Math 74: Algebraic Topology

Sair Shaikh

May 26, 2025

Problem 1.(2.2.9) Compute the homology of the following 2-complexes:

- 1. The quotient of S^2 by identifying the north and south poles to a point.
- 2. $S^1 \times (S^1 \vee S^1)$.
- 3. The space obtained from D^2 by first deleting the interiors of two disjoint subdisks in the interior of D^2 and then identifying all three resulting boundary circles via homeomorphisms preserving clockwise orientations.

Solution.

Problem 2. Compute the homology of the torus with $n \geq 1$ vertical disks filled in, that is,

$$X = (S^1 \times S^1) \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n \left\{ e^{2\pi i k/n} \right\} \times D^2 \right).$$

Solution.

Problem 3.(2.2.21) If a finite CW complex X is a union of subcomplexes A and B, show that

$$\chi(X) = \chi(A) + \chi(B) - \chi(A \cap B).$$

Solution. Recall the definition of the Euler characteristic:

$$\chi(X) = \sum_{n} (-1)^n |I_n|$$

where I_n is the set of n-cells of X. Since A and B are subcomplexes, any cell that intersects with A or B must lie fully within A or B, respectively. Since $X = A \cup B$, each cell of X either lies just in A (i.e. in $X \setminus B$), just in B (i.e. in $X \setminus A$), or in both A and B (i.e. in $A \cap B$). For n-cells, call these respective sets $I_{n,A}$, $I_{n,B}$, and $I_{n,A \cap B}$. Clearly, by the inclusion-exclusion principle, we have:

$$|I_n| = |I_{n,A}| + |I_{n,B}| - |I_{n,A\cap B}|$$

Thus, we can write the Euler characteristic of X as:

$$\chi(X) = \sum_{n} (-1)^{n} |I_{n}|$$

$$= \sum_{n} (-1)^{n} (|I_{n,A}| + |I_{n,B}| - |I_{n,A \cap B}|)$$

$$= \sum_{n} (-1)^{n} |I_{n,A}| + \sum_{n} (-1)^{n} |I_{n,B}| - \sum_{n} (-1)^{n} |I_{n,A \cap B}|$$

$$= \chi(A) + \chi(B) - \chi(A \cap B).$$

Thus,

$$\chi(X) = \chi(A) + \chi(B) - \chi(A \cap B).$$

Problem 4.(2.2.22) If X is a finite CW complex and $p: \widetilde{X} \to X$ is a degree n covering, show that $\chi(\widetilde{X}) = n \cdot \chi(X)$.

Solution. Let X be m-dimensional. It suffices to show that $|I_j(\widetilde{X})| = n|I_j(X)|$ for each $j \leq m$, as:

$$\chi(\widetilde{X}) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^k |I_k(\widetilde{X})|$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^k n \cdot |I_k(X)|$$

$$= n \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^k |I_k(X)|$$

$$= n \cdot \chi(X)$$

To show this, we claim that $\rho^{-1}(X^k)$ is a k-dimensional CW complex in \widetilde{X} for each $k \geq 0$, with $|I_j(\widetilde{X})| = n \cdot |I_j(X)|$ for all $j \leq k$. We proceed by induction.

For k = 0, we know that for every 0-cell $x \in X$, there are n distinct preimages under ρ in \widetilde{X} , as p is a covering map of degree n. Thus, $\widetilde{X}^0 = \rho^{-1}(X^0)$ is a 0-dimensional CW complex with $|I_0(\widetilde{X})| = n|I_0(X)|$.

For k > 0, let e^k be a k-cell of X with map $\phi : D^k \to X$. Since $\pi_1(D^k, d_0)$ is trivial (for $d_0 \in \operatorname{int}(D^k)$), we have that $\phi_*(\pi_1(D^n, d_0))$ is also trivial. Thus, as D^n is path-connected and locally path-connected we can use the universal lifting property to get a unique lift for each pre-image under ρ of $\phi(d_0)$, call these $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n : D^k \to \widetilde{X}$. We claim that $\operatorname{int}(\operatorname{img}(\phi_i))$ are disjoint k-cells of \widetilde{X} that map homeomorphically to e^k under p.

For $1 \le i \le n$, note that we have:

$$\rho \circ \phi_i = \phi$$

Then note the following:

- 1. As $\phi|_{\text{int}(D^k)}$ is a homeomorphism onto e^k , so is $\rho \circ \phi_i|_{\text{int}(D^k)}$. Thus, $\rho|_{\phi_i(\text{int}(D^k))}$ is a homeomorphism onto e^k , i.e. $\phi_i(\text{int}(D^k)) \cong e^k$. Thus, we have $\phi_i(\text{int}(D^k)) \cong e^k \cong \text{int}(D^k)$.
- 2. Note $\rho \circ \phi_i(\delta D^n) = \phi(\delta D^n) \subseteq X^{k-1}$. Then, by the induction hypothesis, we have that $\rho^{-1}(X^{k-1}) = \widetilde{X}^{k-1}$. Thus, $\phi_i(\delta D^n) \subseteq \widetilde{X}^{k-1}$.

Thus, $\phi_i(\text{int}(D^k))$ are k-cells of \widetilde{X} for each i. Finally, as these cells contain a distinct preimage of the $\phi(d_0)$, they must be disjoint by uniqueness of the lift. Thus, we have that:

$$\rho^{-1}(e^k) = \bigsqcup_i \phi_i(\operatorname{int}(D^k))$$

since we have n distinct homeomorphic copies of e^k . Thus, considering these as the k-cells of \widetilde{X} , we have that $\rho^{-1}(X^k) = \widetilde{X}^k$ is a k-dimensional CW complex, with $|I_k(\widetilde{X})| = n \cdot |I_k(X)|$. By the induction hypothesis, we have that $|I_j(\widetilde{X})| = n \cdot |I_j(X)|$ for each $j \leq k$.

Thus, we note that since X is a finite CW complex of some dimension m, so is \widetilde{X} and we have that $|I_j(\widetilde{X})| = n \cdot |I_j(X)|$ for each $j \leq m$. As noted before, this concludes the proof.

Problem 5. Use the previous problem to show that if $\rho: \mathbb{RP}^{2n} \to X$ is a covering map where X is a finite CW complex, then p is a homeomorphism.

Solution. Note that from the previous question, we have that:

$$\chi(\mathbb{RP}^{2n}) = \deg(\rho) \cdot \chi(X)$$

where $deg(\rho)$ is the degree of the covering map ρ . Moreover, note that we showed:

$$H_i(\mathbb{RP}^{2n}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & i = 0\\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & 1 < i < 2n, i \text{ odd}\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Thus, we can calculate $\chi(\mathbb{RP}^{2n})$ as follows:

$$\chi(\mathbb{RP}^{2n}) = \sum_{i=0}^{2n} (-1)^i \operatorname{rk}(H_i(\mathbb{RP}^{2n})) = 1$$

as the free rank of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is 0. Thus, we have that:

$$\deg(\rho) \cdot \chi(X) = 1$$

Since both $\deg(\rho)$ and $\chi(X)$ are integers, we have $\deg(\rho) = \chi(X) = \pm 1$. However, as the degree of a covering map cannot be negative, we have that $\deg(\rho) = \chi(X) = 1$. In particular, this means that ρ is a homeomorphism.