Econometrics May 26, 2023

### Topic 16: Graphical Network Inference

by Sai Zhang

#### Key points:

**Disclaimer**: The note is built on Prof. Jinchi Lv's lectures of the course at USC, DSO 607, High-Dimensional Statistics and Big Data Problems.

#### 16.1 Motivation

Consider a classic question: For n observations of dimension p, how can we capture the statistical relationships between the variables of interest? Consider the example of the multivariate Gaussian distribution:

#### **Example 16.1.1: Multivariate Gaussian Distribution**

Suppose we have n observations of dimension p,  $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$ . let  $\mathbf{S}$  be the empirical covariance matrix. Then the probability density

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2} \det(\mathbf{\Sigma})^{1/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})' \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right\}$$

define the **inverse covariance matrix** or **precision matrix** as  $\Omega = \Sigma^{-1}$ , then we have

$$f_{\mu,\Omega} = \exp\left\{\mu'\Omega x - \left(\Omega, \frac{1}{2}xx'\right) - \frac{p}{2}\log(2\pi) + \frac{1}{2}\log\det(\Omega) - \frac{1}{2}\mu'\Omega\mu\right\}$$

where  $\langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \rangle = \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B})$ .

In this example, we know that **every** multivariate Gaussian distribution can be represented by a pairwise **Gaussian Markov Random Field (GMRF)**, which an **undirected graph** G = (V, E)

- representing the collection of variables **x** by a vertex set  $\mathcal{V} = \{1, \dots, p\}$
- encoding correlations between variables by a set of edges  $\mathcal{E} = \{(i, j) \in \mathcal{V} \mid i = \neq j, \Omega_{ij} \neq 0\}$

For simplicity, we normalize  $\mu = 0$ . If we draw n i.i.d. samples  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma)$ , then the log-likelihood is

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{\Omega}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log f(\mathbf{x}_i) = \frac{1}{2} \log \det(\mathbf{\Omega}) - \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_1' \mathbf{\Theta} \mathbf{x}_i$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \log \det(\mathbf{\Omega}) - \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \mathbf{\Omega}, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_i' \mathbf{x}_i' \right\rangle$$

**What's the goal?** We want to estimate a **sparse** graph structure given  $n \ll p$  i.i.d. observations. But what does sparsity means in this context? A sparse graph is **equivalent** to a sparse precision matrix: the precision

matrix should have many 0s.

**Sparse precision matrix** for the Gaussian vector mentioned above  $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Sigma})$ , we have  $\forall u, v$ 

$$x_u \perp x_v \mid \mathbf{x}_{V \setminus \{u,v\}} \Leftrightarrow \Omega_{u,v} = 0$$

that is, sparsity of the precision matrix is equivalent to **conditional independence**<sup>1</sup>. Consider a graph, where  $x_1$  and  $x_4$  are only connected through other nodes, that is  $x_1$  and  $x_4$  are conditional independent, then we can have the precision matrix be something like:

$$\mathbf{\Theta} = \begin{bmatrix} * & * & 0 & 0 & * & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & * & 0 & * & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * & 0 & 0 & * & 0 \\ * & 0 & * & 0 & * & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & * & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 & * & 0 & 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & * & 0 & * & 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix}$$

where 0 captures precisely the conditional independence.



 $x_1$  and  $x_4$  are connected



 $x_1$  and  $x_4$  are NOT connected, conditionally

Intuitively, a sparse graph is much simpler, which is why conditional independence is desired. So how to achieve sparsity? We can again use a L-1 regularization when maximizing the log-likelihood  $\mathcal{L}(\Omega)$ . Denote the sample covariance matrix as  $\mathbf{S} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_{i} \mathbf{x}'_{i}$ , then the problem becomes the so-called **Graphical Lasso** 

$$\max_{\boldsymbol{\Omega} \geq \mathbf{0}} \log \det(\boldsymbol{\Omega}) - \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{S}\boldsymbol{\Omega}) - \rho \; \|\boldsymbol{\Omega}\|_1$$

which is equivalent to

$$\min_{\Omega \geq 0} - \log \det(\Omega) + \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{S}\Omega) + \rho \ \|\Omega\|_1$$

## 16.2 Graphical Lasso

The graphical lasso method is developed by (Friedman et al., 2008). For the optimization problem

$$\min_{\Omega \ge 0} -\log \det(\Omega) + \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{S}\Omega) + \rho \|\Omega\|_{1}$$
(16.1)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Meanwhile, for independence:  $\Sigma_{u,v} = 0 \Leftrightarrow x_u \perp x_v$ 

The first-order optimality condition gives

$$\mathbf{0} \in \mathbf{\Omega}^{-1} - \mathbf{S} - \lambda \partial \|\mathbf{\Omega}\|_1$$
  
 $\Rightarrow \mathbf{\Omega}_{i,i}^{-1} = \mathbf{S}_{i,i} + \lambda, \ 1 \le i \le p$  in diagonal entries (self-loop),  $1 \in \partial |\mathbf{\Omega}_{i,i}|$ 

The idea is to repeatedly cycle through all columns-rows and in each step optimize only a single column-row. Denote a working version of  $\Omega^{-1}$  as W, consider the following partition where all matrices are partitioned into one column/row versus the rest

$$\mathbf{\Omega} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{\Omega}_{1,1} & \boldsymbol{\omega}_{1,2} \\ \boldsymbol{\omega}'_{1,2} & \boldsymbol{\omega}_{2,2} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{S} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{S}_{1,1} & \mathbf{s}_{1,2} \\ \mathbf{s}'_{1,2} & \boldsymbol{s}_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}$$

# References

Jerome Friedman, Trevor Hastie, and Robert Tibshirani. Sparse inverse covariance estimation with the graphical lasso. *Biostatistics*, 9(3):432–441, 2008.