Econometrics May 16, 2023

Topic 15: Sparse Orthogonal Factor Regression

by Sai Zhang

Key points: Sparcity and dimensionality reduction for Multivariate Linear Regression models.

Disclaimer: The note is built on Prof. Jinchi Lv's lectures of the course at USC, DSO 607, High-Dimensional Statistics and Big Data Problems.

15.1 Motivation

Consider a Mutlivariate Linear Regression (MLR) model

$$\mathbf{Y}_{n\times q} = \mathbf{X}_{n\times p} \cdot \mathbf{C}_{p\times q} + \mathbf{E}_{n\times q}$$

How to apply regularization methods to this model? There are several approaches to consider

- Shrinkage: ridge regression to overcome multicollinearity
- sparsity: variable selection in multivariate setting
- Reduced-rank
 - Dimension reduction via reducing rank of C
 - $\min \|\mathbf{Y} \mathbf{XC}\|_F^2$ s.t. $\operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{C}) \le r$
- Combinations
- **Low-rank** plus **sparse decomposition**: robust PCA, latent variable graphical models, covariance estimation
- Regularized matrix or tensor regression

Or, we can introduce a very attractive sparsity structure to achieve simultaneous dimension reduction and variable selection. This structure should be characterized by

- Having a few **distinct** channels/pathways relating responses and predictors
- Each of such associations may involve only a smaller subset, but not all of the responses and predictors

that is

$$Y = XC + E$$

$$= X \cdot \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} & \cdots & c_{1q} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} & \cdots & c_{2q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{p1} & c_{p2} & \cdots & c_{pq} \end{pmatrix} + E$$

$$= X \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u_{12} & \cdots & u_{1r} \\ u_{21} & 0 & \cdots & c_{2r} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ u_{p1} & u_{p2} & \cdots & u_{pr} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \\ & \ddots \\ & & & \ddots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & v_{q1} \\ v_{12} & v_{22} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_{1r} & v_{2r} & \cdots & v_{qr} \end{pmatrix} + E$$

This way, we can have

- Sparsity: selection of both latent and original variables
- Low-rank SVD: different subsets of responses allowed to be associated with different subsets of predictors

Consider an example:

Example 15.1.1: Dimension Reduction and Variable Selection via Sparse SVD

Consider the case where p = 1000, q = 100, then C, as a $p \times q$ matrix, contains 100000 coefficients. Meanwhile, for a rank-3 SVD model:

$$\mathbf{C} = d_1 \mathbf{u}_1 \mathbf{v}_1' + d_2 \mathbf{u}_2 \mathbf{v}_2' + d_3 \mathbf{u}_3 \mathbf{v}_3'$$

where \mathbf{u}_1 , \mathbf{u}_2 , \mathbf{u}_3 are all $p \times 1$, \mathbf{v}_1 , \mathbf{v}_2 , \mathbf{v}_3 are all $q \times 1$, d_1 , d_2 , d_3 are all scalars. Hence, there are only $3 \times (1000 + 100 + 1) = 3303$ paramaters to estimate. If futher assume sparcity, the dimension would be even lower.

Now let's develop a scalable procedure for this idea.

15.2 Sparse Orthogonal Factor Regression

Consider the sigular value decomposition of C

$$\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}' = \sum_{k=1}^{r} d_k \mathbf{u}_k \mathbf{v}_k'$$

where U and V are both **orthonormal**: UU' = VV' = I. Then we can achieve dimension reduction via **low-dimensional latent model**

$$\tilde{\mathbf{Y}} = \tilde{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{D} + \tilde{\mathbf{E}}$$

where

- $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}} = \mathbf{Y}\mathbf{V}$: \mathbf{V} sparsity leads to **response** variable selection
- $\tilde{X} = XU$: U sparsity leads to **predictor** variable selection

How consider

$$(\hat{\mathbf{D}}, \hat{\mathbf{U}}, \hat{\mathbf{V}}) = \arg\min_{\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}'\|_F^2 + \lambda_d \|\mathbf{D}\|_1 + \lambda_a \rho_a(\mathbf{U}\mathbf{D}) + \lambda_b \rho_b(\mathbf{V}\mathbf{D}) \right\} \quad \text{s.t. } \mathbf{U}'\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{V}'\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{I}_m \quad (15.1)$$

where

- $\rho_a(\cdot)$, $\rho_b(\cdot)$ are penalty functions with regularization parameters λ_d , λ_a , $\lambda_b \ge 0$. These sparsity penalizations on **UD** and **VD** can be thought as **importance weighting**
- $\|\cdot\|_F$ is the nuclear norm, defined as the **sum** of its singular values $\|\mathbf{A}\|_F = \sum_i \sigma_i(\mathbf{A})$. It encourages sparsity among singular values and achieve <u>rank reduction</u>
- The orthgonality on U, V allow a flexible form of sparsity-inducing penalties

If we further enrich this model by introducting an adaptive weighting W matrices

$$(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Theta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}) = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\Theta}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{V}'\|_F^2 + \lambda_d \|\mathbf{W}_d \circ \mathbf{D}\|_1 + \lambda_a \rho_a (\mathbf{W}_a \circ \mathbf{A}) + \lambda_b \rho_b (\mathbf{W}_b \circ \mathbf{B}) \right\}$$

s.t. $U'U = V'V = I_m$, UD = A, VD = B. But why? Singular values and singular vectors of larger magnitude should be **less penalized** to reduce bias and improve efficiency.

Two applications are

• Biclustering with sparse SVD

$$(\hat{\mathbf{D}}, \hat{\mathbf{U}}, \hat{\mathbf{V}}) = \arg\min_{\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{U}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}'\|_F^2 + \lambda_d \|\mathbf{D}\|_1 + \lambda_a \rho_a(\mathbf{U}\mathbf{D}) + \lambda_b \rho_b(\mathbf{V}\mathbf{D}) \right\} \quad \text{s.t. } \mathbf{U}'\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{V}'\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{I}_m$$

• Sparse PCA (sparsity in loadings of principla components)

$$(\hat{\mathbf{A}}, \hat{\mathbf{V}}) = \arg\min_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{V}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{V}'\|_F^2 + \lambda_a \rho_a(\mathbf{A}) \right\}$$
 s.t. $\mathbf{V}'\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{I}_m$

15.3 Nonasymptotic Properties of SOFAR

First, define the robust spark for the regularity conditions

Definition 15.3.1: The robust spark κ_c

The robust spark κ_c of the $n \times p$ design matrix **X** is defined as the smallest possible positive integer such that there exists an $n \times \kappa_c$ submatrix of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ **X** having a **singular value less than** a given positive constant c

The robust spark κ_c here can be at least of order $O\left(\frac{n}{\log p}\right)$ with large probability for Gaussian design with dependency. With this definition, we characterize the following 5 conditions

- **Parameter space**: True parameters (C^*, D^*, A^*, B^*) lie in $C \times D \times \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$, where
 - $C = \{\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times q} : \|\mathbf{C}\|_0 < \kappa_{c_2}/2\}$, with κ_{c_2} being the robust spark of \mathbf{X} $\mathcal{D} = \{\mathbf{D} = \text{diag } \{d_j\} \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times q} : d_j = 0 \text{ or } |d_j| \geq \tau\}$ $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathbf{A} = \{a_{ij}\} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times q} : a_{ij} = 0 \text{ or } |a_{ij}| \geq \tau\}$ $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{B} = \{b_{ij}\} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times q} : b_{ij} = 0 \text{ or } |b_{ij}| \geq \tau\}$

 - $\tau > 0$ asymptotically vanishing.
- **Constrained eigenvalue**: It holds that for some constant $c_3 > 0$

$$\max_{\|\mathbf{u}\|_0 < \frac{\kappa_{c_2}}{2}, \|\mathbf{u}\|_2 = 1} \|\mathbf{X}\mathbf{u}\|_2^2 \le c_3 n, \qquad \max_{1 \le j \le r} \|\mathbf{X}\mathbf{u}_j^*\|_2^2 \le c_3 n$$

where \mathbf{u}_{i}^{*} is the **left singular vector** of \mathbf{C}^{*} corresponding to singular value d_{i}^{*}

- **Error term**: The error term $\mathbf{E} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times q} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I} \otimes \Sigma)$ with the maximum eigenvalue α_{\max} of Σ bounded from above and diagonal entries of Σ being σ_i^2
- **Penalty functions**: For matrices **M** and **M*** of the same size, the penalty functions ρ_h with $h \in \{a, b\}$ satisfies

$$|\rho_h(\mathbf{M}) - \rho_h(\mathbf{M}^*)| \le ||\mathbf{M} - \mathbf{M}^*||_1$$

Relative spectral gap: The nonzero singular values of C* satisfy that

$$d_{j-1}^* - d_j^{*2} \ge \sqrt{\delta} d_{j-1}^*, \ 2 \le j \le r$$

with a constant $\delta > 0$, both r and $\sum_{j=1}^{r} \left(\frac{d_1^*}{d_j^*} \right)^2$ can diverge as $n \to \infty$

How to understand the 5 conditions?

- **Parameter space** and **constrained eigenvalue** are essential for investigating computable solution to non-convex SOFAR optimization problem
- Gaussianity of error term can be relaxed
- **Penalty functions** can be many kinds of <u>sparsity-inducing</u> penalties, including entrywise L_1 -norm¹ and row-wise (2, 1)-norm²
- Relative spectral gap rules out non-identifiable case where some non-zero singular values are tied with each other and associated singular vectors in matrices \mathbf{U}^* , \mathbf{V}^* are identifiable only up to some orthogonal transformation

15.4 Estimation: Convexity-Assisted Nonconvex Optimization

Non-convexity of SOFAR objective function poses important algorithmic and theoretical challenges, hence consider a **two-step** approach exploiting the framework of **convexity-assisted nonconvex optimization** (CANO) to obtain SOFAR estimator:

Step 1 minimize L_1 -penalized squared loss for multivariate regression to obtain an initial estimator

Theorem 15.4.1: Error Bounds for the Initial Estimator

- Step 1:
- <u>Step 2</u>: minimize SOFAR objective function in an <u>asymptotically shrinking neighborhood</u> of initial <u>estimator</u>

 $^{^1}$ Entrywise L_1 -norm encourages sparsity among predictor/response effects specific to each rank-1 SVD layer

²(2, 1)-norm is defined as the summation of absolute values of all components of a matrix. It promotes predictor/response-wise sparsity **regardless** of specific layer