

Restricting air travel is the only way to prevent air pollution. Do you agree?

Some people believe that the only way to reduce air pollution is to limit the number of flights. I do not agree with this view. In my opinion, restricting air travel is unlikely to have any real impact on air quality. At the same time, it may adversely affect the economy of the nation.

There is no denying the fact that aeroplanes cause air and noise pollution. They produce too much noise and emit harmful gases. While it is possible to control this pollution by reducing the number of flights, it will not fully solve the problem because the majority of pollutants in the air do not come from aircrafts. It is true that air travel has become more affordable and popular recently. However, aeroplanes still are not the primary mode of transport for the majority of people and as such they cannot be considered the number one cause of air pollution. In fact, cars and buses cause more pollution than flights because there are too many of them on the road.

What's more, restricting air travel can have disastrous consequences for the economy. The tourism industry will be the worst hit because limited availability of flights will discourage tourists from visiting foreign countries. Import and export of goods will also be affected. Since both of these factors will affect the financial growth of the country, I do not believe that governments will want to limit air travel.

To conclude, restricting air travel is unlikely to improve air quality much because aeroplanes are not the biggest polluters on the planet. What's more, any such move will have serious economic consequences.

While some people consider global warming to be the most pressing environmental problem which we have at the moment, others believe that deforestation has more devastating impact on our world. Discuss both views and give your opinion.

Some people believe that global warming is the most challenging environmental issue that we have nowadays; however, others think deforestation has more destructive effects on earth. This essay will discuss why global warming and deforestation are both harmful for the environment.

On the one hand, global warming has caused a drastic rise in temperature all over the world. It is mainly because of the high / higher amounts of radiation reaching the earth due to the depletion of the ozone layer which is caused by the emission of greenhouse gases such as carbon -dioxide and chlorofluro carbon. Because of such climatic changes, sea levels are bound to rise. For example, an article published by The Times in 2018 reported icebergs in Antarctica were melting at a higher rate than usual. If, this scenario continues increasing sea levels can cause an entire region to disappear or to be submerged. Moreover, the radiation effects can cause dreadful diseases affecting the skin and eyes.

On the other hand, the cutting down of trees on a large scale will affect the quality of air. Trees absorb carbon dioxide which emanates from the burning of fossil fuels and releases oxygen. Thus deforestation can result in high carbon dioxide levels and low oxygen levels which cause damaging effects on the environment. The high CO₂ levels can actually contribute to global warming as well. Generally speaking, the effects of deforestation are usually limited to the area that has lost the forest cover. But global warming is a phenomenon which affects the living beings all over the world. If this issue is unresolved catastrophic events such as tsunamis and hurricanes can arise frequently and also make human beings vulnerable to deadly diseases.

In conclusion, deforestation and global warming are both harmful for the environment. While the effects of deforestation are limited to a particular geographical area, global warming has an impact on the whole planet. However, it is hard to say which is the worst because one thing leads to the other.

Increasing the price of petrol is the best way to solve growing traffic and pollution problems. To what extent do you agree or disagree? What other measures do you think might be effective?

Traffic congestion and pollution have become major problems worldwide. Pollution is particularly worse in the big cities. While it is possible that higher petrol prices will solve the traffic and pollution problems to a great extent, raising the price of oil is not the best solution. However, I believe that other measures are more effective.

The main reason why raising the fuel prices is not the right solution is that it is the short-term measure. Although it might cut the number of vehicles on the road, it largely affects the prices of food and other goods. For instance, in India, my home country, everything relies on the transport. If petrol prices increase, the prices of commodities in the market will also increase. This will lead to inflation in the market which has a big domino impact on what people end up paying even for a slice of bread.

A better solution is to find measures that will work in the long run. To illustrate, escalating the investment in alternative fuels and improving public transport are more effective measures than increasing petrol prices. Traffic and pollution problems will not be solved until people start using the public transport. For this reason, the government should make public transport available at cheap rates and prohibit cars from city centres.

To conclude, this essay discussed how the growing population and worsening air quality have made it imperative for governments and people to adopt change and cut the use of private transport. However, in

my opinion, increasing the cost of petrol is not the right solution for traffic congestion. Instead of taking this drastic measure, governments should invest in public transport and clean fuel.

Increasing the price of petrol is the best way to solve growing traffic and pollution problems. To what extent do you agree or disagree? What other measures do you think might be effective?

Traffic congestion and pollution have become major problems worldwide. Pollution is particularly worse in big cities. Already several suggestions are being put forward to solve this. One of them is to augment the price of fuel. However, I believe that there are plenty of other more effective solutions.

Raising the price of fuel is a short term measure. While this might reduce the number of vehicles on the road, it is largely a negative decision because it affects not only the use of motor vehicles, but also the price of food and other goods. In Chile, my home country, everything relies on transport, so the price of petrol has a big domino impact on what people end up paying even for a slice of bread.

More creative solutions that will work in the long run are what we need to face these issues. For example, increasing the investment in alternative fuels and improving public transport are some of the ideas that I think will reduce the usage of private transport and consequently air pollution. Individual initiatives like sharing rides are also good ideas. In one of my favourite cities, Amsterdam, this problem is being tackled by investing in excellent infrastructure for bikes, so cycling has increased, cars are banned from the city centre and people have found alternative means of transport.

To conclude, the rising population and the worsening air quality have made it imperative for governments and individuals to adopt change and reduce the use of private transport. I am certain that there are better and more effective solutions to solve traffic problems than increasing petrol price.

Some people think that air travel should be restricted because it can cause environment pollution. Do you agree or disagree? Give your opinion and relevant examples. Give reasons for your explanations and use any relevant examples from your own life.

Since air travel causes environmental pollution some people insist that it should be restricted. However, I do not agree with this view because other modes of transportation also emit poisonous gases into the atmosphere and affect air quality. Hence by simply restricting air travel we cannot reduce pollution.

Air travel certainly causes air pollution; however, discouraging it is not the solution to this problem because any such move will have disastrous consequences on the economy. If air travel is restricted, it will

have a massive impact on the travel and tourism industry. Since this sector employs tens of thousands of people, any decision that affects it will have a negative impact global economy. International tourism is the main source of revenue for many developing and developed countries in the world. If air travel is banned or restricted, it will mean that international tourists will have to depend on alternative means of transport. Since water transport is extremely slow many of them will simply give up their plan to visit foreign countries. Consequently, many people who depend on tourism will lose their living.

What's more, air travel is not the only cause of pollution. Cars, buses and trucks cause as much pollution as aeroplanes. Therefore, by simply discouraging air travel, we cannot achieve any real improvement in air quality. A better solution is to invest in greener sources of fuels.

To conclude, the argument that air travel should be restricted because it causes air pollution does not hold much water for the simple reason that other means of transport also pollute the air. If improving air quality is our goal, investing in greener sources of energy is the solution.

Some people think an international car-free day is an effective way to reduce air pollution. Others think there are more effective ways to do this. Discuss both sides and give your opinion.

While many people believe that a day without cars across the world is a helpful way to increase the air quality, others are of the opinion that we should adopt other ways to preserve our environment and reduce air pollution. In this essay, I will delve into both views and provide my support for the latter view.

It is evident that actions which reduce the consumption of fossil fuels is good for the environment. Nowadays, a large number of people are ready to get back to nature and start caring about our planet more. I believe that actions like "a day-off from cars" will bring results, because people have already achieved positive outcomes with a similar initiative called "Earth Hour" during which people turn off electric lights for an hour in order to show their commitment to protect Mother Earth. People are getting more and more informed about the negative impact of air pollution on their health and the health of future generations.

Despite knowing that this initiative / idea can be helpful, I think it is not enough and preventive measures should be taken more comprehensively involving government support. It has to fund scientific research into eco-friendly products and inventions. For example, Europe is already noticing the beneficial effects on the environment after the invention of electric cars. Although these vehicles are more expensive than petrol cars, people are buying them to protect our environment, especially air, from pollution.

To conclude, I believe that an international car-free day can be helpful for our planet and help people aware of the need to protect it. Even so, in my opinion, a better option is to invest in eco-friendly cars that do not consume petrol.

Some people think that instead of preventing climate change, we need to find a way to live with it. To what extent do you agree or disagree?

Climate change has started threatening our very existence on this planet. The soaring temperatures have already caused the extinction of many species. Some people feel that it is difficult or impossible to stop climate change. In their opinion, we need to find ways to live with it. I disagree with this view because climate change can be prevented to a great extent. And when it can be prevented we do not have to find ways to live with it.

The argument that instead of preventing climate change we need to explore alternative ways to live with it shows our unwillingness to change our irresponsible ways. We need to accept the fact that climate change didn't happen on its own. It was triggered by our actions. Since the days of industrialization we have been consuming natural resources without thinking about the consequences of our actions. When we burn fossil fuels, we are producing many gases that increase global warming. The last decade saw a dramatic increase in the number of vehicles on the road. All of them emit dangerous carbon dioxide. Refrigerators and air conditioners are now found in almost all homes. They also produce greenhouse gases. Unfortunately, what we do to reduce the heat inside our homes and offices increases global warming. This is a vicious cycle and we must get out of it.

The best way to reduce climate change is to use our resources responsibly. We need to invest in alternative energy sources like solar and wind. In addition, the government should encourage people to use public transport systems. Imposing 'green taxes' on private vehicles and airlines could be a step in the right direction. Everyone needs to make a conscious effort to reduce their carbon footprint by consuming less energy. Both the government and the media need to create awareness about the growing threat caused by rising temperatures and changing climate.

To conclude, there are several ways to prevent climate change and hence the argument that we need to find ways to cope with it does not hold water. It merely shows our unwillingness to reduce our carbon footprint.

While some people consider global warming to be the most pressing environmental problem which we have at the moment, others believe that deforestation has more devastating impact on our world. Discuss both views and give your opinion.

Some people believe that global warming is the most challenging environmental issue that we have nowadays; however, others think deforestation has more destructive effects on earth. This essay will discuss why global warming and deforestation are both harmful for the environment.

On the one hand, global warming has caused a drastic rise in temperature all over the world. It is mainly because of the high / higher amounts of radiation reaching the earth due to the depletion of the ozone layer which is caused by the emission of greenhouse gases such as carbon -dioxide and chlorofluro carbon. Because of such climatic changes, sea levels are bound to rise. For example, an article published by The Times in 2018 reported icebergs in Antarctica were melting at a higher rate than usual. If, this scenario continues increasing sea levels can cause an entire region to disappear or to be submerged. Moreover, the radiation effects can cause dreadful diseases affecting the skin and eyes.

On the other hand, the cutting down of trees on a large scale will affect the quality of air. Trees absorb carbon dioxide which emanates from the burning of fossil fuels and releases oxygen. Thus deforestation can result in high carbon dioxide levels and low oxygen levels which cause damaging effects on the environment. The high CO₂ levels can actually contribute to global warming as well. Generally speaking, the effects of deforestation are usually limited to the area that has lost the forest cover. But global warming is a phenomenon which affects the living beings all over the world. If this issue is unresolved catastrophic events such as tsunamis and hurricanes can arise frequently and also make human beings vulnerable to deadly diseases.

In conclusion, deforestation and global warming are both harmful for the environment. While the effects of deforestation are limited to a particular geographical area, global warming has an impact on the whole planet. However, it is hard to say which the worst is because one thing leads to the other.

Global warming is one of the most serious issues that the world is facing today.

What are the causes of global warming and what measures can governments and individuals take to tackle the issue?

Probably the most worrying threat to our planet at the present time is global warming. This essay will examine the reasons why global warming is occurring and discuss some possible solutions.

The predominant factors resulting in the warming of the earth are the emissions of CO₂ and deforestation. CO₂, which damages the ozone layer, comes from several sources, but the most problematic are those coming from the burning of fossil fuels from power plants. This releases thousands of tonnes of CO₂ into the atmosphere every year. Another cause of these emissions is the burning of gasoline for transportation, which continues to grow because of our demand for cars and also our increasing worldwide consumption, resulting in an escalating need to transport goods. Also, forests store large amounts of carbon, so deforestation is causing larger amounts of CO₂ to remain in the atmosphere.

Nevertheless, there are potential ways to solve these problems, or at least reduce the effects. Firstly, governments need to reduce our dependence on fossil fuels and promote alternatives. Plant-derived plastics, biodiesel, wind power and solar power are all things that are a step in the right direction, but governments need to enforce the limits on CO₂ emissions for the polluting industries in their countries for these to be effective. Also, individuals can play a part by making lifestyle changes. People should try to buy cars with the best fuel economy, and only use their car when really necessary. They can also switch to energy companies that use renewable energy rather than fossil fuels. Finally, small things like buying energy efficient light bulbs, turning off electricity in the house, and planting trees in the garden can help.

To conclude, although global warming is a serious issue, there are steps that governments and individuals can take to reduce its effects. If we are to save our planet, it is important that this is treated as a priority for all concerned.

Many scientists are concerned about global warming. Research shows that the worldwide increase in temperature is getting worse. Furthermore, global warming seems to be related to levels of pollution in the atmosphere. Discuss the causes of global warming and possible solutions to the problem.

Our planet is gradually getting hotter, and there has been a significant increase in temperature in recent years due to pollution. The threat of global warming has raised concerns as it is continuously causing damaging the earth in the form of heat waves, resulting in melting of glaciers whose persistence is utterly important for mankind's survival. This essay will firstly discuss the reasons behind global warming and the solutions for this problem.

First of all, usage of conventional fossil fuels in transportation and heavy industries has caused emission of harmful gases like carbon dioxide causing a green house effect which creates damage to the ozone layer.

This has caused damage to invaluable layer which protects our planet from getting hotter. In addition, factories and thermal plants release huge amount of gases, polluting our environment and causing damaging effect to the worldwide weather. For instance, a recent study shows that 90 kilo tons of polluting gases are emitted worldwide which equivalent to 3 nuclear bombs and causes enough damage to planet earth.

There are many solutions like planting of trees, use of non-conventional fuel and solar energy, which can significantly help to reduce global warming. Planting trees will improve the level of oxygen and will cause decrease in harmful gases as trees intake carbon dioxide as a major component in their food. Non-conventional fuel like liquid hydrogen can be used to reduce pollution from heavy industries and transportation. Finally, solar energy has developed as a major source in generating electricity this can be used in many other domains to reduce the impact of air pollution.

In conclusion, a big threat is facing us in the form of global warming. It is the responsibility of every person on earth to save our planet, mankind needs to wake up in case we want to make our future generations survive and present them the same planet in which we lived.

'It is the job of governments and companies to deal with the huge environmental problems which we face. Individuals on their own can do little or nothing'. What is your opinion about this statement?

It cannot be disputed that the world is experiencing an environmental crisis. According to recent research, if nothing is done to tackle climate change in the near future, our planet will face the devastating consequences of global warming. Undoubtedly, it is the role of governments and corporate organisations to take action, however I strongly feel that individuals need to make a contribution to deal with this pressing problem as well.

Politicians could certainly invest public finances in order to research the issues connected with climate change and, furthermore, could pass laws in relation to industrial pollution, which is making a major contribution to the greenhouse effect. Nevertheless, it requires constant pressure from citizens, either alone or in environmental pressure groups, on our leaders to make this happen. The general public can protest, lobby their politicians or vote for a political party who proposes introducing green policies if elected.

Similarly, corporate businesses should be adopting more sustainable working practices, for instance, by switching to more environmentally-friendly ways of manufacturing using renewable energy such as solar panels. Likewise, the individual as consumer can play a role here too, by refusing to purchase products which have been manufactured in a way that damages the environment.

It must also be acknowledged that individuals have a moral responsibility to care for our planet. For example, reducing consumption of fossil fuels whenever possible, becoming self-sufficient by growing their own vegetables and switching off lights when they are not needed. Although some of these actions may seem minor, the cumulative effect of everyone taking such actions would be enormous.

It is probably certainly the case that governments and international companies are the key players with responsibility for protecting our planet. Nonetheless, I am still convinced that ordinary people, either individually or cooperatively, can help to make the changes necessary to have a significant impact on our future.

Human activity has had a negative impact on plants and animals around the world. Some people think that this cannot be changed, while others believe actions can be taken to bring about a change. Discuss both and give your opinion.

It is an undeniable fact that humans have significantly damaged the ecological balance of flora and fauna. Although some people opine that there exist no remedies to initiate a change, I believe that it is still possible to reverse these adverse impacts on the environment. This essay will discuss the former and the latter arguments in detail.

To begin with, some people believe that nothing much can be done to reverse the damage caused to the nature. This is because the governments are not taking enough initiative to curb the overuse of fossil fuels and reduce the deforestation. For example, it was reported by International Organisation for Climate Change that the damage caused by global warming is irreversible because most of the governments have failed to act seriously. However, the government can improve the situation by amending the law and making it more stringent by including penal provisions.

However, I am of the view that that there are a lot of measures which can still be undertaken by the government and people to reverse the adverse effects. The government should make policies to reduce the consumption of plastic and fossil fuels. As a result, there will be less pollution and these measures will gradually lead to recovery of the mother-nature. To illustrate, countries like Bhutan has completely

eradicated the consumption of plastic and since then there has been a significant improvement in the growth of flora and fauna. Thus, these actions can help to undo the harm caused to the environment.

To conclude, despite the fact that some people may not have any hopes in relation to the improvement of the environment due to the lack of efforts taken by the government, I believe that there are a plethora of options available such as implementing stricter policies and curbing the usage of plastic to overcome these issues.

Human activity has had a negative impact on plants and animals around the world. Some people say that it is too late to do something while others think that actions can be taken to improve the situation. Discuss both sides of the view and give your opinion.

It is undoubtedly true that some of our activities have a harmful effect on our planet. While some people believe that nothing can be done to solve the negative impact of human activity on the wildlife, I strongly argue that several measures can be taken to solve the problem.

To begin with, some people are of the opinion that the damaging effect of some of our activities on the wildlife cannot be resolved. This, in their opinion, is because many species of plants and animals have already disappeared from our world and it is impossible to get them back. For example, in 2013, a United Nations study found out that several species disappeared from our ecosystem because of deforestation. This clearly shows the depth of the bad effects that our activities have on the wildlife. So, in these people's opinion nothing can be done to reverse the problem.

Nevertheless, I insist that there are many measures that governments and individuals can take to stop the negative effect that our activities have on our planet. First of all, governments around the world must come together to address the issue of deforestation. This is because it is the main cause of the disappearance of endangered species. For example, the South African government outlawed deforestation in 2015 and since then the results have been impressive as many species have been saved from extinction and soil erosion has been stopped. As a result, the endangered species now have no disruption in their habitats and the production of crops has increased. Individuals can also spread awareness about the destructive effect that some of our activities have on the planet. Obviously there are still many more things that we can do to address the issue.

To sum up, I definitely maintain that governments and individuals can do several things to stop human activity that has damaging effects on our planet. Outlawing deforestation and spreading awareness amongst people about the need to protect wildlife are the actions that need to be taken

Influence of human beings on the world's ecosystem is leading to the extinction of species and loss of bio-diversity. What are the primary causes of loss of bio-diversity? What solutions can you suggest?

Despite knowing about biodiversity's importance for a long time, human activity has been causing massive extinctions of different species. This essay will examine the main causes of loss of biodiversity and possible solutions of this problem.

The two main causes of species extinction are change of their habitats and overexploitation of natural resources. When humans artificially transform the environment, they destroy vegetation and animals' natural habitat. For instance, to build new roads people are cutting down the trees and cementing the soil, altering the environment. Because of that, a lot of species are dying out. Also, when the activities connected with capturing and harvesting a natural resource are too intense in a particular area, the resource becomes exhausted. For example, too frequent fishing doesn't leave enough time for fish to reproduce and makes them disappear. In other words, human activities often deplete local flora and fauna and cause loss of bio-diversity.

Some possible solutions to this problem are protecting natural areas and promoting awareness among people. By protecting areas where human activity is limited and avoiding overexploitation of its resources, we can save the untouched environment and prevent species from dying out. Moreover, the next step in fighting bio-diversity loss is informing the general population about the dangers of this problem. This way, people will be more conscious of the environment and won't overuse or destroy its resources.

To conclude, people's activities that change the environment have negative impact on the world's ecosystem. However, we can significantly lessen the extinction of species by protecting natural areas and enlightening people as to this problem.