## Math 113: Functional Analysis

## Sair Shaikh

## May 5, 2025

Defn. Let  $\{U_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in A}$  be an open cover of  $(X,\rho)$ . We say that d>0 is a Lebesgue number for the cover if given any d-ball  $B_d(x_0)$  with  $x_0\in X$ , there exists  $a_0\in A$  such that  $B_d(x_0)\subseteq U_{a_0}$ .

Ex  $X = \mathbb{R}$ .  $U_1 = (-\infty, 1)$ ,  $U_2 = (0, 2)$ , and  $U_3 = (1, \infty)$ . Here d = 1/2 is a LN for  $\{U_1, U_2, U_3\}$ . This is clear if  $x_0 \in (1/2, 3/2)$ .

Ex. (Hwk.) Given  $x \in (0,1)$ ,  $\exists \delta_x > 0$  such that:

$$y \in B_{\delta_x}(x) = \{ y \in (0,1) : |y - x| \le \delta_x \}$$
$$\implies |1/x - 1/y| < 1$$

Then,

$$(0,1) = \bigcup_{x \in (0,1)} B_{\delta_x}(x)$$

has no Lebesgue number.

Lemma (Lebesgue Covering Lemma) Every open cover of a compact metric space has a Lebesgue number.

Proof. Pictures. Apr 9.

Thm. Suppose  $(X, \rho)$  is compact, and  $F: (X, \rho) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is continuous. Then F is uniformly continuous.

Proof. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . We need to find  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\forall x, y \in X$ :

$$\rho(x,y) < \delta \implies \sigma(F(x),F(y)) < \epsilon$$

Since F is continous,  $\forall z \in X, \exists \delta_z > 0$  such that:

$$\rho(x,z) < \delta_z \implies \sigma(F(x),F(z)) < \epsilon/2$$

That is,

$$F(B_{\delta_z}(z)) \subseteq B_{\epsilon/2}(F(z))$$

Let  $\delta > 0$  be a Lebesgue number for the cover  $\{B_{\delta_z}(z)\}_{z \in X}$ . Now supposed  $\rho(x,y) < \delta$ . Then  $\exists z \in X$  such that:

$$B_{\delta}(x) \subseteq B_{\delta_z}(z)$$

and

$$\sigma(F(x), F(y)) \le \sigma(F(x), F(y)) + \sigma(F(z), F(y)) < \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon$$

Defn. Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a metric space and C(X) the  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space of continous functions on X. We say  $\mathcal{J} \subset C$  is equicontinous at  $x \in X$  if  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  such that:

$$\forall F \in \mathcal{J}, F(B_{\delta}(x)) \subseteq B_{\epsilon}(F(x))$$

We say  $\mathcal{J}$  is equicontinous on X if  $\forall x \in X$ ,  $\mathcal{J}$  is equicontinous at x.

Ex. Let  $X = [0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $F_n(x) = x^n \forall n \geq 1$ . Let:

$$\mathcal{J} = \{F_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

Let  $x_n = \frac{1}{2}^{1/n}$ . Then,  $x_n$  arrow up to 1. Then,

$$|F_n(x_n) - F_n(1)| = |1/2 - 1| = 1/2$$

Thus,  $\mathcal{J}$  is not equicontinous at 1.

Ex. (Hwk) Show that  $\mathcal{J}$  is equicontinous on [0,1).

Defn. Let  $(F_n)$  be a sequence of ( $\mathbb{C}$ -valued) functions on X. Then,  $(F_n)$  is uniformly bounded if  $\exists M > 0$  such that  $\forall n \geq 1, \forall x \in X$ :

$$|F_n(x)| < M$$

We say that  $(F_n)$  is pointwise bounded if  $\forall x \in X, \exists M_x > 0$  such that:

$$|F_n(x)| < M_x$$

Defn. A metric space (top. space) is separable if there is a countable dense subset  $D \subset X$ .

Ex. Since  $\mathbb{Q}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is dense,  $(\mathbb{R}^n, ||\cdot||_p)$  is separable.

Lemma. (Arzelà-Ascoli) Let  $(X, \rho)$  is a seperable metric space and that  $(F_n)$  is pointwise bounded and equicontinous in C(X). Then, there is subsequence  $(F_{n_k})$  such that:

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} F_{n_k}(x)$$

exists  $\forall x \in X$ .

Yap. Given a sequence  $(x_n)$ , we get subsequence by finding  $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n_{k+1} > n_k$  and  $(x_{n_k})_{k=1}^{\infty} \to x$  is a sequence.

A subsubsequence is determined by finding  $n_{k_1} < n_{k_2} < \cdots$  and then we write:

$$(x_{n_{k_j}})_{j=1}^{\infty}$$

A subsequence is determined by an infinite subset  $S_1 = \{n_1 \leq n_2 \leq \cdots\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ . A subsubsequence is determined by an infinite subset  $S_2 \subset S_1$ ,

$$S_2 = \{n_{k_1} < n_{k_2} < \cdots \} \subset S_1$$

Now, we write:

$$\lim_{n \in S_1} x_n = a \text{ instead of } \lim_{k \to \infty} x_{n_k}$$

Note that  $\lim_{n \in S_1} x_n = a$  if:

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \,\exists N : n \geq N, n \in S' \implies |x_n - a| < \epsilon$$

Rmk. Suppose  $S_1 \subset \mathbb{N}$  determines a subsequence as above. Suppose  $S' \subset \mathbb{N}$  is infinite and:

$$\{n \in S' : n \not\in S_1\}$$

is finite. The  $\lim_{n \in S_1} x_n = a$  then  $\lim_{n \in S'} x_n = a$  as well.

Proof of the AA Lemma: Pictures. Apr 9 and 10.

- Rmk. If X is compact, then  $C(x) = C_b(X)$  is a complete metric space with respect to the uniform norm  $||\cdot||_{\infty}$ .
- Thm. (Arzelà-Ascoli) Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a compact metric space and  $(F_n) \subset C(X)$  be a sequence of functions that are point-wise bounded and equicontinous. Then  $(F_n)$  has a subsequence converging uniformly to some function  $F \in C(X)$ . Proof. Pictures.
- Lemma. Suppose X is compact and that  $\mathcal{J} \subset C(X)$  is equicontinous on X. Then,  $\mathcal{J}$  is uniformly equicontinous on X, in that for all  $\epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in X$  and all  $F \in \mathcal{J}$ ,

$$\rho(x,y) < \delta \implies |F(x) - F(y)| < \epsilon$$

Rewriting,

$$F(B_{\delta}(x)) \subseteq B_{\epsilon}(F(x))$$

Proof left as homework.

- Corr. Let X be a compact metric space. Let  $\mathcal{J} \subset C(X)$  be a closed subset such that  $\mathcal{J}$  is equicontinous and pointwise bounded. Then  $\mathcal{J}$  is compact and uniformly bounded.
- Thm. Suppose X is a compact metric space. Then  $\mathcal{J} \subset C(X)$  is compact if and only if  $\mathcal{J}$  is closed, uniformly bounded, and equicontinous on X. Proof. Pictures.

Defn. A topological space is called a Baire space if the countable intersection of dense open sets is dense.

Rmk. If  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  are equivalent metrics on X then  $(X, \rho)$  is a Baire space if and only if  $(X, \sigma)$  is a Baire space.

Defn. If  $S \subset X$  then the interior of S is:

$$Int(S) = \bigcup \{U \subset S : U \text{ is open in } X\}$$

Rmk. One can write  $Int_X(S)$  as interior of S in X. Where you take the interior matters.

Lemma. A space X is a Baire space if and only if given a countable  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of closed sets, such that:

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$$

has non-empty interior, then at least one  $F_n$  has (non-empty) interior.

Hwk. Write  $O_n = F_n^C = X \setminus F_n$ .  $O_n$  dense  $\iff Int(F_n) = \emptyset$ .

Thm. Barise Category Thm. Every complete metric space is a Baire space.

Rmk. Note that (0,1) is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Hence, (0,1) is a Baire space.

Hwk. More generally, every non-empty open subset V of a complete metric space admits an equivalent complete metric (Hwk). Hence V is a Baire space. Thus, if:

$$V = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} V \cap F_n$$

of each  $F_n$  closed in X, thus  $V \cap F_n$  is closed in V. Hence, at least one  $V \cap F_n$  has non-empty interior in V. But V is open, so it has interior in X.

Thm. Suppose that X is a Baire space and that  $(F_n) \subset C(X)$  such that  $F_n \to F$  pointwise. Then,

$$A = \{x \in X : F \text{ is cts at } x\}$$

is dense in X.

Proof. Pictures.

Rmk. If  $X = \mathbb{R}$ , then we can assume:

$$A = \{x \in X : F \text{ is cts at } x\}$$

is uncountable.

Defn. A normed vector space  $(V, ||\cdot||)$  is called a Banach space if V is complete in in the induced metric. Recall that  $\mathbb{F}$  is always  $\mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Yap. Normed vector spaces are special.

- 1.  $B_r(x) = x + B_r(0)$ . The topology is homogenous.
- 2.  $|||v|| ||w||| \le ||v w|| \implies v \to ||v||$  is continous.
- 3.  $\overline{B_r(v)} = \{x \in V : ||x v|| \le r\}.$
- 4.  $\epsilon B_r(0) = B_{\epsilon r}(0)$ .
- 5.  $\epsilon \overline{B_r(0)} = \overline{B_{\epsilon r}(0)}$ .

Thm. Supposed that X and Y are normed vector spaces and  $T: X \to Y$  is linear. Then, the following are equivalent:

- 1. T is continuous.
- 2. T is continous at a single point.
- 3.  $\exists \alpha \geq 0$  such that  $||T(x)|| \leq \alpha ||x||$  for all  $v \in X$ .

Proof.

- $(1) \implies (2)$  is trivial.
- (2)  $\implies$  (3). Since T is continuous at  $x_0$ ,  $\exists \delta > 0$  such that:

$$T(\overline{B_{\delta}(x_0)}) \subset B_1(T(x_0))$$

 $LHS = T(B_{\delta}(x_0)) + T(x_0).$ 

RHS =  $B_1(0) + T(x)$ .

This implies,  $T(\overline{B_{\delta}(0)}) \subset B_1(0)$ .

Now if  $z \neq 0$ ,

$$||T(z)|| = ||\frac{||z||}{\delta}T(\delta \cdot \frac{z}{||z||})|| \le \frac{||z||}{\delta}$$

Let  $\alpha = \frac{1}{\delta}$ . (3)  $\Longrightarrow$  (1). Erased.

Rmk. Suppose that  $||\cdot||_1$  and  $||\cdot||_2$  (two different norms) induce equivalent metrics on V. Then, take the id:  $(V, ||\cdot||_1) \to (V, ||\cdot||_2)$  is continous (same topology). Hence,  $\exists c \geq 0$ such that:

$$||x_2|| \le c \cdot ||x_1||$$

Clearly, that means c > 0. By symmetry, there exists a d > 0, such that:

$$||x_1|| \le d \cdot ||x_2||$$

Thus, the metrics and the norms are strongly equivalent.

Defn. If X and Y are normed vector spaces, then  $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$  is the vector space of continous linear maps  $T: X \to Y$ . Define  $||T|| = \sup_{||x|| \le 1} ||T(x)||$ . If X = Y, then we write  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  instead of  $\mathcal{L}(X,X)$ .

Lem. With ||T|| as above,  $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$  is a normed vector space with:

$$||T(x)|| \le ||T||||x|| \forall x \in X$$

If  $S \in \mathcal{L}(Y, Z)$ , then we write ST in place of  $S \circ T$  and:

$$||ST|| \le ||S||||T||$$

Defn. An algebra over  $\mathbb{F}$  is a vector space A over  $\mathbb{F}$  with a ring structure, with  $\lambda(xy) = (\lambda x)y = x(\lambda y)$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$  and  $x, y \in A$ .

Ex.  $M_n(\mathbb{F})$ ,  $\mathbb{R}[x]$ ,  $\mathbb{C}[x]$ , C(X).

Defn. If  $||\cdot||$  is a norm on an algebra A, then we call  $(A, ||\cdot||)$  a normed algebra if  $\forall x, y \in A$ :

$$||xy|| \le ||x||||y||$$

We call  $(A, ||\cdot||)$  a Banach algebra if  $(A, ||\cdot||)$  is a normed algebra and A is complete with respect to  $||\cdot||$ .

Prop. If X and Y are normed vector spaces, and Y is a Banach space, then  $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$  is a Banach space. If X is a Banach space, then  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  is a Banach algebra. Proof. Suppose that  $(T_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathcal{L}:(X,Y)$ . Then, for each  $z \in X$ ,  $T_n(X)$  is a Cauchy sequence in Y. Hebcem  $\exists T(x) \in Y$  such that:

$$T_n(X) \to T(X)$$

It is not hard to see that  $T: X \to Y$  is linear. Since  $(T_n)$  is Cauchy in norm, its bounded i.e.  $\exists m > 0$  such that:

$$||T_n|| \le M \forall n \ge 1$$

Now if  $||x|| \le 1$ , then:

$$||T(x)|| = \lim_{n \to \infty} ||T_n(x)|| \le \operatorname{limsup}_n ||T_n|| ||x|| \le M||x||$$

This implies  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ .

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let N be such that  $m, n \geq N$ . Thus,

$$||T_n - T_m|| \le \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

Now if  $||x|| \le 1$ , and if  $n \ge N$ ,

$$||(T - T_n)(x)|| = ||T(x) - T_n(x)||$$

$$= \lim_{m \to \infty} ||T_m(x) - T_n(x)||$$

$$\leq \limsup_m ||T_m - T_n|| \cdot ||x||$$

$$\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} < \epsilon$$

Yap. Let  $Y \subset X$  be a subsoace of a normed vector space X. Then we can form the quotient vector space  $X/Y = \{x + Y : x \in X\}$  with  $q : X \to X/Y$  the quotient map. If  $x \in X$ , then:

$$\inf\{||x - y|| : y \in Y\}$$

depends only on q(x). We call:

$$||q(x)|| := \inf\{||x - y|| : y \in Y\}$$

the quotient norm on X/Y.

Rmk. As Y is a subspace,

$$||q(x)|| = \inf\{||x+y|| : y \in Y\}$$
$$= \inf\{||x+\alpha y|| : y \in Y\} \,\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$$

Thm. If Y be a subspace of  $(X, ||\cdot||)$ . Then,

$$||q(x)|| = \inf\{||x - y|| : y \in Y\}$$

is a seminorm on X/Y which is a norm exactly when Y is closed. If X is a Banach space, and Y is closed in X, then X/Y is a Banach space. Proof. Note that for  $\alpha \neq 0$ :

$$||\alpha q(x)|| = ||q(\alpha x)|| = \inf\{||\alpha x + \alpha y|| : y \in Y\} = |\alpha|\inf\{||x + y|| : y \in Y\} = |\alpha|||q(x)||$$

Next, fix  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ . Then given  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists y_1, y_2 \in Y$  such that:

$$||q(x_1)|| + ||q(x_2)|| + \epsilon \ge ||x_1 - y_1|| + ||x_2 - y_2||$$

$$\ge ||x_1 - y_1 + x_2 - y_2||$$

$$\ge ||q(x_1 + x_2)||$$

$$= ||q(x_1)|| + ||q(x_2)||$$

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary,  $||q(x_1) + q(x_2)|| \le ||q(x_1)|| + ||q(x_2)||$ . Thus, it is a semi-norm. Sketch for closed. If quotient norm is 0, there exists a sequence  $y_n$  converging to x. If

Y closed,  $x \in Y$  and g(x) = 0. Conversely, if quotient norm is a norm and  $y_n \to x$ . Then, ||g(x)|| = 0. Then, g(x) = 0 and  $x \in X$ .

Now suppose X is a Banach space and Y is closed in X. Let  $(q(z_0))$  be Cauchy in X/Y. Then we can pass to a subsequence and assume:

$$||q(z_{n+1}) - q(z_0)|| \le 1/2^n$$

Let  $x_1 = z_1$ . Since:

$$||q(z_2) - q(x_1)|| < 1/2$$

Thus,

$$\inf\{||z_2 - x_1 - y|| : y \in Y\} < 1/2$$

Hence, we can find  $x_2$  such that  $q(x_1) = q(z_2)$  and

$$||x_2 - x_1|| < 1/2$$

Continuing, we get  $(x_n)$  such that  $q(x_n) = q(z_n)$  and:

$$||x_{n+1} - x_n|| < 1/2^n$$

(Hwk)  $(x_n)$  is Cauchy, thus,  $x_n \to x$  in X.

But  $||q(z)|| \le ||z||$ . Hence, q is continous. Thus,

$$q(z_n) = q(x_n) \to q(x)$$

Rmk. Note that  $q: X \to X/Y$  is a bounded linear map of norm at most 1.

Thm. Suppose that Y is a closed subspace of  $(X, ||\cdot||)$ . Then X is a Banach space if and only if both Y and X/Y are Banach spaces. Proof. Pictures. 4/16

Thm. Every finite-dimensinal subspace Y of a normed vector space X is a Banach space and hence closed in X. If  $\dim(Y) = n$ , then every linear isomorphism  $\Phi : \mathbb{F}^n \to Y$  is a homeomorphism.

Proof. Pictures. 4/16.

Corr. If Y is finite-dimensional vector space, then all norms  $||\cdot||$  are strongly equivalent. Proof. id:  $(Y, ||\cdot||_1) \to (Y, ||\cdot||_2)$  is a homeomorphism and continuous linear maps are bounded.

Recall. Recall the topological definition of continous functions and open maps. If  $F: X \to Y$  is a bijection, then  $F^{-1}: Y \to X$  is continous if and only if F is open.

Thm. (The Big Three) (Open Mapping Theorem) Suppose that X and Y are Banach spaces and that  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$  is a surjection. Then T is an open map.

Lem. It will suffice to find r > 0 such that:

$$B_r(0) \subseteq T(B_1(0))$$

Proof. By homogeneity,  $T(B_{\delta}(0))$  is a neighborhood of  $0_Y$  for all  $\delta > 0$ . By linearity,  $T(B_{\delta}(x))$  is a neighborhood of T(x) for all  $x \in X$  and  $\delta > 0$ . Thus, if V is open in X and  $x \in V$ , then  $\exists \delta > 0$  such that:

$$B_{\delta}(x) \subseteq V$$

Then,  $T(B_{\delta}(x))$  is a neighborhood of T(x) in T(Y). Thus, T is an open map. Thus, T(V) is open.

Lem. It will suffice to find r > 0 such that:

$$B_r(0) \subseteq \overline{T(B_1(0))}$$

Proof. Assume r > 0 is such that the property holds. Let  $y \in B_r(0)$ . Then,

$$\exists y_1 \in T(B_1(0)) : ||y - y_1|| < r/2$$

Then,  $y - y_1 \in B_{r/2}(0)$ .

Hence,  $\exists y_2 \in 1/2T(B_1(0)) = T(B_{1/2}(0))$  such that:

$$||y - y_1 - y_2|| < r/2^2$$

Continue to get a sequence  $(y_n)$  such that:

$$y_n \in 2^{-n+1}T(B_1(0)) = T(B_{2^{-n+1}}(0))$$

and:

$$||y - \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i|| < 2^{-n}r$$

By construction,  $\exists x_n \in X$  such that:

$$T(x_n) = y_n \qquad ||x_n|| < 2^{-n+1}$$

Since X is a Banach space,  $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$  converges and since T is continuous (hence bounded), and also:

$$||x|| < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n+1} = 2$$

and

$$T(x) = y$$

Since y was arbitrary, we have shown that:

$$B_r(0) \subset T(B_2(0))$$

This implies:

$$B_{r/2}(0) \subset T(B_1(0))$$

This suffices by lemma 1.

Lem. 3 If suffices to see that:

$$\overline{T(B_n(0))}$$

has interior for some  $n \geq 1$ .

Proof. By homogeneity, we can assume that  $\overline{T(B_1(0))}$  has interior.

Thus,  $\exists \epsilon > 0$  such that:

$$B_{\epsilon}(y) \subset \overline{T(B_1(0))}$$

Let  $z \in B_{\epsilon}(0)$ . Write

$$z = z/2 + y - (y - z/2) \in B_{\epsilon/2}(y) - B_{\epsilon/2}(y) \subseteq \overline{T(B_{1/2}(0))} - \overline{T(B_{1/2}(0))}$$

Rest in pictures.

Proof. Of the Open Mapping Theorem. Pictures. 4/18.

Ex. Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Then, let:

$$l_0^p = \operatorname{span}\{e_n : n \ge 1\} = \{x \in l^p : x(n) = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } n\}$$

Notte  $l_0^p$  is dense in  $l^p$ .

Define:  $T_0: l_0^p \to l_0^p$  by:

$$T_0(e_n) = 1/ne_n$$

You can check that ||T|| = 1. Also  $T_0$  is a bijection.

$$T_0^{-1}(e_n) = ne_n$$

but  $T_0^{-1} \notin \mathcal{L}(l_0^p)$ 

Thm. Suppose that X and Y are Banach spaces and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$  is a bijection. Then  $T^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(Y,X)$ .

Proof.  $T^{-1}$  is linear by general nonsense and T is open by the Open Mapping Theorem. Hence  $T^{-1}$  is continuous hence bounded.

Yap. If X and Y are Banach spaces, then I can give  $X \times Y$  a norm by:

$$||(x,y)| = max\{||x||,||y||\}$$

This makes  $X \times Y$  a Banach spaces.

Thm. The map:

$$\Phi: l^q \to (l^p)^\vee$$

given by  $\Phi(y) = \phi_y$  is an isometric isomorphism. That is,  $\Phi$  is a isomorphism such that:

$$||\Phi(y)|| = ||y||$$

Rmk. If  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , then:

$$\operatorname{sgn}(z) = \frac{z}{|z|} \text{ if } z \neq 0 \text{ else } 0$$

Then,  $z = |z| \operatorname{sgn}(z)$  and  $|z| = \operatorname{sgn}(z)z$ .

Lemma. If  $y \in l^q$ , then  $||\phi_y|| = ||y||_q$ . Proof. Pictures. 4/28.

Lemma. If  $\phi \in (l^p)^{\vee}$ , then  $\exists y \in l^q$  such that:

$$\phi = \phi_u$$

Proof. Pictures. 4/28. Let  $y_n = \phi(e_n)$ . Then, if  $x \in l^p$ , then:

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n e_n$$

Note,

$$||x = \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n e_n||_p^p = \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} |x_n|^p$$

converges in the Banach space  $l^p$ .

Hence,

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n y_n$$

Then, if we can show  $y \in l^q$ , then  $\phi = \phi_y$ , and we are done. Let  $y^N \in l^q$  be given by:

$$y^{N}(n) = \begin{cases} y_n & \text{if } 1 \le n \le N \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then,  $\phi_{y^N} \in (l_p)^{\vee}$  and  $||\phi_{y^N}|| \leq ||y^N||_q$ . If  $x \in l^p$ , then,

$$\phi_{y^N}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n y_n \to \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_y y_n = \phi(x)$$

Hence, by the Principle of Uniform Boundedness,  $\exists M > 0$  such that:

$$||\phi_{y^N}|| \le M \qquad \forall N \ge 1$$

Thus, if  $q < \infty$ , then,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} |y_n|^q \le M^q < \infty$$

Rmk. If X is a normed vector space, then we know that  $X^*$  is a Banach space. Then, we can form the bidual  $(X^*)^* = X^{**}$ . We get a natural map  $\iota$ 

$$\iota:X\to X^{**}$$

 $\iota(x)(\phi) = \phi(x)$  is evaluation at x. Now,

$$||\iota(x)|| = \sup_{||\phi|| \le 1} |\iota(x)(\phi)| = \sup_{||\phi|| \le 1} |\phi(x)|(*)$$

Then,  $(*) \leq ||x||$  since  $||\phi|| \leq 1$ .

Then, by Hahn-Banach,  $\exists \phi \in X^*$  such that  $||\phi|| = 1$  and  $\phi(x) = ||x||$ . Thus,

$$||\iota(x)|| = ||x||$$

We can identify X at  $\iota(X)$  in  $X^{**}$ .

If X is a Banach space, then,  $\iota(x)$  is complete, hence closed in  $X^{**}$ .

Otherwise,  $\overline{\iota(X)}$  is a Banach space containing X as a dense subspace. Then,  $\overline{\iota(X)}$  is the completion of X as a Banach space.

Defn. If X is a Banach space then X is reflexive if  $\iota(X) = X^{**}$  is onto.

Rmk. If X is reflexive, then  $X^{**}$  are isometrically isomorphic. The converse can fail. It can be that they are isometrically isomorphic but  $\iota$  is not the map. [Robert James 1951].

Ex. Let  $1 . Let <math>\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . Then,

$$(l^p)^* = \{\phi_y^p : y \in l^q\}$$

and also:

$$(l^q)^* = \{\phi_x^q : x \in l^p\}$$

If  $x \in l^p$ , then,  $\iota(x) \in (l^p)^{**}$ , and:

$$\iota(x)(\phi_y^p) = \phi_y^p(x)$$
$$= \phi_x^q(y)$$

Thus,  $\iota : l^p \to (l^p)^{(*)}$  is surjective and  $l^p$  is reflexive for 1 .

Prop.  $l^p$  is reflexive for 1 .

Rmk. Let X be a normed vector space and  $D = \{d_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset X$  be a countable subset of X. Then the rational space of D,  $\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}(D)$  is the span of D viewing X as a rational vector space. Thus,

$$\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}(D) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{ \sum_{k=1}^{r} r_k d_k : r_k \in \mathbb{Q} \, d_k \in D \}$$

Then,  $\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}(D)$  is countable. Then if  $\operatorname{span}(D)$  is dense, then since  $\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}(D)$  is dense in  $\operatorname{span}(D)$ . Thus, X is  $\operatorname{separable}$ .

Same works over  $\mathbb{C}$  replacing  $\mathbb{Q}$  by  $\mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q}$ .

Corr.  $l^p$  is separable for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Let  $D = \{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . But  $l^{\infty}$  is not separable.

Hwk. If  $X^*$  is separable, then X is.

Thm. If  $1 \le p \le \infty$ , and if q is the conjugate exponent, then:

$$\Phi: l^q \to (l^p)^*$$

is an isometric isomorphism when  $\Phi(y) = \phi_y^p$  and

$$\phi_y^p = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n y_n$$

Rmk. If  $(l^p)^* = \{\phi_y^p : y \in l^q\}$  and if  $q \le \infty$ , then:

$$(l^q)^* = \{\phi_x^q : x \in l^p\}$$

Defn. Recall: If X is a Banach space, then X is reflexive if  $\iota(X) = X^{**}$  is surjective.

Prop. If  $1 , then <math>l^p$  is reflexive.

Proof. COnsider  $\iota: l^p \to (l^p)^{**}$ . If  $\phi_y^p \in (l^p)^*$ , then:

$$\iota(x)(\phi_y^p) = \phi_y^p(x) = \phi_x^q(y)$$

Let  $\psi \in (l^p)^{**}$ . Then,

$$\psi(\phi_y^p) = \psi(\Phi(y))$$

Now  $y \to \psi(\Phi(y))$  is a in  $(l^q)^*$ . Hence,  $\exists x \in l^p$  such that:

$$\psi(\Phi(y)) = \phi_x^q(y)$$

Thus,  $\iota(x) = \psi$ . Thus,  $\iota$  is onto.

Rmk. If X is a normed vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$  and  $D \subset X$  is countable and  $\overline{\operatorname{span}(D)} = X$ , then X is separable.

Corr. If  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , then  $l^p$  is separable.

Proof.  $D = \{e_n : n \ge 1\}.$ 

Ex.  $l^{\infty}$  is not separable.

Proof. Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . Let:

$$x_A(n) = \mathbf{1}_{n \in A}$$

If  $A \neq B$ , then  $||x_A - x_B||_{\infty} = 1$ . But  $\{x_A : A \subseteq \mathbb{N}\}$  is uncountable. You cannot find a countable dense subset anymore (cant fit all of them into countably many 1/4 balls).

Hwk.  $(l^{\infty})^*$  is not separable. Thus,  $l^1$  is not reflexive.

Defn. Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ . Then we define:

$$T^*:Y^*\to X^*$$

by:

$$T^*(\phi)(x) = \phi(T(x))$$

Prop. If X and Y are normed vector spaces, and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ , then  $T^* \in \mathcal{L}(Y^*,X^*)$  and  $||T^*|| = ||T||$ .

Proof. First, check that  $T^*$  is linear (easy).

Next, show the norm equality. Look at:

$$||T^*(\phi)|| = \sup_{||x|| \le 1} |T^*(\phi)(x)|$$

$$= \sup_{||x|| \le 1} |\phi(T(x))|$$

$$\le ||\phi||||T||||x||$$

$$\le ||\phi||||T||$$

Thus,

$$||T^*|| \le ||T||$$

Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then,  $\exists x_0 \in X$  such that  $||x_0|| = 1$  and:

$$||T(x_0)|| > ||T|| - \epsilon$$

But  $\exists \phi \in Y^*$  such that  $||\phi|| = 1$  and:

$$\phi(T(x_0)) = ||T(x_0)||$$

Then,

$$||T^*|| \ge ||T^*(\phi)||$$
  
 $\ge |T^*(\phi)(x_0)|$   
 $= |\phi(T(x_0))|$   
 $= ||T(x_0)||$   $> ||T|| - \epsilon$ 

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, we have:

$$||T^*|| \ge ||T||$$

Thus,  $||T^*|| = ||T||$ .

Thm. Let X and Y be Banach spaces and suppose that:

$$T: X \to Y$$
  $S: Y^* \to X^*$ 

are functions (not linear or bounded) such that  $\forall \phi \in Y^*$  and  $x \in X$ :

$$S(\phi)(x) = \phi(T(x))$$

Then,  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$  and  $S \in \mathcal{L}(Y^*,X^*)$ , with  $S=T^*$ . Proof. Supposed that  $x,y \in X$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ . Then, if  $\phi \in Y^*$ , we have:

$$\phi(T(\alpha x + y)) = S(\phi)(\alpha x + y)$$

$$= \alpha(S(\phi)(x)) + S(\phi)(y)$$

$$= \alpha\phi(T(x)) + \phi(T(y))$$

$$= \phi(\alpha T(x) + T(y))$$

Since  $\phi \in Y^*$  is arbitrary, we have  $T(\alpha x + y) = \alpha T(x) + T(y)$ . (something about separate points).

To see that T is bounded, use CGT. Suppose that  $x_n \to x$  in X and  $T(x_n) \to y$  in Y. But  $\forall \phi \in Y^*$ , we have:

$$\phi(y) = \lim \phi(T(x_n))$$

$$= \lim S(\phi)(x_n)$$

$$= S(\phi)(x)$$

$$= \phi(T(x))$$

Thus, y = T(x). Thus, T is bounded. But  $T^*(\phi) = S(\phi)$ .

Yap. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. Then  $\beta \subset \tau$  is a basis for  $\tau$  if given any  $U \in \tau$  and  $x \in U$ , then  $\exists V \in \beta$  such that  $x \in V \subset U$ .

We say S is a neighborhood of  $x \in X$  if  $\exists U \in \tau$  such that  $x \in U \subset S$ .

Wrote  $\mathcal{N}(x)$  for the set of all neighborhoods of x. We say that  $\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{N}(x)$  is a neighborhood basis at x if  $U \in \mathcal{N}(x)$ , there exists  $V \in \alpha$  such that:

$$x \in V \subseteq U$$

- Ex. (1) In a metric space, the collection of all open balls is a basis for the metric topology.
  - (2) In  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , every point has a neighborhood basis consisting of compact sets. Such spaces are called locally compact.

Lemma. Low Hanging Fruit: Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and  $\alpha(x)$  has a neighborhood basis at  $x \in X$  consisting of open sets. Then:

$$\beta = \bigcup_{x \in X} \alpha(x)$$

is a basis for  $\tau$ .

Lemma. Low Hanging Fruit:  $\beta \in \tau$  is a bssis for  $\tau$  if and only if given  $U \in \tau$ ,

$$U = \bigcup_{V \in \beta \subset U} V$$

Defn. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. Then,

- 1.  $(X, \tau)$  is separable if it ihas a countable dense subset.
- 2.  $(X, \tau)$  is 2nd countable if it has a countable basis.
- 3.  $(X, \tau)$  1st countable if every point has a countable neighborhood basis.

Rmk. 1. Every 2nd countable space is seperable. The converse holds in metric spaces. Hwk 8.

2. Metric spaces are 1st countable.

Ex. 1. Let X be a set. Then  $\tau = \mathcal{P}(X)$  is the discrete topology. This is the metric topology coming from the discrete metric.

2.  $\tau = \{X, \phi\}.$ 

Lemma. Let  $S \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ . Then, there is a smallest topology  $\tau(S)$  that contains S. Proof. Let  $\tau(S) = \bigcap \{\tau' : \tau' \text{ is a top and } S \in \tau' \}$ .

Prop. Let  $\beta \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$  be a coer of X. Then  $\beta$  is a basis for  $\tau(B)$  if and only if  $U, V \in \beta$  and  $x \in U \cap V$ , then  $\exists W \in \beta$  such that  $x \in W \subset U \cap V$ . Proof. Hwk. One lecture on weak topology omitted.

Defn. An ordered set  $(X, \leq)$  is directed if given  $x, y \in X$ ,  $\exists z \in X$  such that  $x \leq z$  and  $y \leq z$ .

Ex.  $-X = \mathbb{N}$ .

- Let  $(Y, \tau)$  be a topological space and  $y_0 \in Y$ . Then  $X = \mathcal{N}(y_0)$  be the collection of neighborhoods of  $y_0$  induced by reverse inclusion. Then,  $U \leq V \iff V \subset U$ . Then  $\mathcal{N}(y_0)$  is directed. If  $U, V \in \mathcal{N}(y_0)$ , then so is  $U \cap V$ .
- We can do the same thing for open neighborhoods.
- Defn. A net x is a set X is a function x:  $ambda \to X$  where  $\Lambda$  is a directed set. As with sequences, we usually write  $X_{\lambda}$  for  $x(\lambda)$  and  $(x_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  in place of  $x : \Lambda \to X$ , or just x.
  - If X is a topological space, then we say that a net  $(x_{\lambda})_{{\lambda} \in {\Lambda}}$  converges to  $x_0 \in X$  if  $(x_{\lambda})$  is eventually in every neighborhood of  $x_0$ . That is, if  $U \in \mathcal{N}(x_0)$ , then  $\exists \lambda_0 \in {\Lambda}$  such that:

$$\lambda \geq \lambda_0 \implies x_{\lambda} \in U$$

- We say that  $x_0 \in X$  is an accmulation point of a net  $x_\lambda$  if  $(x_\lambda)$  is frequently in every neighborhood of  $x_0$ . That is if U is a neighborhood of  $x_0$  and  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ , then  $\exists \lambda \geq \lambda_0$  such that  $x_\lambda \in U$ .
- Prop. Suppose X is a topological space and  $E \subset X$ . Then,  $x_0 \in \overline{E}$  if and only if there exists a net  $(x_\lambda) \subset E$  with  $x_\lambda \to x_0$ .

Proof. Suppose we have  $x_{\lambda} \subset E$  with  $x_{\lambda} \to x_0$ . If  $x_0 \notin \overline{E}$ , then  $\exists U \in \mathcal{O}(x_0)$  such that  $U \cap E = \emptyset$ . But then we would eventually have  $(x_{\lambda})$  in U. Contradiction.

Now suppose that  $x_0 \in \overline{E}$ . Let  $\Lambda = \mathcal{O}(x_0)$ .

If  $W \in \Lambda$ , then  $W \cap E \neq \emptyset$ . Then, we let  $x_W \in W \cap E$ . Then,  $(x_W)_{W \in \Lambda}$  converges to  $x_0$ .

If  $U_0 \in \mathcal{O}(x_0)$ , then  $U \geq U_0$ ,  $x_U \in U \subset U_0$ .

Ex.  $S = {\sqrt{n}e_n \in l^2 : n \ge 1}$ , then  $0 \in \overline{S}^w$  (weak topology).

Defn. A subset C of a vector space V over  $\mathcal{F}$  is convex if  $x, y \in C$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ , then  $tx + (1 - t)y \in C$ .

Ex. In a normed vector space X, every open ball  $B_r(x_0)$  is convex if  $x_0 \in X$  and r > 0. To see this, supposed  $x, y \in B_r(x_0)$ , and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Then,

$$||tx + (1-t)y - x_0|| \le ||t(x - x_0)|| + ||(1-t)(y - x_0)||$$
  
 $$= r$$ 

Lemma. Let C be an open convex neighborhood of 0 in a normed vector space X. Then,

$$m(x) = \inf\{s > 0, s^{-1}x \in C\}$$

Then m is a Minkowski functional on X such that:

$$C = \{x \in X : m(x) < 1\}$$

Proof. If  $x \in X$ , then  $\frac{1}{n}x \to 0$ . So  $\frac{1}{n}x$  is eventually in C. So  $m(x) < \infty$  for all  $x \in X$ .

Note that if  $t \geq 0$ , then m(tx) = tm(x). (This is one of the axioms for a Minkowski functional).

Also let  $s^{-1}x$ ,  $t^{-1}y \in C$ . Then:

$$(s+t)^{-1}(x+y) = \frac{s}{s+t}s^{-1}x + \frac{t}{s+t}t^{-1}y \in C$$

Thus,  $m(x+y) \le s+t$ .

Since  $s^{-1}x, t^{-1}y \in C$  is arbitrary, we have:

$$m(x+y) \le m(x) + m(y)$$

This is the second axiom for a Minkowski functional.

Thus,  $m: X \to \mathbb{R}$  is a Minkowski functional. Now if  $x \in C$ , then  $(1+1/n)x \to x$ . Thus, for some n,

$$m(x) = frac11 + 1/n < 1$$

If m(x)K1, then  $\exists s < 1$  such that  $s^{-1}x \in C$ .

But  $0 \in C$  and  $x = (1 - s)0 + s(s^{-1}x) \in C$ .

Thm. (Hahn-Banach Seperation Theorem). Let A and B be disjoint non-empty convex subsets of some normed vector space X. If A is also open, then there exists  $\phi \in X^*$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  such that:

$$\Re(\phi(x)) < t \le \Re(\phi(y))$$

for all  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$ .

Proof. We start with  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ . Then, we can use the Basic Extension Lemma. Fix  $x_0 \in A$  and  $y_0 \in B$ . Let  $z_0 = x_0 - y_0$ . Let:

$$C = A - B + z_0$$

We can check that C is convex.

Since  $C = \bigcup_{y \in B} A - y + z_0$ . Thus, C is union of open sets, hence open neighborhood of 0.

Let  $m: X \to \mathbb{R}$  be the corresponding Minkowski functional.

We claim  $z_0 \notin C$ . If  $x - y + z_0 = z_0$ , then  $x = y \in A \cap B - \emptyset$ .

Thus,  $m(z_0) \geq 1$ .

Define:

$$\phi_0: \mathbb{R}z_0 \to \mathbb{R}$$

by  $\phi_0(\alpha z_0) = \alpha$ .

Since  $m(x) \geq 0$ , then for all x if  $\alpha \leq 0$ , then:

$$\phi_0(\alpha z_0) \le m(\alpha z_0)$$

If  $\alpha \geq 0$ , then:

$$\phi_0(\alpha z_0) = \alpha \le \alpha m(z_0) = m(\alpha z_0)$$

Thus,  $\phi_0(z) \leq m(z)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{R}z_0$ .

Thus, the Basic Extension Lemma gives us  $\phi: X \to \mathbb{R}$  such that,  $\phi(x) \leq m(x)$ .

Thus,  $\phi(x) < 1$  if  $x \in C$ .

Also,  $-\phi(x) = \phi(-x) < 1$  if  $x \in -C$ .

Thus,  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ ,

$$|\phi(x)| < \epsilon \text{ if } x \in \epsilon C \cap -\epsilon C$$

Since  $\epsilon C \cap -\epsilon C$  is a neighborhood of 0 for all  $\epsilon < 0$ , this means  $\phi$  is continous at 0. Thus,  $\phi \in X^*$ . If  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$ , then:

$$x - y + z_0 \in C$$

Then,

$$m(x - y + z_0) < 1$$

Thus,

$$\phi(x - y + z_0) < 1$$

Since  $\phi(z_0) = \phi_0(z_0) - 1$ , we have:

$$\phi(x) < \phi(y)$$

Since  $\phi$  is linear and since A and B are convex,  $\phi(A)$  and  $\phi(B)$  are intervals. Since A is open and  $\phi$  is linear,  $\phi(A)$  must be open.

Then, we can let t be the right-hand endpoint of  $\phi(A)$ .

Now if  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ , then we can treat X as a real space and produce a real linear functional  $\psi: X \to \mathbb{R}$  such that:

$$\psi(A) < t \le \phi(B)$$

Now let  $\phi(x) = \psi(x) - i\phi(ix)$ .  $\phi$  is continuous since  $\psi$  is and continuity implies boundedness.