

Capstone Project 3

Mobile Price Range Prediction

Individual Project
Sajeer Abdul Rahman
sajeeraniyaram@gmail.com

Problem statement

In the competitive mobile phone market companies want to understand sales data of mobile phones and factors which drive the prices.

The objective is to find out some relation between features of a mobile phone(eg:- RAM,Internal Memory, etc) and its selling price. In this problem, we do not have to predict the actual price but a price range indicating how high the price is.

Points to discuss

- Data description and summary
- Exploratory data analysis
- Heat map
- Machine learning algorithms
 1. Logistic regression
 2. Decision tree
 3. Random forest classifier
 4. Xgboost classifier
- conclusion

Data Description

The data contains information regarding mobile phone features, specifications etc and their price range. The various features and information can be used to predict the price range of a mobile phone.

- Battery_power - Total energy a battery can store in one time measured in mAh
- Blue - Has bluetooth or not
- Clock_speed - speed at which microprocessor executes instructions
- Dual_sim - Has dual sim support or not
- Fc - Front Camera mega pixels
- Four_g - Has 4G or not
- Int_memory - Internal Memory in Gigabytes
- M_dep - Mobile Depth in cm
- Mobile_wt - Weight of mobile phone
- N_cores - Number of cores of processor

- Pc - Primary Camera mega pixels
- Px_height - Pixel Resolution Height
- Px_width - Pixel ResolutionWidth
- Ram - RandomAccess Memory in Mega Bytes
- Sc_h - Screen Height of mobile in cm
- Sc_w - ScreenWidth of mobile in cm
- Talk_time - longest time that a single battery charge will last when you are
- Three_g - Has 3G or not
- Touch_screen - Has touch screen or not
- Wifi - Has wifi or not
- Price_range - This is the target variable with value of 0(low cost), 1(medium cost), 2(high cost) and 3(very high cost).


Exploratory Data Analysis

Price




- There are mobile phones in 4 price ranges. the number of elements is almost similar.

Battery




- This plot shows how the battery mAh is spread. there is a gradual increase as the price range increases

Bluetooth




- Half the devices have Bluetooth, and half don't.

RAM




- Ram has continuous increase with price range while moving from Low cost to Very high cost.

Px width




- There is not a continuous increase in pixel width as we move from Low cost to Very high cost. Mobiles with 'Medium cost' and 'High cost' has almost equal pixel width. so, we can say that it would be a driving factor in deciding price_range.

Px height




- Pixel height is almost similar as we move from Low cost to Very high cost. Little variation in pixel_height.

FC (front camera megapixels)





- This features distribution is almost similar along all the price ranges variable, it may not be helpful in making predictions.

PC (Primary camera Megapixels)



- Primary camera megapixels are showing a little variation along the target categories, which is a good sign for prediction.


MobileWeight




- Costly phones are lighter

4G and 3G

screen size




- Combining the sc_height and sc_width into one column that is sc_size, Screen Size shows little variation along the target variables. This can be helpful in predicting the target categories
- 50% of the phones support 4_g and 76% of phones support 3_g, feature 'three_g' play an important feature in prediction.



Heat Map

- RAM and price_range shows high correlation which is a good sign, it signifies that RAM will play major deciding factor in estimating the price range.
- There is some collinearity in feature pairs ('pc', 'fc') and ('px_width', 'px_height'). Both correlations are justified since there are good chances that if front camera of a phone is good, the back camera would also be good.
- Also, if px_height increases, pixel width also increases, that means the overall pixels in the screen. We can replace these two features with one feature. Front Camera megapixels and Primary camera megapixels are different entities despite of showing collinearity. So, we'll be keeping them as they are.



ML algorithms

1. Logistic regression
2. Decision tree
3. Random Forest classification
4. Xgboost

● Logistic Regression

Train_accuracy : 92%


Test_accuracy: 90%

```
from sklearn.metrics import classification_report
print('Classification report for Logistic Regression (Test set)= ')
print(classification_report(y_pred_test, y_test))
```

Classification report for Logistic Regression (Test set)=

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.97	0.95	0.96	107
1	0.86	0.87	0.86	90
2	0.82	0.82	0.82	92
3	0.92	0.93	0.92	111
accuracy			0.90	400
macro avg	0.89	0.89	0.89	400
weighted avg	0.90	0.90	0.90	400

Seaborn Confusion Matrix with labels



• Decision Tree

Test accuracy : 84%

```
# Evaluation metrics for test
```

```
print('Classification report for Decision Tree (Test set)= ')  
print(classification_report(y_pred_test, y_test))
```

```
Classification report for Decision Tree (Test set)=
```

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.67	0.98	0.92	93
1	0.61	0.73	0.77	101
2	0.78	0.67	0.72	106
3	0.61	0.93	0.87	98
accuracy			0.82	400
macro avg	0.62	0.83	0.82	400
weighted avg	0.62	0.82	0.82	400

• Descision Tree with Hyperparameter Tuning



Test_accuracy : 82%

```
# Prediction
```

```
y_pred_test = grid.predict(X_test)  
y_pred_train = grid.predict(X_train)  
# Evaluation metrics for test
```

```
print('Classification report for decision tree (test set)= ')  
print(classification_report(y_test, y_pred_test))
```

```
Classification report for Decision Tree (Test set)=
```

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.50	0.50	0.50	105
1	0.74	0.84	0.79	93
2	0.75	0.70	0.72	92
3	0.64	0.98	0.80	110
accuracy			0.64	400
macro avg	0.64	0.82	0.64	400
weighted avg	0.64	0.84	0.64	400

Seaborn Confusion Matrix with labels




● Random Forest Classifier With Hyper parameter Tuning


Train_accuracy : 86.5%

```
print(classification_report(y_test, y_pred))
```

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.94	0.95	0.95	105
1	0.86	0.84	0.85	91
2	0.77	0.85	0.81	92
3	0.93	0.88	0.90	112
accuracy			0.88	400
macro avg	0.88	0.88	0.88	400
weighted avg	0.88	0.88	0.88	400



Seaborn Confusion Matrix with labels



As we can see the top 3 important features of our datasets are:

RAM, battery_power, pixels

Xgboost

Test accuracy: 89%

Classification Report for XGBoost(Test set)=

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.95	0.93	0.94	105
1	0.83	0.88	0.86	91
2	0.81	0.84	0.82	92
3	0.94	0.89	0.92	112
accuracy			0.89	400
macro avg	0.88	0.89	0.88	400
weighted avg	0.89	0.89	0.89	400

Xgboost With Hyper parameter Tuning


Test accuracy: 90%

```
score = classification_report(y_test, y_pred_test)
print('Classification Report for tuned XGBoost(Test set)= ')
print(score)
```

Classification Report for tuned XGBoost(Test set)=

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.94	0.93	0.94	105
1	0.85	0.89	0.87	91
2	0.84	0.87	0.86	92
3	0.95	0.90	0.93	112
accuracy			0.90	400
macro avg	0.90	0.90	0.90	400
weighted avg	0.90	0.90	0.90	400

Seaborn Confusion Matrix with labels



Conclusion

- From EDA, we can see that there are mobile phones in 4 price ranges. The number of elements is almost similar.
- Half the devices have Bluetooth, and half doesn't.
- There is a gradual increase in battery as the price range increases.
- Ram has continuous increase with price range while moving from Low cost to Very high cost.
- Costly phones are lighter.
- RAM, battery power, pixels played more significant role in deciding the price range of mobile phone.
- From all the above experiments, we can conclude that logistic regression and XGboosting with using hyperparameters we got the best results.
- The accuracy and performance of the model is evaluated by using confusion matrix.