

Topic 19: Community Detection

by Sai Zhang

Key points: .

Disclaimer: The note is built on Prof. *Jinchi Lv*'s lectures of the course at USC, DSO 607, High-Dimensional Statistics and Big Data Problems.

19.1 Stochastic Block Model (Abbe et al., 2015)

Consider an undirected graph G , with nodes V and edges E . Let

- n be a positive integer: the number of **vertices**
- k be a positive integer: the number of **communities**
- $p = (p_1, \dots, p_k)$ be a probability vector on $\{1, \dots, k\} := [k]$: the **prior** on the k communities
- \mathbf{W} be a $k \times k$ symmetric matrix with entries $W_{ij} \in [0, 1]$: the matrix of **connectivity probabilities**

then we have

Definition 19.1.1: Stochastic Block Model

The pair (\mathbf{X}, G) is drawn under $SBM(n, p, \mathbf{W})$ if \mathbf{X} is an n dimensional random vector with i.i.d. components distributed under p , and G is an n -vertex simple graph where vertices i and j are connected with probability W_{X_i, X_j} , **independently** of other pairs of vertices. And the **community** sets can be defined by

$$\Omega_i = \Omega_i(\mathbf{X}) := \{v \in [n] : X_v = i\}, i \in [k]$$

Immediately, we can define the symmetry of SBM as:

Definition 19.1.2: Symmetric SBM

An SBM is called symmetric if

- p is **uniform**
- \mathbf{W} takes the same value **on the diagonal** and the same value **off the diagonal**

(\mathbf{X}, G) is drawn under $SSBM(n, k, A, B)$ if $p = \{1/k\}^k$ and \mathbf{W} takes value A on the diagonal and B off the diagonal.

19.1.1 Recovery

The goal of community detection is to recover the labels \mathbf{X} by observing G , up to some level of accuracy. First, define **agreement** as

Definition 19.1.3: Agreement of Communities

The agreement between two community vectors $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in [k]^n$ is obtained by maximizing the common components between \mathbf{x} and any relabelling of \mathbf{y} , that is

$$A(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \max_{\pi \in S_k} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}[x_i = \pi(y_i)]$$

where S_k is the group of permutations on $[k]$.

The **relabelling** permutation is used to handle symmetric communities such as in SSBM, as it is impossible to recover the actual labels in this case. But it's possible to recover the **partition**. There are 2 types of partition recovery we consider

Exact Recovery First, consider the case of **exact recovery**:

Definition 19.1.4: Exact Recovery

Let $(\mathbf{X}, G) \sim \text{SBM}(n, p, W)$, the exact recovery is solved if there exists an algorithm that takes G as an input and outputs $\hat{\mathbf{X}} = \hat{\mathbf{X}}(G)$ such that $\mathbb{P}\{A(\mathbf{X}, \hat{\mathbf{X}}) = 1\} = 1 - o_p(1)$

In the SSBM case, algorithms that guarantee

$$A(\mathbf{X}, \hat{\mathbf{X}}) \rightarrow \frac{1}{k}$$

would be trivial.

Weak Recovery On the other hand, we the case of **weak recovery** defined as

Definition 19.1.5: Weak Recovery

Weak recovery or detection is solved $\text{SSBM}(n, k, A, B)$ if for $(\mathbf{X}, G) \sim \text{SSBM}(n, k, A, B)$, then $\exists \epsilon > 0$ and an algorithm that takes G as an input and outputs $\hat{\mathbf{X}}$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{A(\mathbf{X}, \hat{\mathbf{X}}) \geq \frac{1}{k} + \epsilon\right\} = 1 - o(1)$$

19.1.2 Example: SSBM(n,2)

Let's look at the example of $\text{SSBM}(n, 2, \alpha \frac{\log n}{n}, \beta \frac{\log n}{n})$, where

- n : number of vertices (assumed to be even for simplicity)
- for each $v \in [n]$, a binary label X_v is attached s.t.

$$|\{v \in [n] : X_v = 1\}| = n/2$$

- for each pair of distinct nodes $u, v \in [n]$, an edge is placed with probability

- $\alpha \frac{\log n}{n}$ if $X_u = X_v$
- $\beta \frac{\log n}{n}$ if $X_u \neq X_v$

where edges are placed independently conditionally on the vertex labels

- WLOG, $\alpha > \beta$

then we have the following theorem

Theorem 19.1.6: Exact Recovery in $SSBM(n, 2, \alpha \log(n)/n, \beta \log(n)/n)$

- Exact recovery in $SSBM(n, 2, \alpha \log(n)/n, \beta \log(n)/n)$ is solvable and efficiently so if $|\sqrt{\alpha} - \sqrt{\beta}| > \sqrt{2}$ nad unsolvable if $|\sqrt{\alpha} - \sqrt{\beta}| < \sqrt{2}$
- Exact recovery of the ground truth assignment of the partition (A, B) is also achievable, that is: if

$$\frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} - \sqrt{\alpha\beta} > 1$$

i.e.

$$\alpha + \beta > 2, (\alpha - \beta)^2 > 4(\alpha + \beta) - 4$$

the maximum likelihood estimator exactly recovers the communities (up to a global flip), with high probability.

See [Abbe \(2017\)](#) for the proof of this theorem.

In summary, for a graph structure $G = (V, E)$ represented by adjacency matrix $\mathbf{X}_{n \times n}$, Stochastic Block Model (SBM)

- assumes that there is a symmetric matrix $\mathbf{P} = \{p_{ij}\} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$, for $k \ll n$ and a map $C : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$, s.t. $\Pr(\mathbf{X}_{ij} = 1) = \mathbf{P}_{C(i), C(j)}$
- Define $\mathbf{\Pi} = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n)' \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ where $\Pi_{ij} = 1$ if $C(i) = j$, and $\Pi_{ij} = 0$ otherwise
- Let $\mathbf{H} = \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X})$ be the probability matrix, then $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{\Pi} \mathbf{\Pi}'$
- A variant of SBM is degree corrected SBM which incorporates the degree heterogeneity.
 - each node is assigned a parameter $\theta_i > 0$ such that $\Pr(\mathbf{X}_{ij} = 1) = \theta_i \theta_j \mathbf{P}_{C(i), C(j)}$
 - $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{\Theta} \mathbf{\Pi} \mathbf{\Pi}' \mathbf{\Theta}$, where $\mathbf{\Theta} = \text{diag}(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$

19.2 SIMPLE Model (Fan et al., 2022)

In SBM, each $\pi_i \in \{e_1, \dots, e_K\}$ with e_k a one entry vector whose k -th component is one. But what if each node i can belong to K different communities? We generalize π_i to be a compositional vector, and interpret it as community membership profile for node i , then

$$\Pr(\mathbf{X}_{ij} = 1) = \theta_i \theta_j \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{l=1}^K \pi_i(k) \pi_j(l) p_{kl}$$

and $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{\Theta} \mathbf{\Pi} \mathbf{\Pi}' \mathbf{\Theta}$. Now, consider a new statistical tests for testing whether any given pair of nodes share the same membership profiles, and providing the associated p -values.

19.2.1 Problem Setting

For an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ with n nodes, let $\mathbf{X} = \{x_{ij}\} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be the **symmetric** adjacency matrix. Under a probabilistic model, assume x_{ij} is an independent realization from a Bernoulli random variable for all upper triangular entries of random matrix \mathbf{X} . Consider the adjacency matrix with the deterministic-random latent structure

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{H} + \mathbf{W}$$

where

- $\mathbf{H} = \{h_{ij}\} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is the deterministic mean matrix of low rank $K \geq 1$
- $\mathbf{W} = \{w_{ij}\} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a symmetric random matrix with zero mean and independent entries on and above the diagonal

Assume V is decomposed into K disjoint latent communities

$$C_1, \dots, C_K$$

where each node i is associated with the community membership probability vector

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}_i = (\pi_i(1), \dots, \pi_i(K))' \in \mathbb{R}^K$$

s.t.

$$\Pr(i \in C_k) = \pi_i(k), \quad k = 1, \dots, K$$

References

Emmanuel Abbe. Community detection and stochastic block models: recent developments. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 18(1):6446–6531, 2017.