Entrepreneurial Finance Assignment

Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad

Submitted To:

Dr. Sonal Aggarwal

Submitted By:

- Ayush Kumar – IEC2022004
- Lavish Meena – IEC2022005
- Oshanki Priya – IEC2022021
- Prakhar Shukla – IEC2022027
- Het Modi – IEC2022035

1. How unique was the business idea?

James Barnum's business idea stood out for its simplicity, practicality, and genuine innovation. His product, *Nova-Flo*, tackled a surprisingly common and costly issue—overflowing bathtubs, which contribute to around £250 million in damage every year. What made Nova-Flo special was that it was a **completely mechanical** device, meaning it worked without electricity or batteries, which is ideal and much safer for bathroom environments.

The idea was also unique because it didn't require professional help to install. Unlike existing solutions on the market that were electrical (and therefore more expensive and complicated to install), Nova-Flo could be fitted easily within 20 minutes, using a push-fit design suitable for both UK and European taps. James confidently stated that to his knowledge, Nova-Flo was the **only mechanical solution of its kind**, which further emphasized its originality.

So overall, the concept was not only innovative but also filled a gap in the market, which made it stand out as a unique business idea with real potential.

2. What was the original pre and post-money valuation?

In the pitch, James asked for **£150,000 in return for 10% equity**, which placed a **post-money valuation at £1.5 million**. This implies that the **pre-money valuation was £1.35 million** (simply by subtracting the investment he was asking for).

James based this valuation on projected growth and potential sales volume. He presented forecasts of selling 25,000 units in year one, 75,000 in year two, and up to 150,000 units in year three. These numbers suggest that he believed his business could scale quickly, especially since he had already identified large-scale housing associations as potential customers.

However, the Dragons were sceptical of this valuation, especially given that the company was still in its early stages and hadn't made any actual sales yet.

3. What was the final post-money valuation?

Although James started with a £1.5 million valuation, the final offer he received brought that number down significantly. Theo Paphitis offered him **£150,000 for 40% equity**, which means the **final post-money valuation was £375,000**. This was much lower than what James had in mind.

Theo’s offer was actually the most reasonable among the ones made, as other Dragons were asking for even more equity. Despite the reduced valuation, Theo emphasized that along with the money, James would gain valuable mentorship, experience, and support that could help scale the product much faster. Still, James turned the offer down, believing 40% was too large a portion to give away.

4. What obstacles affected the valuation?

Several key issues contributed to the Dragons lowering the valuation from what James originally proposed:

- **Patent Ownership Complications**: One of the biggest factors was that the product's patent wasn’t entirely in James’s hands. The **university still held the rights**, with a 10% stake in the business and an agreement to receive 4% of sales beyond £100,000. This arrangement would impact profit margins for both James and any potential investor.
- **High Risk and No Sales Yet**: The product was promising, but there were **no confirmed sales or contracts at the time of the pitch**. This made the investment riskier, as the business hadn't yet proven its market demand or cash flow.
- **Uncertain Patent Buyout**: When asked if the patent could be purchased from the university, James's mentor suggested it might cost **£2–3 million**, which was significantly more than the investment amount being discussed. This added more complexity and uncertainty around ownership.
- **Equity Expectations**: The Dragons also felt that for the risk they were taking, **10% equity wasn't enough**. Even though they liked the product, they believed they needed a larger stake to make the investment worthwhile, given the early stage of the business.

James’s hesitation to give away a big share of his company was understandable from a founder’s point of view, but it ultimately became a dealbreaker for the Dragons.

Conclusion:

James presented a smart and practical product that addressed a real problem with a unique solution. While his pitch showed potential and market insight, concerns about patent ownership, lack of sales, and a high valuation made the Dragons hesitant. Though he walked away without a deal, his decision reflected his strong belief in his product and vision for the company.