pronounced or invitter. Hence for the stringuistic corpna, parale and performance data set is pratical.

\* Such corpora are a finite collection of linguistic data that one studied with empinical methods. It can be used for composison when linguistic models one developed.

2. What is morphology and explain morphological models.

Morphology 8-

- It is a study of structure of word and word formation. ecopool boston o

Morpheme :-

- The smallest unit of meaning full

Type of morpheme :-

\* Free morpheme

\* Bound morpheme.

Freez morpheme &

- Word can stand by themself. It has own meaning. Standalone.

Example: boy, gend, can, beauty.

Types :-

\* Lexical morpheme

+ Functional morpheme.

\* Lexical morpheme :-

- It classify the mords using posts of speech.

Example :- PUS.

\* Functional morpheme :-

- It built the class of the words using Port of Speech. That have grammatical function.

Example :-

Preposition - of, to conjunction - but, and, determinens - this, that pronoun - I, U, verb - is, can

Bound morpheme:

- Worde cannot stand alone by themselfs.

+ It only occur as part of word.

\* It must connected to other morpheme
to create a word.

+ Both derivational and influction morpheme
me core bound morpheme

Example :- Boys, protunes The "s" suffix in boys & prict protunes is on example of bound morpheme, 1 Denivational morpheme :-- It change the category of the word and its grammatical. Types 8-\* class changing + class maintaining. Class changing: - Produce a denived form of another e3 . 10 . cordicoos ? Ex:- Teachen, development, national, - The above example (en, ment) one class changing suffixes. - In teach -> teachen venb 1, -In teacher, a verb teach has become a noun aften suffexing " er". Class morntarning :-- To produce a desirved form of some class - They do not change there class of a pos

E

0

Example: Boyhood, childhood, principalship.
The above example (hood, ship) one classmointaining derivational suffixes.

Inflection morpheme:

It change a word interm of grammer but does not create a new word.

Never change the grammatical category of word.

-Skip- (base form) ekipping
-skipped.

Types.: There one 2 types.

\* regular inflection monpheme

+ Prregular inflection monpheme.

Morphological model.

Domain Spearfic Language (DSL) :-

\* A domain specific language (DSL) is a specialized programming language that is used for a single purpose.

\* Various domain-specific languages have been created for achieving instutive and meneral programming effort.

\* Pragmatically, a Del may be specialized to a particular problem domain, a posticular problem representation technique, a particular solution technique, or other aspects of a domain.

\* Examples of such domorn-specific program ming languages one HTML, SQLAWK etc.,..

Dictionary lookup as morphological model.

\* Morphological model needs a systems in which analysing a mond form in neduced kept in sync with more sophisticated model of the longuage.

\*A distronomy is undenstood as a data structure that directly enables obtaining some precomputed results.

\* The dato structure can be optimized for efficient lookup.

\*Hence dictronary look up is constructed as one of the effective morphologic-

\* Finite-state morphological :
\* Finite-state morphological modelscore the morphological models in which the specifications written by humans programmens.

\* The knite state morphological model coin be used for multiple natural languages:

\* The tools used one XFST and Lex tools.

nen

3. Explain sentence boundary & topic boundany?

- In human language, words and sentences do not appear mondomly but usually have a structure.

- For example, combinations of words from sentences - meaningful grammatical units, such as statements, requests and commands.

- Likewise in written text, sentences form poragraphs - self-contained units of discourse about a particular point or idea.

- Document structure help in breaking.

apout the input text or speech into topically cohenent blocks that provides better organization and indexing it.

Sentence boundary: - It is destection is the problem in natural longuage processing of deciding where sentences begin and end. Sentence detection is an important task which should be penformed at the beginning of a text processing pipeline. - Sentence boundary detection deals with automotically segmenting a sequence of word tokens into sentence units. -Natural language processing tools often long cages, the beliginning of a sentence; however, sentence boundary identification can be challenging due to the potential ombrauty of punctuation manks. - In written text in english and some other larguages, the beginning of a sentence is usually manked with on uppercase letter, and the end of a sentence is explicitly manked with a penial (.), a questron mark(?), an exclamation mories or another type of punctuation. - However, in addition to their note as sentence boundary, eaptralized inital

Topic boundary segmentation: \* Topic segmentation (sometimes called discoun rse or text segementation) is the task of automatically dividing a stream of text or speech ento topically homogeneous blocks. \* That PS, given a sequence of words, the arm of topic segmentation is to find the boundaries where topics. \* Topic segmentation is on imporant task for voovous language-understanding applications such as information extraction and netnieval and text summarization. \* In information netnieval, if long documents can be segmented into shorten, topically conhenent segments, then only the segment that is about the usen's query could be netnievd. \*Topic segementation is a nontrivial problem without a very high human agreement because of mong natural longuage-nelated issues and hence require ree a good definition.

Code switching: that is, the use of words phrases or sentences from multiple phrases or sentences from multiple speakers - is language by multilinguai speakers - is language by multilinguai speakers - is another problem that can affect the another problem that can affect the characteristics of sentences. For example, when switching is a different language, when switching is a different language, the written coin either keep the punctuation rales from the first language or resort in the code of several language.

1 ... 11

\* Code switching also affects technical texts
form which the meaning of puncutations
sign can be redefined in a multiporm
Resource Location (URLs)

Hence code scortching is considered as a problem in sentence boundary detection.

the property and anddones, ton't

"Hope of the contraction of the contraction

comes of the second of the street on the

leaves proces - 40 somest

per respect when respect to bedone a server

The state of the s

и.

Bo Explain boundary classification problems \* sentence segmentation and topic segmentaton have mainly been considered on a boundary classification. \* For a given boundary coindidate the goal is to predict whether or not the condidate is on actual boundary. \*Let X EX be the vector of features assocrated with a condidate. \*And yey be the label predicated for that coindidate. \*The lobel of can be b(ges) for boundary and 6 (no) for non boundary. \* Alternatively to the binary classification problem it is possible to model boundary types finen grasned categories. \*Gilicle suggested that sentence segmentation on on text be fromed as a three-daw Problem sentence boundary, with on abbrevialto-atron ba and ba. In this we have 2 methods: (1) Generative model (1) Discriminative model.

to

to Topice segementation typically trustead of two installer are much,

Generative sequence model:

\*It estimate the foint distribution of

\*It estimate the foint distribution of

the observation, p(x,y) and the labels.

the observation, p(x,y) and the labels.

\*It nequines specific assumptions and

have good generalization properties.

have good generalization properties.

\*Discriminative sequence model:

\*It focus on features that characterize

\*It focus on features that characterize

\*It focus on be used for sentence

examples.

\*Such methods can be used for sentence

and topic in both written and spoken

longuage.

The probability is written using the Bayes nule:

Baye's theorem

\* In probability theory & statistics, bayes theorem discribes the probability of on event

Noive Bayes classifen

Where,

(i) x1-xj one j features that one

(dependent of each other.

(ii) p(y|x1,--xj): Postenion probability.

(iii) p(x1--xj|y): Likelihood of features x1

(vi) p(x1--xj): Likelihood of features x1

(vi) p(y): prior probabily

(vi) p(x1--xj): manginal probability.

Example:
\*Let's undenstand how does the algorithm

works to the following steps.

Step 1 :

\*We stood by importing database and necessary depends.

\* The dataset of weather includes the features (outlook, temp, humidity, inindy).

\* Target vanPable "play".

\* Now we need to predict whether the players will play (or) not.

\* Based on given weather conditions.

43		John L.		1
Outlook	Temp	Humidity	Wendy	Play
Cartox	1000 mg	antest i	1	33 (1)
Roiny	hot	high	Colt to	ho
Roiny	hot	high	torio	no
overcast	hot	high	f	yes
Sunny	mild	high	+	Jes
Sunny	cool	normal	+	yes
Sunny	cool	normal	+	THE PARTY
overcoist	cool	normal	t	no yes
Romy	mild	high	2	
Roiny	cool	normal	+	no
Sunny	mild	normal	f	yes
Rolling	mild	mil .	1	yes
	00311	normal	t	yes
overcost	bat 1	high	t	yes
sunny	mila	normal	I soul !	10/
1000 Thomas		high	11914	yes ,
TO ASSAULT	">(1)(1)(2)(1)	the could	+	00

10

LIPUNO

step 2 %-

\*Calculate prior probability of classes

$$p(y)$$
 $y=9$ 
 $y=9$ 

step 3 :
\* ealculate the likelihood table for all
features.

Likelihood table

(1) outlook

play	overcast	noing	sunny
Yes	419	219	319
No	015	315	215
5	Ullu	0 0-10.	= 114

(11) Temperature

play	Cool	mild	hot
yes	319	419	219
00	115	2/5	215
	4114	619	41124

## (3) Humidity

play	high	nonmal
409	319	619
No	415	1/5
	7/14	7114

## (4) wrody

play	F	T
yes	619	319
06.	215	315

8/14 6/14

\* whethen the players well play or not when the Wethen condition core outlook= narry temp= mild humidity = normal windy= frue.

Calculation of postion probability.

P(4=yes/n) = P(yes/Rainy, mild, nonmas, true)

= p(Rarn, mildinonmal, true/yes) \* p(yes)

p(Rarny, mildinonmal, true).

= (219)(419) (619) (319) \* (9114) (5114) (6114) (7114) (6/14)

€0.43

fi) for no

p(4=no/x) = p(no/ Rainy, mild, normal, true)

= p(nain, mild, nonmal, true (no) \* p(no)

P(nain, mild, nonmal, true)

<u>315)</u> \* (215) \* (115) \* (315) \* (5114)

(5/14) \* (6/14) \* (7/14) \* (6/14)

= 0.31

The probability for yes is more than

20

They can play on that day.

5) Discuss about hybrid approaches for word classification, complexity of approaches, penformance of approaches &? \* Nonsequential. discriminative classification algorithms typically ignone the context, which is critical for the segmentation tosk. \* While we may add context as a feation or simply use CRFs, which inherently consider context, these approaches are suboptimal when dealing with neal-valued features such as pause duration or pitch range. \* An alternative is to use a hybrid classification approach as suggested by Shribreget al. \* The morn idea is to use the partern probability Pc (\*g. (x), for each boundary condidate, obtained from the other classifens such an boosting or CRF. by eamply conventing them to state obsenvation likelihoods by dividing to thern pho priors following the well-known Bayes rule an follows.

ang = Pc (41/xi) = angmax p(xi/yi) 91 P(41) 31

complexity of approaches.

\* Sentence topic segementation appropries can be mated in terms of complexity of their tropping and prediction algorithm and en terms of performance. \* Discriminative approach

\* In terms of complexity, training of this approach is more complex than training of generative once because they require multiple passes.

\*Generative models

\* This models such as HELM's can hondle multiple orders of magnitude tages longen training sets and benefit for instance from decades of news wire transcripts:

\* Discrimenative classifiers

\* They allow for a wider variety of teatures and penform better on smaller training sets.

Sequence approaches.

\* Componed to local approaches, sequence approaches bring the additional complexity of decoding: finding the best sequence of decisions requires evaluating ay possible sequences.

\* Fortunately, conditional independence assumptions allow the use of dynamic programming to trade time for memory and decode & in polynominal time.

Performance of the approaches.

a) Sentence segmentation in speech

- for sentence segmentation in speech,
performance is usually evaluated using,

\* The enmot error rate.

+ F1 - measure.

b) Sentence segmentation in text.

- for sentence segmentation in text,
nessearchers have reported error rate
street journal compus of the wall
27,000 sentences.

- For instance, Mitheer reports that his

rule based system penforms at an ennon

- Without nequining hondcronfted nules on on abbneviations Irst, Gillick's SVM-based system obtains even fewer enrons of o.25%

c) Sentence segmentation in speech.

- For sentence segmentation in speech, Dass et al. report on the mandanen TDTH mutelengual Broadcast news speech corpus, on F1-measures using the same set of teatures is as of \*69.1°10 for a maxent classifen \*72.6°10 with adaboost \*72.6°10 with SVMs.

- A combination of the three clasifiers using logistic negression is also proposed.