CS5560 Knowledge Discovery and Management

In-Class-Exercise (ICE-1B)

June 5, 2017

Name: SATIYOTHI GUDZBANDI

ClassID: 18

- 1. Given the text example below, show the following NLP operations.
 - a. Sentence detection/Tokenization
 - b. Lemmatization
 - c. POS tagging/Chunking
 - d. Parsing
 - e. Name Entity Recognition
 - f. Co-reference Resolution
- 2. Summarize and draw a knowledge graph.

CHICAGO (AP) — Citing high fuel prices, United Airlines said Friday it has increased fares by \$6 per round trip on flights to some cities also served by lower-cost carriers.

American Airlines, a unit AMR, immediately matched the move, spokesman Tim Wagner said. United, a unit of UAL, said the increase took effect Thursday night and applies to most routes where it competes against discount carriers, such as Chicago to Dallas and Atlanta and Denver to San Francisco, Los Angeles and New York.

results for sentence detection, Low matization, CHICAGO-1. LEMMA: CHICAGO POS: NIND NE LOCATION OPEYATION.

-LRB--2, Lemma : -lrb-, POS : -LRB- ,NE : O

AP-3, Lemma: AP, POS: NNP, NE: ORGANIZATION

-RRB--4, Lemma : -rrb-, POS : -RRB- ,NE : O

---5, Lemma : --, POS : : ,NE : O

Citing-6, Lemma: cite, POS: VBG, NE: O

high-7, Lemma: high, POS: JJ, NE: O

fuel-8, Lemma: fuel, POS: NN, NE: O

prices-9, Lemma: price, POS: NNS, NE: O

,-10, Lemma : ,, POS : , ,NE : O

United-11, Lemma: United, POS: NNP, NE: ORGANIZATION

Airlines-12, Lemma: Airlines, POS: NNPS, NE: ORGANIZATION

said-13, Lemma: say, POS: VBD, NE: O

Friday-14, Lemma: Friday, POS: NNP, NE: DATE

it-15, Lemma: it, POS: PRP, NE: O

has-16, Lemma: have, POS: VBZ, NE: O

increased-17, Lemma: increase, POS: VBN, NE: O

fares-18, Lemma: fare, POS: NNS, NE: O

by-19, Lemma: by, POS: IN, NE: O

\$-20, Lemma: \$, POS: \$, NE: MONEY

6-21, Lemma: 6, POS: CD, NE: MONEY

per-22, Lemma: per, POS: IN, NE: O

round-23, Lemma: round, POS: NN, NE: O

trip-24, Lemma: trip, POS: NN, NE: O

on-25, Lemma: on, POS: IN, NE: O

flights-26, Lemma: flight, POS: NNS, NE: O

to-27, Lemma: to, POS: TO, NE: O

some-28, Lemma : some, POS : DT ,NE : O

cities-29, Lemma : city, POS : NNS ,NE : O

also-30, Lemma: also, POS: RB, NE: O

served-31, Lemma : serve, POS : VBD ,NE : O

by-32, Lemma: by, POS: IN, NE: O

lower-cost-33, Lemma : lower-cost, POS : JJ ,NE : O

carriers-34, Lemma : carrier, POS : NNS ,NE : O

.-35, Lemma : ., POS : . ,NE : O

The Co-reference Resolution of the following text is as follows:

{1=CHAIN1-["AP" in sentence 1, "it" in sentence 1], 2=CHAIN2-["United Airlines" in sentence 1], 3=CHAIN2-["United Airlines said Friday it has 3=CHAIN3-["CHICAGO -LRB- AP -RRB- -- Citing high fuel prices , United Airlines said Friday it has increased fares by \$ 6 per round trip on flights to some cities also served by lower-cost carriers ." in sentence 11. sentence 1], 4=CHAIN4-["high fuel prices" in sentence 1], 5=CHAIN5-["Friday" in sentence 1], 7=CHAIN7-["6] 7=CHAIN7-["fares" in sentence 1], 8=CHAIN8-["round trip" in sentence 1], 9=CHAIN9-["flights" in sentence 1] sentence 1], 10=CHAIN10-["some cities also served by lower-cost carriers" in sentence 1], 11=CHAIN11. 11=CHAIN11-["lower-cost carriers" in sentence 1], 12=CHAIN12-["American Airlines" in sentence 2, "a Unit ANADII". "a unit AMR" in sentence 2], 13=CHAIN13-["AMR" in sentence 2], 14=CHAIN14-["American Airlines, 3 Unit AMAR" a unit AMR" in sentence 2], 13=CHAIN13-[AIVIK III Sentence 2], 17=CHAIN17-["spokesman Tim Wagner" in Wagner" in sentence 2], 18=CHAIN18-["United" in sentence 3, "a unit of UAL" in sentence 3], 19=CHAIN18-["United" in sentence 3, "a unit of UAL" in sentence 3], 19=CHAIN19-["UAL" in sentence 3], 20=CHAIN20-["Dallas" in sentence 3], 21=CHAIN21-["Atlanta" in sentence 3], 22=CHAIN22-["San Francisco" in sentence 3], 23=CHAIN23-["Los Angeles" in sentence 3], 24=CHAIN24-["New York" in sentence 3], 25=CHAIN25-["United, a unit of UAL" in sentence 3], 27=CHAIN27-["the increase" in sentence 3, "it" in sentence 3], 28=CHAIN28-["Thursday night" in sentence 3], 29=CHAIN29-["most routes" in sentence 3], 31=CHAIN31-["discount carriers , such as Chicago to Dallas and Atlanta and Denver to San Francisco , Los Angeles and New York" in sentence 3], 32=CHAIN32-["discount carriers" in sentence 3], 33=CHAIN33-["Chicago to Dallas and Atlanta and Denver to San Francisco, Los Angeles and New York" in sentence 3], 34=CHAIN34-["Chicago to Dallas and Atlanta" in sentence 3], 35=CHAIN35-["Dallas and Atlanta" in sentence 3], 36=CHAIN36-["Denver to San Francisco , Los Angeles and New York" in sentence 3], 37=CHAIN37-["San Francisco , Los Angeles and New York" in sentence 3]}

To draw the knowledge Graph for the given data, firstly we did some operations on the input text data. Those one operations are sentence tokenize, lemmatization, name of entity, pos tagging and a reference. First we extract the data by chunking, entity are trembased and vetational extraction. After this, segment them based on the topics. Finally for graph construction, we incorporate ontological constraints and relational patterns and discover relationships within knowledge graph.

