

# Killing form

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite dimensional complex semisimple Lie algebra with basis  $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m\}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module under the adjoint action:  $x$  acts on  $\mathfrak{g}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ad}_x : \mathfrak{g} &\rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \\ y &\mapsto [x, y]. \end{aligned}$$

The *Killing form* is the associative bilinear form  $K(, ) : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by

$$K(x, y) = \text{Tr}(\text{ad}_x \text{ad}_y).$$

It is associative in the sense that  $K([x, y], z) = K(x, [y, z])$ . This is equivalent to the property ad-invariance, that  $K([x, y], z) = -K(y, [x, z])$ . The Killing form is also nondegenerate, i.e.

$$S := \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid K(x, y) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in \mathfrak{g}\} = 0,$$

*precisely* when  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple (note: this depends on the fact that  $\text{char } \mathbb{C} = 0$ . When  $\text{char } F = p$ , we have nondegenerate  $\Rightarrow$  semisimple, but semisimple  $\nRightarrow$  nondegenerate). To show this, it is useful to note that the associative property of  $K$  implies that  $S$  is an *ideal* of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

## 1 Humphrey's treatment of trace forms and existence of $K$

Recall a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is *solvable* if  $\mathfrak{g}^{(l)} = 0$  for some  $k$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}^{(0)} = \mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}^{(i)} := [\mathfrak{g}^{(i-1)}, \mathfrak{g}^{(i-1)}]$ .

**Theorem 1.1** (Cartan's Critereon). *Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ ,  $\dim V = n$ . Suppose that  $\text{Tr}(xy) = 0$  for all  $x \in [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ ,  $y \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{g}$  is solvable.*

We can use this to show that every semisimple  $\mathfrak{g}$  has a nondegenerate (non-trivial) Killing form. More generally, if  $\varphi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  is a faithful (injective) representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , then we can define a similar form  $\beta : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$\beta(x, y) = \text{Tr}(\varphi(x)\varphi(y)).$$

Then  $\beta$  is all the beautiful things we wish of it: it's symmetric (clearly), ad-invariant (associative), and nondegenerate ( $\varphi(S) \cong S$  is a solvable ideal, so is in  $\text{Rad } \mathfrak{g} = 0$ ). In fact, the Killing form is just  $\beta$  in the special case that  $\varphi = \text{ad}$ !

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be one of the classical Lie algebras (type A, B, C or D), and let  $x_V$  be the image of  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  under the defining representation. Then our favorite form on  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the form  $\langle , \rangle : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \text{Tr}(x_V y_V),$$

It can be shown that

$$K(x, y) = \begin{cases} 2(r+1)\langle x, y \rangle & \text{in type } \mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}, \mathfrak{sp}_{2r} \\ (2r-1)\langle x, y \rangle & \text{in type } \mathfrak{so}_{2r+1}, \\ 2(r-1)\langle x, y \rangle & \text{in type } \mathfrak{so}_{2r}. \end{cases}$$

## References

- [Hum] J. E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1997.
- [Ser] J.P. Serre, *Complex Semisimple Lie Algebras*, Springer, New York 1987.