

The graded Birman-Murakami-Wenzl algebra

February 7, 2008

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Lie theoretic background	3
2.1	Specifics for classical Lie algebras \mathfrak{sl}_n , \mathfrak{so}_n , and \mathfrak{sp}_n	4
2.2	The Casimir element and the operator T	8
3	The graded braid group and its action on the tensor space	10
4	The graded BMW algebra and its action on the tensor space	13
A	Explicit calculations	19
A.1	The Lie bracket for $\mathfrak{sp}(V)$	19
A.2	The dual basis for $\mathfrak{sp}(V)$	19

1 Introduction

The Brauer algebras were introduced by Richard Brauer in 1937 in his study of the symplectic and orthogonal groups [Br]. His work was spurred by that of Frobenius and Schur, who provided the link between the representation theory of the symmetric group S_n and the general linear group via their commuting actions on the tensor space $V^{\otimes k}$. More generally, a *tensor power centralizer algebra* is an algebra of operators which preserves symmetries in a tensor space.

The graded Birman-Murakami-Wenzl algebra was defined by Nazarov [Naz] to capture the action of Jucys-Murphy operators on the irreducible representations of Brauer algebras. This algebra is the analog to the graded Hecke algebra of type A. Ariki, Mathas, and Rui [AMR] study cyclotomic quotients of \mathcal{W}_k .

The paper of Orellana and Ram [OR] provided a unified approach to studying tensor power centralizer algebras, including the affine and cyclotomic Birman-Murakami-Wenzl algebras. Here, we will define both the graded braid group and the graded BMW algebra, and explore representations of each.

Fix $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. The *group algebra of the symmetric group* $\mathbb{C}S_k$ is the algebra over \mathbb{C} given by generators t_1, \dots, t_{k-1} and relations

$$t_i^2 = 1, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq k-1, \quad (1.1)$$

$$t_i t_{i+1} t_i = t_{i+1} t_i t_{i+1}, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq k-2, \quad (1.2)$$

$$t_i t_j = t_j t_i, \quad \text{if } j \neq i \pm 1. \quad (1.3)$$

The *graded braid group* \mathbb{B}_k is the algebra generated by $\mathbb{C}S_k$ and $\mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_k]$ with additional relations

$$y_i y_j = y_j y_i, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i, j \leq k, \quad (1.4)$$

$$t_i y_j = y_j t_i \quad \text{if } j \neq i, i+1, \quad (1.5)$$

$$t_i(y_i + y_{i+1}) = (y_i + y_{i+1})t_i \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq k-1. \quad (1.6)$$

Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite dimensional complex semisimple Lie algebra with Casimir element

$$\kappa = \sum b b^*,$$

where b ranges over a basis of \mathfrak{g} , and $\{b^*\}$ is a dual basis to $\{b\}$. Let M and V be \mathfrak{g} -modules. In section 3, we will explore the action of the braid group on the tensor space $M \otimes V^{\otimes k}$ which commutes with the action of \mathfrak{g} . For this action, S_k acts by permutation of the factors and the y_i acts by the Casimir on the first i factors in the tensor space.

Fix a set of constants $\{z^{(i)} \in \mathbb{C} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$. The *graded Birman-Murakami-Wenzl algebra* \mathcal{W}_k is the quotient of the algebra \mathbb{B}_k by the relations

$$t_i e_j = e_j t_i, \quad e_i e_j = e_j e_i, \quad \text{if } j \neq i, i \pm 1, \quad (1.7)$$

$$e_i y_j = y_j e_i, \quad \text{if } j \neq i, i+1, \quad (1.8)$$

$$e_i t_i = e_i = t_i e_i, \quad (1.9)$$

$$t_i e_{i+1} e_i = t_{i+1} e_i, \quad (1.10)$$

$$e_{i+1} e_i t_{i+1} = e_{i+1} t_i, \quad (1.11)$$

$$e_i e_{i+1} e_i = e_i \quad (1.12)$$

$$e_{i+1} e_i e_{i+1} = e_{i+1} \quad (1.13)$$

$$e_i(y_i + y_{i+1}) = 0 = (y_i + y_{i+1})e_i, \quad (1.14)$$

$$e_1 y_1^\ell e_1 = z^{(\ell)} e_1, \quad \text{for } \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \quad (1.15)$$

where e_i is defined by the relation

$$e_i - 1 = t_i y_i - y_{i+1} t_i. \quad (1.16)$$

Due to the relation (1.6), we also have $e_i - 1 = y_i t_i - t_i y_{i+1}$. The *Brauer algebra* $\mathbb{C}B_k(z^{(0)})$ is the subalgebra of \mathcal{W}_k generated by the elements $t_1, \dots, t_{k-1}, e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}$.

In section 4, we describe an action of \mathcal{W}_k on $M \otimes V^{\otimes k}$ for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{2n}$.

Finally, in section ??, we will discuss how this theory may be extended to explore the so-called two-boundary case.

2 Lie theoretic background

Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite dimensional complex semisimple Lie algebra with basis $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m\}$. Then \mathfrak{g} is a \mathfrak{g} -module under the adjoint action: x acts on \mathfrak{g} by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ad}_x : \mathfrak{g} &\rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \\ y &\mapsto [x, y]. \end{aligned}$$

The *Killing form* is the non-degenerate form $\langle, \rangle : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \text{Tr}(\text{ad}_x \text{ad}_y).$$

Let \mathfrak{h} be a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} , and let $\mathfrak{h}^* = \{\mu : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\}$ be its dual. If M is a \mathfrak{g} -module and $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ is a weight, then the μ *weight space* of M is

$$M_\mu = \{m \in M \mid hm = \mu(h)m, \text{ for all } h \in \mathfrak{h}\}.$$

Since \mathfrak{g} is semisimple, the adjoint action induces a decomposition of \mathfrak{g} into weight spaces

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in R} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \right),$$

where R is the set of *roots*, the weights in \mathfrak{h}^* which have nontrivial weight spaces in \mathfrak{g} . Let R^+ be the set of positive roots, so $R^- = \{-\alpha \mid \alpha \in R^+\}$ and $R = R^+ \cup R^-$. Then we can fix the triangular decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+, \quad \text{where } \mathfrak{n}^\pm = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R^\pm} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha.$$

Since \langle, \rangle is ad-invariant, if $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ for $y \in \mathfrak{g}_\beta$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, then

$$\alpha(h)\langle x, y \rangle = \langle [h, x], y \rangle = -\langle x, [h, y] \rangle = -\beta(h)\langle x, y \rangle.$$

So

$$\text{if } \alpha \neq -\beta, \text{ then } \langle x, y \rangle = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

i.e. the subspaces \mathfrak{g}_α and \mathfrak{g}_β are orthogonal precisely when $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$.

For each $\alpha \in R^+$, there is a unique element $h_\alpha \in [\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}]$ for which $\alpha(h_\alpha) = 2$. If $y_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, $y_\alpha \neq 0$, there is a unique $y_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$ for which

$$[y_\alpha, y_\alpha] = h_\alpha.$$

Moreover,

$$[h_\alpha, y_\alpha] = 2y_\alpha, \quad \text{and } [h_\alpha, y_\alpha] = -2y_\alpha,$$

and so $\text{span}\{y_\alpha, y_\alpha, h_\alpha\}$ is isomorphic to \mathfrak{sl}_2 (see [Ser, Ch.6, §1]).

The form \langle, \rangle is non-degenerate, so the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{h} &\rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^* \\ h &\mapsto \langle h, \cdot \rangle \end{aligned} \quad \text{is an isomorphism.}$$

By definition, $\langle h_\alpha, h \rangle = \alpha(h)$ for $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, so this isomorphism induces the form \langle, \rangle on \mathfrak{h}^* defined by

$$\langle \lambda, \mu \rangle = \langle h_\lambda, h_\mu \rangle, \quad \text{where } \langle h_\lambda, h \rangle = \lambda(h).$$

Let $\{\alpha_i\}$ be a base of simple roots for R (see [Ser, Ch.5, §8]). The *fundamental weights* $\omega_i \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ are those weights for which

$$\langle \omega_i, \alpha_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j}, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \omega_i(h_{\alpha_j}) = \delta_{i,j}.$$

The irreducible \mathfrak{g} -modules are indexed by the *dominant integral weights*, $\lambda \in P^+ \subseteq \mathfrak{h}^*$, where P^+ is the $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -module generated by the ω_i :

$$P^+ = \sum_i \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \omega_i.$$

Finally, let

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} \alpha. \quad (2.2)$$

2.1 Specifics for classical Lie algebras \mathfrak{sl}_n , \mathfrak{so}_n , and \mathfrak{sp}_n

Let V be a \mathfrak{g} -module of dimension n with orthonormal basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. Many of the following calculations rest on the fact that the Lie algebras \mathfrak{sl}_n , \mathfrak{so}_n , and \mathfrak{sp}_n are isomorphic to subalgebras of $\text{End}(V)$, and V is a faithful V module. For the details of many explicit calculations for this section, see appendix A

2.1.1 Bases and structure

Let $E_{ij} \in \text{End}(V)$ be defined by

$$E_{ij}v_\ell = \delta_{j\ell}v_i.$$

$\mathfrak{sl}(V)$: The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_n \cong \mathfrak{sl}(V) = \{x \in \text{End}(V) \mid \text{tr}(x) = 0\}$ has basis

$$\{b_{ij}, h_k \mid 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n, 1 \leq k < n\},$$

where

$$b_{ij} = E_{ij} \text{ and } h_k = E_k - E_{k+1,k+1}.$$

Thus the dual basis with respect to \langle, \rangle is given by

$$b_{ij}^* = b_{ji} \text{ and } h_k^* = \frac{1}{2}h_k.$$

The triangular decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+$$

is given by $\mathfrak{h} = \langle h_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \rangle$, $\mathfrak{n}^+ = \langle b_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n \rangle$, and $\mathfrak{n}^- = \langle b_{ij}^* \mid b_{ij} \in \mathfrak{n}^+ \rangle$.

$\mathfrak{so}(V)$: The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(V) \cong \mathfrak{so}_n$ is the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$ for which the inner product on V is ad-invariant :

$$\mathfrak{so}(V) = \{x \in \mathfrak{sl}(V) \mid \langle xu, v \rangle + \langle u, xv \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } u, v \in V\}.$$

Thus $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ has basis

$$\{b_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\},$$

where

$$b_{ij} = E_{ij} - E_{ji},$$

Define b_{ij} for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ by

$$b_{ij} = \begin{cases} b_{ij} & \text{if } i < j, \\ -b_{ji} & \text{if } i > j, \\ 0 & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}$$

So $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ has bracket

$$[b_{i_1, j_1}, b_{i_2, j_2}] = \begin{cases} b_{i_1, j_2}, & j_1 = i_2, \\ b_{j_1, i_2}, & i_1 = j_2, \\ -b_{i_1, i_2}, & j_1 = j_2, \\ -b_{j_1, j_2}, & i_1 = i_2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases},$$

and dual basis (with respect to \langle, \rangle) defined by

$$b_{ij}^* = b_{ij}.$$

Let \mathfrak{h} be generated by $\{b_{2i-1, 2i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{2}\}$.

$\mathfrak{sp}(V)$: If $n = 2r$ is even, $\mathfrak{sp}(V) \cong \mathfrak{sp}_n$ is the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$ for which the skew-symmetric form $\overline{\langle, \rangle}_J$ on V , given by the matrix

$$J = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & -\mathbb{1} \\ \hline \mathbb{1} & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

is ad-invariant:

$$\mathfrak{sp}(V) = \{a \in \mathfrak{sl}(V) \mid \langle au, v \rangle_J + \langle u, av \rangle_J = 0 \text{ for all } u, v \in V\},$$

where $\langle u, v \rangle_J = u^t J v$. Then $\mathfrak{sp}(V)$ has basis (in $\text{End}(V)$)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} E_{ii} - E_{r+i, r+i} = A_i, & 1 \leq i \leq r, \\ E_{ij} - E_{r+j, r+i} = B_{i,j}, & 1 \leq i \neq j \leq r, \\ E_{i, r+i} = C_i, & 1 \leq i \leq r, \\ E_{i, r+j} + E_{j, r+i} = D_{ij}, & 1 \leq i < j \leq r, \\ E_{r+i, i} = E_i, & 1 \leq i \leq r, \\ E_{r+i, j} + E_{r+j, i} = F_{ij}, & 1 \leq i < j \leq r \end{array} \right\}.$$

With respect to \langle, \rangle , $\mathfrak{sp}(V)$ has dual basis

$$\begin{aligned} A_i^* &= A_i, & B_{i,j}^* &= B_{j,i}, \\ C_i^* &= 2E_i, & D_{ij}^* &= F_{ij}, \\ E_i^* &= 2C_i, & \text{and } F_{ij}^* &= D_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

The triangular decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+$$

is given by $\mathfrak{h} = \langle A_i \rangle$, $\mathfrak{n}^+ = \langle C_i, D_{ij}, B_{i < j} \rangle$, and $\mathfrak{n}^- = \langle b_i^* \mid b_i \in \mathfrak{n}^+ \rangle$. The skew symmetric form evaluated on the basis of V is

$$\langle v_i, v_j \rangle_J = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } j = i + r, \\ -1, & \text{if } j = i - r, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

2.1.2 Weights

Let $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$ be an orthonormal basis of the vector space \mathbb{R}^n . Then

$$\mathfrak{h}^* = \begin{cases} \{ \lambda_1 \varepsilon_1 + \dots + \lambda_n \varepsilon_n \mid \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n = 0 \}, & \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n \\ \{ \lambda_1 \varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \mid \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R} \}, & \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_n \text{ or } \mathfrak{sp}_n. \end{cases}$$

The fundamental weights (the generators of P^+) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_i &= \varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_i - \frac{i}{n}(\varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_n), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1, & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n, \\ \omega_i &= \varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq r-2, \\ \omega_{r-1} &= \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_{r-1} - \varepsilon_r), \\ \omega_r &= \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_{r-1} + \varepsilon_r), & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{2r}, \\ \omega_i &= \varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq r-1, \\ \omega_r &= \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_r), & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{2r+1}, \\ \omega_i &= \varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq r, & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2r}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the dominant integral weights (which index finite dimensional irreducible \mathfrak{g} -modules) are all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ such that

$$\lambda = \lambda_1 \varepsilon_1 + \dots + \lambda_{n-1} \varepsilon_{n-1} - \frac{|\lambda|}{n}(\varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_n), \quad \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n,$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ \text{where } & 0 \leq \lambda_{i+i} \leq \lambda_i, \\ & |\lambda| = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\lambda = \lambda_1 \varepsilon_1 + \dots + \lambda_r \varepsilon_r, \quad \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{2r},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{where } & \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } i \text{ or } \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2} \text{ for all } i, \\ & 0 \leq |\lambda_r| \leq \lambda_{r-1} \leq \dots \leq \lambda_1, \end{aligned}$$

$$\lambda = \lambda_1 \varepsilon_1 + \dots + \lambda_r \varepsilon_r, \quad \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{2r+1},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{where } & \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } i \text{ or } \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2} \text{ for all } i, \\ & 0 \leq \lambda_{i+i} \leq \lambda_i, \end{aligned}$$

$$\lambda = \lambda_1 \varepsilon_1 + \dots + \lambda_r \varepsilon_r, \quad \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2r},$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ \text{where } & 0 \leq \lambda_{i+i} \leq \lambda_i. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, recall

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} \alpha = \sum_j \omega_j \in \mathfrak{h}^*.$$

Specifically, in each of these cases, this element is given by

$$2\rho = \sum_i (y - 2i)\varepsilon_i, \quad \text{where } y = \begin{cases} n+1, & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n, \\ n, & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_n, \\ n+2, & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_n. \end{cases}$$

2.1.3 Partitions

It is convenient at times to think of these weights as partitions. Identify each dominant integral weight λ with the configuration of boxes with $|\lambda_i|$ boxes in for i . In the case of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{2r}$, if $\lambda_r < 0$, mark the boxes with a minus sign. For example, if $\lambda = 3\varepsilon_1 + 2\varepsilon_2 + 2\varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4$, the associated partition is

-		

If b is j^{th} box of row i of λ , the *content* of b is

$$c(b) = (j - i) \frac{\lambda_i}{|\lambda_i|}.$$

For example, if we fill in the boxes in the above λ with their respective contents, we get

0	1	2
-1	0	
-2	-1	
3		

Let $L(\lambda)$ be the highest-weight \mathfrak{g} -module of weight λ . Since \mathfrak{g} is semisimple, every finite dimensional \mathfrak{g} -module M decomposes as the direct sum of simple modules:

$$M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P^+} c_\lambda L(\lambda).$$

In particular, for all $\mu \in P^+$,

$$L(\mu) \otimes L(\omega_1) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda(\mu)} L(\lambda), \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\Lambda(\mu) = \begin{cases} \mu^+ = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{partitions of height } < n \\ \text{obtained by adding a box to } \mu \end{array} \right\} & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n \\ \mu^\pm = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{partitions of height } \leq r \\ \text{obtained by adding a box to } \mu \end{array} \right\} & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{2r}, \mathfrak{sp}_{2r}, \text{ or} \\ & \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{2r+1} \text{ and } \lambda_r \leq 0 \\ \mu^\pm \cup \{\mu\} & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{2r+1} \text{ and } \lambda_r > 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{2r}$, then there is a possibility of adding or removing a negative box, and the removal of a box from row r when $\mu_r = \frac{1}{2}$ or 0 will result in $\lambda_r = -\frac{1}{2}$ or -1 , respectively.

2.2 The Casimir element and the operator T

The *Casimir element* of \mathfrak{g} is

$$\kappa = \sum_i b_i b_i^*,$$

where b_i^* is the unique element of \mathfrak{g} for which $\langle b_i^*, b_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$. Since the Casimir is central in $U\mathfrak{g}$, it acts as a constant on each irreducible component of a \mathfrak{g} -module M :

Theorem 2.1. *The Casimir element κ acts on a \mathfrak{g} -module $L(\lambda)$ of highest weight λ by the constant*

$$\langle \lambda, \lambda + 2\rho \rangle.$$

Proof. As at the beginning of this section, choose a basis of \mathfrak{g} compatible with the triangular decomposition, and let $y_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, $y_{-\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$, and $h_\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}$ generate the subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} isomorphic to \mathfrak{sl}_2 corresponding to $\alpha \in R^+$. So

$$\langle y_\alpha, y_\alpha \rangle = \langle -\frac{1}{2}[y_\alpha, h_\alpha], y_\alpha \rangle = \frac{1}{2}\langle h_\alpha, [y_\alpha, y_\alpha] \rangle = \frac{1}{2}\alpha(h_\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}2 = 1.$$

Let h_1^*, \dots, h_ℓ^* be a basis of \mathfrak{h} , and let h_1^*, \dots, h_ℓ^* be the dual basis with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Then

$$\{h_1, \dots, h_\ell\} \cup \{y_\alpha, y_{-\alpha} \mid \alpha \in R^+\} \text{ is a basis of } \mathfrak{g}, \text{ and}$$

$$\{h_1^*, \dots, h_\ell^*\} \cup \{y_\alpha, y_{-\alpha} \mid \alpha \in R^+\} \text{ is a dual basis of } \mathfrak{g},$$

with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

Now we compute the constant by which κ acts on $L(\lambda)$: If $L(\lambda)$ is a \mathfrak{g} -module generated by highest weight vector v_λ^+ of weight λ , i.e.

$$h_\alpha v_\lambda^+ = \lambda(h_\alpha) v_\lambda^+ \quad \text{and} \quad y_\alpha v_\lambda^+ = 0 \quad \text{for } \alpha \in R^+,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa v_\lambda^+ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} h_i h_i^* + \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} y_\alpha y_\alpha + \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} y_{-\alpha} y_{-\alpha} \right) v_\lambda^+ \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} h_i h_i^* + \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} ([y_\alpha, y_{-\alpha}] + y_\alpha y_{-\alpha} + y_{-\alpha} y_\alpha) \right) v_\lambda^+ \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} h_i \lambda(h_i^*) + \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} (h_\alpha + 2y_\alpha y_{-\alpha}) \right) v_\lambda^+ \\ &= \left(\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle + \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} (\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle + 0) \right) v_\lambda^+ \\ &= \langle \lambda, \lambda + 2\rho \rangle v_\lambda^+ \end{aligned}$$

□

Let $T \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ be the operator on $V \otimes V$ given by

$$T = \sum_{\ell} b_\ell \otimes b_\ell^*.$$

So if M and V are \mathfrak{g} -modules,

$$\kappa \cdot (M \otimes V) = ((\kappa \otimes 1) + (1 \otimes \kappa) + 2T) \cdot (M \otimes V).$$

Corollary 2.2. *The element T acts on the λ -component of $L(\mu) \otimes L(\nu)$ by the constant*

$$T_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \frac{1}{2}(\langle \lambda, \lambda + 2\rho \rangle - \langle \mu, \mu + 2\rho \rangle - \langle \nu, \nu + 2\rho \rangle).$$

From equation (2.3), if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n$, \mathfrak{so}_n , or \mathfrak{sp}_n and $\nu = \omega_1$, we know exactly how $L(\mu) \otimes L(\omega_1)$ decomposes. In fact, we can express these constants in terms of contents of boxes added or removed in the associated partitions.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $V = L(\omega_1)$.*

1. *If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(V)$, then*

$$T_{\mu\omega_1}^\lambda = c(b) - \frac{|\mu|}{n},$$

where b is the box added to obtain λ from μ .

2. *If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(V)$, then*

$$T_{\mu\omega_1}^\lambda = \begin{cases} c(b) & \text{if } b \text{ is added,} \\ -c(b) - (n-1) & \text{if } b \text{ is removed,} \end{cases}$$

where b is the box added or removed to obtain λ from μ .

3. *If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(V)$, then*

$$T_{\mu\omega_1}^\lambda = \begin{cases} c(b) & \text{if } b \text{ is added,} \\ -c(b) - (n+1) & \text{if } b \text{ is removed,} \end{cases}$$

where b is the box added or removed to obtain λ from μ .

Proof.

Case 1: $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(V)$. Adding a box to μ in the i^{th} row is equivalent to adding $\varepsilon_i - \frac{1}{n}(\varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon_n)$. Note that $\omega_1 = \varepsilon_1 - \frac{1}{n}(\varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon_n)$. So

$$\lambda = \mu + (\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_1 + \omega_1).$$

Finally, recall $2\rho = \sum_i \omega_i = \sum_j (n+1-2j)\varepsilon_j$.

If $i = 1$, then $\lambda = \mu + \omega_1$, so

$$\begin{aligned} 2T_{\mu\omega_1}^\lambda &= \langle \lambda, \lambda + 2\rho \rangle - \langle \mu, \mu + 2\rho \rangle - \langle \omega_1, \omega_1 + 2\rho \rangle \\ &= \langle \mu + \omega_1, \mu + \omega_1 + 2\rho \rangle - \langle \mu, \mu + 2\rho \rangle - \langle \omega_1, \omega_1 + 2\rho \rangle \\ &= \langle \mu, \omega_1 \rangle + \langle \omega_1, \omega_1 + 2\rho \rangle - \langle \omega_1, \omega_1 + 2\rho \rangle \\ &= 2(\langle \mu, \varepsilon_1 \rangle - \langle \mu, \frac{1}{n}(\varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon_n) \rangle) \\ &= 2\left(\mu_1 - \frac{|\mu|}{n}\right) = 2((\mu_1 + 1) - 1) - 2\frac{|\mu|}{n} \end{aligned}$$

Otherwise, $i \neq 1$, so $\lambda = \mu + (\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_1) + \omega_1$, so

$$\begin{aligned} 2T_{\mu\omega_1}^\lambda &= (\langle \mu + \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_1 + \omega_1, \mu + \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_1 + \omega_1 + 2\rho \rangle - \langle \mu, \mu + 2\rho \rangle - \langle \omega_1, \omega_1 + 2\rho \rangle) \\ &= \left(2\langle \mu, \omega_1 \rangle + 2\langle \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_1, \mu \rangle + 2\langle \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_1, \omega_1 \rangle + \langle \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_1 + 2\rho \rangle\right) \\ &= 2\left(\left(\mu_1 - \frac{|\mu|}{n}\right) + (\mu_i - \mu_1) + \left(-\frac{1}{n} - 1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left((1+n+1-2i) - (-1+n+1-2)\right)\right) \\ &= 2\left(\mu_i - \frac{|\mu|}{n} - 1 + 2 - i\right) = 2((\mu_i + 1) - i) - 2\frac{|\mu|}{n} \end{aligned}$$

The content of a box added rom row i of μ is $(\mu_i + 1) - i$. Likewise, the content of a box removed from row i of μ is $(\mu_i - 1) - i$.

Case 2: $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(V)$. Adding or removing a box from μ in the i^{th} row is equivalent to adding or subtracting ε_i , i.e. $\lambda = \mu \pm \varepsilon_i$. Recall $\omega_1 = \varepsilon_1$, and let $y = n$, so $2\rho = \sum_i (y - 2i)\varepsilon_i$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
2T_{\mu\nu}^\lambda &= (\langle \lambda, \lambda + 2\rho \rangle - \langle \mu, \mu + 2\rho \rangle - \langle \omega_1, \omega_1 + 2\rho \rangle) \\
&= (\langle \mu \pm \varepsilon_i, \mu \pm \varepsilon_i + 2\rho \rangle - \langle \mu, \mu + 2\rho \rangle - \langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1 + 2\rho \rangle) \\
&= (\pm 2\langle \varepsilon_i, \mu \rangle + \langle \varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_i \pm 2\rho \rangle - \langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1 + 2\rho \rangle) \\
&= \pm 2\mu_i + \left(1 \pm (y - 2i) - (1 + y - 2) \right) \\
&= \begin{cases} 2(\mu_i + 1 - i), & \text{if a box is added,} \\ -2(\mu_i - i + y - 1), & \text{if a box is removed,} \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} 2c(b), & \text{if } b \text{ is added,} \\ 2(-c(b) - (n - 1)), & \text{if } b \text{ is removed,} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

since a box added would be in column $\mu_i + 1$ and row i , where a box removed would be in column μ_i and row i .

Case 3: $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(V)$. Just as in case 2, $\lambda = \mu \pm \varepsilon_i$ and $\omega_1 = \varepsilon_1$. However, in this case $2\rho = \sum_i (y - 2i)\varepsilon_i$ if we let $y = n + 2$. So

$$\begin{aligned}
2T_{\mu\nu}^\lambda &= \begin{cases} 2(\mu_i + 1 - i) & \text{if a box is added,} \\ -2(\mu_i - i + y + 1) & \text{if a box is removed,} \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} 2c(b) & \text{if } b \text{ is added,} \\ 2(-c(b) - (n + 1)) & \text{if } b \text{ is removed,} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Finally, we will denote the operator T acting on the j and j' factors of $V^{\otimes k}$ by $T_{j,j'}$, i.e.

$$T_{j,j'} \cdot (m \otimes v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_k}) = \sum_b (m \otimes v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes b v_{i_j} \otimes \cdots \otimes b^* v_{i_{j'}} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_k}). \quad (2.4)$$

If $\{b\}$ is a basis of \mathfrak{g} , then $\{b^*\}$ presents an alternate basis for \mathfrak{g} , with dual basis $\{b\}$. Therefore,

$$T_{i,j} = T_{j,i}. \quad (2.5)$$

3 The graded braid group and its action on the tensor space

A *permutation* has representation as a bipartite graph with k dots in the top row, k dots in the bottom row, and k edges pairing them. For example,



is a permutation diagram on 5 dots.

The group algebra $\mathbb{C}S_k$ is the span of the permutation diagrams with multiplication given by concatenation of diagrams. For example, if

$$s_1 = \begin{array}{c} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ & \diagdown & \diagup & \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad s_2 = \begin{array}{c} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ & \diagdown & \diagup \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{array},$$

then

$$s_1 s_2 = \begin{array}{c} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ & \diagdown & \diagup & \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ & \diagdown & \diagup & \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{array}$$

The generators of $\mathbb{C}S_k$ are

$$t_i = \begin{array}{c} \bullet & \cdots & \bullet & \begin{array}{c} i \quad i+1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \bullet & \bullet \end{array} & \bullet & \cdots & \bullet \end{array}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k-1.$$

Define the action of $\mathbb{C}S_k$ on $M \otimes V^{\otimes k}$ via

$$t_i \cdot (m \otimes v_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_k}) = \epsilon (m \otimes v_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_{i-1}}) \otimes (v_{j_{i+1}} \otimes v_{j_i}) \otimes (v_{j_{i+2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_k}),$$

where

$$\epsilon = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(V), \\ -1 & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(V). \end{cases}$$

$$\pm \begin{array}{c} M \otimes V \otimes \cdots \otimes V \otimes \begin{array}{c} i \quad i+1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \bullet & \bullet \end{array} \otimes V \otimes \cdots \otimes V \\ \bullet & \bullet & \cdots & \bullet & \bullet & \cdots & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet & \cdots & \bullet & \bullet & \cdots & \bullet \\ M \otimes V \otimes \cdots \otimes V \otimes V \otimes V \otimes V \otimes \cdots \otimes V \end{array}$$

Let κ_j be the operator on $M \otimes V^{\otimes k}$ defined by

$$\kappa_i = \kappa \otimes \text{id}_V^{\otimes(k-i)} \quad \text{on} \quad (M \otimes V^{\otimes i}) \otimes V^{\otimes(k-i)},$$

$$\begin{array}{c} M \otimes V \otimes \cdots \otimes V \otimes \begin{array}{c} i \quad i+1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \bullet & \bullet \end{array} \otimes V \otimes \cdots \otimes V \\ \bullet & \bullet & \cdots & \bullet & \bullet & \cdots & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet & \cdots & \bullet & \bullet & \cdots & \bullet \\ M \otimes V \otimes \cdots \otimes V \otimes V \otimes V \otimes V \otimes \cdots \otimes V \end{array} \xleftarrow{\kappa} \begin{array}{c} M \otimes V \otimes \cdots \otimes V \otimes V \otimes V \otimes V \otimes \cdots \otimes V \\ \bullet & \bullet & \cdots & \bullet & \bullet & \cdots & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet & \cdots & \bullet & \bullet & \cdots & \bullet \\ M \otimes V \otimes \cdots \otimes V \otimes V \otimes V \otimes V \otimes \cdots \otimes V \end{array}.$$

So $\kappa_0 \cdot (M \otimes V^{\otimes k}) = (\kappa \cdot M) \otimes V^{\otimes k}$.

Theorem 3.1. $M \otimes V^{\otimes k}$ is a \mathbb{B}_k -module with action determined by

$$t_j \cdot (m \otimes v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_k}) = \epsilon (m \otimes v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_{j-1}}) \otimes (v_{i_{j+1}} \otimes v_{i_j}) \otimes (v_{i_{j+2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_k}) \quad (3.1)$$

$$y_j \cdot (m \otimes v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_k}) = \epsilon \frac{1}{2} (\kappa_j - \kappa_{j-1}) \cdot (m \otimes v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_k}) \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\epsilon = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(V) \\ -1 & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(V) \end{cases},$$

and this action commutes with the action of \mathfrak{g} .

Proof. The relations (1.1)-(1.6) are visible from the following diagrams:

$$\begin{aligned}
t_i^2 &= 1 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq k-1: & \begin{array}{c} i \quad i+1 \\ V \otimes V \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ V \otimes V \end{array} &= \begin{array}{c} i \quad i+1 \\ V \otimes V \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ | \quad | \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ V \otimes V \end{array} \\
t_i t_j &= t_j t_i, \quad \text{for } \begin{array}{c} 1 \leq i, j \leq k-1, \\ i \neq j, j \neq i \pm 1: \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} i \quad i+1 \quad j \quad j+1 \\ V \otimes V \quad V \otimes V \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad | \quad | \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \\ | \quad | \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \\ V \otimes V \quad V \otimes V \end{array} &= \begin{array}{c} i \quad i+1 \quad j \quad j+1 \\ V \otimes V \quad V \otimes V \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \\ | \quad | \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad | \quad | \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \\ V \otimes V \quad V \otimes V \end{array} \\
t_i t_{i+1} t_i &= t_{i+1} t_i t_{i+1}, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq i-2: & \begin{array}{c} i \quad i+1 \quad i+2 \\ V \otimes V \otimes V \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad | \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \\ | \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad | \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \\ V \otimes V \otimes V \end{array} &= \begin{array}{c} i \quad i+1 \quad i+2 \\ V \otimes V \otimes V \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \\ | \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad | \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad | \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \\ V \otimes V \otimes V \end{array}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the relation (1.5) for $j < i$ is visible from the diagram

$$y_j t_i = \begin{array}{c} M \otimes V \otimes \cdots \otimes \overset{i}{V} \otimes V \otimes \cdots \otimes \overset{j}{V} \otimes V \otimes \cdots \otimes V \\ \bullet \quad \quad \quad \bullet \quad \quad \quad \bullet \quad \quad \quad \bullet \quad \quad \quad \bullet \quad \quad \quad \bullet \\ \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \\ \bullet \quad \quad \quad \bullet \quad \quad \quad \bullet \quad \quad \quad \bullet \quad \quad \quad \bullet \quad \quad \quad \bullet \\ M \otimes V \otimes \cdots \otimes V \otimes V \otimes \cdots \otimes V \otimes V \otimes \cdots \otimes V \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad \quad \quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad \quad \quad} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \quad \quad \quad \bullet \quad \quad \quad \bullet \quad \quad \quad \bullet \quad \quad \quad \bullet \quad \quad \quad \bullet \\ \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \\ \bullet \quad \quad \quad \bullet \quad \quad \quad \bullet \quad \quad \quad \bullet \quad \quad \quad \bullet \quad \quad \quad \bullet \\ M \otimes V \otimes \cdots \otimes V \otimes V \otimes \cdots \otimes V \otimes V \otimes \cdots \otimes V \end{array} = t_i y_j.$$

The relation (1.6) follows from direct computation:

$$\begin{aligned}
& t_i(y_i + y_{i+1}) \cdot (m \otimes v_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_{i+2}}) \\
&= \frac{\epsilon}{2} t_i(\kappa_{i+1} - \kappa_{i-1}) \cdot (m \otimes v_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_{i+2}}) \\
&= \frac{\epsilon}{2} t_i \left(2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{i-1} (T_{\ell,i} + T_{\ell,i+1}) + 2T_{i,i+1} + 1^{\otimes(i-1)} \otimes (\kappa \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \kappa) \right) \\
&\quad \cdot (m \otimes v_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_i} \otimes v_{j_{i+1}} \otimes v_{j_{i+2}}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left(2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{i-1} (T_{\ell,i+1} + T_{\ell,i}) + 2T_{i+1,i} + 1^{\otimes(i-1)} \otimes (1 \otimes \kappa + \kappa \otimes 1) \right) \\
&\quad \cdot (m \otimes v_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_{i+1}} \otimes v_{j_i} \otimes v_{j_{i+2}}) \\
&= (y_i + y_{i+1}) t_i \cdot (m \otimes v_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_{i+2}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, to verify the relation (1.5) for $i < j$, rewrite the action in (4.5) as

$$y_0 + y_1 + \cdots + y_j = \frac{\epsilon}{2} \kappa_j, \quad \text{where } y_0 = \frac{\epsilon}{2} \kappa_0,$$

as operators on $M \otimes V^{\otimes k}$. So we can combine (1.6) and (1.5) to find the equivalent relation

$$t_i \kappa_j = \kappa_j t_i, \text{ if } i \neq j.$$

Now certainly the action of the symmetric group, i.e. the action of t_i , commutes with the the action of \mathfrak{g} , and thus the action of $U\mathfrak{g}$. \square

4 The graded BMW algebra and its action on the tensor space

A *Brauer diagram* on k dots is a graph with k dots in the top row, k dots in the bottom row and k edges pairing the dots. For example,



is a diagram on 7 dots. The *Brauer algebra* B_k is the span of the Brauer diagrams with k dots and multiplication given by concatenation (as for $\mathbb{C}S_k$), with the relation

$$\text{loop} = z^{(0)}.$$

For example, if

$$d_1 = \text{diagram} \quad \text{and} \quad d_2 = \text{diagram},$$

then

$$d_1 d_2 = \text{diagram} = z^{(0)} \left(\text{diagram} \right)$$

A *vertical edge* is an edge pairing a top dot with a bottom dot, and a *horizontal edge* is an edge pairing a top dot with a top dot, or a bottom dot with a bottom dot. For $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, let

$$t_i = \text{diagram} \quad \text{and} \quad e_i = \text{diagram}.$$

The *Brauer algebra* is the subalgebra of \mathcal{W}_k generated by t_1, \dots, t_{k-1} and e_1, \dots, e_{k-1} . Theorem ?? below shows that if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(V)$ or $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(V)$ and $V = L(\omega_1)$, then the action of \mathbb{B}_k on $M \otimes V^{\otimes k}$ factors through \mathcal{W}_k . As in (1.16), let

$$e_i = 1 + t_i y_i - y_{i+1} t_i.$$

Recall, as in equation (2.4), $T_{j,j'}$ is the operator $t = \sum_b b \otimes b^*$ acting on the j and j' factors of $V^{\otimes k}$. From (4.5) and (4.6),

$$\begin{aligned} & t_i y_{i+1} t_i \cdot (m \otimes v_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_i} \otimes v_{j_{i+1}}) \\ &= \epsilon t_i y_{i+1} \cdot (m \otimes v_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_{i+1}} \otimes v_{j_i}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} t_i \left((1^{\otimes i+1} \otimes \kappa) + 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^i T_{\ell, i+1} \right) \cdot (m \otimes v_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_{i+1}} \otimes v_{j_i}) \\ &= \frac{\epsilon}{2} \left((1^{\otimes i} \otimes \kappa \otimes 1) + 2 T_{i, i+1} + 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{i-1} T_{\ell, i} \right) \cdot (m \otimes v_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_i} \otimes v_{j_{i+1}}) \\ &= (y_i + \epsilon T_{i, i+1}) (m \otimes v_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_i} \otimes v_{j_{i+1}}) \end{aligned}$$

Since $t_i^2 = 1$,

$$t_i y_i - y_{i+1} t_i = -\epsilon t_i T_{i,i+1} \quad (4.1)$$

as operators on $M \otimes V^{\otimes k}$.

From (2.3), if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(V)$ or $\mathfrak{sp}(V)$, then

$$L(\omega_1) \otimes L(\omega_1) = L(0) \oplus L(2\varepsilon_1) \oplus L(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2).$$

Define p_i as the operator

$$p_i = 1^{\otimes i} \otimes \text{pr}_{L(0)} \otimes 1^{\otimes (k-i-1)}.$$

Proposition 4.1. *If $V = L(\omega_1)$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(V)$ or $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(V)$, then*

$$e_i = \begin{cases} n p_i, & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(V), \\ -n p_i, & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(V), \end{cases}$$

as an operator on $M \otimes V^{\otimes k}$.

Proof. As in equation (4.1),

$$e_i = 1 + t_i y_i - y_{i+1} t_i = 1 - \epsilon t_i T_{i,i+1}.$$

If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(V)$, then the simple modules $L(0)$ and $L(2\varepsilon_1)$ correspond to the symmetric elements of $V \otimes V$, while $L(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)$ corresponds to the anti-symmetric elements of $V \otimes V$. Otherwise, if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(V)$, then the simple modules $L(0)$ and $L(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)$ correspond to the anti-symmetric elements of $V \otimes V$, while $L(2\varepsilon_1)$ corresponds to the symmetric elements of $V \otimes V$. Thus $\epsilon \cdot t_i$ acts on the i and $i + 1$ copy of $L(\omega_1) \otimes L(\omega_1)$ by the constant

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon & \quad \text{in the } 0 \text{ component,} \\ 1 & \quad \text{in the } 2\varepsilon_1 \text{ component, and} \\ -1 & \quad \text{in the } \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 \text{ component.} \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

By theorem 2.3, $T_{i,i+1}$ acts on the i and $i + 1$ copy of $L(\omega_1) \otimes L(\omega_1)$ by the constant

$$\begin{aligned} -(n - \epsilon) & \quad \text{in the } 0 \text{ component,} \\ 1 & \quad \text{in the } 2\varepsilon_1 \text{ component, and} \\ -1 & \quad \text{in the } \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 \text{ component.} \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Thus, $e_i = 1 - 2t_i T_{i,i+1}$ acts on the i and $i + 1$ copy of $L(\omega_1) \otimes L(\omega_1)$ by the constant

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon n & \quad \text{in the } 0 \text{ component,} \\ 0 & \quad \text{in the } 2\varepsilon_1 \text{ component, and} \\ 0 & \quad \text{in the } \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 \text{ component.} \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

□

Corollary 4.2.

1. *If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(V)$, then e_i acts on $M \otimes V^{\otimes k}$ via*

$$e \cdot (v_a \otimes v_b) = \delta_{ab} \sum_{\ell=1}^n v_\ell \otimes v_\ell.$$

2. If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(V)$, $\dim(V) = 2r$, then e_i acts on $M \otimes V^{\otimes k}$ via

$$e \cdot (v_a \otimes v_b) = \langle v_b, v_a \rangle_J \sum_{\ell=1}^r (v_\ell \otimes v_{r+\ell} - v_{r+\ell} \otimes v_\ell)$$

Now we are ready to describe the action of \mathcal{W}_k on the tensor space.

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_n$ or let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_n$ and n be even. Let $M = L(\mu)$ and $V = L(\omega_1)$.

Theorem 4.3. $M \otimes V^{\otimes k}$ is a \mathcal{W}_k -module via the action

$$t_j \cdot (m \otimes v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_k}) = (m \otimes v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_{j-1}}) \otimes (v_{i_{j+1}} \otimes v_{i_j}) \otimes (v_{i_{j+2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_k}) \quad (4.5)$$

$$y_j \cdot (m \otimes v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_k}) = \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\kappa_j - \kappa_{j-1}) \cdot (m \otimes v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_k}) \quad (4.6)$$

and this action commutes with the action of \mathfrak{g} , if

$$z^{(\ell)} = \begin{cases} n \langle \mu, \mu + 2\rho \rangle^\ell, & \text{for } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(V), \\ -n \langle \mu, \mu + 2\rho \rangle^\ell, & \text{for } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(V). \end{cases}$$

Proof. The commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} t_i e_j &= e_j t_i, & \text{if } i \neq j, j \pm 1 \\ e_i e_j &= e_j e_i, & \text{if } i \neq j, j \pm 1 \end{aligned}$$

and the idempotent relation

$$e_i^2 = z^{(0)} e_i$$

follow immediately from Theorem 4.3. The first tangle relation (1.9)

$$e_i t_i = e_i = t_i e_i$$

follows from (4.2) and (4.4). Since κ acts on $L(\mu) \otimes L(0)$ by $\langle \mu, \mu + 2\rho \rangle$, the unwrapping relation (1.15)

$$e_1 y_1^\ell e_1 = z^{(\ell)} e_1, \quad \text{for } \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$$

holds.

Relation (1.6)

$$t_i(y_i + y_{i+1}) = (y_i + y_{i+1})t_i,$$

together with the commutation relations implies

$$e_i(y_i + y_{i+1}) = (y_i + y_{i+1})e_i.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} (y_i + y_{i+1}) \cdot (m \otimes v_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_{i+1}}) &= \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\kappa_{i+1} - \kappa_{i-1}) \cdot (m \otimes v_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_{i+1}}) \\ &= \frac{\epsilon}{2}(m \otimes v_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_{i-1}}) \otimes (\kappa_{i,i+1} \cdot (v_{j_i} \otimes v_{j_{i+1}})) \\ &\quad + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \sum_b \left((bm \otimes v_{j_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_{i-1}}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{\ell=1}^{i-1} (m \otimes \cdots \otimes bv_{j_\ell} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_{i-1}}) \right) \otimes (b^* \cdot (v_{j_i} \otimes v_{j_{i+1}})). \end{aligned}$$

Now, since $e_i = c p_i$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(y_i + y_{i+1})e_i \cdot (m \otimes v_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_{i+1}}) &= \frac{\epsilon}{2}(\kappa_{i+1} - \kappa_{i-1}) \cdot \left((m \otimes v_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_{i-1}}) \otimes c \operatorname{pr}_0(v_{j_i} \otimes v_{j_{i+1}}) \right) \\
&= \frac{\epsilon}{2}(m \otimes v_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_{i-1}}) \otimes 0 \\
&\quad + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \sum_b \left((bm \otimes v_{j_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_{i-1}}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{\ell=1}^{i-1} (m \otimes \cdots \otimes bv_{j_\ell} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_{i-1}}) \right) \otimes 0 \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

because $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ acts on $L(0)$ by 0.

It remains to prove relations 1.10, 1.11, 1.12, and 1.13.

Consider the subalgebra generated by e_i, e_{i+1}, t_i , and t_{i+1} , and its action on $V^{\otimes 3}$ alone.

Case 1: $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(V)$ Recall, that $e_i \cdot (v_{j_i} \otimes v_{j_{i+1}}) = \delta_{j_i, j_{i+1}} \sum_{\ell} v_{\ell} \otimes v_{\ell}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
t_i e_{i+1} e_i \cdot (v_{j_i} \otimes v_{j_{i+1}} \otimes v_{j_{i+2}}) &= t_i e_{i+1} \cdot \delta_{j_i, j_{i+1}} \sum_{\ell} (v_{\ell} \otimes v_{\ell} \otimes v_{j_{i+2}}) \\
&= t_i \cdot \delta_{j_i, j_{i+1}} \sum_{\ell} (v_{j_{i+2}} \otimes v_{\ell} \otimes v_{\ell}) \\
&= \delta_{j_i, j_{i+1}} \sum_{\ell} (v_{\ell} \otimes v_{j_{i+2}} \otimes v_{\ell}) \\
&= t_{i+1} e_i \cdot (v_{j_i} \otimes v_{j_{i+1}} \otimes v_{j_{i+2}}),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
e_{i+1} e_i t_{i+1} \cdot (v_{j_i} \otimes v_{j_{i+1}} \otimes v_{j_{i+2}}) &= e_{i+1} e_i \cdot (v_{j_i} \otimes v_{j_{i+2}} \otimes v_{j_{i+1}}) \\
&= e_{i+1} \cdot \delta_{j_i, j_{i+2}} \sum_{\ell} (v_{\ell} \otimes v_{\ell} \otimes v_{j_{i+1}}) \\
&= \delta_{j_i, j_{i+2}} \sum_{\ell} (v_{j_{i+1}} \otimes v_{\ell} \otimes v_{\ell}) \\
&= e_{i+1} t_i \cdot (v_{j_i} \otimes v_{j_{i+1}} \otimes v_{j_{i+2}}),
\end{aligned}$$

1.10 and 1.11 hold. Similarly, since

$$\begin{aligned}
e_i e_{i+1} e_i \cdot (v_{j_i} \otimes v_{j_{i+1}} \otimes v_{j_{i+2}}) &= e_i \cdot \delta_{j_i, j_{i+1}} \sum_{\ell} (v_{j_{i+2}} \otimes v_{\ell} \otimes v_{\ell}) \\
&= \delta_{j_i, j_{i+1}} \sum_{\ell} (v_{\ell} \otimes v_{\ell} \otimes v_{j_{i+2}}) \\
&= e_i \cdot (v_{j_i} \otimes v_{j_{i+1}} \otimes v_{j_{i+2}}),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
e_{i+1}e_ie_{i+1} \cdot (v_{j_i} \otimes v_{j_{i+1}} \otimes v_{j_{i+2}}) &= e_{i+1}e_i \cdot \delta_{j_{i+1}, j_{i+2}} \sum_{\ell} (v_{j_i} \otimes v_{\ell} \otimes v_{\ell}) \\
&= e_{i+1} \cdot \delta_{j_{i+1}, j_{i+2}} \sum_{\ell} (v_{\ell} \otimes v_{\ell} \otimes v_{j_i}) \\
&= \delta_{j_{i+1}, j_{i+2}} \sum_{\ell} (v_{j_i} \otimes v_{\ell} \otimes v_{\ell}) \\
&= e_{i+1} \cdot (v_{j_i} \otimes v_{j_{i+1}} \otimes v_{j_{i+2}}),
\end{aligned}$$

1.12, and 1.13 hold.

Case 2: $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(V)$ Here, $e_i \cdot (v_{j_i} \otimes v_{j_{i+1}}) = -\langle v_{j_i}, v_{j_{i+1}} \rangle_J \sum_{\ell=1}^r (v_{\ell} \otimes v_{r+\ell} - v_{r+\ell} \otimes v_{\ell})$. So since

$$\begin{aligned}
t_ie_{i+1}e_i \cdot (v_{j_i} \otimes v_{j_{i+1}} \otimes v_{j_{i+2}}) &= -t_ie_{i+1} \cdot \langle v_{j_i}, v_{j_{i+1}} \rangle_J \sum_{\ell=1}^r (v_{\ell} \otimes v_{\ell+r} \otimes v_{j_{i+2}} - v_{\ell+r} \otimes v_{\ell} \otimes v_{j_{i+2}}) \\
&= -t_i \langle v_{j_i}, v_{j_{i+1}} \rangle_J \sum_{\ell=1}^r (v_{j_{i+2}} \otimes v_{\ell} \otimes v_{\ell+r} - v_{j_{i+2}} \otimes v_{\ell+r} \otimes v_{\ell}) \\
&= \langle v_{j_i}, v_{j_{i+1}} \rangle_J \sum_{\ell=1}^r (v_{\ell} \otimes v_{j_{i+2}} \otimes v_{\ell+r} - v_{\ell+r} \otimes v_{j_{i+2}} \otimes v_{\ell}) \\
&= t_{i+1}e_i \cdot (v_{j_i} \otimes v_{j_{i+1}} \otimes v_{j_{i+2}}),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
e_{i+1}e_it_{i+1} \cdot (v_{j_i} \otimes v_{j_{i+1}} \otimes v_{j_{i+2}}) &= -e_{i+1}e_i \cdot (v_{j_i} \otimes v_{j_{i+2}} \otimes v_{j_{i+1}}) \\
&= -\langle v_{j_i}, v_{j_{i+2}} \rangle_J \sum_{\ell=1}^r (v_{j_{i+1}} \otimes v_{\ell} \otimes v_{\ell+r} - v_{j_{i+1}} \otimes v_{\ell+r} \otimes v_{\ell}) \\
&= e_{i+1} \cdot (v_{j_{i+1}} \otimes v_{j_i} \otimes v_{j_{i+2}}) \\
&= e_{i+1}t_i \cdot (v_{j_i} \otimes v_{j_{i+1}} \otimes v_{j_{i+2}}),
\end{aligned}$$

1.10 and 1.11 hold. Similarly, since

$$\begin{aligned}
e_ie_{i+1}e_i \cdot (v_{j_i} \otimes v_{j_{i+1}} \otimes v_{j_{i+2}}) &= -e_ie_{i+1} \cdot \langle v_{j_i}, v_{j_{i+1}} \rangle_J \sum_{\ell=1}^r (v_{\ell} \otimes v_{\ell+r} \otimes v_{j_{i+2}} - v_{\ell+r} \otimes v_{\ell} \otimes v_{j_{i+2}}) \\
&= -e_i \langle v_{j_i}, v_{j_{i+1}} \rangle_J \sum_{\ell=1}^r (v_{j_{i+2}} \otimes v_{\ell} \otimes v_{\ell+r} - v_{j_{i+2}} \otimes v_{\ell+r} \otimes v_{\ell}) \\
&= -\langle v_{j_i}, v_{j_{i+1}} \rangle_J \sum_{\ell=1}^r (v_{\ell} \otimes v_{\ell+r} \otimes v_{j_{i+2}} - v_{\ell+r} \otimes v_{\ell} \otimes v_{j_{i+2}}) \\
&= e_i \cdot (v_{j_i} \otimes v_{j_{i+1}} \otimes v_{j_{i+2}}),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
e_{i+1}e_ie_{i+1} \cdot (v_{j_i} \otimes v_{j_{i+1}} \otimes v_{j_{i+2}}) &= -e_{i+1}e_i \cdot \langle v_{j_{i+1}}, v_{j_{i+2}} \rangle_J \sum_{\ell=1}^r (v_{j_i} \otimes v_\ell \otimes v_{\ell+r} - v_{j_i} \otimes v_{\ell+r} \otimes v_\ell) \\
&= -e_{i+1} \langle v_{j_{i+1}}, v_{j_{i+2}} \rangle_J \sum_{\ell=1}^r (v_\ell \otimes v_{\ell+r} \otimes v_{j_i} - v_{\ell+r} \otimes v_\ell \otimes v_{j_i}) \\
&= -\langle v_{j_{i+1}}, v_{j_{i+2}} \rangle_J \sum_{\ell=1}^r (v_{j_i} \otimes v_\ell \otimes v_{\ell+r} - v_{j_i} \otimes v_{\ell+r} \otimes v_\ell) \\
&= e_{i+1} \cdot (v_{j_i} \otimes v_{j_{i+1}} \otimes v_{j_{i+2}}).
\end{aligned}$$

1.12, and 1.13 hold. □

A Explicit calculations

A.1 The Lie bracket for $\mathfrak{sp}(V)$

Since the representation $\mathfrak{so}_n \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ via $b_{ij} \rightarrow E_{ij} - E_{ji}$ is faithful, we can utilize known matrix multiplication to calculate Lie brackets of basis elements: for basis elements $b_{i_1, j_1}, b_{i_2, j_2}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
[b_{i_1, j_1}, b_{i_2, j_2}] &= b_{i_1, j_1} b_{i_2, j_2} - b_{i_2, j_2} b_{i_1, j_1} \\
&= (E_{i_1, j_1} - E_{j_1, i_1})(E_{i_2, j_2} - E_{j_2, i_2}) - (E_{i_2, j_2} - E_{j_2, i_2})(E_{i_1, j_1} - E_{j_1, i_1}) \\
&= \delta_{j_1, i_2}(E_{i_1, j_2} - E_{j_2, i_1}) - \delta_{j_1, j_2}(E_{i_1, i_2} - E_{i_2, i_1}) - \delta_{i_1, i_2}(E_{j_1, j_2} - E_{j_2, j_1}) + \delta_{i_1, j_2}(E_{j_i, i_2} - E_{i_2, j_1}) \\
&= \begin{cases} b_{i_1, j_2} & j_1 = i_2, \\ b_{j_1, i_2} & i_1 = j_2, \\ -b_{i_1, i_2} & j_1 = j_2, \\ -b_{j_1, j_2} & i_1 = i_2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

A.2 The dual basis for $\mathfrak{sp}(V)$

Recall that $\mathfrak{sp}(V)$ has basis (in $\text{End}(V)$)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} E_{ii} - E_{r+i, r+i} = A_i, & 1 \leq i \leq r, \\ E_{ij} - E_{r+j, r+i} = B_{i,j}, & 1 \leq i \neq j \leq r, \\ E_{i, r+i} = C_i, & 1 \leq i \leq r, \\ E_{i, r+j} + E_{j, r+i} = D_{ij}, & 1 \leq i < j \leq r, \\ E_{r+i, i} = E_i, & 1 \leq i \leq r, \\ E_{r+i, j} + E_{r+j, i} = F_{ij}, & 1 \leq i < j \leq r \end{array} \right\}.$$

With respect to the form on $\mathfrak{sp}(V)$ defined by $\langle x, y \rangle = \text{Tr}(xy)$, we have

$$A_i^* = \frac{1}{2}A_i, \quad B_{i,j}^* = \frac{1}{2}B_{j,i},$$

$$C_i^* = E_i, \quad D_{ij}^* = \frac{1}{2}F_{ij},$$

$$E_i^* = C_i, \quad \text{and} \quad F_{ij}^* = \frac{1}{2}D_{ij}.$$

Motivated by (2.1), we will calculate the weight spaces containing each basis element of $\mathfrak{sp}(V)$:

Let $1 \leq i \leq r$. For all $1 \leq j \neq k \leq r$,

$$\begin{aligned}
[A_i, B_{jk}] &= [E_{ii} - E_{r+i, r+i}, E_{jk} - E_{r+k, r+j}] \\
&= \delta_{ij}E_{ik} - \delta_{ik}E_{ji} + 0 + 0 + \delta_{ik}E_{r+i, r+j} - \delta_{ij}E_{r+k, r+i} \\
&= \begin{cases} E_{jk} - E_{r+k, r+j} & \text{if } i = j \\ -(E_{jk} - E_{r+k, r+j}) & \text{if } i = k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} B_{jk} & \text{if } i = j \\ -B_{jk} & \text{if } i = k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
&= (\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_k)\varepsilon_i^\vee B_{jk},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon_i(\varepsilon_j^\vee) = \delta_{ij}$. So $B_{jk} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_k}$, and $\varepsilon_i^\vee = A_i$

For all $1 \leq j \leq r$,

$$\begin{aligned}[A_i, C_j] &= [E_{ii} - E_{r+i, r+i}, E_{j, r+j}] \\ &= 2\delta_{ij}E_{j, r+j} \\ &= 2\varepsilon_j\varepsilon_i^\vee C_j.\end{aligned}$$

So $C_j \in \mathfrak{g}_{2\varepsilon_j}$.

For $1 \leq j < k \leq r$,

$$\begin{aligned}[A_i, D_{jk}] &= [E_{ii} - E_{r+i, r+i}, E_{j, r+k} + E_{k, r+j}] \\ &= \delta_{ij}(E_{j, r+k} + E_{k, r+j}) + \delta_{ik}(E_{j, r+k} + E_{k, r+j}) \\ &= (\varepsilon_j + \varepsilon_k)\varepsilon_i^\vee D_{jk}\end{aligned}$$

So $D_{jk} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon_j + \varepsilon_k}$.

For $1 \leq j \leq r$,

$$\begin{aligned}[A_i, E_j] &= [E_{ii} - E_{r+i, r+i}, E_{r+j, j}] \\ &= -2\delta_{ij}E_{j, r+j} \\ &= -2\varepsilon_j\varepsilon_i^\vee E_j.\end{aligned}$$

So $E_j \in \mathfrak{g}_{-2\varepsilon_j}$.

For $1 \leq j < k \leq r$,

$$\begin{aligned}[A_i, F_{jk}] &= [E_{ii} - E_{r+i, r+i}, E_{r+j, k} + E_{r+k, j}] \\ &= -\delta_{ij}(E_{r+j, k} + E_{r+k, j}) - \delta_{ik}(E_{r+j, k} + E_{r+k, j}) \\ &= -(\varepsilon_j + \varepsilon_k)\varepsilon_i^\vee F_{jk}\end{aligned}$$

So $F_{jk} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-(\varepsilon_j + \varepsilon_k)}$.

Finally, since $A_i, A_j \in \mathfrak{h}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq r$, we have $[A_i, A_j] = 0$, so $A_i \in \mathfrak{g}_0$.

Now, by (2.1), we can compare weight spaces to conclude that

$$A_i^* = c_{A_i}A_i, \quad B_{ij}^* = c_{B_{ij}}B_{ji}, \quad C_i^* = c_{C_i}E_i,$$

$$D_{ij}^* = c_{D_{ij}}F_{ij}, \quad E_i^* = c_{E_i}C_i, \quad \text{and} \quad F_{ij}^* = c_{F_{ij}}D_{ij},$$

for some $c_\star \in \mathbb{C}$. It can furthermore be checked that

$$\text{Tr}(A_i A_i) = 2, \quad \text{Tr}(B_{ij} B_{ji}) = 2,$$

$$\text{Tr}(C_i E_i) = \text{Tr}(E_i C_i) = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Tr}(F_{ij} D_{ij}) = \text{Tr}(D_{ij} F_{ij}) = 2.$$

So since $\text{Tr}(cX) = c\text{Tr}(X)$ for any square matrix X and scalar c , we have

$$A_i^* = \frac{1}{2}A_i, \quad B_{i,j}^* = \frac{1}{2}B_{j,i},$$

$$C_i^* = E_i, \quad D_{ij}^* = \frac{1}{2}F_{ij},$$

$$E_i^* = C_i, \quad \text{and} \quad F_{ij}^* = \frac{1}{2}D_{ij},$$

as desired.

References

- [AMR] S. Ariki, A. Mathas and H. Rui, *Cyclotomic Nazarov-Wenzl algebras*, Nagoya Math. J. **182** (2006), 47–134. MR2235339
- [Bau] P. Baumann, *On the center of quantized enveloping algebras*, J. Algebra **203** no. 1 (1998) 244–260. MR1620662 (99g:17025)
- [Br] R. Brauer, *On algebras which are connected with the semisimple continuous groups*, Ann. of Math. **38** (1937), 857872.
- [Naz] M. Nazarov, *Youngs Orthogonal Form for Brauers Centralizer Algebra*, J. Algebra **182** (1996), 664–693.
- [OR] R. Orellana and A. Ram, *Affine braids, Markov traces and the category \mathcal{O}* , in *Proceedings of the International Colloquium on Algebraic Groups and Homogeneous Spaces Mumbai 2004*, V.B. Mehta ed., Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Narosa Publishing House, Amer. Math. Soc. (2007) 423–473.
- [Ser] J.P. Serre, *Complex Semisimple Lie Algebras*, Springer, New York 1987.