

## assignment\_3

Sai Sree Pulimamidi

2022-10-17

```
BankData <- read.csv("C:/Users/ADMIN/Downloads/UniversalBank.csv")
summary(BankData)
```

##	ID	Age	Experience	Income	
##	Min. : 1	Min. :23.00	Min. : -3.0	Min. : 8.00	Min. : 9307
##	1st Qu.:1251	1st Qu.:35.00	1st Qu.:10.0	1st Qu.: 39.00	1st Qu.:91911
##	Median :2500	Median :45.00	Median :20.0	Median : 64.00	Median :93437
##	Mean :2500	Mean :45.34	Mean :20.1	Mean : 73.77	Mean :93153
##	3rd Qu.:3750	3rd Qu.:55.00	3rd Qu.:30.0	3rd Qu.: 98.00	3rd Qu.:94608
##	Max. :5000	Max. :67.00	Max. :43.0	Max. :224.00	Max. :96651

  

##	Family	CCAvg	Education	Mortgage	
##	Min. :1.000	Min. : 0.000	Min. :1.000	Min. : 0.0	
##	1st Qu.:1.000	1st Qu.: 0.700	1st Qu.:1.000	1st Qu.: 0.0	
##	Median :2.000	Median : 1.500	Median :2.000	Median : 0.0	
##	Mean :2.396	Mean : 1.938	Mean :1.881	Mean : 56.5	
##	3rd Qu.:3.000	3rd Qu.: 2.500	3rd Qu.:3.000	3rd Qu.:101.0	
##	Max. :4.000	Max. :10.000	Max. :3.000	Max. :635.0	

  

##	Personal.Loan	Securities.Account	CD.Account	Online	
##	Min. :0.000	Min. :0.0000	Min. :0.0000	Min. :0.0000	
##	1st Qu.:0.000	1st Qu.:0.0000	1st Qu.:0.0000	1st Qu.:0.0000	
##	Median :0.000	Median :0.0000	Median :0.0000	Median :1.0000	
##	Mean :0.096	Mean :0.1044	Mean :0.0604	Mean :0.5968	
##	3rd Qu.:0.000	3rd Qu.:0.0000	3rd Qu.:0.0000	3rd Qu.:1.0000	
##	Max. :1.000	Max. :1.0000	Max. :1.0000	Max. :1.0000	

  

##	CreditCard	
##	Min. :0.000	
##	1st Qu.:0.000	
##	Median :0.000	
##	Mean :0.294	
##	3rd Qu.:1.000	
##	Max. :1.000	

```
library(caret)

## Loading required package: ggplot2
```

```

## Loading required package: lattice

library(ISLR)
library(e1071)
library(dplyr)

##
## Attaching package: 'dplyr'

## The following objects are masked from 'package:stats':
##
##   filter, lag

## The following objects are masked from 'package:base':
##
##   intersect, setdiff, setequal, union

library(class)
library(reshape2)
library(ggplot2)
library(gmodels)
library(lattice)

#converting variables
BankData$Personal.Loan <- factor(BankData$Personal.Loan)
BankData$Online <- factor(BankData$Online)
BankData$CreditCard <- factor(BankData$CreditCard)
df= BankData

#TASK1
set.seed(64060)
Train_index <- createDataPartition(df$Personal.Loan, p = 0.6, list = FALSE)
train.df = df[Train_index,]
validation.df = df[-Train_index,]
mytable <- xtabs(~ CreditCard + Online + Personal.Loan , data = train.df)
ftable(mytable)

##              Personal.Loan      0      1
## CreditCard Online
## 0           0              772    75
##           1             1152   120
## 1           0              309    34
##           1              479    59

#TASK2
probability = 59/(59+479)
probability

## [1] 0.1096654

#TASK3
table(Personal.Loan = train.df$Personal.Loan, Online = train.df$Online)

```

```
##           Online
## Personal.Loan    0    1
##           0 1081 1631
##           1  109  179
```

```
table(Personal.Loan = train.df$Personal.Loan, CreditCard =
train.df$CreditCard)
```

```
##           CreditCard
## Personal.Loan    0    1
##           0 1924  788
##           1  195   93
```

```
table(Personal.Loan = train.df$Personal.Loan)
```

```
## Personal.Loan
##    0    1
## 2712  288
```

#### #TASK4

*#i.  $P(CC = 1 \mid Loan = 1)$  (the proportion of credit card holders among the Loan*

*acceptors)*

```
Probablity1 <- 93/(93+195)
Probablity1
```

```
## [1] 0.3229167
```

*#ii.  $P(Online = 1 \mid Loan = 1)$*

```
Probablity2 <- 179/(179+109)
Probablity2
```

```
## [1] 0.6215278
```

*#iii.  $P(Loan = 1)$  (the proportion of Loan acceptors)*

```
Probablity3 <- 288/(288+2712)
Probablity3
```

```
## [1] 0.096
```

*#iv.  $P(CC = 1 \mid Loan = 0)$*

```
Probablity4 <- 788/(788+1924)
Probablity4
```

```
## [1] 0.2905605
```

*#v.  $P(Online = 1 \mid Loan = 0)$*

```
Probablity5 <- 1631/(1631+1081)
Probablity5
```

```
## [1] 0.6014012
```

```

#vi.  $P(\text{Loan} = 0)$ 
Probability6 <- 2712/(2712+288)
Probability6

## [1] 0.904

#TASK5
Task5Probability <- (Probability1*Probability2*Probability3)/
((Probability1*Probability2*Probability3)
+(Probability4*Probability5*Probability6))

Task5Probability

## [1] 0.1087106

#TASK6
##Value we got from question 2 and in the question 5 are nearly same
#Difference #between exact method and naive bayes method is the exact method
#We need the similar independent variable and classification to predict,
whereas the naive bayes
#method doesn't. We can justify that value we got from the question 2 i.e
0.1096654 more precise.
#because we have taken the same values from the pivot table.

#Task7
#Run naive Bayes on the data. Examine the model output on training data, and
find the entry
#that corresponds to  $P(\text{Loan} = 1 \mid \text{CC} = 1, \text{Online} = 1)$ . Compare this to the
number you
#obtained in (E).

nb.model <- naiveBayes(Personal.Loan~ Online + CreditCard, data = train.df)
To_Predict=data.frame(Online=1, CreditCard= 1)
predict(nb.model, To_Predict,type = 'raw')

## Warning in predict.naiveBayes(nb.model, To_Predict, type = "raw"): Type
mismatch
## between training and new data for variable 'Online'. Did you use factors
with
## numeric labels for training, and numeric values for new data?

## Warning in predict.naiveBayes(nb.model, To_Predict, type = "raw"): Type
mismatch
## between training and new data for variable 'CreditCard'. Did you use
factors
## with numeric labels for training, and numeric values for new data?

##           0           1
## [1,] 0.9153656 0.08463445

```

*#The value we got from question 7 is 0.08463445 and value derived from the task 5 is 0.1087106.*

*# the result is almost same that we got from Task5.*

*# There is min difference because of the rounding off.*

*#The difference will not effect the rank .*