

# Math 113: Functional Analysis

Sair Shaikh

May 7, 2025

**Problem 30.** Suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are normed vector spaces.

1. Show that  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  is a normed vector space with respect to the operator norm defined in lecture such that:

$$\|T(x)\| \leq \|T\| \|x\|$$

2. Show that if  $S \in \mathcal{L}(Y, Z)$ . Then,

$$\|ST\| \leq \|S\| \|T\|$$

3. Show that:

$$\|T\| = \inf\{a \geq 0 : \|T(x)\| \leq a\|x\| \quad \forall x \in X\}$$

*Solution.*

1. First, note that  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  is a vector space, through pointwise addition and scalar multiplication defined in  $Y$ , i.e. for  $T, S \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$  we let:

$$(\alpha T + S)(x) := \alpha T(x) + S(x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Thus, we only need to show that the operator norm is a norm and satisfies the given property. Recall the definition of the operator norm for  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ :

$$\|T\| = \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \|T(x)\|$$

Non-Neg. Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  be arbitrary. Then, for all  $x \in X$  with  $\|x\| \leq 1$ ,  $\|T(x)\| \geq 0$  by the non-negativity of the norm on  $Y$ . Thus,  $\|T\| \geq 0$ .

Homogeneity. Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ . Then, for every  $x \in X$ , with  $\|x\| \leq 1$ , we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\alpha T)(x)\| &= \|\alpha T(x)\| \\ &= |\alpha| \cdot \|T(x)\| \\ &\leq |\alpha| \cdot \|T\| \end{aligned}$$

using the homogeneity of the norm on  $Y$ . Thus,

$$\|\alpha T\| \leq |\alpha| \cdot \|T\|$$

Similarly, we also have:

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha| \cdot \|T(x)\| &= \|\alpha T(x)\| \\ &= \|(\alpha T)(x)\| \\ &\leq \|\alpha T\| \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have:

$$|\alpha| \cdot \|T\| \leq \|\alpha T\|$$

Thus, we have shown that:

$$\|\alpha T\| = |\alpha| \cdot \|T\|$$

$\triangle$  ineq. Let  $T, S \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ . For every  $x \in X$ , with  $\|x\| \leq 1$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|(T + S)(x)\| &= \|T(x) + S(x)\| \\ &\leq \|T(x)\| + \|S(x)\| \\ &\leq \|T\| + \|S\| \end{aligned}$$

using the triangle inequality for the norm in  $Y$ . Thus,

$$\|T + S\| \leq \|T\| + \|S\|$$

Pos. Def. To prove this, we first will show that  $\|T(x)\| \leq \|T\| \|x\|$  for all  $x \in X$ . For  $x \in X$ , by homogeneity (and non-negativity) of the norm on  $X$ :

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\|x\|} x \right\| = \frac{1}{\|x\|} \cdot \|x\| = 1$$

Thus, we note that:

$$\left\| T \left( \frac{1}{\|x\|} x \right) \right\| \leq \|T\|$$

By the linearity of  $T$  and the homogeneity of the norm in  $Y$ , this implies:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\|x\|} \|T(x)\| &= \left\| \frac{1}{\|x\|} T(x) \right\| \\ &= \left\| T \left( \frac{1}{\|x\|} x \right) \right\| \\ &\leq \|T\| \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$||T(x)|| \leq ||T|| \cdot ||x||$$

Now, let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  be such that  $||T|| = 0$ . Then, for all  $x \in X$ , we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} ||T(x)|| &\leq ||T|| \cdot ||x|| \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

However, by the non-negativity of the norm in  $Y$ , we must have that  $||T(x)|| = 0$  for all  $x \in X$ . Then, by positive definiteness of the norm in  $Y$ , we have that  $T(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in X$ . Thus,  $T$  is the zero map.

Conversely, if  $T$  is the zero map, then for all  $x \in X$  with  $||x|| \leq 1$ , we have that:

$$||T|| = ||0 \cdot T|| = 0 \cdot ||T|| = 0$$

by homogeneity. Thus, the norm is positive definite.

Therefore, we have shown that the operator norm is a norm on  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and satisfies for all  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and  $x \in X$ :

$$||T(x)|| \leq ||T|| \cdot ||x||$$

2. For any  $x \in X$ , with  $||x|| = 1$ , by applying the property from part 1 twice, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} ||ST(x)|| &= ||S(T(x))|| \\ &\leq ||S|| \cdot ||T(x)|| \\ &\leq ||S|| \cdot ||T|| \cdot ||x|| \\ &= ||S|| \cdot ||T|| \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$||ST|| \leq ||S|| \cdot ||T||$$

3. Let  $\alpha(T)$  be the defined infimum.

Since we have that  $||T(x)|| \leq ||T|| \cdot ||x||$  for all  $x \in X$ ,  $||T||$  is in the set we are taking the infimum over. Thus,  $\alpha(T) \leq ||T||$ .

Moreover, by the definition of  $\alpha(T)$ , we have that for all  $x \in X$  with  $||x|| \leq 1$ ,

$$||T(x)|| \leq \alpha(T) ||x|| = \alpha(T)$$

Thus,  $\alpha(T)$  is an upperbound on  $||T(x)||$  with  $||x|| \leq 1$ . Therefore, by the definition of the supremum, we have that:

$$||T|| \leq \alpha(T)$$

Thus, we have shown that:

$$||T|| = \alpha(T) = \inf\{a \geq 0 : ||T(x)|| \leq a||x|| \quad \forall x \in X\}$$

**Problem 31.** Suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are Banach spaces with  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ . Suppose that  $E$  is a closed proper subspace of  $X$  such that  $E \subset \ker(T)$ . Show that there is a unique operator  $\bar{T} \in \mathcal{L}(X/E, Y)$  such that  $\bar{T}(q(x)) = T(x)$  for all  $x \in X$  where  $q : X \rightarrow X/E$  is the quotient map. Moreover,  $\|\bar{T}\| = \|T\|$ .

*Solution.* We claim the map  $\bar{T} : X/E \rightarrow Y$  given by:

$$\bar{T}([x]) = T(x)$$

satisfies the desired properties. Clearly,  $\bar{T}(q(x)) = T(x)$  for all  $x \in X$  by definition. We need to show that  $\bar{T}$  is well-defined, linear, and satisfies  $\|\bar{T}\| = \|T\|$  (hence is bounded/continuous).

Let  $x, y \in X$  be such that  $[x] = [y]$ . Then, note that  $x - y \in E \subset \ker(T)$ . Thus,  $T(x) - T(y) = T(x - y) = 0$ . Thus,  $T(x) = T(y)$ . Then, by the definition of  $\bar{T}$ , we have  $\bar{T}([x]) = \bar{T}([y])$ . Thus,  $\bar{T}$  is well-defined.

Next, let  $[x], [y] \in X/E$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$  be arbitrary. Then, noting the linearity of  $q$  and  $T$ , we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{T}(\alpha[x] + [y]) &= \bar{T}([\alpha x + y]) \\ &= T(\alpha x + y) \\ &= \alpha T(x) + T(y) \\ &= \alpha \bar{T}([x]) + \bar{T}([y]) \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\bar{T}$  is linear.

Finally, we need to show that  $\|\bar{T}\| = \|T\|$ . First, we show that  $\|q\| = 1$ . We already showed that  $\|q\| \leq 1$ . Next, let  $x \in X$  such that  $\|x\| \leq 1$ . Then, if  $x \in E$ ,  $q(x) = 0$ . Thus, we consider  $x \in X \setminus E$ . Since  $q$  is bounded, for all  $e \in E$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \|q(x)\|_{X/E} &= \|q(x + e)\|_{X/E} \\ &\leq \|q\| \cdot \|x + e\|_X \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|q(x)\|_{X/E} \leq \|q\| \cdot \inf_{e \in E} \|x + e\|_X = \|q\| \cdot \|q(x)\|_{X/E}$$

Dividing through by  $\|q(x)\| > 0$  (as  $x \notin E$  closed), we have:

$$\|q\| \geq 1$$

Thus,  $\|q\| = 1$ . Next, note that for all  $[x] \in X/E$  and for all  $e \in E \subset \ker(T)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{T}([x])\| &= \|T(x)\| \\ &= \|T(x + e)\| \\ &\leq \|T\| \cdot \|x + e\|_X \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have that:

$$||\overline{T}([x])|| \leq ||T|| \inf_{e \in E} ||x + e||_X = ||T|| \cdot ||[x]||_{X/E}$$

Thus, by the definition of the operator norm in Part 3 of Problem 30, we note that since  $||T||$  is a bound for  $\overline{T}$ , and  $||\overline{T}||$  is the infimum of these bounds,  $||\overline{T}|| \leq ||T||$ . This also shows  $\overline{T}$  is bounded, thus,  $\overline{T} \in \mathcal{L}(X/E, Y)$ . Finally, from Problem 30 Part 2, we also have:

$$||T|| \leq ||\overline{T}|| ||q|| = ||\overline{T}||$$

Thus,  $||\overline{T}|| = ||T||$ .

**Problem 33.** Let  $E$  and  $X$  be Banach spaces with  $E$  finite dimensional.

1. Show that every linear map  $S : E \rightarrow X$  is bounded.
2. Show that a linear map  $T : X \rightarrow E$  is bounded if and only if  $\ker(T)$  is closed.

*Solution.*

1. Let  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  be a basis for  $E$ . Then  $S(e_1), \dots, S(e_n)$  span the image of  $S$ . Let  $B = \max\{\|S(e_i)\| : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ . Then, let  $x = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i \in E$  be arbitrary (where  $a_i \in \mathbb{F}$ ). Then, using the triangle inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|S(x)\| &= \left\| S \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i \right) \right\| \\ &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i S(e_i) \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| \cdot \|S(e_i)\| \\ &\leq B \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| \\ &= B \cdot \|x\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

where we are using the identification of  $E$  with  $\mathbb{F}^n$  to define the  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  (i.e. its defined with respect to our picked basis). However, as  $E$  is finite dimensional, we use the fact that all norms are equivalent to obtain a constant  $C > 0$  such that:

$$\|x\|_\infty < C\|x\|$$

Thus, we have that:

$$\|S(x)\| \leq BC\|x\|$$

Thus,  $S$  is bounded.

2. Assume that  $T$  is bounded. Since  $X$  and  $E$  are Banach spaces ( $E$  is Banach since all finite dimensional spaces are Banach), we have that  $T$  is continuous. Thus, the preimage of a closed set is closed. Since  $E$  is a normed vector space, it is a metric space, and hence Hausdorff. Thus the singleton  $\{0\}$  is closed in  $E$ . Thus,  $T^{-1}(\{0\}) = \ker(T)$  is closed in  $X$ .

Next, assume that  $\ker(T)$  is closed. Then, the quotient space  $X/\ker(T)$  is a Banach space with norm given by the quotient norm. Then using results from Problem 31, we have the map  $\bar{T} : X/\ker(T) \rightarrow E$  given by:

$$\bar{T}([x]) = T(x)$$

is well-defined and linear. Moreover, if  $\bar{T}$  is bounded, then so is  $T$  as  $\|\bar{T}\| = \|T\|$ . Thus, we need to show that  $\bar{T}$  is bounded.

However, by the first isomorphism theorem for vector spaces,  $X/\ker(T)$  is isomorphic to  $\text{img}(T) \subset E$ , which is finite dimensional. Thus,  $\bar{T}$  is a map from a finite dimensional Banach space, and is thus bounded by part 1. Thus, since  $\|\bar{T}\| = \|T\|$ , we have that  $T$  is bounded.

**Problem 34.** Supposed that  $E$  and  $M$  are closed subspaces of a Banach space  $X$ . If  $E$  is finite dimensional, show that  $E + M = \{x + y : x \in E, y \in M\}$  is closed.

*Solution.* Let  $q : X \rightarrow X/M$  be the quotient map. Since  $M$  is closed,  $X/M$  is a Banach space. Since  $\dim(q(E)) \leq \dim(E) < \infty$  (rank-nullity applied to  $q|_E$ ) so  $q(E)$  is a finite dimensional subspace of  $X/M$ , thus Banach, thus closed. Then, since  $q$  is continuous, the pre-image of closed sets is closed. However, note that  $q^{-1}(q(E)) = E + M$ . Thus,  $E + M$  is closed in  $X$ .



**Problem 35.** Suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are Banach spaces for  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ . Show that  $T$  is injective with closed range if and only if:

$$\inf\{\|T(x)\| : \|x\| = 1\} > 0$$

*Solution.* Let  $m := \inf\{\|T(x)\| : \|x\| = 1\}$ .

First, assume that  $T$  is injective with closed range. Then,  $\text{img}(T)$  is Banach as it is closed. Moreover, as  $T$  is injective, it is a bijection onto its image. Thus,  $T$  is a surjective continuous linear map between Banach spaces, and is thus open (Open Mapping Theorem). Since  $T$  is a continuous open bijection, it is a homeomorphism and has a continuous inverse  $T^{-1} : \text{img}(T) \rightarrow X$ . Thus,  $T^{-1}$  is bounded. Hence, there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $\forall x \in X$ :

$$\|x\| \leq C\|T(x)\|$$

Thus,  $\forall x \in X$  with  $\|x\| = 1$ , we rearrange to get:

$$\|T(x)\| \geq \frac{1}{C}$$

Thus,  $m \geq \frac{1}{C} > 0$ .

Next, assume that  $m > 0$ . Then for all  $x \in X$  with  $\|x\| = 1$ , we have that:

$$\|T(x)\| \geq m$$

Then, for all  $x \in X$ , since  $\frac{x}{\|x\|}$  has norm 1, we have that (by homogeneity of the norm on  $Y$  and linearity of  $T$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\|x\|} \|T(x)\| &= \left\| T\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\right) \right\| \\ &\geq m \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|T(x)\| \geq m\|x\|$$

Now, if  $T(x) = 0$ , then  $\|T(x)\| = 0$ . Then, since  $m > 0$ , the inequality above implies that  $\|x\| = 0$ . Then, by positive definiteness of the norm, we have  $x = 0$ . Thus,  $T$  is injective.

As  $T$  is injective, it is a bijection onto its image. Thus, we can define  $T^{-1} : \text{img}(T) \rightarrow X$  (as a linear map). Then, for all  $y \in \text{img}(T)$ , there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $T(x) = y$ . Then, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^{-1}(y)\| &= \|T^{-1}(T(x))\| = \|x\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{m} \|T(x)\| = \frac{1}{m} \|y\| \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $T^{-1}$  is bounded.

Now, let  $(y_n) \subset \text{img}(T)$  be a Cauchy sequence. Then, since  $T^{-1}$  is bounded,  $(T^{-1}(y_n))$  is also a Cauchy sequence. To see this, let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then, since  $(y_n)$  is Cauchy, there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $m, n \geq N$ , we have that:

$$\|y_m - y_n\| < m\epsilon$$

Then, we note that:

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^{-1}(y_m) - T^{-1}(y_n)\| &= \|T^{-1}(y_m - y_n)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{m} \|y_m - y_n\| \\ &< \frac{1}{m} \cdot m\epsilon \\ &= \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $(T^{-1}(y_n))$  is Cauchy. Since  $X$  is complete,  $(T^{-1}(y_n)) \rightarrow x \in X$ . Let  $y = T(x) \in \text{img}(T)$ . Then, since  $T$  is continuous, we have that:

$$(y_n) \rightarrow y$$

Thus,  $\text{img}(T)$  is complete (hence Banach). Thus,  $\text{img}(T)$  is a closed subspace of  $Y$ . Thus,  $T$  is injective with closed range.

**Problem 38.** Let  $X$  be a normed vector space. A Banach space  $\tilde{X}$  is called a completion of  $X$  if there is an isometric isomorphism  $\iota : X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  onto a dense subspace of  $\tilde{X}$ . Show that any two completions  $(\tilde{X}_1, \iota_1)$  and  $(\tilde{X}_2, \iota_2)$  are isometrically isomorphic by an isomorphism:

$$\Phi : \tilde{X}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{X}_2$$

such that  $\Phi(\iota_1(x)) = \iota_2(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

*Solution.*

*Lemma 1.* If  $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$  is an isometric isomorphism of normed vector spaces, then  $\phi^{-1} : Y \rightarrow X$  is also an isometric isomorphism.

*Proof.* Since  $\phi$  is an isomorphism, we know that  $\phi^{-1}$  is a well-defined linear isomorphism. Thus, we only need to show that  $\phi^{-1}$  is an isometry.

Let  $y \in Y$  be arbitrary. Then, since  $\phi$  is an isometry, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi^{-1}(y)\|_X &= \|\phi(\phi^{-1}(y))\|_Y \\ &= \|y\|_Y \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have shown that  $\phi^{-1}$  is an isometry. □

*Lemma 2.* Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Banach spaces and  $D$  a dense subspace of  $X$ . If  $T_0 \in \mathcal{L}(D, Y)$ , then there exists a unique bounded linear operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  such that  $T(x) = T_0(x)$  for all  $x \in D$ .

*Proof.* (This was optional question 32. Please move past this proof if we are allowed to use these without proof.)

Since  $D$  is a dense subspace of  $X$ , for all  $x \in X$ , there exists a Cauchy sequence  $(x_n) \subset D$  such that  $(x_n) \rightarrow x$  (we can construct this since  $D$  meets every open set around  $x$ , and we can pick points in  $B_{1/n}(x) \cap D$ , which is obviously Cauchy and converges to  $x$ ). Then,  $(T_0(x_n))$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $Y$  (since  $T_0$  is bounded). Thus,  $T_0(x_n) \rightarrow y \in Y$ . We can then define  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  by:

$$T(x) = y$$

where  $y$  is the limit of  $(T_0(x_n))$ .

We check that this is well-defined. Let  $(x_n)$  and  $(x'_n)$  be two Cauchy sequences in  $D$  converging to  $x \in X$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T(x_n) - T(x'_n)\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_0(x_n - x'_n)\| \\ &\leq \|T_0\| \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x'_n\| \end{aligned}$$

Now, let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then, since  $(x_n)$  is Cauchy, there exists  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $m, n \geq N_1$ , we have that:

$$\|x_n - x_m\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

Taking the limit as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , we have that:

$$\|x_n - x\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

Similarly, there exists  $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\forall n > N_2$ :

$$\|x'_n - x\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

Thus, letting  $N > \max\{N_1, N_2\}$ , we have that for all  $n \geq N$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \|(x_n - x'_n) - 0\| &\leq \|x_n - x\| + \|x - x'_n\| \\ &= \|x_n - x\| + \|x'_n - x\| \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\ &= \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x'_n\| = 0$ . Thus, we have that:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T(x_n) - T(x'_n)\| \leq \|T\| \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x'_n\| = 0$$

Since  $\|\cdot\|$  is non-negative, by the Squeeze Theorem, we have that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T(x_n) - T(x'_n)\| = 0$ . Thus, the sequences converge to the same limit. Thus,  $T$  is well-defined.

Moreover, if  $x_n \rightarrow x \in D$ , then  $T(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_0(x_n) = T_0(x)$ .

Next, note that  $T$  is linear as a linear combination of two Cauchy sequences converges to the same linear combination of their limits (easy via triangle inequality and picking  $\epsilon/2$  and  $\epsilon/2\alpha$ ). Thus, if  $(T_0(x_n)) \rightarrow y$  and  $(T_0(x'_n)) \rightarrow y'$ , then  $(T_0(x_n + \alpha x'_n)) = (T_0(x_n) + \alpha T_0(x'_n)) \rightarrow y + \alpha y'$ . Thus,  $T(x + \alpha x') = T(x) + \alpha T(x')$ .

Next, we need to show that  $T$  is bounded. If  $(x_n) \subset D$  is Cauchy and converges to  $x \in X$ , then we have, by continuity of norm:

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(x)\| &= \left\| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_0(x_n) \right\| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_0(x_n)\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_0\| \cdot \|x_n\| \\ &\leq \|T_0\| \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| \\ &\leq \|T_0\| \cdot \|x\| \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ .

Finally, to show that  $T$  is unique, let  $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  with  $T_1 = T_2$  on  $D$ . Then, for all  $x \in X$ , if  $(x_n) \subset D \rightarrow x$ , then, by continuity, we have that:

$$T_1(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_1(x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_2(x_n) = T_2(x)$$

Thus,  $T_1 = T_2$  on  $X$ . Hence,  $T$  is unique.  $\square$

Now, for the main proof: Since  $\iota_1$  is an isometric isomorphism onto  $\iota_1(X)$ , then,  $\iota_1^{-1} : \iota_1(X) \rightarrow X$  is also an isometric isomorphism. Thus, we can define the map  $\Phi_0 : \iota_1(X) \rightarrow \tilde{X}_2$  given by:

$$\Phi_0(x) = \iota_2 \circ \iota_1^{-1}(x)$$

Since  $\iota_2$  is an isometric isomorphism, and a composition of isometric isomorphisms is an isometric isomorphism, we have that  $\Phi_0$  is an isometric isomorphism.

Since  $\iota_1(X)$  is a dense subspace of  $\tilde{X}_1$ , and  $\tilde{X}_2$  is Banach, by Lemma 2, we can extend  $\Phi_0$  uniquely to a bounded linear operator  $\Phi : \tilde{X}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{X}_2$  such that  $\Phi(x) = \Phi_0(x)$  for all  $x \in \iota_1(X)$ .

We need to show that  $\Phi$  is an isometric isomorphism.

First, we show that  $\Phi$  is an isometry. Let  $x \in \tilde{X}_1$  be arbitrary. Then, there exists a Cauchy sequence  $(x_n) \subset \iota_1(X)$  such that  $(x_n) \rightarrow x$ . Then, by the isometry of  $\Phi_0$  and continuity of the norm:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(x)\| &= \left\| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(x_n) \right\| \\ &= \left\| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_0(x_n) \right\| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\Phi_0(x_n)\| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| \\ &= \left\| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \right\| \\ &= \|x\| \end{aligned}$$

Next, we show that  $\Phi$  is injective. Let  $x \in \tilde{X}_1$  be such that  $\Phi(x) = 0$ . Then, take a Cauchy sequence  $(x_n) \subset \iota_1(X)$  such that  $(x_n) \rightarrow x$ . Then, we have by continuity of the norm and isometry of  $\Phi$ , we have:

$$\|x\| = \|\Phi(x)\| = 0$$

Thus, by positive definiteness of the norm, we have that  $x = 0$ . Thus,  $\Phi$  is injective.

Finally, we show that  $\Phi$  is surjective. Let  $y \in \tilde{X}_2$  be arbitrary. Then, since  $\text{img}(\Phi) = \text{img}(\iota_2)$  is dense in  $\tilde{X}_2$ , there exists a Cauchy sequence  $(y_n) \rightarrow y$  such that  $(y_n) \subset \text{img}(\Phi_0)$ . Then, since  $\Phi_0$  is an isometric isomorphism, it has an inverse  $\Phi_0^{-1}$  that is an isometric isomorphism. Then, we have a Cauchy sequence  $(\Phi_0^{-1}(y_n)) \subset \iota_1(X) = \text{img}(\Phi_0^{-1})$  converging to  $x$ . Since  $\Phi$  is continuous, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(x) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(\Phi_0^{-1}(y_n)) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y\end{aligned}$$

as  $\Phi = \Phi_0$  on  $\iota_1(X)$ . Thus,  $\Phi$  is surjective.

Thus, we have shown that  $\Phi$  is an isometric isomorphism.

**Problem 39.** Let's find a use for a genuine Minkowski functional. In this problem, we'll let  $l_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$  be the real Banach space of bounded sequences in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Define  $m$  on  $l_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$ :

$$m(x) = \limsup_n x_n$$

We clearly have  $m(tx) = tm(x)$  if  $t \geq 0$  and it is not hard to check that  $m(x+y) \leq m(x) + m(y)$  for all  $x, y \in l_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$ . We want to show that there are Banach limits or what I prefer to call a generalized limit on  $l_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$ . This is what we want to show that there is a functional  $L \in l_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty*}$  such that:

$$L(S(x)) = L(x)$$

where  $S \in \mathcal{L}(l_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty})$  is given by  $S(x)_n = x_{n+1}$  and such that  $\liminf_n x_n \leq L(x) \leq \limsup_n x_n$ . (Hint provided).

*Solution.*

*Lemma 3.* If  $x_n$  is a sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $A_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$  converge, then  $\lim_n A_n \leq \limsup_n x_n$  and  $\lim_n A_n \geq \liminf_n x_n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  be arbitrary. Then,  $\forall n \geq k$  note that:

$$\begin{aligned} A_n &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^k x_i + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=k+1}^n x_i \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^k x_i + \sup_{i \geq k} x_i \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limsup with respect to  $n$  on both sides, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_n A_n &\leq \limsup_n \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^k x_i + \sup_{i \geq k} x_i \right) \\ &= 0 + \sup_{i \geq k} x_i \end{aligned}$$

Since this is true for arbitrary  $k$ , we have that:

$$\limsup_n A_n \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{i \geq k} x_i = \limsup_k x_k$$

However, since  $A_n$  converges, we have that  $\limsup_n A_n = \lim_n A_n$ . Thus, we have shown that:

$$\lim_n A_n \leq \limsup_n x_n$$

The proof for  $\liminf$  follows similarly by flipping the inequalities. □

Define  $m_n(x) = \frac{1}{n}(x_1 + \dots + x_n)$ . Then define  $Y = \{x \in l_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty} : \lim_n m_n(x) \text{ exists} \}$ . Then,  $Y$  is a subspace as for any  $x, y \in Y$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , if  $m_n(x) \rightarrow a$  and  $m_n(y) \rightarrow b$ , then:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_n(x + \alpha y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \alpha y_i \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \alpha \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i = a + \alpha b$$

Thus,  $x + \alpha y \in Y$  and  $Y$  is a subspace (this also shows  $m_n$  is linear).

Define  $L_0 : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by:

$$L_0(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_n(x)$$

Then, for  $y \in Y$ , we use the lemma to show that:

$$L_0(x) \leq m(x)$$

where  $m(x) = \limsup_n x_n$ .

Thus, by the Basic Extension Lemma, we can extend  $L_0$  to a linear functional  $L : l_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $L(x) = L_0(x)$  for all  $x \in Y$  and  $L(x) \leq m(x)$  for all  $x \in l_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$ . Moreover, for all  $x \in l_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$ , we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} L(x) &= -L(-x) \\ &\geq -m(-x) \\ &= \limsup_n (-x_n) \\ &= -\liminf_n x_n \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\liminf_n x_n \leq L(x) \leq \limsup_n x_n$$

Next, we show that  $x - S(x) \in Y$ . To see this, we unpack the definition to note that:

$$(x - S(x))_n = x_n - x_{n+1}$$

Thus, we get that:

$$\begin{aligned} m_n(x - S(x)) &= \frac{1}{n}((x_1 - x_2) + (x_2 - x_3) + \dots + (x_n - x_{n+1})) \\ &= \frac{1}{n}(x_1 - x_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have that:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_n(x - S(x)) = 0$$

Thus,  $x - S(x) \in Y$ .

Thus, we have that:

$$L(x - S(x)) = 0 \implies L(x) = L(S(x))$$

by linearity of  $L$ . Thus,  $L(x)$  is a generalized limit of  $x$ . Moreover, if  $x$  converges, then  $\limsup_n x_n = \liminf_n x_n$ , thus, by the squeeze theorem,  $L(x)$  equals this limit.



**Problem 40.** Prove the following Lemma from lecture. Let  $X$  be a complex vector space. Every real linear functional of  $X$  is the real part of a complex linear functional on  $X$ . In fact, if  $\phi = \Re(\psi)$  then  $\psi(x) = \phi(x) - i\phi(ix)$ .

*Solution.* Let  $\phi$  be a real linear functional on  $X$ . We prove existence and uniqueness separately.

**Existence:** Let  $\psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be given by:

$$\psi(x) = \phi(x) - i\phi(ix)$$

Clearly,  $\phi(x) = \Re(\psi)$ . We need to show that  $\psi$  is complex linear.

Let  $x, y \in X$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  be arbitrary. Then, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(\alpha x + y) &= \phi(\alpha x + y) - i\phi(\alpha x + y) \\ &= \alpha\phi(x) - i\alpha\phi(x) + \phi(y) - i\phi(y) \\ &= \alpha(\phi(x) - i\phi(x)) + (\phi(y) - i\phi(y)) \\ &= \alpha\psi(x) + \psi(y)\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\psi$  is complex linear.

**Uniqueness:** Let  $\psi$  be a linear functional such that  $\phi = \Re(\psi)$ . Then, we need to show that  $\psi(x) = \phi(x) - i\phi(ix)$ .

Let  $g(x) = \Im(\psi)$ . Then, since  $\psi$  is complex linear, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(ix) + ig(ix) &= \psi(ix) \\ &= i\psi(x) \\ &= i\phi(x) - g(x)\end{aligned}$$

Then, comparing real parts, we have that:

$$g(x) = -\phi(ix)$$

Thus,

$$\psi(x) = \phi(x) - i\phi(ix)$$

Thus, every real linear function  $\phi$  is the real part of a unique complex linear functional  $\psi = \phi(x) - i\phi(ix)$  on  $X$ .

**Problem 41.** Suppose that  $X$  is a normed vector space such that  $X^*$  is separable. Show that  $X$  is separable. (Hint provided).

*Solution.* Since  $X^*$  is separable, there exists a countable dense subset  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X^*$ . Then, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , note that:

$$\|f_n\| = \inf\{a : |f_n(x)| \leq a\|x\| \quad \forall x \in X\}$$

Noting that norms are non-negative and homogeneous, and  $f_n$  is linear, we divide through by  $\|x\|$  to get:

$$\|f_n\| = \inf\{a : |f_n(x)| \leq a \quad \forall x \in X, \|x\| = 1\}$$

Thus, for  $\frac{1}{2}\|f_n\| > 0$ , we can find  $x_n$ , with  $\|x_n\| = 1$  such that:

$$|f_n(x'_n)| \geq \|f_n\| - \frac{1}{2}\|f_n\| = \frac{1}{2}\|f_n\|$$

Define  $S = \text{span}\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Clearly,  $S$  is a subspace. We claim that  $S$  is dense in  $X$ .

For contradiction, assume that  $S$  is not dense in  $X$ . Then, there exists a (non-empty) open set that  $S$  does not meet, thus  $\bar{S} \neq X$  (we use the definition of the closure of  $A$  to contain all points in  $X$  such that any open set around that point meets  $A$ ). Thus,  $\bar{S}$  is a proper closed subspace of  $X$ .

Then, by a corollary of the Hahn-Banach Theorem, we can find a functional  $f \in X^*$  such that  $f(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in \bar{S}$  and  $\|f\| = 1$ . We show that this contradicts the denseness of  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have the following cases:

- Assume  $\|f_n\| \geq \frac{1}{2}$ . Then, for  $x_n$ , with  $\|x_n\| = 1$ , we have:

$$|f_n(x_n) - f(x_n)| = |f_n(x_n)| \geq \frac{1}{2}\|f_n\| \geq \frac{1}{4}$$

Thus,  $\|f_n - f\| \geq 1/4$  is bounded away from 0.

- Assume  $\|f_n\| < \frac{1}{2}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\| &= \|f - f_n + f_n\| \\ &\leq \|f - f_n\| + \|f_n\| \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|f - f_n\| \geq \|f\| - \|f_n\| \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$$

Thus,  $\|f_n - f\| \geq 1/2$  is bounded away from 0.

Thus, there exists an open neighborhood of  $f$  (or radius  $< \frac{1}{4}$ ) that does not meet  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , which is a contradiction since this set is dense in  $X^*$ .

Thus,  $\text{span}\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is dense in  $X$ . Moreover, since  $\text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a countable dense subset of  $\text{span}\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ,  $X$  is separable (we made a remark about this in class).