

CS 40: Computational Complexity

Sair Shaikh

October 2, 2025

Problem 6. Prove that

$\text{STRONGCON} := \{ \langle G \rangle : G \text{ is a strongly connected directed graph} \}$

is NL-complete.

Proof. To show that STRONGCON is NL-complete, we need to show that $\text{STRONGCON} \in \text{NL}$ and STRONGCON is NL-hard. We do each of these separately.

First, we show that STRONGCON is in NL. It suffices to show that $\text{STRONGCON} \in \text{coNL}$ as $\text{NL} = \text{coNL}$. Thus, we consider the complement:

$$\overline{\text{STRONGCON}} = \{ \langle G \rangle : \exists u, v : \text{there is no path } u \rightarrow v \}$$

We need to show that this is in NL. We do this in the following way:

1. Guess u and v non-deterministically. Concretely we can do this by counting up from 1 to $|V|$ (we can figure out $|V|$ by parsing the input and maintaining a counter) in a binary counter and choosing whether to stop at each particular point. Thus, this can be done in $O(\log(|V|))$ space.
2. Simulate the NDTM associated to $\overline{\text{STRONGCON}}$ on $\langle G, u, v \rangle$. We have G on our input tape and u, v on the work-tape, so this requires only a slight modification and can be done in log-space. Note that since $\text{NL} = \text{coNL}$, $\overline{\text{STRONGCON}} \in \text{NL}$ thus this NDTM exists.
3. If the simulation ACCEPTS, then there is no path from u to v , thus, G is not strongly connected, and we ACCEPT. Otherwise, we REJECT. This takes no space.

Thus, we conclude that $\overline{\text{STRONGCON}} \in \text{NL}$. Thus, $\text{STRONGCON} \in \text{coNL} = \text{NL}$.

Finally, we need to show STRONGCON is NL-hard. Since STCONN is NL-hard, it suffices to show a log-space reduction from STCONN to STRONGCON.

Let $\langle G, s, t \rangle$ be some encoding of a graph and two vertices. We first create a graph G' with the property that:

$$\text{there is a path } s \rightarrow t \text{ in } G \iff G' \text{ is strongly connected}$$

Let G' have the same vertex set as G , but with the following edges added:

- Edge (u, s) for all $u \in V(G)$ if they do not exist
- Edge (t, v) for all $v \in V(G)$ if they do not exist

We show that G' satisfies the given property. First assume there is a path from $s \rightarrow t$ in G . Let $u, v \in V(G')$ be any vertices. We know there exists an edge (u, s) , path $s \rightarrow t$, and edge (t, v) . Thus, there is a path from $u \rightarrow v$. Since u, v were arbitrary, G' is strongly connected. Next, assume that G' is strongly connected. Then, there is at least one path from $s \rightarrow t$ in G' . Let p be (one of) the shortest path(s) from s to t . We claim that p only uses edges already present in G . Indeed, if any edge (u, s) appeared in p , the path p can be shortened by taking the section after this edge. By the minimality of p , no such edge exists in p . Similarly, we see that no edge (t, v) can exist in p . In particular, p avoids all the edges we appended to G to create G' . Thus, p only uses edges in G , hence there is a path from $s \rightarrow t$ in G .

Note that in terms of our languages, we can write this property as:

$$\langle G, s, t \rangle \in \text{STCONN} \iff \langle G' \rangle \in \text{STRONGCON}$$

We only need to show that we can construct G' from G in log-space. We only need to stream the list of vertices and the list of edges for G' . Streaming the list of vertices and the edges already contained in G requires no additional space, as we just read the input and regurgitate it. Thus, we only need to worry about outputting (u, s) and (t, u) for all $u \in V(G)$. But this can be done in logspace as it only requires one to maintain a counter to track which vertex we are on as we loop over the vertex set, which is of size $O(\log(|V|))$, which is at most logarithmic in the description of the entire graph (s and t can be read from the input tape each time).

Thus, we have shown a logspace reduction of STCONN to STRONGCON. Hence, STRONGCON is NL-hard, therefore NL-complete.

□