

The Casimir Invariant

Zajj Daugherty

April 24, 2008

Let \mathfrak{g} be an n -dimensional semisimple Lie algebra. Let $\{b_i\}_i$ be any basis of \mathfrak{g} and let $\{b^i\}$ be the dual basis of \mathfrak{g} with respect to a fixed invariant (nondegenerate symmetric associative?) bilinear form on \mathfrak{g} (the Killing form is a good example). To be precise, each b^i is the unique element of \mathfrak{g} for which $\langle b^i, b_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j}$. So in \mathbb{R}^n the standard basis is its own dual with respect to the standard inner product.

The *casimir element* is an element of the universal enveloping algebra $U\mathfrak{g}$ given by the formula

$$\kappa = \sum_i b_i b^i.$$

Although κ seems to depend on the chosen basis of \mathfrak{g} , one can show that it is indeed independent of this choice. Moreover, the invariance of \langle, \rangle puts κ in the center of $U\mathfrak{g}$ as it commutes with each element of \mathfrak{g} .

Given a representation ρ of \mathfrak{g} on V , the corresponding casimir is $\rho(\kappa)$, the linear operator on V given (appropriately enough) by

$$\rho(\kappa) = \sum_i \rho(b_i) \rho(b^i).$$

The *quantum Casimir* is

$$\kappa_q = e^{-h\rho} \sum S(b_i) a_i \in \mathcal{Z}(U_q \mathfrak{g}).$$

So $\Delta(\kappa_q) = (R_{21} R_{12})^{-1} (\kappa_q \otimes \kappa_q)$. This is one reason why we care about $R_{21} R_{12}$. [Recall g is *group-like* if $\Delta(g) = g \otimes g$.]

Theorem 1. *The Casimir element κ acts on a \mathfrak{g} -module $L(\lambda)$ of highest weight λ by the constant*

$$\langle \lambda, \lambda + 2\rho \rangle.$$

Proof. Choose a basis of \mathfrak{g} compatible with the triangular decomposition, and let $e_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, $f_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$, and $h_\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}$ generate the subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} isomorphic to \mathfrak{sl}_2 corresponding to $\alpha \in R^+$. So

$$\langle e_\alpha, f_\alpha \rangle = \langle -\frac{1}{2}[e_\alpha, h_\alpha], f_\alpha \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle h_\alpha, [e_\alpha, f_\alpha] \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \alpha(h_\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} 2 = 1.$$

Let h_1^*, \dots, h_ℓ^* be a basis of \mathfrak{h} , and let h_1^*, \dots, h_ℓ^* be the dual basis with respect to \langle, \rangle . Then

$$\{h_1, \dots, h_\ell\} \cup \{e_\alpha, f_\alpha \mid \alpha \in R^+\} \text{ is a basis of } \mathfrak{g}, \text{ and}$$

$$\{h_1^*, \dots, h_\ell^*\} \cup \{f_\alpha, e_\alpha \mid \alpha \in R^+\} \text{ is a dual basis of } \mathfrak{g},$$

with respect to \langle, \rangle .

Now we compute the constant by which κ acts on $L(\lambda)$: If $L(\lambda)$ is a \mathfrak{g} -module generated by highest weight vector v_λ^+ of weight λ , i.e.

$$h_\alpha v_\lambda^+ = \lambda(h_\alpha) v_\lambda^+ \quad \text{and} \quad e_\alpha v_\lambda^+ = 0 \quad \text{for } \alpha \in R^+,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa v_\lambda^+ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} h_i h_i^* + \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} e_\alpha f_\alpha + \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} f_\alpha e_\alpha \right) v_\lambda^+ \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} h_i h_i^* + \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} ([e_\alpha, f_\alpha] + f_\alpha e_\alpha + f_\alpha e_\alpha) \right) v_\lambda^+ \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} h_i \lambda(h_i^*) + \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} (h_\alpha + 2f_\alpha e_\alpha) \right) v_\lambda^+ \\ &= \left(\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle + \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} (\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle + 0) \right) v_\lambda^+ \\ &= \langle \lambda, \lambda + 2\rho \rangle \end{aligned}$$

□

So if M and V are \mathfrak{g} -modules,

$$\kappa \cdot (M \otimes V) = ((\kappa \otimes 1) + (1 \otimes \kappa) + 2t) \cdot (M \otimes V), \quad \text{where } t = \sum_i b_i \otimes b_i^*. \quad (0.1)$$

Thus the element t acts on the $L(\lambda)$ isotypic component of $L(\mu) \otimes L(\nu)$ by the constant

$$\frac{1}{2}(\langle \lambda, \lambda + 2\rho \rangle - \langle \mu, \mu + 2\rho \rangle - \langle \nu, \nu + 2\rho \rangle). \quad (0.2)$$

Some κ lemmas:

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n$, \mathfrak{so}_n , or \mathfrak{sp}_n and $\nu = \omega_1$.

Lemma 2. *Let $V = L(\omega_1)$.*

1. *If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(V)$, then*

$$t_{\mu\omega_1}^\lambda = c(b),$$

where b is the box added to obtain λ from μ .

2. *If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(V)$, then*

$$t_{\mu\omega_1}^\lambda = \begin{cases} c(b) & \text{if } b \text{ is added,} \\ -c(b) - (n-1) & \text{if } b \text{ is removed,} \end{cases}$$

where b is the box added or removed to obtain λ from μ .

3. If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(V)$, then

$$t_{\mu\omega_1}^\lambda = \begin{cases} c(b) & \text{if } b \text{ is added,} \\ -c(b) - (n+1) & \text{if } b \text{ is removed,} \end{cases}$$

where b is the box added or removed to obtain λ from μ .

Proof.

Case 1: $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(V)$. Adding a box to μ in the i^{th} row is equivalent to adding $\varepsilon_i - \frac{1}{n}(\varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon_n)$. Note that $\omega_1 = \varepsilon_1 - \frac{1}{n}(\varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon_n)$. So

$$\lambda = \mu + (\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_1 + \omega_1).$$

Finally, recall $2\rho = \sum_i \omega_i = \sum_j (n+1-2j)\varepsilon_j$.

If $i = 1$, then $\lambda = \mu + \omega_1$, so

$$\begin{aligned} 2t_{\mu\omega_1}^\lambda &= \langle \lambda, \lambda + 2\rho \rangle - \langle \mu, \mu + 2\rho \rangle - \langle \omega_1, \omega_1 + 2\rho \rangle \\ &= \langle \mu + \omega_1, \mu + \omega_1 + 2\rho \rangle - \langle \mu, \mu + 2\rho \rangle - \langle \omega_1, \omega_1 + 2\rho \rangle \\ &= \langle \mu, \omega_1 \rangle + \langle \omega_1, \omega_1 + 2\rho \rangle - \langle \omega_1, \omega_1 + 2\rho \rangle \\ &= 2(\langle \mu, \varepsilon_1 \rangle - \langle \mu, \frac{1}{n}(\varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon_n) \rangle) \\ &= 2\left(\mu_1 - \frac{|\mu|}{n}\right) = 2((\mu_1 + 1) - 1) - 2\frac{|\mu|}{n} \end{aligned}$$

Otherwise, $i \neq 1$, so $\lambda = \mu + (\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_1) + \omega_1$, so

$$\begin{aligned} 2t_{\mu\omega_1}^\lambda &= (\langle \mu + \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_1 + \omega_1, \mu + \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_1 + \omega_1 + 2\rho \rangle - \langle \mu, \mu + 2\rho \rangle - \langle \omega_1, \omega_1 + 2\rho \rangle) \\ &= \left(2\langle \mu, \omega_1 \rangle + 2\langle \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_1, \mu \rangle + 2\langle \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_1, \omega_1 \rangle + \langle \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_1 + 2\rho \rangle \right) \\ &= 2\left(\left(\mu_1 - \frac{|\mu|}{n} \right) + (\mu_i - \mu_1) + \left(-\frac{1}{n} - 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left((1 + n + 1 - 2i) - (-1 + n + 1 - 2) \right) \right) \\ &= 2\left(\mu_i - \frac{|\mu|}{n} - 1 + 2 - i \right) = 2((\mu_i + 1) - i) - 2\frac{|\mu|}{n} \end{aligned}$$

The content of a box added from row i of μ is $(\mu_i + 1) - i$. Likewise, the content of a box removed from row i of μ is $(\mu_i - 1) - i$.

Case 2: $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(V)$. Adding or removing a box from μ in the i^{th} row is equivalent to adding or subtracting ε_i , i.e. $\lambda = \mu \pm \varepsilon_i$. Recall $\omega_1 = \varepsilon_1$, and let $y = n$, so $2\rho = \sum_i (y - 2i)\varepsilon_i$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} 2t_{\mu\nu}^\lambda &= (\langle \lambda, \lambda + 2\rho \rangle - \langle \mu, \mu + 2\rho \rangle - \langle \omega_1, \omega_1 + 2\rho \rangle) \\ &= (\langle \mu \pm \varepsilon_i, \mu \pm \varepsilon_i + 2\rho \rangle - \langle \mu, \mu + 2\rho \rangle - \langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1 + 2\rho \rangle) \\ &= (\pm 2\langle \varepsilon_i, \mu \rangle + \langle \varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_i \pm 2\rho \rangle - \langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1 + 2\rho \rangle) \\ &= \pm 2\mu_i + \left(1 \pm (y - 2i) - (1 + y - 2) \right) \\ &= \begin{cases} 2(\mu_i + 1 - i), & \text{if a box is added,} \\ -2(\mu_i - i + y - 1), & \text{if a box is removed,} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 2c(b), & \text{if } b \text{ is added,} \\ 2(-c(b) - (n - 1)), & \text{if } b \text{ is removed,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

since a box added would be in column $\mu_i + 1$ and row i , where a box removed would be in column μ_i and row i .

Case 3: $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(V)$. Just as in case 2, $\lambda = \mu \pm \varepsilon_i$ and $\omega_1 = \varepsilon_1$. However, in this case $2\rho = \sum_i (y - 2i)\varepsilon_i$ if we let $y = n + 2$. So

$$\begin{aligned} 2t_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} &= \begin{cases} 2(\mu_i + 1 - i) & \text{if a box is added,} \\ -2(\mu_i - i + y + 1) & \text{if a box is removed,} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 2c(b) & \text{if } b \text{ is added,} \\ 2(-c(b) - (n + 1)) & \text{if } b \text{ is removed,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

□

The remaining lemmas can be shown similarly as in lemma 2.

Lemma 3. *If $M = L((s)^m)$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_M &= (sm)y + sm(s - m) \\ &= \text{area}(s \times m \text{ rectangle}) \cdot (y + \text{content of corner box}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$y = \begin{cases} 2N - 1 & \mathfrak{gl}_N \\ N & \mathfrak{sl}_N \\ N - 1 & \mathfrak{so}_N \\ N + 1 & \mathfrak{sp}_N \end{cases}$$

So κ “recovers” your rectangle.

Lemma 4. *If $M = L(\mu) = L((s)^m)$, $N = L(\nu) = L((t)^n)$, $n \leq m$, then*

$$\kappa_{\mu+\nu} - \kappa_{\mu} - \kappa_{\nu} = 2stn.$$

Lemma 5. *If $\lambda = \mu - \varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j$ is obtained from μ by moving a box from one row and into another, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{\lambda} &= \kappa_{\mu} - 2\left((\lambda_i - i) - (\lambda_j + 1 - j)\right) \\ &= \kappa_{\mu} - 2(\text{content of old box} - \text{content of new box}) \end{aligned}$$