Econometrics July 4, 2023

Topic 18: Eigenvalue and Spike Models

by Sai Zhang

Key points: .

Disclaimer: The note is built on Prof. Jinchi Lv's lectures of the course at USC, DSO 607, High-Dimensional Statistics and Big Data Problems.

18.1 Motivation

Consider n independent observations $\mathbf{X}_i \in \mathbb{R}^p$ drawn from a $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Sigma})$, then the covariance can be decomposed into 2 parts, white noise and low rank

$$\Sigma = \text{Cov}(\mathbf{X}_i) = \mathbf{I} + \sum_{k=1}^{M} \theta_k \nu_k \nu_k' = \Sigma_0 + \mathbf{\Phi}$$

where M denotes the **number of spikes** in the distribution of eigenvalues. The idea is: spikes deviate from a reference model along a <u>small fixed number</u> of unknown directions. If $\Phi = 0$, then none of the sample eigenvalues is separated from the bulk.

Why a spike model is interesting? A spike model can help determine the latent dimension of the data, some examples being

- Principal component analysis (PCA): spikes are related to the directions of the most variations of the data, i.e., the principal components
- Clustering model: M spikes is equivalent to M+1 clusters
- Economic significance: *M* is related to the number of factor loadings

Then the question is threefold:

- How to determine *M*
- How to estimate v_k
- How to test θ_k

Under rank one alternative, we would like to test the hypothesis

$$H_1: \mathbf{\Sigma} = \mathbf{I}_p + \theta \mathbf{\nu} \mathbf{\nu}', \theta > 0$$

against the null

$$H_0: \mathbf{\Sigma} = \mathbf{I}_p$$

with the key assumptions:

A1 Gaussian error

A2 large p: $p \le n$ but allows $p/n \to \gamma \in (0,1)$

Under these assumptions, for the $n \times p$ data matrix $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{X}'_1 \cdots \mathbf{X}'_n)'$, $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}$ has a p-dimensional **Wishart** distribution $W_p(n, \Sigma)$ with the degree of freedom n and covariance matrix Σ , which is a *random matrix*.

If $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{M} + \mathbf{X}$, that is, the sum of the *random matrix* \mathbf{X} and a *deterministic matrix* \mathbf{M} (also $n \times p$), then $\mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{Y}$ has a p-dimensional Wishart distribution $W_p(n, \Sigma, \Psi)$ with n degrees of freedom, covariance matrix Σ and non-centrality matrix $\mathbf{\Psi} = \Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{M}'\mathbf{M}$.

Definition 18.1.1: Density of Wishart Distribution

The PDF of Wishart distribution is defined as

$$f(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{1}{2^{np/2} \Gamma_p\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) |\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{n/2}} |\mathbf{X}|^{(n-p-1)/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\right)\right)$$

where **X** is a symmetric positive semidefinite and $\Gamma_p\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)$ is a multivariate gamma function such that

$$\Gamma_p\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) = \pi^{\frac{p(p-1)}{4}} \prod_{j=1}^p \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} - \frac{j-1}{2}\right)$$

Notice that the sample covariance matrix $S = \frac{1}{n}X'X$ is just a scaled version of Wishart distribution

$$n\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} \sim W_n(n, \mathbf{\Sigma})$$

For $\Sigma = \mathbf{I}_p$, the empirical distribution fo eigenvalues converges to Marcenko-Pastur distribution

$$f^{\text{MP}}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi\gamma x} \sqrt{(b_+ - x)(x - b_-)}$$

where $b_{\pm} = (1 \pm \sqrt{\gamma})^2$. Then:

• under $H_0: \Sigma = \mathbf{I}_p$, we have

$$n^{2/3} \left(\frac{\lambda_1 - \mu(\gamma)}{\sigma(\gamma)} \right) \stackrel{d}{\to} TW_1$$

where TW₁ is the Tracy-Widom distribution

• under $H_1: \Sigma = \mathbf{I}_p + \theta \nu \nu', \theta > 0$, if θ is strong $(\theta \gg \sqrt{\gamma})$, then

$$n^{1/2}\left(\frac{\lambda_1-\rho(\theta,\gamma)}{\tau(\theta,\gamma)}\right) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0,1)$$

Here, the largest eigenvalue test is the best test. **But** when the signal is weak $(0 \le \theta < \sqrt{\gamma})$, the largest eigenvalue under the alternative converges to the same distribution as null:

$$n^{2/3} \left(\frac{\lambda_1 - \rho(\theta, \gamma)}{\tau(\theta, \gamma)} \right) \xrightarrow{d} TW_1$$

which means that the largest eigenvalue test *fails*. On top of this, **resampling** also fails when p is large. Next, we develop another test to cope with these problems.



Figure 18.1: Failure of Resampling Test (n = p = 100)

18.2 Johnstone and Onatski (2020)

Consider the basic equation of classical multivariate statistics:

$$\det\left(\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{x}\mathbf{E}\right) = 0\tag{18.1}$$

with $p \times p$ matrices

$$n_1\mathbf{H} = \sum_{k=1}^{n_1} \mathbf{x}_k \mathbf{x}'_k$$
 hypothesis SS
$$n_1\mathbf{E} = \sum_{k=1}^{n_1} \mathbf{z}_k \mathbf{z}'_k$$
 error SS

The solution \mathbf{x} is generalized eigenvalues $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^p$, which are the eigenvalue of \mathbf{F} -ratio $\mathbf{E}^{-1}\mathbf{H}$. Johnstone and Onatski (2020) summarized 5 topics using $\mathbf{E}^{-1}\mathbf{H}$ relying on the five most common hypergeometric functions $\mathbf{E}^{-1}\mathbf{H}$ relying on the five most common hypergeometric functions $\mathbf{E}^{-1}\mathbf{H}$ relying on the five most common hypergeometric functions $\mathbf{E}^{-1}\mathbf{H}$

• scalar inputs

$${}_{\mathbf{p}}\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{q}}(a,b;x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_p)_k} \frac{x^k}{k!}$$

where $(a_i)_k$ are generalized Pochhammer symbols

- single matrix inputs, where \boldsymbol{S} is symmetric and usually diagonal

$$_{\mathbf{p}}\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{q}}(a,b;\mathbf{S}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\kappa} \frac{(a_1)_{\kappa} \cdots (a_p)_{\kappa}}{(b_1)_{\kappa} \cdots (b_p)_{\kappa}} \frac{C_{\kappa}(\mathbf{S})}{k!}$$

where C_k are the zonal polynomials. Easily, $_0\mathcal{F}_0(\mathbf{S}) = e^{\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{S})}, _1\mathcal{F}_0(a,\mathbf{S}) = |\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{S}|^{-a}$

• two matrix inputs, where **S**, **T** are both symmetric

$$_{\mathbf{p}}\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{q}}(a,b;\mathbf{S},\mathbf{T}) = \int_{O(p)} {}_{\mathbf{p}}\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{q}}(a,b;\mathbf{SUTU'})(d)\mathbf{U}$$

¹Hypergeometric functions are:

Statistical method $n_1\mathbf{H}$ $n_2\mathbf{E}$ Univariate Analog PCA $W_p(n_1, \Sigma + \Phi)$ χ^2 $_0\mathcal{F}_0$ Principal components analysis $n_2\Sigma$ $W_p(n_1, \Sigma + \Phi)$ non-central χ^2 SigD Signal detection $W_p(n_2, \Sigma)$ $_{1}\mathcal{F}_{0}$ $_{0}\mathcal{F}_{1}$ REG₀ Multivariate regression, with known error $W_p(n_1, \Sigma, n_1\mathbf{\Phi})$ F $n_2\Sigma$ $W_p(n_1, \mathbf{\Sigma}, n_1\mathbf{\Phi})$ $_1\mathcal{F}_1$ REG Multivariate regression, with unknown error $W_p(n_2, \Sigma)$ non-central F $W_p(n_1, \Sigma, \Phi(\mathbf{Y}))$ CCA Canonical correlation analysis $W_p(n_2, \Sigma)$ $_2\mathcal{F}_1$

Table 18.1: 5 Statistical Methods

For $_0\mathcal{F}_0$ and $_0\mathcal{F}_1$, **E** is deterministic, Σ is known, n_2 disppears, otherwise **E** is independent of **H**.

18.2.1 Definitions and global assumptions

Let **Z** be an $n \times p$ data matrix with rows (observations) drawn **i.i.d.** from $\mathcal{N}_p(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma)$, and a deterministic matrix **M** of $n \times p$, then for $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{M} + \mathbf{Z}$,

- $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{Y'Y}$ has a p dimensional Wishart distribution $W_p(n, \Sigma, \Psi)$ with n degrees of freedom, covariance matrix Σ and non-centrality matrix $\Psi = \Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{M'M}$
- the corresponding central Wishart distribution with $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{0}$ is $W_p(n, \Sigma)$

Johnstone and Onatski (2020) assume a relative low dimensionality $p \le \min\{n_1, n_2\}$ where n_1, n_2 are the degrees of freedom as in Table 18.1, where

- $p \le n_2$ ensures almost sure invertibility of matrix **E** in Equation 18.1
- $p \le n_1$ is not essential, but reduces the number of various situations of consideration.

With these assumptions, they established a unified statistical problem **symmetric matrix denoising (SMD)** that can be linked to the 5 classes of problems:

PCA n_1 i.i.d. observations drawn from $\mathcal{N}_p(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Omega})$ to test the hull hypothesis that the population covariance $\mathbf{\Omega} = \mathbf{\Sigma}$, with the alternative of interest being

$$\Omega = \Sigma + \Phi$$
 with $\Phi = \theta \phi \phi'$

References

Iain M Johnstone and Alexei Onatski. Testing in high-dimensional spiked models. *The Annals of Statistics*, 48(3), 2020.