## Minimal Cover for a Set of FDs

## Minimal Cover for a Set of FDs

- Minimal cover G for a set of FDs F:
  - Closure of F = Closure of G.
  - Right hand side of each FD in G is a single attribute.
  - If we modify G by deleting an FD or by deleting attributes from an FD in G, the closure changes.
- Intuitively, every FD in G is needed, and ``as small as possible' in order to get the same closure as F.
- e.g., A → B, ABCD → E, EF → GH, ACDF → EG has the following minimal cover:
  - $A \rightarrow B$ , ACD  $\rightarrow E$ , EF  $\rightarrow G$  and EF  $\rightarrow H$
- Minimal Cover implies Lossless-Join, Defendency Preserving Decomposition.
  - Start with M.C. of F, do the decomposition from Minimal Cover of F

### Functional dependencies

```
Our goal:
    given a set of FD set, F, find an alternative FD set, G that is:
        smaller
        equivalent
    Bad news:
        Testing F=G (F+ = G+) is computationally expensive
```

#### Good news:

Canonical Cover algorithm: given a set of FD, F, finds minimal FD set equivalent to F

Minimal: can't find another equivalent FD set w/ fewer FD's

### Minimal cover

Notice that we have single attribute on the RHS in all FDs, we need to look for extraneous (redundant) attributes on the LHS and also look for FDs that are redundant.

#### Canonical Cover Algorithm Given:

$$F = \{ A \rightarrow BC, \\ B \rightarrow CE, \\ A \rightarrow E, \\ AC \rightarrow H, \\ D \rightarrow B \}$$
• Fc = F
• No G
to F at

- $A \rightarrow E$ , •No G that is equivalent to F and is smaller than Fc

Determines canonical cover of F:

Fc = 
$$\{A \rightarrow BH, B \rightarrow CE, D \rightarrow B\}$$

Another example:

$$F = \{A \rightarrow BC, \\ B \rightarrow C, \\ A \rightarrow B, \\ AB \rightarrow C, \\ AB \rightarrow C, \\ AC \rightarrow D\}$$

$$Fc = \{A \rightarrow BD, \\ B \rightarrow C\}$$

$$B \rightarrow C\}$$

$$Algorithm$$

$$Algorithm$$

Basic Algorithm

ALGORITHM CanonicalCover (X: FD set)
BEGIN
REPEAT UNTIL STABLE

- (1) Where possible, apply Additivity rule (A's axioms) (e.g.,  $A \rightarrow BC$ ,  $A \rightarrow CD$  becomes  $A \rightarrow BCD$ )
- (2) remove "extraneous attributes" from each FD (e.g., AB→C, A→B becomes A→B, B→C

i.e., A is extraneous in  $AB \rightarrow C$ )

### **Extraneous Attributes**

- (1) Extraneous is RHS?
  e.g.: can we replace A → BC with A→C?
  (i.e. Is B extraneous in A →BC?)
- (2) Extraneous in LHS? e.g.: can we replace AB  $\rightarrow$ C with A  $\rightarrow$  C? (i.e. Is B extraneous in AB $\rightarrow$ C?)

Simple but expensive test:

1. Replace  $A \rightarrow BC$  (or  $AB \rightarrow C$ ) with  $A \rightarrow C$  in F

F2 = F - {A 
$$\rightarrow$$
BC} U {A  $\rightarrow$ C}  
or  
F - {AB $\rightarrow$ C} U {A  $\rightarrow$ C}

2. Test if F2+ = F+? if yes, then B extraneous

### **Extraneous Attributes**

```
A. RHS: Is B extraneous in A \rightarrowBC?
  step 1: F2 = F - \{A \rightarrow BC\} \cup \{A \rightarrow C\}
  step 2: F + = F2 + ?
 To simplify step 2, observe that F2+ \subseteq F+
  Why? Have effectively removed A \rightarrow B
  from F i.e., not new FD's in F2+)
     When is F + = F2 + ?
     Ans. When (A \rightarrow B) in F2+
     Idea: if F2+ includes: A \rightarrow B and A \rightarrow C,
                 then it includes A \rightarrow BC
```

### **Extraneous Attributes**

B. LHS: Is B extraneous in A  $\rightarrow$  C?

step 1: 
$$F2 = F - \{AB \rightarrow C\} \cup \{A \rightarrow C\}$$

step 2: 
$$F + = F2 + ?$$

To simplify step 2, observe that  $F+ \subseteq F2+$ 

Why?  $A \rightarrow C$  "implies"  $AB \rightarrow C$ . therefore all FD's in F+ also in F2+. i.e., there <u>may be</u> new FD's in F2+)

But  $AB \rightarrow C$  does not "imply"  $A \rightarrow C$ 

When is F + = F2 + ?

Ans. When  $(A \rightarrow C)$  in F+

Idea: if F+ includes:  $A \rightarrow C$  then it will include all the FD's of F2+.

## Extraneous attributes

#### A. RHS:

Given  $F = \{A \rightarrow BC, B \rightarrow C\}$  is C extraneous in  $A \rightarrow BC$ ?

why or why not?

Ans: yes, because

$$A \rightarrow C \text{ in } \{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C\} +$$

Proof. 1.  $A \rightarrow B$ 

- 2.  $B \rightarrow C$
- 3. A→C transitivity using Armstrong's axioms

## Extraneous attributes

#### B. LHS:

Given  $F = \{A \rightarrow B, AB \rightarrow C\}$  is B extraneous in  $AB \rightarrow C$ ?

why or why not?

Ans: yes, because

$$A \rightarrow C$$
 in F+

Proof. 1.  $A \rightarrow B$ 

- 2. AB **→**C
- 3.  $A \rightarrow C$  using pseudotransitivity on 1 and 2

Actually, we have  $AA \rightarrow C$  but  $\{A, A\} = \{A\}$ 

```
ALGORITHM CanonicalCover (F: set of FD's)
BEGIN
REPEAT UNTIL STABLE
(1) Where possible, apply Additivity rule (A's axioms)

(2) Remove all extraneous attributes:
a. Test if B extraneous in A→BC
(B extraneous if
(A→B) in (F - {A→BC} U {A→C})+)
b. Test if B extraneous in AB→C
(B extraneous in AB→C if
(A→C) in F+)
```

Example: determine the canonical cover of

$$F = \{A \rightarrow BC, B \rightarrow CE, A \rightarrow E\}$$

#### Iteration 1:

- a.  $F = \{A \rightarrow BCE, B \rightarrow CE\}$
- b. Must check for upto 5 extraneous attributes
  - B extraneous in A→BCE? No
  - C extraneous in A  $\rightarrow$  BCE?

yes: 
$$(A \rightarrow C)$$
 in  $\{A \rightarrow BE, B \rightarrow CE\}$ 

1. 
$$A \rightarrow BE \rightarrow 2. A \rightarrow B \rightarrow 3. A \rightarrow CE \rightarrow 4. A \rightarrow C$$

- E extraneous in A $\rightarrow$ BE?

Example: determine the canonical cover of

$$F = \{A \rightarrow BC, B \rightarrow CE, A \rightarrow E\}$$

#### Iteration 1:

- a.  $F = \{A \rightarrow BCE, B \rightarrow CE\}$
- b. Must check for upto 5 extraneous attributes
  - B extraneous in  $A \rightarrow BCE$ ? No
  - C extraneous in A  $\rightarrow$  BCE? Yes
  - E extraneous in  $A \rightarrow BE$ ?

1. 
$$A \rightarrow B \rightarrow 2$$
.  $A \rightarrow CE \rightarrow A \rightarrow E$ 

- E extraneous in  $B \rightarrow CE$  No
- C extraneous in  $B \rightarrow CE$  No

#### Iteration 2:

- a.  $F = \{ A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow CE \}$
- b. Extraneous attributes:
  - C extraneous in B  $\rightarrow$  CE No
  - E extraneous in B  $\rightarrow$  CE No

Ans:  $Fc = \{ A \rightarrow BH, B \rightarrow CE, D \rightarrow B \}$ 

 $D \rightarrow B$ 

Find two different canonical covers of:

$$F = \{A \rightarrow BC, B \rightarrow CA, C \rightarrow AB\}$$

Ans:

Fc1 = { A 
$$\rightarrow$$
 B, B  $\rightarrow$  C, C  $\rightarrow$  A} and Fc2 = { A  $\rightarrow$  C, B  $\rightarrow$  A, C  $\rightarrow$  B}