

## HOMework #1 SOLUTIONS

Remember to write clearly and to justify all your claims in your solutions.

(1) Use induction to prove that

$$(1) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n k^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}.$$

*Solution.* First, we prove the base case of the above formula, when  $n = 1$ . This is obvious, since then the formula reads  $1^2 = 1(2)(3)/6 = 1$ , which is true.

Next, we assume that the formula is true for  $n$ , and prove it true for  $n + 1$ . The formula for  $n$  reads

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}.$$

Add  $(n + 1)^2$  to both sides:

$$\left( \sum_{k=1}^n k^2 \right) + (n + 1)^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6} + (n + 1)^2.$$

The left hand side is the same as the sum of the squares from 1 to  $n + 1$ . The right hand side simplifies to:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1) + 6(n+1)^2}{6} &= \frac{(n+1)(n(2n+1) + 6(n+1))}{6} \\ &= \frac{(n+1)(2n^2 + 7n + 6)}{6} = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)(2n+3)}{6} \end{aligned}$$

This last expression is the same as the right hand side of Equation 1, with  $n$  replaced by  $n + 1$ . So we have proven Equation 1 with  $n$  replaced by  $n + 1$ , which was our goal.  $\square$

(2) Use the Euclidean algorithm to find the gcd of the following pairs of integers:

- (a)  $a = 186, b = 51$ ,
- (b)  $a = 438, b = 150$ .

You should write down each step of the Euclidean algorithm (although you need not show all your arithmetic scratchwork).

*Solution.* For  $a = 186, b = 51$ , we get the following list of Euclidean divisions:

$$\begin{aligned}
186 &= 51 \cdot 3 + 33 (q = 3, r = 33), \\
51 &= 33 \cdot 1 + 18 (q = 1, r = 18), \\
33 &= 18 \cdot 1 + 15 (q = 1, r = 15), \\
18 &= 15 \cdot 1 + 3 (q = 1, r = 3), \\
15 &= 3 \cdot 5 + 0 (q = 5, r = 0).
\end{aligned}$$

The last non-zero remainder, 3, is the gcd of 186 and 51.  $\square$

For  $a = 438, b = 150$ , we get the following list of Euclidean divisions:

$$\begin{aligned}
438 &= 150 \cdot 2 + 138 (q = 2, r = 138), \\
150 &= 138 \cdot 1 + 12 (q = 1, r = 12), \\
138 &= 12 \cdot 11 + 6 (q = 11, r = 6), \\
12 &= 6 \cdot 2 + 0 (q = 2, r = 0).
\end{aligned}$$

The last non-zero remainder, 6, is the gcd of 438 and 150.  $\square$

- (3) Use the Euclidean algorithm to find a pair of integer solutions  $x, y$  to the equation  $96x + 28y = 8$ . (Soon we will see how to find all integer solutions to this equation.)

*Solution.* We begin by using the Euclidean algorithm to find the gcd of 96 and 28:

$$\begin{aligned}
96 &= 28 \cdot 3 + 12, \\
28 &= 12 \cdot 2 + 4, \\
12 &= 4 \cdot 3 + 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The last non-zero remainder is 4, so  $\gcd(96, 28) = 4$ . We now use the steps of the Euclidean algorithm to find a pair of integers  $x, y$  which solve the equation  $96x + 28y = 4$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
4 &= 28 - 12(2), \\
4 &= 28 - (96 - 28 \cdot 3)(2) = 96(-2) + 28(7).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $x = -2, y = 7$  solves  $96x + 28y = 4$ . To get a solution to  $96x + 28y = 8$ , just multiply the previous solutions by 2, so that  $x = -4, y = 14$  solves  $96x + 28y = 8$ .  $\square$

- (4) Suppose we know that the gcd of two positive integers, say  $a, b$ , is equal to 20. Is it possible to determine what all the (positive) common divisors of  $a, b$  are from this information? If so, what are those common divisors? (Remember, you need to prove all your assertions!)

*Solution.* A theorem in class said that every common divisor of  $a, b$  divides  $\gcd(a, b) = 20$  as well. Just to be thorough, we should check that every divisor of  $\gcd(a, b)$  is

also a common divisor of  $a, b$ . So suppose  $c \mid \gcd(a, b)$ . Because  $\gcd(a, b) \mid a, b$ , we must have  $c \mid a, c \mid b$ , as desired.

These two facts taken together show that the set of common divisors of  $a, b$  are just the divisors of  $\gcd(a, b) = 20$ . The divisors of 20 are 1, 2, 4, 5, 10, 20, so these are the common divisors of  $a, b$ .  $\square$

- (5) Recall that the *Fibonacci sequence*  $f_n$  is defined by the recursive relation  $f_{n+2} = f_{n+1} + f_n$  for  $n \geq 1$ , and the initial terms  $f_1 = f_2 = 1$ . So the first few members of the Fibonacci sequence are 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8,  $\dots$ . Show that  $\gcd(f_{n+1}, f_n) = 1$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

*Solution.* If we want to prove something about  $\gcd(f_{n+1}, f_n)$ , it might be worthwhile to try the Euclidean algorithm. So let  $n \geq 3$  be any integer greater than 2. (If  $n = 1, 2$ , then the claim in the question is obviously true.) Let us try a Euclidean division of  $f_{n+1}$  by  $f_n$ . The recursive definition of the Fibonacci sequence tells us that  $f_{n-1} = f_{n+1} - f_n$ . Also notice that  $f_{n-1} < f_n$ : indeed, since  $f_n > 0$  for all  $n$ , and  $f_{n-1} + f_{n-2} = f_n$ , we must have  $f_{n-1} < f_n$  since  $f_{n-2} > 0$ . Therefore,  $f_{n-1}$  must be the remainder when we perform a Euclidean division of  $f_{n+1}$  by  $f_n$ . (Remember, the remainder is the unique integer  $r$  such that  $0 \leq r < f_n$ , and such that  $f_{n+1} - r$  is a multiple of  $f_n$ .)

But if  $f_{n-1}$  is the remainder when we perform a Euclidean division of  $f_{n+1}$  by  $f_n$ , this tells us that  $\gcd(f_{n+1}, f_n) = \gcd(f_n, f_{n-1})$ . We repeat this argument with  $f_n, f_{n-1}$  to obtain the following chain of equalities:

$$\gcd(f_{n+1}, f_n) = \gcd(f_n, f_{n-1}) = \dots = \gcd(f_3, f_2) = \gcd(2, 1) = 1. \quad \square$$

- (6) For each of the following sets of integers, determine whether they are mutually coprime, not mutually coprime but coprime, or not coprime. (Remember, prove your answer.)
- (a) 27, 80, 13,
  - (b) 24, 19, 186.

*Solution.* The set 27, 80, 13 is mutually coprime. Indeed,  $27 = 3^3$ , and  $3 \nmid 80$ , so  $\gcd(27, 80) = 1$ . Since 13 is prime, and  $13 \nmid 27, 80$ ,  $\gcd(13, 27) = 1, \gcd(13, 80) = 1$ .

The set 24, 19, 186 is coprime but not mutually coprime. For instance,  $3 \mid 24, 3 \mid 186$ , so  $\gcd(24, 186) \geq 3$ . However, because 19 is prime, and  $19 \nmid 24, \gcd(24, 19, 186) = \gcd(\gcd(24, 19), 186) = \gcd(1, 186) = 1$ .  $\square$

- (7) For a positive integer  $n$ , let  $\phi(n)$  be the number of positive integers less than  $n$  coprime to  $n$ . For instance,  $\phi(4) = 2$ , since 1, 3 are coprime to 4, but 2 is not, while  $\phi(6) = 2$  as well, because 1, 5 are coprime to 6, but 2, 3, 4 are not. (This function is called the *Euler totient* function and we will learn much more about it later in the class.)
- (a) Calculate  $\phi(3)$  and  $\phi(12)$ .
  - (b) Calculate  $\phi(5)$  and  $\phi(15)$ . What is the relationship between  $\phi(5), \phi(3)$ , and  $\phi(15)$ ?
  - (c) Based on the above calculations, if  $a, b$  are positive integers, what do you conjecture for the relationship between  $\phi(a), \phi(b)$ , and  $\phi(ab)$ ? (Don't bother trying to prove your conjecture, we'll do this later on.)
  - (d) Test your conjecture with  $a = 4, b = 2$ . Do you need to change your conjecture at all? (Presumably you will need to calculate  $\phi(2)$  and  $\phi(8)$ .)

*Solution.*

- (a)  $\phi(3) = 2$ , since  $\gcd(1, 3) = \gcd(2, 3) = 1$ , while  $\gcd(3, 3) = 3 \neq 1$ . Similarly,  $\phi(12) = 4$ , because  $\gcd(1, 12) = \gcd(5, 12) = \gcd(7, 12) = \gcd(11, 12) = 1$ , while  $\gcd(n, 12) \neq 1$  for any other value of  $n$ ,  $1 \leq n \leq 12$  (those other values are divisible by either 2 or 3).
- (b)  $\phi(5) = 4$ , because 5 is prime, so that  $\gcd(n, 5) = 1$  for  $1 \leq n < 5$ .  $\phi(15) = 8$ , since 1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 11, 13, 14 are relatively prime to 15 (they are not divisible by either 3 or 5), while 3, 5, 6, 9, 10, 12, 15 are not relatively prime to 15.
- (c) The above considerations seem to suggest that  $\phi(ab) = \phi(a)\phi(b)$ .
- (d) If  $a = 4, b = 2$ , we have  $\phi(2) = 1, \phi(4) = 2, \phi(8) = 4$ , so the conjecture from the previous part fails for this choice of  $a, b$ . The correct modification is to require that  $a, b$  be relatively prime in our conjecture, which is the case with the  $a, b$  pairs 3, 4 or 5, 3.  $\square$