# Introduction to Modular Forms

#### General outline

- $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ , fundamental domains and S and T generate  $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ .
- Definition of modular forms and how for each function we get an infinte number of functions.
- Remarks: e.g. k even,  $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$  is a vector space,  $\mathcal{M}^0(R)$  is a field, and necessary and sufficient conditions for something to be a modular form.
- Eisenstein series
- Definition of modular forms
- Zeroes formula for modular forms
- Dimensional characterizations of modular forms

# Things to keep on the board

- S.z, and T.z
- $\operatorname{Im}(\gamma.z) = |cz + d|^{-2}\operatorname{Im}(z)$
- Picture of the fundamental domain

Definition of  $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$  and its action.

We define

$$SL_2(\mathbf{Z}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{Z}, ad - bc = 1 \right\}.$$

We denote this set by  $\Gamma$ .

We also define an action . on  $\mathbf{C} \cup \infty$  by  $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$  by

$$g.z = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$$

where  $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  and

$$g.\infty = \lim_{z \to \infty} \frac{az+b}{cz+d} = \frac{a}{c}.$$

The result of this action is called a  $fractional\ linear\ transformation.$  Two important examples are:

$$T.z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} . z = z + 1$$

$$S.z = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} . z = \frac{-1}{z}$$

# $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ acts on the complex upper half-plane

Let  $H=\{z\in {\bf C}: {\rm Im}(z)>0\}.$  Note that the action . preserves H; i.e., if  $z\in H$  then  $g.z\in H:$ 

$$\operatorname{Im}(g.z) = \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right)$$

$$= \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{(az+b)(c\bar{z}+d)}{|cz+d|^2}\right)$$

$$= |cz+d|^{-2}\operatorname{Im}(adz+bc\bar{z})$$

$$= |cz+d|^{-2}(ad-bc)\operatorname{Im}(z)$$

$$= |cz+d|^{-2}\operatorname{Im}(z)$$

Notice, though, that this is in H since the coefficient is positive.

# $\Gamma$ -equivalence and Fundamental Domains

An action breaks a set into congruence classes (i.e., orbits). We say, for  $z_1, z_2 \in H$ , that  $z_1 \sim z_2$  if there exists  $g \in \Gamma$  such that  $g.z_1 = z_2$ . The relation  $\sim$  is called  $\Gamma$ -equivalence.

Let F be a closed region of H. We say that F is a fundamental domain if

- 1. every  $z \in H$  is  $\Gamma$ -equivalent to a point in F
- 2. no two distinct points in the interior of the F are  $\Gamma$ -equivalent.

### A fundamental domain for $\Gamma$ .

**Theorem** A fundamental domain for  $\Gamma$  is

$$F = \left\{ z \in H : \frac{-1}{2} \le \text{Re}(z) \le \frac{1}{2} \right\}.$$

The proof will work something like this: Take any  $z \in H$ . Move it over until it's in the strip. If it's in the unit circle, apply S if it's not, we're fine. Take any two elements in F they can't be related by any power of T since T moves everything over 1 (the width of F) and S moves things inside the unit circle. You might notice this would prove the claim only if S and T generate  $\Gamma$ , which we'll see is true.

**Proof.** Let  $z \in H$  and let  $\Gamma' = \langle S, T \rangle$ . If  $\gamma \in \Gamma'$  then as we saw before

$$Im\gamma.z = |cz + d|^{-2}Im(z).$$

We claim that there is some  $\gamma$  for which this is maximal.

The numbers |cz+d| have a lower bound that is not 0. Notice that cz+d is an element of the lattice spanned by z and 1. We can always fit a unit disk around the origin that doesn't hit any lattice points. Since the cz+d have a lower bound, the claim is true.

Replacing this  $\gamma$  with  $T^j\gamma$  for some j, we can assume, WLOG, that  $\gamma.z$  has real part between -1/2 and 1/2. But then if  $\gamma.z \notin F$ , i.e.,  $|\gamma.z| < 1$ , then we'd have

$$\operatorname{Im}(S.\gamma.z) = \frac{\operatorname{Im}(\gamma.z)}{|\gamma.z|^2} > \operatorname{Im}(\gamma.z),$$

contradicting our choice of  $\gamma$ .

Now we prove that no two points in the interior of F are  $\Gamma$ -equivalent. Let  $z_1, z_2 \in F$  (note this is more than we need). WLOG suppose  $\operatorname{Im}(z_2) \geq \operatorname{Im}(z_1)$ . By what we've just proved, there exists a  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  such that  $\gamma.z_1 = z_2$ .

Since  $\operatorname{Im}(z_2) \geq \operatorname{Im}(z_1)$ , then  $|cz_1 + d| \leq 1$ .

 $Im(z_2) = Im(\gamma.z_1) = |cz + d|^{-2}Im(z)$  which implies  $|cz + d|^{-2} \ge 1$  which finally implies  $|cz + d| \le 1$ .

Since  $z_1 \in F$  we see that |c| < 2

Say that c=2+k for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $z_1=x+iy$ . Then  $|cz+d|=\sqrt{((2+k)x+d)^2+(2+k)^2y^2}$  can be shown to be bigger than 1 (using the fact that  $x^2+y^2>1$ ), a contradiction.

So, we have just four cases:

- 1.  $c = \pm 1, d = 0$
- 2.  $c = 0, d = \pm 1$
- 3.  $c = \pm 1, d = \pm 1$
- 4.  $c = \pm 1, d = \mp 1$

For case 1 we have:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \pm 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since the matrix is in  $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ , we have  $b=\mp 1$ . By a computation we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & \mp 1 \\ \pm 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \pm T^a S.$$

For case 2 we have:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

This implies that  $a = \pm 1$ . So we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & b \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix} = \pm T^b.$$

For case 3 we have:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \pm 1 & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

This implies that b = a - 1 so by some computations we get:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & a-1 \\ \pm 1 & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & a \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & a \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \pm T^a ST$$

In case 4 we have, by an identical (almost) computation to case 3, that:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \pm 1 & \mp 1 \end{pmatrix} = \pm T^a S T^{-1}$$

In all four of these cases there are two subcases: a=0 or  $a=\pm 1$ . It can't be more than one since the strip is only one unit wide. If  $a=\pm 1$  this means that  $z_1, z_2$  are on the boundary, so they don't enter into the fundamental domain. If a=0 either  $z_1=z_2$  or (as in case 2)  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  are on the circular part of the boundary.

## Various corollaries

Denote by  $\Gamma_z$  the set  $\{\gamma \in \Gamma : \gamma . z = z\}$ . Corollary If  $z \in F$  then  $\Gamma_z = \pm I$  except

1. if 
$$z = i$$
, then  $\Gamma_z = \pm \{I, S\}$ 

2. if 
$$z = -\frac{1}{2} + i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$$
, then  $\Gamma_z = \{I, ST, (ST)^2\}$ 

3. if 
$$z = \frac{1}{2} + i \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$$
, then  $\Gamma_z = \{I, TS, (TS)^2\}$ 

Corollary The group  $\bar{\Gamma} = \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})/\pm I$  is generated by S and T.

**Proof** Let  $\Gamma' = \langle S, T \rangle$ . Let z be any point on the interior of F. Let  $g \in \Gamma$ . Consider the point  $g.z \in H$ . We've already shown that there exists a  $\gamma \in \Gamma'$  such that  $\gamma.(g.z) = z$ . But since z is in the interior, we know that  $\Gamma'_z = \pm I$  so, in particular,  $\gamma \cdot g = \pm I$ . I.e.,  $g = \pm \gamma^{-1}$ . This shows that (up to a sign) any  $g \in \Gamma$  is also in  $\Gamma'$  (since  $\gamma^{-1}$  is definitely in  $\Gamma'$ ).

#### Finally, we get to Modular forms

- Let f(z) be meromorphic on H, k be an integer.
  - *Meromorphic* means that the function is analytic except maybe for some poles.
- Further suppose f(z) satisfies the relation:

$$f(\gamma.z) = (cz+d)^k f(z)$$

for all  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ .

- Suppose even further that f(z) is meromorphic at infinity (i.e.,  $f(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n q^n$  has at most finitely many nonzero  $a_n$  when n < 0.
- Suppose furthest that f(z) is actually holomorphic on H and at infinity (i.e.,  $a_n = 0$  when n < 0).
  - Holomorphic means analytic everywhere.
- Then f(z) is a modular form of weight k for  $\Gamma$ ; the set of these is denoted  $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma)$ .
- If we further have  $a_0$ , then f(z) is called a cusp form of weight k for  $\Gamma$ . The set of functions is denoted  $S_k(\Gamma)$ .

#### Historical remarks

- 1. You may wonder where the  $(cz+d)^k$  in the definition comes from. Surprisingly it comes from geometry. A fundamental domain for a modular form can be made into a manifold by adding a point at infinity and gluing sides together. Geometers are interested in functions that are invariant on the manifold acted on by  $\Gamma$ . Poincaré created this functions he called  $\theta$ -Fuchsian that popped out a scalar when the argument was acted on by a  $\gamma$ . Realizing that if he could two different  $\theta$ -Fuchsian functions to spit out the same constant, taking their quotient, he would have the function he desired. It so happened that the function he created spat out  $(cz+d)^k$ . This history is due to Stillwell in his edition of Poincaré's papers.
- 2. Another version has as its, in brief, the first ingredient the classical definition of the Weierstraß  $\wp$ -function expanded in terms of partial fractions and the consequent differential equation  $(y')^2 = 4x^3 60G_4(x) 140G_6(x)$ . Here the quantities  $G_k(\Lambda) = \sum' \omega^{-k}$  are Eisenstein series with the sum taken over all periods  $\omega \neq 0$  in the period lattice  $\Lambda$ . Note that a typical  $\omega$  looks like  $n\omega_1 + m\omega_2$  where  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  are the primitive periods of  $\wp$  and the (n,m) run through all ordered pairs of integers not both zero. It's convenient to multiply the  $G_k$  by  $\omega_1^k$  and to set  $z = \omega_2/\omega_1$  (and still to call these things  $G_k$ ; in effect rescale so that  $\omega_1 = 1$ ). It's now straightforward to see (because the transformation essentially only permutes the periods)

that the  $G_{2k}$  are invariant under a transformation  $z \mapsto (az+b)/cz+d$ ), with ad-bc=1, precisely up to a factor  $(cz+d)^{2k}$ . Moreover, general considerations, which are little more than linear algebra, lead one to see that all modular forms are in fact polynomials in  $G_4$  and  $G_6$ .

Remarks that can be easily verified:

- 1. k is even.
  - Note that if  $\gamma = -I$  then  $f(\gamma.z) = f(z)$ , which in turn implies  $f(\gamma.z) = (-1)^k f(z)$  which can't hold if k is odd.
- 2. For  $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$  if the relation holds for S and T it holds for all elements of  $\Gamma$ .
  - Note first that  $\frac{d\gamma \cdot z}{dz} = (cz+d)^{-2}$ . So, we can rewrite the relation as  $(\frac{d\gamma \cdot z}{dz})^{k/2} f(\gamma \cdot z) = f(z)$ . Notice this means that  $(dz)^{k/2} f(z)$  is invariant if we multiply it by  $\gamma$ . So, we conclude if the relation holds for  $\gamma_1$  and for  $\gamma_2$ , it holds for  $\gamma_1\gamma_2$ .
- 3.  $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma)$  is a complex vector space, trivially. Moreover, take a form of weight  $k_1$  and a form of weight  $k_2$ , their product is a form of weight  $k_1 + k_2$ .

## Examples of modular forms

Example 1 Let k be an even integer greater than 2. For  $z \in H$ , let

$$G_k(z) = \sum_{m,n} \frac{1}{(mz+n)^k}$$

where the sum is over all pairs of integers m, n.

Because  $k \geq 4$  the sum is absolutely convergent on all compact subsets of H. Hence  $G_k(z)$  is holomorphic on H. Further, note that  $G_k(z) = G_k(z+1)$  since the sum is over pairs of integers. Finally, note that

$$G_k(-\frac{1}{z}) = \sum_{m,n} \frac{1}{(mz+n)^k}$$
$$= \sum_{m,n} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{m-nz}{-z}\right)^k}$$
$$= \sum_{m,n} \frac{(-z)^k}{(m-nz)^k}$$
$$= (-z)^k G_k(z)$$

Sometimes the Fourier coefficients are of arithmetic (and hence number theoretic) interest. For instance,

**Theorem** Let k > 2 be an even integer. Then the Eisenstein series has Fourier expansion:

$$G_k(z) = 2\zeta(k) \left( 1 - \frac{2k}{B_k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{k-1}(n) q^n \right)$$

where

- $q = e^{2\pi i z}.$
- the Bernoulli numbers  $B_k$  are defined by

$$\frac{x}{x-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k \frac{x^k}{k!},$$

• and the function  $\sigma_{k-1}$  is the divisor function of n and is defined by

$$\sigma_{k-1}(n) = \sum_{d|n} d^{k-1}.$$

Example 2 The discriminant modular form  $\Delta(z)$  is defined to be a linear combination of the normalized Eisenstein series  $E_k(z) = \frac{1}{2\zeta(k)}G_k(z)$ . Let

$$g_2(z) = \frac{4}{3}\pi^4 E_4(z)$$
 and  $g_3(z) = \frac{8}{27}\pi^6 E_6(z)$ . Define

$$\Delta(z) = g_2(z)^3 - 27g_3(z)^2.$$

Since  $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$  is a complex vector space, we see that  $\Delta(z)$  is an element of  $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$ . Note that since both  $E_6(z)$  and  $E_4$  have  $a_0=1$ ,  $\Delta$  has  $a_0=0$  so it is a cusp form of weight 12. The zeroes for  $f \in \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma)$ .

**Theorem** Let  $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$ . For  $P \in H$  let  $v_p(f)$  be the order of the zero (or minus the order of the pole) of f at P. Let  $v_{\infty}(f)$  be the index of the first nonvanishing term in the Fourier expansion of f. Then

$$v_{\infty}(f) + \frac{1}{2}v_{i}(f) + \frac{1}{3}v_{\omega}(f) + \sum_{P \in \Gamma/H, P \neq i, \omega} v_{P}(f) = \frac{k}{12}.$$

(Here 
$$\omega = 1/2 + \frac{\sqrt{-3}}{2}$$
.)

Sketch of proof Apply the residue theorem to the fundamental domain with loops around the zeroes and cut off at some T bigger than all the poles and zeroes of f. Say P is on the LHS of the contour at is a zero, Q is on the circular part and is a zero, and that it has zeroes at  $\omega$  (hence  $T.\omega$ ) and i. The residue theorem says

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz = \sum_{P \in \Gamma/H, P \neq i, \omega} v_P(f).$$

Integrating over the contour, the top part gives up  $-v_{\infty}(f)$ , around each  $\omega$  gives us  $-v_{\omega}(f)/6$  and integrating over the *i* gives us  $-v_{i}(f)/2$ . Finally the integral over the rest of the unit circle gives us k/12.

Corollary Let k be even.

- 1. The only modular forms of weight 0 for  $\Gamma$  are constants.
  - **Proof** Let c be any value taken by f. Then f(z) c has a zero. This means one of the terms on the LHS is nonzero, but the RHS is zero. This means that f(z) = c is the zero function.
- 2.  $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma) = 0 \text{ if } k < 0 \text{ or } k = 2.$

**Proof** There is no way for the number of zeroes to be less than 1/3.

3.  $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma)$  is one-dimensional and generated by  $E_k(z)$  for k=4,6,8,10,14.

**Proof** For k=4, we have  $v_{\omega}(f)=1$ . For k=6, we have  $v_i(f)=1$ . For k=8, we must have  $v_{\omega}(f)=2$ . For k=10 we have  $v_i(f)=v_{\omega}(f)=1$ . For k=14 we have  $v_{\omega}(f)=2$ ,  $v_i(f)=1$ . Let  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma)$ . They have the same zeroes, so  $f_1/f_2$  is also a modular function of weight 0, hence a constant. Picking  $f_2=E_k$ , we see that we're done.

4.  $S_k(\Gamma) = 0$  if k < 12 or k = 14,  $S_{12}(\Gamma)$  is one dimensional and generated by  $\Delta$ ,  $S_k(\Gamma) = \Delta \mathcal{M}_{k-12}(\Gamma)$ .

**Proof** For f a cusp form we have that  $v_{\infty}(f) > 0$ . Notice that when k = 12 and  $f = \Delta$  we see that  $\infty$  is the only zero. This means that  $f/\Delta$  is also a modular form of weight k - 12.

5.  $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma) = \mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma) \oplus \mathbf{C}E_k \text{ for } k > 2.$ 

**Proof**  $E_k$  does not vanish at infinity, but we can find a c so that  $f - cE_k$  does vanish at  $\infty$ , i.e., so that  $f - cE_k$  is a cusp form g and hence  $f = cE_k + g$ .

Corollary to the Corollary Any  $f \in \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma)$  can be written in the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{4i+6j=k} c_{i,j} E_4(z)^i E_6(z)^j.$$

We use induction. For k=4,6,8,10,14 we get that  $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma)$  is spanned by  $E_4,E_6,E_4^2,E_4E_6,E_4^2E_6$  respectively. For  $f\in\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma)$  we can find a c so that  $f-cE_4^iE_6^j$  is a cusp form. From before we get  $f-cE_4^iE_6^j=f_1\Delta$ . So

$$f = cE_4^i E_6^j + f_1 \Delta = cE_4^i E_6^j + \frac{(2\pi)^{12}}{1728} (E_4^3 - E_6^2) f_1$$

where  $f_1 \in \mathcal{M}_{k-12}(\Gamma)$ . Apply the induction hypothesis to  $f_1$  and we're done.