

## HOMEWORK 8

### SOLUTIONS (SKETCHES)

**4.1.1 Give a proof or a counterexample for each statement below.**

**(a) Every graph with connectivity 4 is 2-connected.**

*Answer.* True.  $2 \leq \kappa(G) = 4$ .

□

**(b) Every 3-connected graph has connectivity 3.**

*Answer.* False.  $K_5$  is 3-connected b/c it is also 4-connected.

□

**(c) Every  $k$ -connected graph is  $k$ -edge-connected.**

*Answer.* True,  $\kappa'(G) \geq \kappa(G)$ .

□

**(d) Every  $k$ -edge-connected graph is  $k$ -connected.**

*Answer.* False. Consider the bow-tie.

□

**4.1.7 Obtain a formula for the number of spanning trees of a connected graph in terms of the numbers of spanning trees of its blocks.**

*Answer.* Take the product.

□

**4.1.10 Find the smallest 3-regular simple graph having connectivity 1.**

*Answer.* Start with a vertex  $v$  that is to be cut.  $G - v$  has at least two components, and each component is almost 3-regular (has one or two vertices with degree 2). Consider a component with one vertex of degree 2. Then it has an even number of vertices w degree 3 (degree sum formula). Zero or 2 is not possible; but 4 is. For the comp w/ 2 degree-2 vertices, it is possible to do this w/ 2 deg-3 vertices but not w/ none. The smallest example has 9 vertices.

□

**4.1.14 Let  $G$  be a connected graph in which for every edge  $e$ , there are cycles  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  containing  $e$  whose only common edge is  $e$ . Prove that  $G$  is 3-edge-connected. Use this to show that the Petersen graph is 3-edge-connected.**

*Answer.* By contradiction.

Suppose removing one edge  $uv$  disconnects the graph. That edge belonged to cycles  $C_1, C_2$  whose only common edge was  $uv$ .  $u, v$  are still connected in the bigger cycle  $C_1 \cup C_2 - uv$ , thus the whole graph is still connected.

Suppose removing a second edge disconnects the graph. Call that edge  $f = ab$ . Everything is still in a cycle after we removed  $uv$ . If we remove an edge from this cycle, the graph will still be connected. If we remove an edge not in the cycle, then those two vertices  $a, b$  are still connected as in the previous case.

□

**4.1.20 Let  $G$  be a simple  $n$ -vertex graph with  $n/2 - 1 \leq \delta(G) \leq n - 2$ . Prove that  $G$  is  $k$ -connected for all  $k$  with  $k \leq 2\delta(G) + 2 - n$ . Prove that this is best possible for all  $\delta \geq n/2 - 1$  by constructing a simple  $n$ -vertex graph with minimum degree  $\delta$  that is not  $k$ -connected for  $k = 2\delta + 3 - n$ . (Comment: Proposition 1.3.15 is the special case of this when  $\delta(G) = (n - 1)/2$ .)**

*Proof.* Let  $x, y$  be any two non-adjacent vertices. Fix  $k$  such that  $k \leq 2\delta + 2 - n$ . Then  $\delta \geq (n + k - 2)/2$ , and  $|N(x)|, |N(y)| \geq \delta \geq (n + k - 2)/2$ . Also,  $|N(x) \cup N(y)| \leq n - 2$ .

$$\begin{aligned} |N(x) \cap N(y)| &= |N(x)| + |N(y)| - |N(x) \cup N(y)| \\ &\geq (n + k - 2)/2 + (n + k - 2)/2 - (n - 2) \\ &= k \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x, y$  were arbitrary, this means that for any pair of vertices, any set of fewer than  $k$  vertices cannot disconnect them.

□

**4.1.25**  $\kappa'(G) = \delta(G)$  for diameter 2. Let  $G$  be a simple graph with diameter 2, and let  $[S, \bar{S}]$  be a minimum edge cut with  $|S| \leq |\bar{S}|$ .

(a) Prove that every vertex of  $S$  has a neighbor in  $\bar{S}$ .

*Proof.* Because of the diameter, only one of  $S$  or  $\bar{S}$  can contain a vertex which is not adjacent to all vertices in the other set. Suppose  $S$  has one such vertex  $v$ . Let  $k$  be the size of  $\bar{S}$ . Since  $\bar{S}$  has the property that every element of  $\bar{S}$  is adjacent to some element of  $S$ , the edge cut between them must have size at least  $k$ . Since the edge cut is minimum,  $\delta(G) \geq \kappa'(G) \geq k$ . But then the degree of  $v$  is at least  $k = |\bar{S}| \geq |S|$ , which is not possible.  $\square$

(b) Use part (a) and Corollary 4.1.13 to prove that  $\kappa'(G) = \delta(G)$ . (Plesnik [1975])

*Proof.* We know that  $|[S, \bar{S}]| \geq |S|$  because every vertex in  $S$  has at least one edge connecting it to  $\bar{S}$ .

Suppose  $|[S, \bar{S}]| < \delta$ . Then  $|S| > \delta$  (Cor 4.1.13). Then we have  $|S| > |[S, \bar{S}]|$ , a contradiction of what we proved in part (a). Therefore  $|[S, \bar{S}]| \geq \delta$ , which means they are equivalent.  $\kappa' \leq \delta$ .  $\square$

**4.2.2** Prove that if  $G$  is 2-edge-connected and  $G'$  is obtained from  $G$  by subdividing an edge of  $G$ , then  $G'$  is 2-edge-connected. Use this to prove that every graph having a closed-ear decomposition is 2-edge-connected. (Comment: This is an alternative proof of sufficiency for Theorem 4.2.10.)

*Answer.* (Sketch)

If  $G$  is 2-edge connected, then every edge (and therefore vertex) is in a cycle. Subdividing an edge keeps this true.

A cycle is 2 edge connected. If we add an edge connecting any two points on the cycle or if we add an edge which is a loop, then the graph is still 2 edge connected. Then we can subdivide the added edge, which is the same as an ear.  $\square$

**4.2.8** Prove that a simple graph  $G$  is 2-connected if and only if for every ordered triple,  $(x, y, z)$ , of distinct vertices,  $G$  has an  $x, z$ -path through  $y$ .

*Proof.* (Sketch)

Suppose  $G$  is 2-connected. Let  $(x, y, z)$  be any ordered triple of vertices. Then let  $U = \{x, z\}$ . Then by the fan lemma,  $\exists$  a  $y, U$  fan of 2 paths. These two paths only share  $y$ , thus they are disjoint  $x, y$  and  $y, z$  paths. Concatenate them to create an  $x, z$  path through  $y$ .

Now suppose  $G$  is disconnected or 1-connected. If  $G$  is disconnected, the proof is trivial. If  $G$  is 1-connected, then removing  $v$  disconnects the graph for some  $v$ . Consider 2 components  $C_1, C_2$  of  $G - v$ . Let  $x \in V(C_1)$  and  $z \in V(C_2)$ . Now, consider the ordered triple  $(v, x, z)$ . We take a  $v, x$  path which exists because  $G$  is connected, but there is no  $x, z$  path which does not go through  $v$ . Thus the graph does not have the property above.

□

**4.2.22 Suppose that  $\kappa(G) = k$  and  $\text{diam } G = d$ . Prove that  $n(G) \geq k(d - 1) + 2$  and  $\alpha(G) \geq \lceil (1 + d)/2 \rceil$ . For each  $k \geq 1$  and  $d \geq 2$ , construct a graph with connectivity  $k$  and diameter  $d$  for which equality holds in both bounds.**

*Proof.* For  $\alpha(G)$ . Consider  $u, v \in V(G)$  with  $d(u, v) = d$ . Then the shortest  $u, v$  path has  $d$  edges and  $d + 1$  vertices. Every other vertex must not be neighbors, or else a shorter path exists. Depending on if  $d + 1$  is odd or even, there is at least an independent set of  $\lceil (1 + d)/2 \rceil$  vertices.

For  $n(G)$ . Let  $d(x, y) = d$  for some  $x, y \in V(G)$ . We know  $x, y$  exists because of the diameter. Then  $\exists k$  internally disjoint  $x, y$  paths of length  $\geq d$ . Each of these paths has  $d - 1$  internal vertices. Thus there are  $k(d - 1)$  internal vertices, and 2 endpoints,  $x, y$ , which means  $n(G) \geq k(d - 1) + 2$ .

Given  $k$  and  $d$ , we will construct a graph such that equalities hold. Create "components"  $C_0, \dots, C_d$  such that  $C_0, C_d$  are single vertices  $v_0, v_d$  respectively, and for  $0 < i < d$ ,  $C_i = K_k$ . Then, if  $i = j \pm 1$ , connect all the vertices in  $C_i, C_j$ .

Clearly this graph  $G$  has  $n(G) = k(d - 1) + 1 + 1$ .  $d(v_0, v_d) = d$ . It is  $k$ -connected. If we delete  $< k$  vertices, then any internal "component" still has at least one vertex left (which is connected to the top and bottom). If we take one vertex from each  $C_i$  with  $i \equiv 0 \pmod 2$  then we have a vertex cover of size  $\lceil (d + 1)/2 \rceil$ , which bounds  $\alpha$  from above.

□