Noise-Induced Randomization in Regression Discontinuity Designs

Dean Eckles, Nikolaos Ignatiadis, Stefan Wager, Han Wu

Presented by: Sai Zhang

November 18, 2022

Outline

1 Discussio

Discussion

Most popular: local linear regression (Hahn et al., 2001; G. W. Imbens and Lemieux, 2008)

$$\hat{\tau}_{c} = \arg\min_{\tau} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \underbrace{K}_{\text{weighting}} \left(\frac{|Z_{i} - c|}{\underbrace{h_{n}}_{\text{bandwidth}}} \right) \left(Y_{i} - a - \tau W_{i} - \beta_{-} \left(Z_{i} - c \right)_{-} - \beta_{+} \left(Z_{i} - c \right)_{+} \right)^{2} \right\}$$

Most popular: local linear regression (Hahn et al., 2001; G. W. Imbens and Lemieux, 2008)

$$\hat{\tau}_{c} = \arg\min_{\tau} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \underbrace{K}_{\text{weighting}} \left(\frac{|Z_{i} - c|}{h_{n}} \right) \left(Y_{i} - a - \tau W_{i} - \beta_{-} \left(Z_{i} - c \right)_{-} - \beta_{+} \left(Z_{i} - c \right)_{+} \right)^{2} \right\}$$

Most popular: local linear regression (Hahn et al., 2001; G. W. Imbens and Lemieux, 2008)

$$\hat{\tau}_{c} = \arg\min_{\tau} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \underbrace{K}_{\text{weighting}} \left(\frac{|Z_{i} - c|}{\underbrace{h_{n}}_{\text{bandwidth}}} \right) \left(Y_{i} - a - \tau W_{i} - \beta_{-} \left(Z_{i} - c \right)_{-} - \beta_{+} \left(Z_{i} - c \right)_{+} \right)^{2} \right\}$$

- $lacksquare \mu_{(w)}(z) = \mathbb{E}\left[Y(w) \mid Z=z\right]$ is smooth
- \blacksquare h_n decays at an appropriate rate

Most popular: local linear regression (Hahn et al., 2001; G. W. Imbens and Lemieux, 2008)

$$\hat{\tau}_{c} = \arg\min_{\tau} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \underbrace{K}_{\text{weighting}} \left(\frac{|Z_{i} - c|}{\underbrace{h_{n}}_{\text{bandwidth}}} \right) \left(Y_{i} - a - \tau W_{i} - \beta_{-} \left(Z_{i} - c \right)_{-} - \beta_{+} \left(Z_{i} - c \right)_{+} \right)^{2} \right\}$$

- $\blacksquare \mu_{(w)}(z) = \mathbb{E}\left[Y(w) \mid Z=z\right]$ is smooth
- lacktriangleright hat has a harmonic harmonic

Robust Cls (Armstrong and Kolesár, 2020; Calonico et al., 2014; Kolesár and Rothe, 2018);

Sai Zhang Eckles et al., 2020

Most popular: local linear regression (Hahn et al., 2001; G. W. Imbens and Lemieux, 2008)

$$\hat{\tau}_{c} = \arg\min_{\tau} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \underbrace{K}_{\text{weighting}} \left(\frac{|Z_{i} - c|}{\underbrace{h_{n}}_{\text{bandwidth}}} \right) \left(Y_{i} - a - \tau W_{i} - \beta_{-} \left(Z_{i} - c \right)_{-} - \beta_{+} \left(Z_{i} - c \right)_{+} \right)^{2} \right\}$$

- $\blacksquare \mu_{(w)}(z) = \mathbb{E}\left[Y(w) \mid Z=z\right]$ is smooth
- \blacksquare h_n decays at an appropriate rate

Robust Cls (Armstrong and Kolesár, 2020; Calonico et al., 2014; Kolesár and Rothe, 2018); Data-adaptive bandwidths (G. Imbens and Kalyanaraman, 2012)

Sai Zhang Eckles et al., 2020

Literature: Continuity-Based RD extended

$$\mu_{(w)}(z) = \mathbb{E}\left[Y(w) \mid Z = z\right]$$

If further assume convexity of $\mu_{(w)}(z)$, e.g.:

$$\left|\mu_{(w)}''(z)\right| \le B, \forall z \in \mathbb{R}$$

Literature: Continuity-Based RD extended

$$\mu_{(w)}(z) = \mathbb{E}\left[Y(w) \mid Z = z\right]$$

If further assume convexity of $\mu_{(w)}(z)$, e.g.:

$$\left|\mu_{(w)}''(z)\right| \le B, \forall z \in \mathbb{R}$$

Optimization-based RD: the treatment effect τ_c can be estimated (minimax linear estimation) via numerical convex optimization (Armstrong and Kolesár, 2018; G. Imbens and Wager, 2019)

Sai Zhang Eckles et al., 2020

Literature: Randomization Inference RD

Posit a non-trivial interval \mathcal{I} with $c \in \mathcal{I}$ s.t.

$$\{Y_i(0), Y_i(1)\} \perp Z_i \mid \{Z_i \in \mathcal{I}\}$$

then focus on this interval, perform classical randomized study inference

Literature: Randomization Inference RD

Posit a non-trivial interval \mathcal{I} with $c \in \mathcal{I}$ s.t.

$$\{Y_i(0), Y_i(1)\} \perp Z_i \mid \{Z_i \in \mathcal{I}\}$$

then focus on this interval, perform classical randomized study inference

■ Design-based approach (Rubin, 2008)

Literature: Randomization Inference RD

Posit a non-trivial interval \mathcal{I} with $c \in \mathcal{I}$ s.t.

$$\{Y_i(0), Y_i(1)\} \perp Z_i \mid \{Z_i \in \mathcal{I}\}$$

then focus on this interval, perform classical randomized study inference

- Design-based approach (Rubin, 2008)
- lacktriangleright Strong assumption No data-driven way of choosing $\mathcal I$ If the interval $\mathcal I$ is known a-priori, the problem collapses to a RCT

Discussion

Measurement Error Induced RD

Rokkanen (2015) considers a similar approach, assuming:

■ noisy running variables (A2) and exogeneity of the noise (A3)

- noisy running variables (A2) and exogeneity of the noise (A3)
- NOT assuming prior knowledge of the noise distribution $p(\cdot \mid u)$

- noisy running variables (A2) and exogeneity of the noise (A3)
- lacktriangle NOT assuming prior knowledge of the noise distribution $p(\cdot \mid u)$
- A stronger assumption: observing at least 3 noisy measurements of the latent variable U_i , $\{Z_i, Z_i', Z_i''\}$

- noisy running variables (A2) and exogeneity of the noise (A3)
- lacktriangle NOT assuming prior knowledge of the noise distribution $p(\cdot \mid u)$
- A stronger assumption: observing at least 3 noisy measurements of the latent variable U_i , $\{Z_i, Z_i', Z_i''\}$

- noisy running variables (A2) and exogeneity of the noise (A3)
- **NOT** assuming prior knowledge of the noise distribution $p(\cdot \mid u)$
- A stronger assumption: observing at least 3 noisy measurements of the latent variable U_i , $\{Z_i, Z_i', Z_i''\}$
 - (U_i, Z_i, Z_i', Z_i'') is joint normal
 - $|-lpha_{(w)}(u)=\mathbb{E}\left[Y_i(w)\mid U_i=uu
 ight]$ is linear w.r.t. u

RD with Ordinal Running Variables

Similarly, ordinal Z_i (bond rating, custody security score, etc.) can be seen as a noisy measurement of a latent variable U_i .

Li et al. (2021) assume

$$U_i = \mathbf{X}_i \beta$$

then use inverse-propensity weighting with estimated propensities $e(u) = \mathbb{P}\left[Z_i \geq c \mid U_i = u\right]$ for inference.

RD with Ordinal Running Variables

Similarly, ordinal Z_i (bond rating, custody security score, etc.) can be seen as a noisy measurement of a latent variable U_i .

Li et al. (2021) assume

$$U_i = \mathbf{X}_i \beta$$

then use inverse-propensity weighting with estimated propensities $e(u) = \mathbb{P}\left[Z_i \geq c \mid U_i = u\right]$ for inference. Assuming: U_i can be observed, and well predicted by X_i

References I

- Armstrong, T. B., & Kolesár, M. (2018). Optimal inference in a class of regression models. *Econometrica*, 86(2), 655–683.
- Armstrong, T. B., & Kolesár, M. (2020). Simple and honest confidence intervals in nonparametric regression. *Quantitative Economics*, 11(1), 1–39.
- Calonico, S., Cattaneo, M. D., & Titiunik, R. (2014). Robust nonparametric confidence intervals for regression-discontinuity designs. *Econometrica*, 82(6), 2295–2326.
- Eckles, D., Ignatiadis, N., Wager, S., & Wu, H. (2020). Noise-induced randomization in regression discontinuity designs. arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.09458.
- Hahn, J., Todd, P., & Van der Klaauw, W. (2001). Identification and estimation of treatment effects with a regression-discontinuity design. *Econometrica*, 69(1), 201–209.
- Imbens, G. W., & Lemieux, T. (2008). Regression discontinuity designs: A guide to practice. *Journal of econometrics*, 142(2), 615–635.
- Imbens, G., & Kalyanaraman, K. (2012). Optimal bandwidth choice for the regression discontinuity estimator. *The Review of economic studies*, 79(3), 933–959.

References II

- Imbens, G., & Wager, S. (2019). Optimized regression discontinuity designs. Review of Economics and Statistics, 101(2), 264–278.
- Kolesár, M., & Rothe, C. (2018). Inference in regression discontinuity designs with a discrete running variable. American Economic Review, 108(8), 2277–2304.
- Li, F., Mercatanti, A., Mäkinen, T., & Silvestrini, A. (2021). A regression discontinuity design for ordinal running variables: Evaluating central bank purchases of corporate bonds. *The Annals of Applied Statistics*, 15(1), 304–322.
- Rokkanen, M. A. (2015). Exam schools, ability, and the effects of affirmative action: Latent factor extrapolation in the regression discontinuity design.
- Rubin, D. B. (2008). For objective causal inference, design trumps analysis. The annals of applied statistics, 2(3), 808–840.

Thank you!