davidad

Logic

x 60 1 1

Boolean algebra

Deabability notat

Justifications

Betting strategy

Objective frequ

Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes?

C-----

Expectation

Definition

Properties

Invariance

Puzzles

Reasoning under uncertainty

David "davidad" Dalrymple davidad@alum.mit.edu

August 20, 2017 http://espr.cf

davidad

ши

Logic

Boolean algebra

Justifications

Rotting strategy

Subjective belie

Objective freque

Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes?

Cavear

Expectation

Definition

Troperties

Invariance

Puzzles

Reasoning under uncertainty (Foundations of probability theory)

David "davidad" Dalrymple davidad@alum.mit.edu

August 20, 2017 http://espr.cf

Reasoning without uncertainty

Intro

Logic

msumerent da

Boolean algebra

Deobabilies no

Justification

Betting strategy

Objective frequer

Many worlds

Rayres

Darimetian

WHy use Bayes?

. .

Expectation

Definition

Properties

Invariance

davidad

micro

Logic

Insufficient data

Deobability note

Justifications

Betting strategy

Objective benef

Objective frequent Many worlds

Bayes

. . .

WHy use Bayes?

. .

Expectation

Definition

Invariance

Puzzles

• All synapses are either electrical or chemical

Logic

Many worlds

Bayes

WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

Invariance

- All synapses are either electrical or chemical
- 2 All chemical synapses are intercellular

Insufficient data Boolean algebra Probability notat

Justifications

Borring greateau

Objective frequen

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes? Caveat

Expectation

Definition

Invariance

- All synapses are either electrical or chemical
- 2 All chemical synapses are intercellular
- 3 If a synapse is not intercellular, it is not an electrical synapse

Insufficient data
Boolean algebra
Probability notati

Justifications

Subjective belief Objective frequen

Bayes

Derivation WHy use Bayes?

WHy use Bayes Caveat

Expectation

Definition

Invariance

- All synapses are either electrical or chemical
- 2 All chemical synapses are intercellular
- 3 If a synapse is not intercellular, it is not an electrical synapse
- 4 Are all synapses intercellular?

Logic
Insufficient data
Boolean algebra
Probability notati

Justifications

Subjective belief Objective frequen

Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation WHy use Bayes?

Caveat

Expectation

Definition

Invariance

- All synapses are either electrical or chemical
- All chemical synapses are intercellular
- 3 If a synapse is not intercellular, it is not an electrical synapse
- 4 Are all synapses intercellular (yes or no)?

Reasoning without uncertainty

Intro

Logic

msumerent da

Boolean algebra

Deobabilies no

Justification

Betting strategy

Objective frequer

Many worlds

Rayres

Darimetian

WHy use Bayes?

. .

Expectation

Definition

Properties

Invariance

Logic

Boolean algebra

Probability nota

Justifications

Justinication

Subjective belief

Objective frequen Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes?

Caveat

Expectation

Definition

Properties

Invariance

Puzzles

• 3 boxes are labeled "Red balls," "Green balls," and "Red and green balls."

Logic

Boolean algebra

Instification

Justification

Subjective belief Objective frequen

Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes? Caveat

Expectation

Expectation

Definition

Invariance

- 3 boxes are labeled "Red balls," "Green balls," and "Red and green balls."
- All three labels are incorrect;

Logic Insufficient dat

Boolean algebra Probability notat

Justifications

Subjective belief
Objective frequen
Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes? Caveat

Expectation

D. C. . .

. .

Invariance

- 3 boxes are labeled "Red balls," "Green balls," and "Red and green balls."
- 2 All three labels are incorrect; each belongs on a different box.

davidad

Intro Logic

Insufficient data
Boolean algebra
Probability potes

Justifications

Subjective belief
Objective frequence

Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation
WHy use Bayes?
Cavear

Caveat Expectation

Definition

Properties

Invariance

- 3 boxes are labeled "Red balls," "Green balls," and "Red and green balls."
- 2 All three labels are incorrect; each belongs on a different box.
- **3** You may ask me to take one ball from one box.

Insufficient data
Boolean algebra
Probability notati

Justifications

Subjective belief
Objective frequence
Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation WHy use Bayes? Caveat

Expectation Definition

Properties Properties

Invariance

- 3 boxes are labeled "Red balls," "Green balls," and "Red and green balls."
- 2 All three labels are incorrect; each belongs on a different box.
- You may ask me to take one ball from one box.
- How can you label the boxes correctly?

davidao

Intro

Logic

msumeient d

Boolean algebra

Probability ne

Justificati

Betting strategy

Subjective bel-

Objective frequency

Many worlds

Bave

Dorivation

WHy use Bayes?

...,,

Expectation

Definition

davidad

Logic

Justifications

Many worlds

Bayes

WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

Invariance

Puzzles

• I have a full deck of 52 playing cards, and take the top card.

Logic

Boolean algebra

Boolean algebra

obability not

Justincatio

Betting strategy

Objective frequer

Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

Definition

Properties

Invariance Puzzles • I have a full deck of 52 playing cards, and take the top card.

2 Is it a queen?

davidad

Logic

Insufficient data

Insumcient

Justifications

D

0.12 2 1.1

Objective freque

Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes?

Caveat

Expectation

Definition

Properties

Invariance

Puzzles



http://xkcd.com/1448; reference is to "The Last Question" by Isaac Asimov

Logic

Insufficient data

Boolean algebra

robability nota

Justifications

Dark . . .

Objective benef

Many worlds

Bayes

Dorivation

WHy use Bayes?

Caveat

Expectation

Definition

Definition

Invariance

Puzzles

- Betting strategy
- 2 Subjective belief

- **3** Objective frequency
- 4 Many (deterministic) worlds

What's the next best thing?

davidad

Intro Logic

Insufficient data

Probability nota

Justifications

Betting strategy

Subjective belief Objective frequen

Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

Definition

Invariance

Puzzles

- Betting strategy
 - You're required to set prices for bets. How can you not let an equally-informed opponent guarantee a profit off you?
- 2 Subjective belief
- 3 Objective frequency
- 4 Many (deterministic) worlds

Insufficient data

Boolean algebra

Probability notat

Justifications

Justification

Subjective belief Objective frequen

Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

Definition

Invariance

Puzzles

- Betting strategy
 - You're required to set prices for bets. How can you not let an equally-informed opponent guarantee a profit off you?
- 2 Subjective belief
 - Assign quantitative "degrees of belief" instead of truth values, as an extension of logic.
- 3 Objective frequency
- 4 Many (deterministic) worlds

Subjective belief
Objective frequen

Bayes

WHy use Bayes? Caveat

Expectation
Definition

Invariance

Puzzles

- Betting strategy
 - You're required to set prices for bets. How can you not let an equally-informed opponent guarantee a profit off you?
- 2 Subjective belief
 - Assign quantitative "degrees of belief" instead of truth values, as an extension of logic.
- Objective frequency
 - Consider hypothetically repeating a random experiment (infinitely) many times, and counting the number of outcomes where a proposition is true.
- 4 Many (deterministic) worlds

- Betting strategy
 - You're required to set prices for bets. How can you not let an equally-informed opponent guarantee a profit off you?
- 2 Subjective belief
 - Assign quantitative "degrees of belief" instead of truth values, as an extension of logic.
- 3 Objective frequency
 - Consider hypothetically repeating a random experiment (infinitely) many times, and counting the number of outcomes where a proposition is true.
- 4 Many (deterministic) worlds
 - Any given world would have enough information for deduction, but we don't know which world we're in. Count the number of worlds where a proposition is true.

Insufficient data

Justifications

Baves

WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

Invariance

Puzzles

- Betting strategy
 - You're required to set prices for bets. How can you not let an equally-informed opponent guarantee a profit off you?
- 2 Subjective belief
 - Assign quantitative "degrees of belief" instead of truth values, as an extension of logic.
- Objective frequency
 - Consider hypothetically repeating a random experiment (infinitely) many times, and counting the number of outcomes where a proposition is true.
- 4 Many (deterministic) worlds
 - Any given world would have enough information for deduction, but we don't know which world we're in. Count the number of worlds where a proposition is true.

Insufficient data

Boolean algebra

Probability notes

Justifications

Betting strategy

Subjective belief Objective frequen

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes? Caveat

Expectation Definition

Invariance

Puzzles

Different framings of this question:

- Betting strategy
 - You're required to set prices for bets. How can you not let an equally-informed opponent guarantee a profit off you?
- 2 Subjective belief
 - Assign quantitative "degrees of belief" instead of truth values, as an extension of logic.
- Objective frequency
 - Consider hypothetically repeating a random experiment (infinitely) many times, and counting the number of outcomes where a proposition is true.
- 4 Many (deterministic) worlds
 - Any given world would have enough information for deduction, but we don't know which world we're in. Count the number of worlds where a proposition is true.

Big takeaway: these framings all justify equivalent formal theories, i.e. probability!

Insufficient data

Boolean algebra

Probability nota

Justifications

Subjective belief
Objective frequence

Bayes

Derivation WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

Definition

Invariance

Puzzles

Different framings of this question:

- Betting strategy
 - You're required to set prices for bets. How can you not let an equally-informed opponent guarantee a profit off you?
- 2 Subjective belief
 - Assign quantitative "degrees of belief" instead of truth values, as an extension of logic.
- Objective frequency
 - Consider hypothetically repeating a random experiment (infinitely) many times, and counting the number of outcomes where a proposition is true.
- Many (deterministic) worlds
 - Any given world would have enough information for deduction, but we don't know which world we're in. Count the number of worlds where a proposition is true.

Big takeaway: these framings all justify equivalent formal theories, i.e. probability! Note: there are other framings that justify different theories, like Dempster-Shafer theory, but this concordance is still surprising.

Boolean algebra: operators and notation

davidad

Logic

Insufficient data

Boolean algebra

Probability not

Instificatio

Betting strategy

0.11 ... 1.11

Objective frequen

Many worlds

Baye

Derivatio

WHy use Bayes?

E----

Definition

Properties

Invarianc

| | Sets | | Algebra | | Logic |
|--------------------|--------------|--------|----------------|--------|-------------|
| \cap | intersection | | multiplication | ٨ | conjunction |
| U | union | + | addition | ٧ | disjunction |
| $\Omega \setminus$ | complement | \neg | negation | \neg | negation |

⊤ means true; ⊥ means false

$$A \lor \bot = A$$

$$A \setminus A = D \setminus A$$

$$A \lor B = B \lor A$$

$$A \lor (B \land C) = (A \lor B) \land (A \lor C) \quad A \land (B \lor C) = (A \land B) \lor (A \land C)$$

$$A \vee \neg A = \top$$

$$A \wedge T = A$$

$$A \wedge B = B \wedge A$$

$$A \land (B \lor C) = (A \land B) \lor (A \land C)$$

$$A \land \neg A = \bot$$

Intr

Logic Insufficient da

Probability notation

Justifications

Justinication

Objective frequent

Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

2.npectuaro

Definition

Invariance

Puzzles

• $\mathbb{P}(A|B)$ is the "conditional probability" of a proposition (or "event") A given certain knowledge of nother proposition B.

Insufficient da

Probability nota

Justifications

Justinication

Subjective belief
Objective frequen

Objective frequen Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation WHy use Bayes? Caveat

Expectation

Definition

Invariance

- $\mathbb{P}(A|B)$ is the "conditional probability" of a proposition (or "event") A given certain knowledge of nother proposition B.
- $\mathbb{P}(A)$ is the "unconditional probability" of a proposition, often interpreted as $\mathbb{P}(A|\Omega)$, where Ω is interpreted as a set of assumptions considered to be unconditional.

Justifications

Subjective belief
Objective frequence
Many worlds

Bayes Derivation

WHy use Bayes? Caveat

Expectation

Definition

Invarianc

- $\mathbb{P}(A|B)$ is the "conditional probability" of a proposition (or "event") A given certain knowledge of nother proposition B.
- $\mathbb{P}(A)$ is the "unconditional probability" of a proposition, often interpreted as $\mathbb{P}(A|\Omega)$, where Ω is interpreted as a set of assumptions considered to be unconditional.
- Comma is sometimes used for \land , e.g. $\mathbb{P}(A, B|\Omega) := \mathbb{P}(A \land B|\Omega)$.

Probability no

Logic

Betting strategy

Objective freque

Many worlds

Bayes

Dorination

WHy use Bayes?

Caveat

Expectation

D C ...

Definition

Invariance

Puzzles

In each framing, I'll state the specific laws of probability, then go over the argument.

Logic

Justifications

Betting strategy

Many worlds

Bayes

WHy use Bayes?

Invariance

• (Nonnegativity) $\mathbb{P}(A|\Omega) \geq 0$

Logic

Justifications

Betting strategy

Many worlds

Bayes

WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

Invariance

Puzzles

• (Nonnegativity) $\mathbb{P}(A|\Omega) \geq 0$

• (Tautology) $\mathbb{P}(A|\Omega) = 1$ if A is a logical truth given Ω

Insufficient data Boolean algebra

Justifications Betting strategy

Subjective belief Objective frequen

Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation WHy use Bayes? Caveat

Expectation

Definition

Invariance

- (Nonnegativity) $\mathbb{P}(A|\Omega) \geq 0$
- **2** (Tautology) $\mathbb{P}(A|\Omega) = 1$ if A is a logical truth given Ω
- **3** (Countable additivity) For arbitrary disjoint A_i , $\mathbb{P}\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty}A_i\Big|\Omega\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\mathbb{P}(A_i|\Omega)$

Insufficient data Boolean algebra

Justifications

Betting strategy
Subjective belief
Objective frequen

Objective frequent Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation WHy use Bayes? Caveat

Caveat Expectation

Definition

Properties

Invarianc

- (Nonnegativity) $\mathbb{P}(A|\Omega) \geq 0$
- (Tautology) $\mathbb{P}(A|\Omega) = 1$ if A is a logical truth given Ω
- **3** (Countable additivity) For arbitrary disjoint A_i , $\mathbb{P}\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty}A_i\middle|\Omega\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\mathbb{P}(A_i\middle|\Omega)$
- (Conditional) $\mathbb{P}(A|B,\Omega) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(A \land B|\Omega)}{\mathbb{P}(B|\Omega)}$

Logic Insufficient data

Intro

Boolean algebra
Probability notation

Justifications

Betting strategy Subjective belief

Objective freque

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes? Caveat

Expectation

Definition

Invariance

Puzzles

A **bet** on A with probability p is this contract: buyer pays p up front to seller; if p is observed to be true, seller pays p to buyer (else, nothing)

Logic
Insufficient data
Boolean algebra

Intro

Insufficient data
Boolean algebra
Probability notati

Justifications
Betting strategy

Subjective belief Objective freque

Objective freque Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

Definition

Invariance

Puzzles

A bet on A with probability p is this contract: buyer pays \$p\$ up front to seller; if A is observed to be true, seller pays \$1 to buyer (else, nothing)

The rules of the game:

Logic Insufficient data Boolean algebra Probability notatio

Intro

Justifications
Betting strategy

Subjective belief Objective freque

Many worlds
Baves

Derivation
WHy use Bayes?
Caveat

Expectation

Troperaes

Puzzles

A **bet** on A with probability p is this contract: buyer pays p up front to seller; if p is observed to be true, seller pays p to buyer (else, nothing)

The rules of the game:

• Agent must state p(A) for all A, such that Agent would buy or sell arbitrarily (possibly fractionally) many bets on A with probability p(A)

Betting strategy: premises

davidad

Intro
Logic
Insufficient data
Boolean algebra
Probability notatio

Justifications
Betting strategy

Subjective belief
Objective frequent

Bayes
Derivation
WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

Definition

Invariance Puzzles A **bet** on *A* with probability *p* is this contract: buyer pays \$*p* up front to seller; if *A* is observed to be true, seller pays \$1 to buyer (else, nothing)

The rules of the game:

- Agent must state p(A) for all A, such that Agent would buy or sell arbitrarily (possibly fractionally) many bets on A with probability p(A)
- 2 Bookie may then buy or sell Agent's bets on any As

Betting strategy: premises

davidad

Intro
Logic
Insufficient data
Boolean algebra
Probability notatio

Justifications
Betting strategy

Subjective belief
Objective frequent

Bayes
Derivation
WHy use Bayes

WHy use Bayes? Caveat

Expectation

Definition

Invarianc Puzzles A **bet** on *A* with probability *p* is this contract: buyer pays \$*p* up front to seller; if *A* is observed to be true, seller pays \$1 to buyer (else, nothing)

The rules of the game:

- Agent must state p(A) for all A, such that Agent would buy or sell arbitrarily (possibly fractionally) many bets on A with probability p(A)
- 2 Bookie may then buy or sell Agent's bets on any As
- 3 Finally, an observation is made and the bets are settled

Betting strategy: premises

davidad

Intro
Logic
Insufficient data
Boolean algebra
Probability notati

Justifications
Betting strategy

Subjective belief
Objective frequer
Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes

WHy use Bayes? Caveat

Expectation

Definition

Properties

Invariance Puzzles A **bet** on A with probability p is this contract: buyer pays p up front to seller; if p is observed to be true, seller pays p to buyer (else, nothing)

The rules of the game:

- Agent must state p(A) for all A, such that Agent would buy or sell arbitrarily (possibly fractionally) many bets on A with probability p(A)
- 2 Bookie may then buy or sell Agent's bets on any As
- 3 Finally, an observation is made and the bets are settled

The goal as Agent is to set your probabilities in such a way that Bookie cannot *guarantee* that they will make a profit from you.

davidad

Intro Logic Insufficient data

Insufficient data Boolean algebra Probability notation

Justifications
Betting strategy

Subjective belief Objective frequen

Many worl

Bayes

WHy use Bayes? Caveat

Expectation

Definition

Invarianc

Puzzles

For reference:

A **bet** on *A* with probability *p* is this contract: buyer pays \$*p* up front to seller; if *A* is observed to be true, seller pays \$1 to buyer (else, nothing)

Suppose that Agent's $\mathbb{P}(A|\Omega) \ngeq 0$. How can you guarantee a profit as Bookie?

Intro

Justifications

Betting strategy

Baves

WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

Invariance **Puzzles**

For reference:

A **bet** on A with probability p is this contract: buyer pays p up front to seller; if A is observed to be true, seller pays \$1 to buyer (else, nothing)

Suppose that Agent's $\mathbb{P}(A|\Omega) \not\geq 0$. How can you guarantee a profit as Bookie?

• Bookie buys Agent's bet for $\mathbb{P}(A|\Omega)$ dollars, a strictly negative amount,

davidad

Logic Insufficient data Boolean algebra Probability notation

Justifications

Subjective belief
Objective frequer

Bayes
Derivation
WHy use Bayes

WHy use Bayes? Caveat

Expectation

Definition

Properties

Invariance Puzzles

For reference:

A **bet** on *A* with probability *p* is this contract: buyer pays \$*p* up front to seller; if *A* is observed to be true, seller pays \$1 to buyer (else, nothing)

Suppose that Agent's $\mathbb{P}(A|\Omega) \not\geq 0$. How can you guarantee a profit as Bookie?

• Bookie buys Agent's bet for $\mathbb{P}(A|\Omega)$ dollars, a strictly negative amount, which means effectively Agent must pay Bookie a strictly positive amount.

davidad

Logic Insufficient data

Insufficient data
Boolean algebra
Probability notation

Justifications

Subjective belief Objective frequer

Bayes

Derivation
WHy use Bayes?
Caveat

Expectation Definition

Invariance

Puzzles

For reference:

A **bet** on *A* with probability *p* is this contract: buyer pays \$*p* up front to seller; if *A* is observed to be true, seller pays \$1 to buyer (else, nothing)

Suppose that Agent's $\mathbb{P}(A|\Omega) \not\geq 0$. How can you guarantee a profit as Bookie?

- Bookie buys Agent's bet for $\mathbb{P}(A|\Omega)$ dollars, a strictly negative amount, which means effectively Agent must pay Bookie a strictly positive amount.
 - If *A* turns out to be true, Agent must pay Bookie an additional dollar, but in either case Bookie has made a profit.

davidad

Intro Logic Insufficient data

Insufficient data
Boolean algebra
Probability notation

Justifications
Betting strategy

Subjective belief Objective freque

Objective freque Many worlds

Bayes

WHy use Bayes?

Caveat

Expectation

Properties

Invariance

Puzzles

For reference:

A **bet** on *A* with probability *p* is this contract: buyer pays \$*p* up front to seller; if *A* is observed to be true, seller pays \$1 to buyer (else, nothing)

Suppose that Agent's $\mathbb{P}(\text{tautology}|\Omega) > 1$. How can you guarantee a profit as Bookie?

Intro

Logic Insufficient data Boolean algebra Probability notation

Justifications

Subjective belief
Objective frequen

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes? Caveat

Expectation

Definition

Properties

Invariance Puzzles

For reference:

A **bet** on *A* with probability *p* is this contract: buyer pays \$*p* up front to seller; if *A* is observed to be true, seller pays \$1 to buyer (else, nothing)

Suppose that Agent's $\mathbb{P}(\text{tautology}|\Omega) > 1$. How can you guarantee a profit as Bookie?

 Bookie sells this bet to Agent. Agent must pay strictly more than 1 dollar up front. Since it's a tautology, Bookie must return 1 dollar, but still makes a strictly positive profit.

davidad

Intro
Logic
Insufficient data

Insufficient data
Boolean algebra
Probability notatio

Justifications
Betting strategy

Subjective belief Objective freque

Objective freque Many worlds

Bayes

WHy use Bayes? Caveat

Expectation

Definition

Invariance

Puzzles

For reference:

A **bet** on *A* with probability *p* is this contract: buyer pays \$*p* up front to seller; if *A* is observed to be true, seller pays \$1 to buyer (else, nothing)

Suppose that Agent's $\mathbb{P}(\text{tautology}|\Omega) < 1$. How can you guarantee a profit as Bookie?

davidad

Intro
Logic
Insufficient data
Boolean algebra

Justifications

Betting strategy

Objective freque

Baves

Derivation

WHy use Bayes? Caveat

Expectation Definition

Properties

Invariance Puzzles For reference:

A **bet** on *A* with probability *p* is this contract: buyer pays \$*p* up front to seller; if *A* is observed to be true, seller pays \$1 to buyer (else, nothing)

Suppose that Agent's $\mathbb{P}(\text{tautology}|\Omega) < 1$. How can you guarantee a profit as Bookie?

 Bookie buys Agent's bet for less than 1 dollar, and Agent must pay 1 dollar.

davidad

Intro
Logic
Insufficient data
Boolean algebra

Justifications

Betting strategy

Objective freque Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes? Caveat

Expectation

Definition

Invariance

Invariar Puzzles

For reference:

A **bet** on *A* with probability *p* is this contract: buyer pays \$*p* up front to seller; if *A* is observed to be true, seller pays \$1 to buyer (else, nothing)

davidad

Logic Insufficient data Boolean algebra

Justifications

Subjective belief
Objective frequent

Bayes Derivation

WHy use Bayes? Caveat

Expectation
Definition

Invariance Puzzles

For reference:

A **bet** on *A* with probability *p* is this contract: buyer pays \$*p* up front to seller; if *A* is observed to be true, seller pays \$1 to buyer (else, nothing)

Suppose that Agent's $\mathbb{P}(A \vee B|\Omega) > \mathbb{P}(A|\Omega) + \mathbb{P}(B|\Omega)$ for disjoint A and B. How can you guarantee a profit as Bookie?

• Bookie buys Agent's bets for A and B at a cost of $\mathbb{P}(A|\Omega) + \mathbb{P}(B|\Omega)$. Bookie then sells Agent a bet for $A \vee B$ and Agent pays $\mathbb{P}(A \vee B|\Omega)$.

davidad

Intro

Logic
Insufficient data
Boolean algebra

Justifications

Subjective belief
Objective frequer

Bayes

Derivation WHy use Bayes? Caveat

Expectation
Definition
Properties

Invariance Puzzles

For reference:

A **bet** on *A* with probability *p* is this contract: buyer pays \$*p* up front to seller; if *A* is observed to be true, seller pays \$1 to buyer (else, nothing)

- Bookie buys Agent's bets for A and B at a cost of $\mathbb{P}(A|\Omega) + \mathbb{P}(B|\Omega)$. Bookie then sells Agent a bet for $A \vee B$ and Agent pays $\mathbb{P}(A \vee B|\Omega)$.
- Bookie has made a profit, and if A and B both turn out to be false then no further money changes hands, but if either do turn out to be true then Bookie and Agent owe each other a dollar.

davidad

Intro
Logic
Insufficient data
Boolean algebra

Justifications

Subjective belief
Objective frequen

Bayes Derivation

WHy use Bayes? Caveat

Expectation
Definition
Properties

Invariance Puzzles

For reference:

A **bet** on *A* with probability *p* is this contract: buyer pays \$*p* up front to seller; if *A* is observed to be true, seller pays \$1 to buyer (else, nothing)

- Bookie buys Agent's bets for A and B at a cost of $\mathbb{P}(A|\Omega) + \mathbb{P}(B|\Omega)$. Bookie then sells Agent a bet for $A \vee B$ and Agent pays $\mathbb{P}(A \vee B|\Omega)$.
- Bookie has made a profit, and if A and B both turn out to be false then no
 further money changes hands, but if either do turn out to be true then
 Bookie and Agent owe each other a dollar.
 - Why do *A* and *B* have to be disjoint?

davidad

Intro Logic Insufficient data Boolean algebra

Justifications

Subjective belief
Objective frequer

Bayes

Derivation
WHy use Bayes?
Caveat

Expectation Definition

Invariance Puzzles

For reference:

A **bet** on *A* with probability *p* is this contract: buyer pays \$*p* up front to seller; if *A* is observed to be true, seller pays \$1 to buyer (else, nothing)

- Bookie buys Agent's bets for A and B at a cost of $\mathbb{P}(A|\Omega) + \mathbb{P}(B|\Omega)$. Bookie then sells Agent a bet for $A \vee B$ and Agent pays $\mathbb{P}(A \vee B|\Omega)$.
- Bookie has made a profit, and if A and B both turn out to be false then no
 further money changes hands, but if either do turn out to be true then
 Bookie and Agent owe each other a dollar.
 - Why do *A* and *B* have to be disjoint?
- What if Agent's $\mathbb{P}(A \vee B|\Omega) < \mathbb{P}(A|\Omega) + \mathbb{P}(B|\Omega)$?

davidad

Intro
Logic
Insufficient data
Boolean algebra

Justifications

Subjective belief
Objective frequen

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes?

Expectation
Definition

Invariance Puzzles

For reference:

A **bet** on A with probability p is this contract: buyer pays p up front to seller; if p is observed to be true, seller pays p to buyer (else, nothing)

- Bookie buys Agent's bets for A and B at a cost of $\mathbb{P}(A|\Omega) + \mathbb{P}(B|\Omega)$. Bookie then sells Agent a bet for $A \vee B$ and Agent pays $\mathbb{P}(A \vee B|\Omega)$.
- Bookie has made a profit, and if A and B both turn out to be false then no
 further money changes hands, but if either do turn out to be true then
 Bookie and Agent owe each other a dollar.
 - Why do *A* and *B* have to be disjoint?
- What if Agent's $\mathbb{P}(A \vee B|\Omega) < \mathbb{P}(A|\Omega) + \mathbb{P}(B|\Omega)$?
 - Swap buying with selling.

Logic
Insufficient data
Boolean algebra
Probability notati

Intro

Justifications

Subjective belief Objective freque Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation WHy use Bayes? Caveat

Expectation Definition Properties

Invariance Puzzles

For reference:

A **bet** on A with probability p is this contract: buyer pays p up front to seller; if A is observed to be true, seller pays 1 to buyer (else, nothing)

- Bookie buys Agent's bets for A and B at a cost of $\mathbb{P}(A|\Omega) + \mathbb{P}(B|\Omega)$. Bookie then sells Agent a bet for $A \vee B$ and Agent pays $\mathbb{P}(A \vee B|\Omega)$.
- Bookie has made a profit, and if A and B both turn out to be false then no
 further money changes hands, but if either do turn out to be true then
 Bookie and Agent owe each other a dollar.
 - Why do *A* and *B* have to be disjoint?
- What if Agent's $\mathbb{P}(A \vee B|\Omega) < \mathbb{P}(A|\Omega) + \mathbb{P}(B|\Omega)$?
 - Swap buying with selling.
- This can be generalized to arbitrarily many disjoint events.



Insufficient data

Boolean algebra

Probability notation

Justifications

Betting strategy

Objective from

Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

Definition

. .

Invariance Puzzles Suppose that if evidence *B* is observed, Agent will update to a $\mathbb{P}(A|B,\Omega) \neq \frac{\mathbb{P}(A \land B|\Omega)}{\mathbb{P}(B|\Omega)}$. How can you guarantee a profit as Bookie?

Logic
Insufficient data
Boolean algebra
Probability notation

Intro

Justifications

Subjective belief
Objective frequency
Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes?

Caveat

Expectation
Definition
Properties

Invariance Puzzles Suppose that if evidence *B* is observed, Agent will update to a $\mathbb{P}(A|B,\Omega) < \frac{\mathbb{P}(A \wedge B|\Omega)}{\mathbb{P}(B|\Omega)}$. How can you guarantee a profit as Bookie?

- Bet 1. Bookie sells Agent a bet for $A \wedge B$; Agent pays $\mathbb{P}(A \wedge B|\Omega)$.
- Bet 2. Bookie sells Agent $\frac{\mathbb{P}(A \wedge B|\Omega)}{\mathbb{P}(B|\Omega)}$ of a bet for $\neg B$; Agent pays $\mathbb{P}(A \wedge B|\Omega) \frac{\mathbb{P}(\neg B|\Omega)}{\mathbb{P}(B|\Omega)}$
- Bet 3. Bookie sells Agent $\frac{\mathbb{P}(A \wedge B|\Omega)}{\mathbb{P}(B|\Omega)} \mathbb{P}(A|B,\Omega)$ of a bet for B; Agent pays $\mathbb{P}(A \wedge B|\Omega) \mathbb{P}(A|B,\Omega)\mathbb{P}(B|\Omega)$.
- If *B* is false, then all the bets settle. Bookie pays back $\frac{\mathbb{P}(A \wedge B|\Omega)}{\mathbb{P}(B|\Omega)}$ for Bet 2. Due to countable additivity, Bets 1 and 2 exactly cancel out and the price for Bet 3 remains as profit.
- If *B* is true, then Bets 2 and 3 are settled, with Bookie paying back $\frac{\mathbb{P}(A \land B|\Omega)}{\mathbb{P}(B|\Omega)} \mathbb{P}(A|B,\Omega)$ for Bet 3.
- Bet 4. Bookie then buys from Agent a bet for A at Agent's new probability of $\mathbb{P}(A|B,\Omega)$.
- At this point, whether or not *A* turns out to be true, Bookie and Agent owe each other a net 0 dollars, and Bookie has made a net profit.

Intro Logic

Justifications

Betting strategy

Baves

WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

- (Nonnegativity) $\mathbb{P}(A|\Omega) > 0$
- **2** (Tautology) $\mathbb{P}(A|\Omega) = 1$ if A is a logical truth given Ω
- \bullet (Countable additivity) For arbitrary disjoint A_i , $\mathbb{P}\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \middle| \Omega\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_i | \Omega)$
- (Conditional) $\mathbb{P}(A|B,\Omega) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(A \land B|\Omega)}{\mathbb{P}(B|\Omega)}$

Logic

month carrie

Boolean algebra

Justifications

Justinication

Subjective belief

Objective frequen

Many worlds

Bayes

Doministra

WHy use Bayes?

Caveat

Expectation

Definition

Invariance

Puzzles

• (Bounding) $0 = \mathbb{P}(\perp | \Omega) \le \mathbb{P}(A | \Omega) \le \mathbb{P}(\Omega | \Omega) = 1$

Intr

Logic

.

n I I III

Justifications

Justinication

Subjective belief

Objective frequen

Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

z.ipectui.o.

Definition

Invariance

Puzzles

• (Bounding)
$$0 = \mathbb{P}(\perp | \Omega) \leq \mathbb{P}(A | \Omega) \leq \mathbb{P}(\Omega | \Omega) = 1$$

• (Negation) $\mathbb{P}(A|\Omega) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(\neg A|\Omega)$

Logic

Darley dela

Doolean aigeora

Justifications

Justineation

Subjective belief

Objective frequen

Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

z.ipectui.o.

Definition

Invariance

• (Bounding)
$$0 = \mathbb{P}(\perp | \Omega) \leq \mathbb{P}(A | \Omega) \leq \mathbb{P}(\Omega | \Omega) = 1$$

- (Negation) $\mathbb{P}(A|\Omega) = 1 \mathbb{P}(\neg A|\Omega)$
- **6** (Product rule) $\mathbb{P}(A \wedge B|\Omega) = \mathbb{P}(A|B,\Omega) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B|\Omega)$

Logic Insufficient da

Boolean algebra

Justifications

Justinications

Subjective belief

Objective frequer

Baves

---,--

WHy use Bayes?

WHy use Baye Caveat

Expectation

Definition

Invariano

Puzzles

• (Bounding) $0 = \mathbb{P}(\perp | \Omega) \le \mathbb{P}(A | \Omega) \le \mathbb{P}(\Omega | \Omega) = 1$

- (Negation) $\mathbb{P}(A|\Omega) = 1 \mathbb{P}(\neg A|\Omega)$
- **6** (Product rule) $\mathbb{P}(A \wedge B|\Omega) = \mathbb{P}(A|B,\Omega) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B|\Omega)$
- (Countable additivity) For arbitrary disjoint A_i , $\mathbb{P}\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty}A_i\Big|\Omega\right)B = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\mathbb{P}(A_i|\Omega)$

Logic

Justifications

Subjective belief

Many worlds

Bayes

WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

Invariance

Puzzles

 \bullet $\mathbb{P}(A|B)$ is a real number, and A and B are elements of a Boolean algebra

Logic

Boolean algebra

Doolean aigeora

Justifications

Justinication

Subjective belief

Objective freques

Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes?

Caveat

Expectation

Definition

Properties

Invariance

- lacktriangledown $\mathbb{P}(A|B)$ is a real number, and A and B are elements of a Boolean algebra
 - Technically, a complete Boolean algebra

Logic Insufficient data

Boolean algebra Probability notat

Justifications

Betting strategy

Subjective belief

Many worlds

Many worlds

Bayes

Derivatio

WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

Lapectation

Definition

Invariance

Puzzles

 \bullet $\mathbb{P}(A|B)$ is a real number, and A and B are elements of a Boolean algebra

• Technically, a <u>complete</u> Boolean algebra, which essentially means that countably infinite disjunctions (and/or conjunctions) are allowed.

Insufficient data Boolean algebra

Justifications

Justinication

Subjective belief

Objective freque

Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

Lapectation

Definition

Invariance

- \bullet $\mathbb{P}(A|B)$ is a real number, and A and B are elements of a Boolean algebra
 - Technically, a <u>complete</u> Boolean algebra, which essentially means that countably infinite disjunctions (and/or conjunctions) are allowed.
 - Any (incomplete) Boolean algebra (or any topology!) generates a canonical complete Boolean algebra.

Insufficient data Boolean algebra

Justifications

Paris a service

Subjective belief

Many worlds

Many worlds

Bayes

WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

Properties

Invariance

- \bullet $\mathbb{P}(A|B)$ is a real number, and A and B are elements of a Boolean algebra
 - Technically, a <u>complete</u> Boolean algebra, which essentially means that countably infinite disjunctions (and/or conjunctions) are allowed.
 - Any (incomplete) Boolean algebra (or any topology!) generates a canonical complete Boolean algebra.

Logic
Insufficient data
Boolean algebra
Probability potest

Justifications

Subjective belief Objective freque

Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation WHy use Bayes? Caveat

Expectation Definition

Invariance

- \bullet $\mathbb{P}(A|B)$ is a real number, and A and B are elements of a Boolean algebra
 - Technically, a <u>complete</u> Boolean algebra, which essentially means that countably infinite disjunctions (and/or conjunctions) are allowed.
 - Any (incomplete) Boolean algebra (or any topology!) generates a canonical complete Boolean algebra.
- **3** $\mathbb{P}(A \wedge B|\Omega) = F[\mathbb{P}(A|B,\Omega), \mathbb{P}(B|\Omega)]$ for some F.

Logic
Insufficient data
Boolean algebra

Justifications

Paris a service

Subjective belief

Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation WHy use Bayes?

Caveat

Expectation

Definition
Properties

Invariance

- lacktriangledown $\mathbb{P}(A|B)$ is a real number, and A and B are elements of a Boolean algebra
 - Technically, a <u>complete</u> Boolean algebra, which essentially means that countably infinite disjunctions (and/or conjunctions) are allowed.
 - Any (incomplete) Boolean algebra (or any topology!) generates a canonical complete Boolean algebra.
- $\mathbf{Q} A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq A_3 \subseteq \cdots$ such that $A_i \nearrow A$ implies $\mathbb{P}(A_i | \Omega) \nearrow \mathbb{P}(A | \Omega)$.
- **3** $\mathbb{P}(A \wedge B|\Omega) = F[\mathbb{P}(A|B,\Omega), \mathbb{P}(B|\Omega)]$ for some F.

Logic

Dardan darkar

Boolean algebra

Justifications

. .

Subjective belief

011 -1 -6

Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes?

Caveat

Expectation

Definition

Properties

Invariance

Puzzles

• Cox "proved" something like this in 1946, known as Cox's Theorem.

Logic

Justifications

Subjective belief

Many worlds

Bayes

WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

Invariance

- Cox "proved" something like this in 1946, known as Cox's Theorem.
- It turns out Cox's Theorem is wrong!

Logic Insufficient da

Boolean algebra

Probability notat

Justification

Betting strategy

Subjective belief

Objective freque

Many worlds

Bayes

Derivatio

WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

D.C.II

Invariance

- Cox "proved" something like this in 1946, known as Cox's Theorem.
- It turns out Cox's Theorem is wrong!
 - Factoid of the hour: "Cox's Theorem is false; Halpern in 1999 provided a counterexample"

Logic Insufficient data

Boolean algebra

Justification

Betting strategy

Subjective belief

Objective frequ

Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

D.C.V.

Definition

Invariance

- Cox "proved" something like this in 1946, known as Cox's Theorem.
- It turns out Cox's Theorem is wrong!
 - Factoid of the hour: "Cox's Theorem is false; Halpern in 1999 provided a counterexample"
 - The version on Wikipedia is also wrong

Justifications

Subjective belief

Many worlds

Bayes

WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

Invariance

- Cox "proved" something like this in 1946, known as Cox's Theorem.
- It turns out Cox's Theorem is wrong!
 - Factoid of the hour: "Cox's Theorem is false; Halpern in 1999 provided a counterexample"
 - The version on Wikipedia is also wrong
- But the laws of probability I showed here do follow from the premises I showed here, in a modern proof by Terenin and Draper

Logic

Justifications

Subjective belief

Many worlds

Baves

WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

• (Bounding)
$$0 = \mathbb{P}(\perp | \Omega) \le \mathbb{P}(A | \Omega) \le \mathbb{P}(\Omega | \Omega) = 1$$

- (Negation) $\mathbb{P}(A|\Omega) = 1 \mathbb{P}(\neg A|\Omega)$
- **3** (Product rule) $\mathbb{P}(A \wedge B|\Omega) = \mathbb{P}(A|B,\Omega) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B|\Omega)$
- \bullet (Countable additivity) For arbitrary disjoint A_i , $\mathbb{P}\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \middle| \Omega\right) B = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_i \middle| \Omega)$

davidad

шиго

Logic

Boolean algebra

Justifications

n ...

Detting strategy

Objective frequency

Many worlds

Bayes

Dominion

WHy use Bayes?

Caveat

Expectation

D C ...

Properties

Invariance

Puzzles

• (Nonnegativity) $\mathbb{P}(A) \geq 0$

Logic

Insufficient of

Boolean algebra

Probability nota

Justifications

Justinication

Objective frequency

Many worlds

Many worlds

Bayes

Derivatio

WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

Definition

Properties

Invariance

- (Nonnegativity) $\mathbb{P}(A) \geq 0$
- (Normalization) $\mathbb{P}(\Omega) = 1$

Logic

Insurncient data

Boolean algebra

Probability not

Justifications

Justineation

Objective frequency

Many worlds

Bayes

Derivatio

WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

Definition

Troperties

Invariance

Puzzles

• (Nonnegativity) $\mathbb{P}(A) \geq 0$

- **2** (Normalization) $\mathbb{P}(\Omega) = 1$
- \bullet (Countable additivity) For arbitrary disjoint A_i ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \middle| \Omega\right) B = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_i | \Omega)$$

Logic

Roolean algebra

Doob ob Herrore

Justifications

Justinication

Objective frequency

Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes? Caveat

Expectation

Definition

Properties

Invarianc

Puzzles

• (Nonnegativity) $\mathbb{P}(A) \geq 0$

• (Normalization) $\mathbb{P}(\Omega) = 1$

(Countable additivity) For arbitrary disjoint A_i , $\mathbb{P}\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty}A_i\middle|\Omega\right)B=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\mathbb{P}(A_i\middle|\Omega)$

• (Conditional) $\mathbb{P}(A|B) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(A \land B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)}$

Intr

Logic

mountain the

Boolean algebra

Probability notat

Justifications

Betting strategy

Subjective belief

Objective frequency

Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

Expectation

Definition

Invariance

Puzzles

• Consider set of outcomes of (infinitely) repeated independent experiments

Logic

Insufficient d

Boolean algebra

Probability nota

Justifications

Betting strates

Subjective belie

Objective frequency

Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

D C 11

Invariance

- Consider set of outcomes of (infinitely) repeated independent experiments
- "Probability" $\mathbb{P}(A)$ is defined as the ratio N_A/N of outcomes where A is true (N_A) to total number of experiments (N).

Logic

Insufficient da

Boolean algebra

Doob ob Harrison

T .:C .:

Betting strategy

Subjective belie

Objective frequency

Many worlds

Th.

B 1 1

WHy use Bayes?

Cavear

Expectati

Definition

Properties

Invariance

Puzzles

Logic

Boolean algebra

Deobability note

Justifications

Betting strategy

Objective frequency

Many worlds

Bayes

Dorivation

WHy use Bayes?

Caveat

Expectation

Definition

Properties

Invariance

Puzzles

Nonnegativity

• Both the total number of experiments, and the number of outcomes in which the proposition is true, cannot be negative, therefore their ratio cannot be negative.

Logic

Insufficient data

Boolean algebra

Probability not

Justificat

Betting strategy

Subjective belief

Objective frequency Many worlds

Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes?

Caveat

Expectation

Definition

•

Invariance

- Nonnegativity
 - Both the total number of experiments, and the number of outcomes in which the proposition is true, cannot be negative, therefore their ratio cannot be negative.
- Normalization

Logic

-

D 1 1 11

Justifications

Betting strategy

Objective frequency

Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes? Caveat

Expectation

D C ...

D ...

Invariance

Puzzles

- Both the total number of experiments, and the number of outcomes in which the proposition is true, cannot be negative, therefore their ratio cannot be negative.
- Normalization
 - The number of experiments in which an experiment was performed is identical to the total number of experiments, so their ratio is 1.

Logic

Roolean algebr

-

Justifications

Betting strategy

Subjective belief

Objective frequency Many worlds

Bayes

Darimetica

WHy use Bayes? Caveat

Expectation

Definition

Invariance

Puzzles

- Both the total number of experiments, and the number of outcomes in which the proposition is true, cannot be negative, therefore their ratio cannot be negative.
- Normalization
 - The number of experiments in which an experiment was performed is identical to the total number of experiments, so their ratio is 1.
- Countable additivity

Logic

Rooloon alashra

Doolean aigeora

Justifications

Betting strategy

Subjective belief

Objective frequency Many worlds

Bayes

Dorivatio

WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

Definition

Invariance

Puzzles

- Both the total number of experiments, and the number of outcomes in which the proposition is true, cannot be negative, therefore their ratio cannot be negative.
- Normalization
 - The number of experiments in which an experiment was performed is identical to the total number of experiments, so their ratio is 1.
- Countable additivity
 - The number of outcomes in which one of many mutually exclusive alternatives is true is precisely the number of outcomes in which at least one is true.

Logic

Insufficient d

Boolean algebra

Probability note

Justificati

Betting strategy

Subjective belie

Objective frequency

Many worlds

Baves

Darimetian

WHy use Bayes?

Cavoar

Expectation

Difficultion

Properties

Invariance

Puzzles

Conditional

Logic

insumeient data

D 1 1 111

Justifications

Betting strateg

Cubic seine halis

Objective frequency

Many worlds

Bayes

_ _ . .

WHy use Bayes?

Comme

Expectatio

Lapecturio

Delimition

Invariance

. .

Conditional

• We can justify this by the principle of rejection:

Logic

Insufficient data

Boolean algebra

Probability nota

Justifications

Betting strategy

0.11 ... 1.11

Objective frequency

Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes?

Caveat

Expectation

Definition

Properties

Invariance

Puzzles

Conditional

• We can justify this by the principle of rejection: to perform an experiment "conditional" on the knowledge of *B* is simply to reject (exclude from consideration) every experiment in which *B* is false.

Logic Insufficient data

Boolean algebra

Justifications

Betting strategy

Objective frequency

Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes? Caveat

Expectation

D C !!

Definition

Invariance

Puzzles

Conditional

- We can justify this by the principle of rejection: to perform an experiment "conditional" on the knowledge of *B* is simply to reject (exclude from consideration) every experiment in which *B* is false.
- Thus, the (effective) total number of experiments is the number of experiments in which *B* is true, and the (effective) total number of experiments in which *A* is true is those in which both *A* and *B* are true.

Justifications

Objective frequency

Baves

WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

Invariance

Puzzles

Conditional

- We can justify this by the principle of rejection: to perform an experiment "conditional" on the knowledge of B is simply to reject (exclude from consideration) every experiment in which *B* is false.
- Thus, the (effective) total number of experiments is the number of experiments in which B is true, and the (effective) total number of experiments in which A is true is those in which both A and B are true.
- So

$$\mathbb{P}(A|B) = \frac{N_{A \wedge B}}{N_B} = \frac{N_{A \wedge B}/N}{N_B/N} = \frac{\mathbb{P}(A \wedge B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)}$$

Logic

Boolean algebra

D. I. L.T.

Justifications

Justineacion

Objective frequ

Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

Lipecturio.

Definition

Invariance

Puzzles

• Instead of considering a sequence of independent experiments, we can just as well consider an un-ordered set of possible worlds.

Logic

Boolean algebra

Deobability not

Instification

J documentos.

Objective freque

Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

D C 11

Properties

Invariance

- Instead of considering a sequence of independent experiments, we can just as well consider an un-ordered set of possible worlds.
- The same arguments as for objective frequency go through.

Logic

Boolean algebra

Probability note

Justifications

Objective freque

Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

Definition

Invariance

- Instead of considering a sequence of independent experiments, we can just as well consider an un-ordered set of possible worlds.
- The same arguments as for objective frequency go through.
- You may prefer one or the other philosophically.

Logic Insufficient da

Boolean algebra

Justifications

Subjective belief

Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation WHy use Bayes?

WHy use Bayes: Caveat

Expectation Definition

Invariance

- Instead of considering a sequence of independent experiments, we can just as well consider an un-ordered set of possible worlds.
- The same arguments as for objective frequency go through.
- You may prefer one or the other philosophically.
- Bernoulli even used both: one layer of uncertainty due to ignorance, and one due to randomness.

Insufficient data
Boolean algebra

Justifications

Subjective belief Objective freque Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation WHy use Bayes? Caveat

Expectation

Definition

Invariance

- Instead of considering a sequence of independent experiments, we can just as well consider an un-ordered set of possible worlds.
- The same arguments as for objective frequency go through.
- You may prefer one or the other philosophically.
- Bernoulli even used both: one layer of uncertainty due to ignorance, and one due to randomness.
- Formally, they behave the same and blend together.

davidao

Intro

Logic Insufficient data Boolean algebra

Justifications

Betting strategy Subjective belie

M II

Many worlds

Baves

Dayce

WHy use Bayes?

Caveat

Expectation

Definition

Invariance

Puzzles

 If we take the objective-frequency or many-worlds interpretations, we call the experimental outcomes or possible worlds as elements of a sample space, which is notated Ω and is an object of set theory.

Insufficient data
Boolean algebra
Probability potati

Justifications

Subjective bel Objective free

Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation
WHy use Bayes?

Caveat Expectation

Definition Properties

Invariance

- If we take the objective-frequency or many-worlds interpretations, we call the experimental outcomes or possible worlds as elements of a sample space, which is notated Ω and is an object of set theory.
- The subjective-belief and betting-strategy frameworks are sufficiently abstract that they don't come with a concrete sample space, but a canonical one can be constructed (via the Loomis-Sikorski representation theorem).

Using the **Product Rule**: $\mathbb{P}(A \wedge B|\Omega) = \mathbb{P}(A|B,\Omega) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B|\Omega)$

Logic

Subjective belief

Objective frequency Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes?

Caveat

Expectation

Definition

Invariance

Justifications

Many worlds

Baves

Derivation WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

Invariance

Puzzles

Using the **Product Rule**: $\mathbb{P}(A \wedge B|\Omega) = \mathbb{P}(A|B,\Omega) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B|\Omega)$

$$\mathbb{P}(A|B,\Omega) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B|\Omega) = P(A \land B) = P(B \land A) = \mathbb{P}(B|A,\Omega) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A|\Omega)$$
$$\mathbb{P}(A|B,\Omega) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B|\Omega) = \mathbb{P}(B|A,\Omega) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A|\Omega)$$

Definition

Using the **Product Rule**: $\mathbb{P}(A \wedge B|\Omega) = \mathbb{P}(A|B,\Omega) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B|\Omega)$

$$\mathbb{P}(A|B,\Omega) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B|\Omega) = P(A \land B) = P(B \land A) = \mathbb{P}(B|A,\Omega) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A|\Omega)$$
$$\mathbb{P}(A|B,\Omega) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B|\Omega) = \mathbb{P}(B|A,\Omega) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A|\Omega)$$

Bayes:
$$\mathbb{P}(A|B,\Omega) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(B|A,\Omega) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A|\Omega)}{\mathbb{P}(B|\Omega)}$$

Intro Logic Insufficient

Boolean algebra
Probability notation

Justifications

Subjective belief Objective frequence

Many worlds

Bayes Derivation

WHy use Bayes?

Caveat

Expectation

Definition

Invariance

Puzzles

Using the **Product Rule**: $\mathbb{P}(A \wedge B|\Omega) = \mathbb{P}(A|B,\Omega) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B|\Omega)$

$$\mathbb{P}(A|B,\Omega) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B|\Omega) = P(A \land B) = P(B \land A) = \mathbb{P}(B|A,\Omega) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A|\Omega)$$
$$\mathbb{P}(A|B,\Omega) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B|\Omega) = \mathbb{P}(B|A,\Omega) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A|\Omega)$$

Bayes:
$$\mathbb{P}(A|B,\Omega) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(B|A,\Omega) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A|\Omega)}{\mathbb{P}(B|\Omega)}$$

Why is this useful?

Logic

Insufficient data

Boolean algebra

Probability notation

Justifications

Justification

Objective frequen

Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes?

. .

Expectation

Definition

Invariance

Puzzles

Suppose you're a geologist examining a rock. You can measure its density but want to know its composition.

Logic Insufficient data Boolean algebra

Boolean algebra Probability notati

Justifications

Subjective belief
Objective frequence

Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes?

Caveat

Expectation

Definition

Invariance

Puzzles

Suppose you're a geologist examining a rock. You can measure its density but want to know its composition. Let's set propositions

A = the rock contains iron.

B =the rock's density is 3,160 kg/m³.

Justifications

Many worlds

Bayes

WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

Invariance Puzzles

Suppose you're a geologist examining a rock. You can measure its density but want to know its composition. Let's set propositions

A = the rock contains iron.

B =the rock's density is 3,160 kg/m³.

We say that $\mathbb{P}(A|B,\Omega)$ is a **discriminative model** (which is usually what you want), and $\mathbb{P}(B|A,\Omega)$ is a **generative model** (which is usually easier to make scientifically). Subjective belief
Objective frequen
Many worlds

Bayes

WHy use Bayes?

Caveat

Expectation Definition

Invariance

Puzzles

Suppose you're a geologist examining a rock. You can measure its density but want to know its composition. Let's set propositions

A = the rock contains iron.

B = the rock's density is 3,160 kg/m³.

We say that $\mathbb{P}(A|B,\Omega)$ is a **discriminative model** (which is usually what you want), and $\mathbb{P}(B|A,\Omega)$ is a **generative model** (which is usually easier to make scientifically).

Bayes lets you convert between the two.

Bayes:
$$\mathbb{P}(A|B,\Omega) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(B|A,\Omega) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A|\Omega)}{\mathbb{P}(B|\Omega)}$$

Intro Logic Insufficient da

Boolean algebra Probability notati

Justifications

Subjective belief

Objective frequen Many worlds

Bayes

WHy use Bayes?

Caveat

Expectation

Properties

Invariance

Puzzles

A = the rock contains iron.

B =the rock's density is 3,160 kg/m³.

Bayes:
$$\mathbb{P}(A|B,\Omega) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(B|A,\Omega) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A|\Omega)}{\mathbb{P}(B|\Omega)}$$

In the cases where we want to use Bayes, $\mathbb{P}(B|\Omega)$ is usually impossible to compute, because one must consider *all possible hypotheses* for how *B* might be true, and we're bounded agents.

Intro Logic Insufficient data

Boolean algebra Probability notat

Justifications

Subjective belief
Objective frequen
Many worlds

Bayes

WHy use Bayes?

Caveat

Expectation

Properties

Invariance

Puzzles

A = the rock contains iron.

B =the rock's density is 3,160 kg/m³.

Bayes:
$$\mathbb{P}(A|B,\Omega) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(B|A,\Omega) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A|\Omega)}{\mathbb{P}(B|\Omega)}$$

In the cases where we want to use Bayes, $\mathbb{P}(B|\Omega)$ is usually impossible to compute, because one must consider *all possible hypotheses* for how *B* might be true, and we're bounded agents. Instead, we generally use Bayes to *compare* hypotheses.

$$\frac{P(A_1|B)}{P(A_2|B)} = \frac{P(B|A_1) \cdot P(A_1)/P(B)}{P(B|A_2) \cdot P(A_2)/P(B)}$$
$$\frac{P(A_1|B)}{P(A_2|B)} = \frac{P(B|A_1)}{P(B|A_2)} \cdot \frac{P(A_1)}{P(A_2)}$$

Logic Insufficient data Boolean algebra Probability notati

Intro

Justifications Betting strategy

Objective frequen
Many worlds

Bayes

WHy use Bayes?

Caveat Expectation

Definition

Invariance

Puzzles

A = the rock contains iron. B = the rock's density is 3,160 kg/m³.

Bayes:
$$\mathbb{P}(A|B,\Omega) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(B|A,\Omega) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A|\Omega)}{\mathbb{P}(B|\Omega)}$$

In the cases where we want to use Bayes, $\mathbb{P}(B|\Omega)$ is usually impossible to compute, because one must consider *all possible hypotheses* for how *B* might be true, and we're bounded agents. Instead, we generally use Bayes to *compare* hypotheses.

$$\frac{P(A_1|B)}{P(A_2|B)} = \frac{P(B|A_1) \cdot P(A_1) / P(B)}{P(B|A_2) \cdot P(A_2) / P(B)}$$
$$\frac{P(A_1|B)}{P(A_2|B)} = \frac{P(B|A_1)}{P(B|A_2)} \cdot \frac{P(A_1)}{P(A_2)}$$

Generating good candidate hypotheses is much of the difficulty in most real inference problems.

Logic
Insufficient data
Boolean algebra
Probability notation

Justifications

Betting strategy

Objective freque Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

Definition

Properties

Invariance

Puzzles

• If V is a real-valued function defined on the sample space, the **expected value** $\mathbb{E}(V)$ is defined as the Lebesgue integral $\int_{\Omega} V(x) d\mathbb{P}(x|\Omega)$.

WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

Definition

Properties

Invariance

- If V is a real-valued function defined on the sample space, the **expected value** $\mathbb{E}(V)$ is defined as the Lebesgue integral $\int_{\Omega} V(x) d\mathbb{P}(x|\Omega)$.
- In cases where a discrete summation $\sum_{x \in \Omega} V(x) \cdot \mathbb{P}(x|\Omega)$ applies, this agrees with the Lebesgue integral.

Justifications
Betting strategy
Subjective belief
Objective frequency
Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation WHy use Bayes?

WHy use Baye Caveat

Expectation

Definition

Invarianc

- If V is a real-valued function defined on the sample space, the **expected value** $\mathbb{E}(V)$ is defined as the Lebesgue integral $\int_{\Omega} V(x) d\mathbb{P}(x|\Omega)$.
- In cases where a discrete summation $\sum_{x \in \Omega} V(x) \cdot \mathbb{P}(x|\Omega)$ applies, this agrees with the Lebesgue integral.
 - In such a case, $\mathbb{P}(x|\Omega)$ is known as a **probability mass function**.

Justifications
Betting strategy
Subjective belief
Objective frequency
Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes?

Caveat

Expectation

Definition

Invariance

- If V is a real-valued function defined on the sample space, the **expected value** $\mathbb{E}(V)$ is defined as the Lebesgue integral $\int_{\Omega} V(x) d\mathbb{P}(x|\Omega)$.
- In cases where a discrete summation $\sum_{x \in \Omega} V(x) \cdot \mathbb{P}(x|\Omega)$ applies, this agrees with the Lebesgue integral.
 - In such a case, $\mathbb{P}(x|\Omega)$ is known as a **probability mass function**.
- Also, in cases where a Riemann integral $\int_{\Omega} V(x) \cdot pdf(x) dx$ applies (i.e. where $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$), this agrees with the Lebesgue integral as well.

Justifications

Subjective belief
Objective frequency
Many worlds

Bayes Derivation

WHy use Bayes? Caveat

Expectation

Definition Properties

Invarianc

Puzzles

• If V is a real-valued function defined on the sample space, the **expected value** $\mathbb{E}(V)$ is defined as the Lebesgue integral $\int_{\Omega} V(x) d\mathbb{P}(x|\Omega)$.

- In cases where a discrete summation $\sum_{x \in \Omega} V(x) \cdot \mathbb{P}(x|\Omega)$ applies, this agrees with the Lebesgue integral.
 - In such a case, $\mathbb{P}(x|\Omega)$ is known as a **probability mass function**.
- Also, in cases where a Riemann integral $\int_{\Omega} V(x) \cdot pdf(x) dx$ applies (i.e. where $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$), this agrees with the Lebesgue integral as well.
 - $pdf(x) = \frac{d\mathbb{P}(\omega \le x | \Omega)}{dx}$ is known as a **probability density function**.

Logic
Insufficient data
Boolean algebra
Probability notation

Justifications

Subjective belief
Objective frequency
Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation WHy use Bayes? Caveat

Expectation

Definition Properties

Invariance

- If V is a real-valued function defined on the sample space, the **expected value** $\mathbb{E}(V)$ is defined as the Lebesgue integral $\int_{\Omega} V(x) d\mathbb{P}(x|\Omega)$.
- In cases where a discrete summation $\sum_{x \in \Omega} V(x) \cdot \mathbb{P}(x|\Omega)$ applies, this agrees with the Lebesgue integral.
 - In such a case, $\mathbb{P}(x|\Omega)$ is known as a **probability mass function**.
- Also, in cases where a Riemann integral $\int_{\Omega} V(x) \cdot pdf(x) dx$ applies (i.e. where $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$), this agrees with the Lebesgue integral as well.
 - $pdf(x) = \frac{d\mathbb{P}(\omega \le x|\Omega)}{dx}$ is known as a **probability density function**.
 - $\mathbb{P}(\omega \le x | \Omega)$ itself, also a function of x, is known as a **cumulative distribution** function (or simply **distribution function**), and uniquely specifies the probability of all events depending on ω .

Logic Insufficient data Boolean algebra

Justifications

Betting strategy

Objective freque Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes?

Caveat

Expectat

Properties

Invariance

Puzzles

• The expected value of an indicator variable 1_A (defined to be 1 if A is true and 0 otherwise) is $\mathbb{E}(1_A) = \mathbb{P}(A|\Omega)$.

- The expected value of an indicator variable 1_A (defined to be 1 if A is true and 0 otherwise) is $\mathbb{E}(1_A) = \mathbb{P}(A|\Omega)$.
- The most important property of expectation is linearity:

$$\mathbb{E}(X+Y) = \mathbb{E}(X) + \mathbb{E}(Y)$$

$$\mathbb{E}(a\cdot X) = a\cdot \mathbb{E}(X)$$

Justifications

Subjective belief
Objective frequence
Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes?

WHy use Bay Caveat

Expectation

Properties

Invariance

Puzzles

• The expected value of an indicator variable 1_A (defined to be 1 if A is true and 0 otherwise) is $\mathbb{E}(1_A) = \mathbb{P}(A|\Omega)$.

• The most important property of expectation is linearity:

$$\mathbb{E}(X+Y) = \mathbb{E}(X) + \mathbb{E}(Y)$$

$$\mathbb{E}(a \cdot X) = a \cdot \mathbb{E}(X)$$

These follow from the linearity of the Lebesgue integral.

Logic

Many worlds

Bayes

WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

Puzzles

• If you can assume probability mass functions are invariant under arbitrary permutations of a finite set of events, then the probabilities of those events are uniform, i.e. equal.

Logic
Insufficient data
Boolean algebra
Probability notation

Justifications

Subjective belief
Objective frequence
Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation WHy use Bayes?

W Hy use Baye Caveat

Expectation

Definition

•

IIIvariance

- If you can assume probability mass functions are invariant under arbitrary permutations of a finite set of events, then the probabilities of those events are uniform, i.e. equal.
- From countable additivity, they must equal 1/N (where N is the number of events that can be permuted in such a way).

Insufficient data
Boolean algebra
Probability notation

Justifications

Subjective belief
Objective frequence
Many worlds

Bayes

WHy use Bayes?

Caveat

Expectation

Definition

Invarianc

- If you can assume probability mass functions are invariant under arbitrary permutations of a finite set of events, then the probabilities of those events are uniform, i.e. equal.
- From countable additivity, they must equal 1/N (where N is the number of events that can be permuted in such a way).
 - Thus we obtain probability 1/2 for a "fair" (symmetric) coin landing on either side, 1/6 for a "fair" (symmetric) cubical die landing on any face, etc.

Insufficient data
Boolean algebra
Probability notation

Justifications

Betting strategy Subjective belief Objective frequen Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation WHy use Bayes? Caveat

Expectation

Definition

Invariance

- If you can assume probability mass functions are invariant under arbitrary permutations of a finite set of events, then the probabilities of those events are **uniform**, i.e. equal.
- From countable additivity, they must equal 1/N (where N is the number of events that can be permuted in such a way).
 - Thus we obtain probability 1/2 for a "fair" (symmetric) coin landing on either side, 1/6 for a "fair" (symmetric) cubical die landing on any face, etc.
- Similar arguments can be applied to density functions in continuous cases, usually to justify uniform density over an interval (scaled so that the integral of the density is 1, as required by the laws of probability).

Intro Logic

Justifications

Many worlds

Bayes

WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

Invariance

- Are conditional probabilities probabilities of implications?
 - Either prove that $\mathbb{P}(B|A,\Omega) = \mathbb{P}(A \to B|\Omega)$, or find a counterexample.

Logic Insufficient data Boolean algebra Probability poravio

Justifications

Subjective belief
Objective frequenc
Many worlds

Bayes

Derivation

WHy use Bayes? Caveat

Expectation

D. C. . .

Invariance

....

• Are conditional probabilities probabilities of implications?

- Either prove that $\mathbb{P}(B|A,\Omega) = \mathbb{P}(A \to B|\Omega)$, or find a counterexample.
- From each system of "laws of probability", prove all the laws of all the other systems.
 - Note: there's a lot of overlapping laws, which are trivially provable from each other.

Justifications

Many worlds

Baves

WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

Invariance

- Are conditional probabilities probabilities of implications?
 - Either prove that $\mathbb{P}(B|A,\Omega) = \mathbb{P}(A \to B|\Omega)$, or find a counterexample.
- 2 From each system of "laws of probability", prove all the laws of all the other systems.
 - Note: there's a lot of overlapping laws, which are trivially provable from each other.
- **3** A meter-stick is cut at a uniformly sampled point along its length. What is the expected value of the larger piece?

Justifications

Many worlds

Baves

WHy use Bayes?

Expectation

- Are conditional probabilities probabilities of implications?
 - Either prove that $\mathbb{P}(B|A,\Omega) = \mathbb{P}(A \to B|\Omega)$, or find a counterexample.
- 2 From each system of "laws of probability", prove all the laws of all the other systems.
 - Note: there's a lot of overlapping laws, which are trivially provable from each other.
- **3** A meter-stick is cut at a uniformly sampled point along its length. What is the expected value of the larger piece?
 - Bonus puzzle: if the stick is instead cut simultaneously at two uniformly sampled points, what is the expected value of the largest piece?