rceil\right)^{-\delta + c}\right]$$

Here, the feature dimensionality p and tree height k decide the number of all possible cells when growing trees.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Many popular regression functions belong to this functional class.

Week 20: Random Forest 20-5

**Bias-variance decomposition** Under Theorem (20.2.1), both bias and variance depend implicitly on p through n in the upper bounds.

#### Proposition 20.2.2: Bias-Variance Decomposition

Under Thm (20.2.1), for all large n and  $1 \le k \le c \log_2 n$ , it holds that

Squared bias := 
$$\mathbb{E}\left[m(\mathbf{X}) - m_{\hat{T}}^*(\mathbf{\Theta}_{1:k}, \mathbf{X})\right]^2 + \mathbb{E}\left[m_{\hat{T}}^*(\mathbf{\Theta}_{1:k}, \mathbf{X}) - \hat{m}_{\hat{T}}(\mathbf{\Theta}_{1:k}, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}_n)\right]^2$$
approximation error (squared bias)

20-6 Week 20: Random Forest

# References

Chien-Ming Chi, Patrick Vossler, Yingying Fan, and Jinchi Lv. Asymptotic properties of high-dimensional random forests. *The Annals of Statistics*, 50(6):3415–3438, 2022.