Math 123 Homework Assignment #1

Due Friday, April 8th.

Part I:

- 1. Suppose that X is a normed vector space. Then X is a Banach space (that is, X is complete) if and only if every absolutely convergent series in X is convergent.
- 2. Let X be a normed vector space and suppose that S and T are bounded linear operators on X. Show that $||ST|| \le ||S|| ||T||$.
- 3. Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space. Show that $C_0(X)$ is a closed subalgebra of $C^b(X)$.
- 4. Let A be a unital Banach algebra. Show that $x \mapsto x^{-1}$ is continuous from G(A) to G(A). (Hint: $(a-h)^{-1} a^{-1} = ((1-a^{-1}h)^{-1} 1)a^{-1}$.)

ANS: Using the "hint", suppose that $a \in G(A)$ and let b = a - h for some $h \in A$ with

$$||h|| \le \frac{||a^{-1}||^{-1}}{2}.\tag{1}$$

Then standard arguments show that $b \in G(A)$. (Recall that if ||c|| < 1, then $1 - c \in G(A)$ and $(1-c)^{-1} = 1 + c + c^2 + \cdots$.) Now we observe that

$$||b^{-1} - a^{-1}|| = ||(a - h)^{-1} - a^{-1}||$$

$$= ||((1 - a^{-1}h) - 1)a^{-1}||$$

$$\leq ||\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a^{-1}h)^n|| ||a^{-1}||$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ||a^{-1}h||^n ||a^{-1}||$$

$$= \frac{||a^{-1}h|| ||a^{-1}||}{1 - ||a^{-1}h||}$$

which, in view of (5) and $||a^{-1}h|| \le ||a^{-1}|| ||h||$, is

$$\leq 2\|a^{-1}\|^2\|h\|.$$

Since the latter term tends to 0 with h, we're done.

However, Peng Peng Yu came up with a cleaner argument that does not require A to be a Banach algebra. Here it is enough that A be a normed algebra (and therefore with a submultiplicative norm).

Fix $a \in A$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Let $\delta = \frac{1}{2} \min(\epsilon ||a^{-1}||^{-2}, ||a^{-1}||^{-1})$. We just need to verify that if $b \in B_{\delta}(a) \cap G(A)$, then $||a^{-1} - b^{-1}|| < \epsilon$. However, we first notice that by the "reverse triangle inequality",

$$||b^{-1}|| - ||a^{-1}|| \le ||b^{-1} - a^{-1}||$$

$$= ||b^{-1}(a - b)a^{-1}||$$

$$\le ||b^{-1}|| ||a - b|| ||a^{-1}||$$

which, since $||a - b|| < \frac{1}{2} ||a^{-1}||^{-1}$, is

$$<\frac{1}{2}||b^{-1}||.$$

In particular, this implies that

$$||b^{-1}|| < 2||a^{-1}|| \quad \text{if } b \in B_{\delta}(a) \cap G(A).$$
 (2)

But then if $b \in B_{\delta}(a) \cap G(A)$, we have (2) and $||a-b|| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} ||a^{-1}||^{-2}$. Therefore

$$||b^{-1} - a^{-1}|| = ||b^{-1}(a - b)a^{-1}||$$

$$\leq ||b^{-1}|| ||a - b|| ||a^{-1}||$$

$$\leq \epsilon.$$

This is what we wanted to show.

Part II:

- 5. Suppose that X is a compact Hausdorff space. If E is a closed subset of X, define I(E) to be the ideal in C(X) of functions which vanish on E.
 - (a) Let J be a closed ideal in C(X) and let $E = \{x \in X : f(x) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in J\}$. Prove that if U is an open neighborhood of E in X, then there is a $f \in J$ such that f(x) = 1 for all x in the compact set $X \setminus U$.
 - (b) Conclude that J = I(E) in part (a), and hence, conclude that every closed ideal in C(X) has the form I(E) for some closed subset E of X.

ANS: Fix $x_0 \in X \setminus U$. By definition of E, there is a $f_{x_0} \in J$ with $f_{x_0}(x_0) \neq 0$. Since $|f|^2 = \bar{f}f \in J$ if $f \in J$, we may as well assume that $f_{x_0}(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in X$, and since J is a subalgebra, we may also assume that $f_{x_0}(x_0) > 1$. Since $X \setminus U$ is compact, there are $x_1, \ldots x_n \in X$ so that $f = \sum_k f_{x_k}$ satisfies $f \in J$ and f(x) > 1 for all $x \in X \setminus U$. Observe that $g = \min(1, 1/f)$ is in $C(X)^1$. Since $f \in J$, we are done with part (a).

Notice that we have proved a bit more than required in part (a): namely there is a $f \in J$ such that $0 \le f(x) \le 1$ for all $x \in X$ and f(x) = 1 for all $x \notin U$. Thus if h is any function in I(E) and $\epsilon > 0$, then $U = \{x \in X : |h(x)| > \epsilon\}$ is a neighborhood of E in X. Then we can choose $f \in J$ as above and $||fh - h||_{\infty} < \epsilon$. Thus $h \in \overline{J} = J$. This suffices as we have $J \subseteq I(E)$ by definition. (Notice that if $E = \emptyset$, then we can take $U = \emptyset$ in the above and then J = C(X).)

Remark: Notice that we have established a 1-1 correspondence between the closed subsets E of X and the closed ideals J of C(X): it follows immediately from Urysohn's Lemma² that if E is closed and $x \notin E$, then there is a $f \in I(E)$ with $f(x) \neq 0$. Thus $I(E) \neq I(F)$ if E and F are distinct closed sets.

- 6. Suppose that X is a (non-compact) locally compact Hausdorff space. Let X^+ be the one-point compactification of X (also called the Alexandroff compactification: see [Kelly; Theorem 5.21] or [Folland, Proposition 4.36]). Recall that $X^+ = X \cup \{\infty\}$ with $U \subseteq X^+$ open if and only if either U is an open subset of X or $X^+ \setminus U$ is a compact subset of X.
 - (a) Show that $f \in C(X)$ belongs to $C_0(X)$ if and only if the extension

$$\tilde{f}(\tilde{x}) = \begin{cases} f(\tilde{x}) & \text{if } \tilde{x} \in X, \text{ and} \\ 0 & \text{if } \tilde{x} = \infty. \end{cases}$$

is continuous on X^+ .

(b) Conclude that $C_0(X)$ can be identified with the maximal ideal of $C(X^+)$ consisting of functions which 'vanish at ∞ .'

ANS: Suppose \tilde{f} is continuous at $x = \infty$, and that $\epsilon > 0$. Then $U = \{\tilde{x} \in X^+ : |\tilde{f}(\tilde{x})| < \epsilon\}$ is an open neighborhood of ∞ in X^+ . But then $X \setminus U$ is compact; but that means $\{x \in X : |f(x)| \ge \epsilon\}$ is compact. That is, $f \in C_0(X)$ as required.

For the converse, suppose that $f \in C_0(X)$, and that V is open in \mathbb{C} . If $0 \notin V$, then $\tilde{f}^{-1}(V) = f^{-1}(V)$ is open in X, and therefore, open in X^+ . On the other hand, if $0 \in V$, then there is a $\epsilon > 0$ so that $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < \epsilon\} \subseteq V$. Thus, $X^+ \setminus \tilde{f}^{-1}(V) = \{x \in X : f(x) \notin V\} \cap \{x \in X : |f(x)| \ge \epsilon\}$. Since the first set is closed and the second compact, $X^+ \setminus \tilde{f}^{-1}(V)$ is a compact subset of X, and $\tilde{f}^{-1}(V)$ is a open neighborhood of ∞ in X^+ . This proves part (a).

¹If $a, b \in C(X)$, then so are $\min(a, b) = (a + b)/2 - |a - b|/2$ and $\max(a, b) = (a + b)/2 + |a - b|/2$. In the above, we can replace f by $\max(f, 1/2)$ without altering g.

 $^{^2}$ For a reference, see Pedersen's *Analysis Now*: Theorems 1.5.6 and 1.6.6 or, more generally, Proposition 1.7.5.

Part (b) is immediate: each $f \in C_0(X)$ has a (unique) extension to a function in $C(X^+)$ and this identifies $C_0(X)$ with the ideal $I(\{\infty\})$ in $C(X^+)$. In view of question 5 above, $I(\{\infty\})$ is maximal among closed ideals in $C(X^+)$, and, as maximal ideals are automatically closed, maximal among all proper ideals.

7. Use the above to establish the following ideal theorem for $C_0(X)$.

Theorem: Suppose that X is a locally compact Hausdorff space. Then every closed ideal J in $C_0(X)$ is of the form

$$J = \{ f \in C_0(X) : f(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in E \}$$

for some closed subset E of X.

ANS: Suppose that J is a closed ideal in $C_0(X)$. Then J is, in view of question 6(b) above, a closed subalgebra of $C(X^+)$. I claim the result will follow once it is observed that J is actually an ideal in $C(X^+)$. In that case, $J = I(E \cup \{\infty\})$, where $E \subseteq X$ is such that $E \cup \{\infty\}$ is closed in X^+ . Thus $X^+ \setminus (E \cup \{\infty\}) = X \setminus E$ is open in X, and E is closed in X.

The easy way to verify the claim, is to observe that, in view of the fact that $C_0(X)$ is a maximal ideal in $C(X^+)$, $C(X^+) = \{ f + \lambda : f \in C_0(X) \text{ and } \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \}$. (Here $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is identified with the constant function on X^+ .) Then, since J is an algebra, $f(g + \lambda) = fg + \lambda f$ belongs to J whenever f does.

Part III:

8. Assume you remember enough measure theory to show that if $f, g \in L^1([0,1])$, then

$$f * g(t) = \int_0^t f(t-s)g(s) ds$$
(3)

exists for almost all $t \in [0,1]$, and defines an element of $L^1([0,1])$. Let A be the algebra consisting of the Banach space $L^1([0,1])$ with multiplication defined by (3).

- (a) Conclude that A is a commutative Banach algebra: that is, show that f * g = g * f, and that $||f * g||_1 \le ||f||_1 ||g||_1$.
- (b) Let f_0 be the constant function $f_0(t) = 1$ for all $t \in [0,1]$. Show that

$$f_0^n(t) := f_0 * \dots * f_0(t) = t^{n-1}/(n-1)!,$$
 (4)

and hence,

$$||f_0^n||_1 = \frac{1}{n!}. (5)$$

- (c) Show that (4) implies that f_0 generates A as a Banach algebra: that is, alg(f) is norm dense. Conclude from (5) that the spectral radius $\rho(f)$ is zero for all $f \in A$.
- (d) Conclude that A has no nonzero complex homomorphisms.

ANS: First compute that³

$$||f * g||_1 = \int_0^1 |f * g(t)| dt$$

$$\leq \int_0^1 \int_0^t |f(t - s)g(s)| ds dt$$

which, using Tonelli's Theorem, is

$$= \int_0^1 |g(s)| \left(\int_s^1 |f(t-s)| \, dt \right) ds$$

$$= \int_0^1 |g(s)| \left(\int_0^{1-s} |f(u)| \, du \right) ds$$

$$\leq ||f||_1 ||g||_1.$$

To show that f * g = g * f it suffices, in view of the above, to consider continuous functions. Thus, the usual calculus techniques apply. In particular,

$$f * g(t) = \int_0^t f(t - s)g(s) ds$$

= $-\int_t^0 f(u)g(t - u) du = g * f(t).$

This proves (a). However, (b) is a simple induction argument.

Now for (c): the calculation (4) shows that $alg(f_0)$ contains all polynomials. Since the polynomials are uniformly dense in C[0,1], and the later is dense in L^1 , we can conclude that $alg(f_0)$ is norm dense.

Next, observe that (5) not only implies that $\rho(f_0) = 0$, but that $\rho(f_0^k) = 0$ as well for any positive integer k. However, it is not immediately clear that every element of $\operatorname{alg}(f_0)$ has spectral radius zero. However, there is an easy way to see this. Let \widetilde{A} be the unitalization of A (i.e., $\widetilde{A} := A \oplus \mathbb{C}$), and recall that $a \in A$ has spectral radius zero (a is called a called

$$F(s,t) = \begin{cases} |f(t-s)g(s)| & \text{if } 0 \le s \le t \le 1, \text{ and} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

 $^{^3}$ For a reference for Tonelli's Theorem (the 'uselful' version of Fubini's Theorem), see [Analysis Now, Corollary 6.6.8], or much better, see Royden's Real Analysis. On the other hand, if you are worried about the calculus style manipulation of limits, consider the integrand

 $\tilde{h} \in \widetilde{\Delta} = \Delta(\widetilde{A})$. Since each \tilde{h} is a continuous algebra homomorphism, $\ker(\tilde{h})$ is a closed ideal in \widetilde{A} , and it follows that the collection of quasi-nilpotent elements is actually a *closed ideal* of A given by

$$rad(A) = \bigcap_{\tilde{h} \in \widetilde{\Delta}} \ker(\tilde{h}).$$

Since each f_0^k is in rad(A), so is the *closed* algebra (in fact, the closed ideal) generated by f_0 . Thus, rad(A) = A in this case, which is what was to be shown.

Of course, (d) is an immediate consequence of (c): if $\rho \in \Delta(A)$, then by defintion there is a $f \in A$ such that $\rho(f) \neq 0$. But then $\rho(f) \geq |h(f)| > 0$, which contradicts the fact that $\operatorname{rad}(A) = A$.

- 9. Here we want to give an example of a unital commutative Banach algebra A where the Gelfand transform induces and injective isometric map of A onto a proper subalgebra of $C(\Delta)$. For A, we want to take the disk algebra. There are a couple of ways that the disk algebra arises in the standard texts, but the most convenient for us is to proceed as follows. Let $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ be the open unit disk. We'll naturally write \overline{D} for its closure $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$, and \mathbb{T} for its boundary. Then A will be the subalgebra of $C(\overline{D})$ consisting of functions which are holomorphic on D. Using Morera's Theorem, it is not hard to see that A is closed in $C(\overline{D})$, and therefore a unital commutative Banach algebra. Notice that for each $z \in \overline{D}$, we obtain $\varphi_z \in \Delta$ by $\varphi_z(f) := f(z)$. We'll get the example we want by showing that $z \mapsto \varphi_z$ is a homeomorphism Ψ of \overline{D} onto Δ . For convenience, let $p_n \in A$ be given by $p_n(z) = z^n$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, and let \mathcal{P} be the subalgebra of polynomials spanned by the p_n .
 - (a) First observe that Ψ is injective. (Consider p_1 .)
 - (b) If $f \in A$ and 0 < r < 1, then let $f_r(z) := f(rz)$. Show that $f_r \to f$ in A as $r \to 1$.
 - (c) Conclude that \mathcal{P} is dense in A. (Hint: show that $f_r \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}$ for all 0 < r < 1.)
 - (d) Now show that Ψ is surjective. (Hint: suppose that $h \in \Delta$. Then show that $h = \varphi_z$ where $z = h(p_1)$.)
 - (e) Show that Ψ is a homeomorphism. (Hint: Ψ is clearly continuous and both \overline{D} and Δ are compact and Hausdorff.)
 - (f) Observe that if we use the above to identify Δ and \overline{D} , then the Gelfand transform is the identify on A, and A is a proper subalgebra of $C(\overline{D})$.

⁴This result is of interest in its own right. Note that A is always a maximal ideal in \widetilde{A} , and so rad(A) is always contained in A itself.

⁵The maximum modulus principal implies that the map sending $f \in C(\overline{D})$ to its restriction to \mathbb{T} is an isometric isomorphism of A onto a closed subalgebra A(D) in $C(\mathbb{T})$. Of course, our analysis applies equally well to A(D).

10. In this problem, we want to prove an old result to due Wiener about functions with absolutely converent Fourier series using the machinery of Gelfand theory. Recall that if $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{T})$, then the Fourier coefficients of φ are given by⁶

$$\check{\varphi}(n) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \varphi(e^{it}) e^{-int} dt.$$

In some cases — for example if φ has two continuous derivatives — the Fourier coefficients are absolutely convergent in the sense that $n \mapsto \check{\varphi}(n)$ defines an element of $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$.

We aim to prove the following:

Theorem: (Wiener) Suppose the $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{T})$ never vanishes and has an absolutely convergent Fourier series. Then $\psi := 1/\varphi$ also has an absolutely convergent Fourier series.

I suggest the following strategy.

(a) If f and g are in $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$, then their convolution, f * g is defined by

$$f * g(n) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} f(m)g(n-m).$$

Show that $f * g \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ (so that in particular, f * g(n) is defined for all n), and that convolution makes $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ into a unital, commutative Banach algebra. (Here, $1_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})} = \mathbb{1}_{\{0\}}.^8$)

(b) Let $\Delta = \Delta(\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}))$ be the maximal ideal space of $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ equipped with its compact, Hausdorff Gelfand topology. If $z \in \mathbb{T}$, then define $h_z : A \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$h_z(f) = \sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} f(n)z^n.$$

Show that $h_z \in \Delta$.

(c) Let $w = \mathbb{1}_1 \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$. If $h \in \Delta$, then show that $h = h_z$ where z = h(w). (Hint: If $f \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$, then $f = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(n)w^n$ in norm in $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$, where for example, $w^2 = w * w = \mathbb{1}_2$ and $w^{-1} = \mathbb{1}_{-1}$.)

⁶I've used $\check{\varphi}$ in place of the traditional $\hat{\varphi}$ to distinguish it from the (other) Gelfand transform to be used in the problem.

⁷Recall that $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}) = L^1(\mathbb{Z}, \nu)$, where ν is counting measure, is the set of functions $f: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $\lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{n=-N}^{n=N} |f(n)| < \infty$.

⁸If S is a subset of X, I use $\mathbb{1}_S$ for the characteristic function of S, which takes the value 1 on S, and 0 otherwise.

- (d) Show that $z \mapsto h_z$ is a homeomorphism Φ of \mathbb{T} onto Δ . (Hint: Since both \mathbb{T} and Δ are compact Hausdorff sets, it suffices to see that Φ is a continuous bijection. To show that Φ is continuous, observe that functions of the form $\sum_{n=-N}^{n=N} f(n)w^n$ are dense in $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$.)
- (e) Since we can identify \mathbb{T} with Δ , if $f \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$, we will view the Gelfand transform of f as a continuous function on \mathbb{T} . (So that we write $\hat{f}(z)$ in place of $\hat{f}(h_z)$.) Show that if $\varphi = \hat{f}$ for some $f \in A$, then $\check{\varphi} = f$.
- (f) Conclude that the image \mathfrak{A} of $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ in $C(\mathbb{T})$ under the Gelfand transform is exactly the set of φ in $C(\mathbb{T})$ whose Fourier coefficients are absolutely convergent. (That is, \mathfrak{A} is the collection of $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{T})$ such that $n \mapsto \check{\varphi}(n)$ is in $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$.)
- (g) Now prove Wiener's Theorem as stated above. (Hint: More or less by assumption, $\varphi = \hat{f}$ for some f in $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$. Show that f must be invertible in $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ and consider the Gelfand transform of the inverse of f.)

ANS: By Theorem J from lecture, $\sigma(f) = \{ \varphi(z) : z \in \mathbb{T} \}$. Since φ never vanishes, $0 \notin \sigma(f)$ and f is invertible. Let $g = f^{-1}$, and consider \hat{g} . Since $g * f = 1_A$, we have $\hat{g}\hat{f} = \hat{g}\varphi = 1_{C(\mathbb{T})}$. It follows that $\hat{g} = \psi$, and hence ψ has an absolutely convergent Fourier series — namely g.