

Sai Parthish Mandemula
1002022849.

DAMT Assignment - 6: Hypothesis test

- Q1) Given data represent the time, in minutes, no of patient has to wait during 12 visits to a doctor's office.

17 15 20 20 32 28

12 26 25 25 35 24.

Let us take ' m ' be the median waiting time for a patient.

$$H_0: M = 20$$

$$H_1: M < 20$$

The data is at the level 0.05 level of significance. To test the doctor's claim that the median is less than 20 with the +ve sign and observation equal to 20 with zero.

Waiting time 17 15 20 20 32 28 12 26 25 25

Waiting time 17 15 20 20 32 28 12 26 25 35 34

Hyp. $H_0: M = 20$ $H_1: M < 20$

Total number of positive signs = 7

Total number of negative signs = 3

No of +ve and -ve signs

$$n = 10$$

Test Statistics

$$K = \min(4, 3)$$

$$k = 3$$

$$\text{P-value} = P[x \leq k / n=10, P=0.5] \quad \text{where } x \sim B(n, p) \quad | \quad n=10, P=0.5$$
$$= P[x \leq 3 / n=10, P=0.5]$$

So given $P=0.5$, where we have only 50% chance for both more than and less than median value.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{P-value} &= P[x \leq 3 / n=10, P=0.5] \\ &\approx \text{BINOMDIST}(3, 10, 0.5, \text{TRUE}) \\ &\approx 0.1719 \text{ (using Excel).}\end{aligned}$$

Here, P-value, α , So, $0.1719 > 0.05$

So, we can rejection of H_0 is not done.

So from the problem the median waiting time for patients is not different from μ_0 where $\alpha=0.05$.

2. The given following data - the weights, in kilograms, of personal luggage carried on various flights by a member of a baseball team. The given data is:
- \bar{u}_1 and \bar{u}_2 are the median luggage weight.
- $$H_0: \bar{u}_1 = \bar{u}_2$$

$$H_1: \bar{u}_1 \neq \bar{u}_2 \text{ at } \alpha=0.05$$

Critical region $n_1=12$ $n_2=21$

$$M_{LL} = \frac{n_1 n_2}{2} = (2 \times 2) = 126$$

$$= \frac{1}{n_1 n_2} (n_1 + n_2 + 1)$$

~~✓~~ 12th loop round

~~FRS 17, FAS 141(b) (1), 5126.420781~~, p 61, 9.

Pos 11 bus

$$\overline{Z} = \frac{W = Mu}{\sum u_i} \quad (\text{is } \overline{Z} \text{ now a w})$$

$$= \underline{4 - 126}$$

26.72078

So the critical region is $Z \in (-\infty, -1.96) \cup (1.96, \infty)$

The observations are arranged in ascending order and range from 100 m to 0, and is equal to 33. pp

Basketball

$$\omega_1 = 1 + 3 \cdot 5 + 5 \cdot 5 + 4 \cdot 15 + 11 \cdot 5 + 14 \cdot 15 + 17 \cdot 5 + 12 \cdot 5 \\ + 11 + 24 \cdot 5 + 26 \cdot 5 + 30 = 182 \cdot 5$$

$$w_{20} = \left\{ \frac{33(34)}{2} \right\} = 182.5$$

P61

$$= 378.5$$

$$M_1 = \frac{182.5 - 12(13)}{2} = 104.5$$

$$M_2 = 378.5 - \frac{21(22)}{2} = 147.5$$

$$M = \min(M_1, M_2) < 104.45$$

\therefore we get the value of test statistics as

$$\bar{x} = \frac{104.5 - 12.6}{26.72} = -0.8406$$

∴ we do not reject the null hypothesis.

obmehanM don't mind
FP 8605001

Sai Parthish Mandemule
1002022847

(3)

The given textbook cost \$89, \$99, \$119,
\$139, \$189, \$199, and \$229. During his
Senior year he had to pay \$109, \$159
\$179, \$209, \$219, \$259, \$279, \$299
and \$309.

Freshman (#)

89 99 119 139 189 199 229

Sample	Sample	Total	Sample Order	Rank
89	109	89	89	1
99	159	99	99	2
119	179	109	109	3
139	209	119	119	4
189	219	139	139	5
199	259	159	159	6
229	279	179	179	7
299	309	189	189	8
		199	199	9
		209	209	10
		219	219	11
		259	259	12
		279	279	13
		299	299	14
		309	309	15
				16

Montgomery High School Math 101

Hypothesis

H_0 : median cost of text book is same

H_1 : median cost of book is rising.

$$U_1 = n_{12} + n_1 \cdot (n_1 + 1) = R_1$$

$$U_2 = n_{12} + n_2 \cdot (n_2 + 1) = R_2$$

Where R_1 & R_2 are sum of ranks for sample 1 and 2 respectively.

$$R_1 = 1 + 2 + 4 + 5 + 8 + 9 + 12 = 41$$

$$R_2 = 3 + 6 + 7 + 10 + 11 + 13 + 14 + 15 + 16 = 95$$

$$U_1 = 7 \times 9 + 7 \times 8 - 41$$

$$= 50$$

$$U_2 = 7 \times 9 + 9 \times 10 - 95$$

$$U = (\min(50, 45)) = 45$$

At 5% level of significance

H_0 if $U < 12$

£ 881.0

08. $U = 11.9$

36

0006.1

08. 661.0

28

0008.1

08. 661.0

28

We accept H_0 it means there is no significant evidence that median cost of text books is rising.

£ 883.1

08. 661.0

28

664.1

03. 0000.1

081

664.1

03. 0000.1

081

1534

- ④ A die is tossed 180 times with the following.

x	1	2	3	4	5	6
f	28	36	36	30	27	23

Let H_0 : The die is balanced (uniform)

Alernative of H_0 : The die is not balanced (not uniform)

By using Decision rule:-

$$X^2 = \sum \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$$

We reject H_0 if $X^2 \geq \chi^2_{\alpha}, n-p-k-1$

Where n = number of classes

p = number of parameters estimated

k = number of pooling done.

x	f	P_i	$t_i = N P_i$	$\frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$
1	28	0.1667	30	0.1333
2	36	0.1667	30	1.2000
3	36	0.1667	30	1.2000
4	30	0.1667	30	0.0000
5	27	0.1667	30	0.0000
6	23	0.1667	30	1.6333
Total	180	1.0000	180	4.4667

So, we have the probability of each outcome = $1/6$ when there are ~~tot~~ 6 faces to a die & the balanced die should have equal Probability of getting each

$$\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$$

$$\chi^2 = 4.4667$$

for the critical value:

$$n=6, p=0, k=0$$
$$\chi^2_{\alpha, n-p-k-1} = \chi^2_{0.01, 6-0-0-1}$$
$$= 15.086$$

$\therefore \chi^2 (4.4667) < \chi^2_{\alpha, n-p-k-1} (15.086)$, hence we failed to reject H₀ at 0.01 level of significance

\therefore we concluded that the die is balanced.