

# Meta Analysis based on Standardized Mean Difference

Sajjad Bin Sogir

2023-07-09

## Data description

The analysis is done for mean of two independent groups where the effect size is **SMD(standardized mean difference)**. There are two groups: Treated and Control group. Treated group consists of sample size(n1), mean(m1) and standard deviation(sd1). Control group also consists of sample size(n2), mean(m2) and standard deviation(sd2). Each group involves with unique id(Author).

## Results:

The result includes corrected standard mean difference(Hedge's g),summary,  $I^2$ ,  $T^2$ , Quantify and check heterogeneity, and a forest plot.

```
#install.packages(c("meta", "metafor", "MAAd"))
library(meta)

## Loading 'meta' package (version 6.5-0).
## Type 'help(meta)' for a brief overview.
## Readers of 'Meta-Analysis with R (Use R!)' should install
## older version of 'meta' package: https://tinyurl.com/dt4y5drs

library(metafor)

## Loading required package: Matrix
## Loading required package: metadat
## Loading required package: numDeriv

##
## Loading the 'metafor' package (version 4.2-0). For an
## introduction to the package please type: help(metafor)

library(MAd)
Author<-c("Moinr", "Kabir", "Pranto", "Limon", "Fazle", "Miraz", "Ahnaf", "Sakib")
n1<-c(131, 116, 82, 87, 110, 95, 79, 122)
m1<-c(83.2, 75.5, 108.5, 76.9, 83, 85.7, 79, 85.8)
sd1<-c(15.7, 14.4, 26.7, 16.3, 12.7, 19.9, 27.7, 24)
n2<-c(90, 118, 95, 98, 116, 121, 112, 86)
m2<-c(82.7, 89.9, 76.6, 102.9, 69.3, 93.2, 101.4, 100.1)
sd2<-c(23.7, 28.7, 27.9, 18.7, 19, 23, 16.9, 31.1)
Df<-data.frame(Author, n1, m1, sd1, n2, m2, sd2)
Df
```

```
## Author n1 m1 sd1 n2 m2 sd2
## 1 Moinr 131 83.2 15.7 90 82.7 23.7
## 2 Kabir 116 75.5 14.4 118 89.9 28.7
## 3 Pranto 82 108.5 26.7 95 76.6 27.9
## 4 Limon 87 76.9 16.3 98 102.9 18.7
## 5 Fazle 110 83.0 12.7 116 69.3 19.0
## 6 Miraz 95 85.7 19.9 121 93.2 23.0
## 7 Ahnaf 79 79.0 27.7 112 101.4 16.9
## 8 Sakib 122 85.8 24.0 86 100.1 31.1
```

*#Computes Vector of Standardized Mean Differences(d),Hedges g*

```
CSMD<-compute_dgs(n.1=n1,m.1=m1,sd.1=sd1,n.2=n2,m.2=m2,sd.2=sd2,data=Df)
CSMD
```

```
## Author n1 m1 sd1 n2 m2 sd2 s.within d var.d
## 1 Moinr 131 83.2 15.7 90 82.7 23.7 19.35420 0.02583419 0.01874621
## 2 Kabir 116 75.5 14.4 118 89.9 28.7 22.76360 -0.63258880 0.01795033
## 3 Pranto 82 108.5 26.7 95 76.6 27.9 27.35112 1.16631434 0.02656406
## 4 Limon 87 76.9 16.3 98 102.9 18.7 17.61291 -1.47618993 0.02758789
## 5 Fazle 110 83.0 12.7 116 69.3 19.0 16.24253 0.84346481 0.01928557
## 6 Miraz 95 85.7 19.9 121 93.2 23.0 21.69294 -0.34573453 0.01906747
## 7 Ahnaf 79 79.0 27.7 112 101.4 16.9 22.00906 -1.01776284 0.02429843
## 8 Sakib 122 85.8 24.0 86 100.1 31.1 27.15551 -0.52659667 0.02049122
## g var.g se.g
## 1 0.02574561 0.01861788 0.1364474
## 2 -0.63054159 0.01783433 0.1335452
## 3 1.16130870 0.02633653 0.1622853
## 4 -1.47013169 0.02736192 0.1654144
## 5 0.84063755 0.01915649 0.1384070
## 6 -0.34452143 0.01893390 0.1376005
## 7 -1.01371875 0.02410571 0.1552601
## 8 -0.52467712 0.02034211 0.1426258
```

```
MG<-metagen(TE=CSMD$g,
            seTE =CSMD$se.g,
            studlab =Author,
            data=Df,
            sm = "SMD",
            method.tau ="REML",
            hakn=TRUE,
            title ="Meta analysis for Standardized Mean difference ")
```

```
summary(MG)
```

```
## Review:      Meta analysis for Standardized Mean difference
##
##           SMD           95%-CI %W(common) %W(random)
## Moinr    0.0257 [-0.2417; 0.2932]      14.1      12.5
## Kabir   -0.6305 [-0.8923; -0.3688]      14.8      12.6
## Pranto    1.1613 [ 0.8432; 1.4794]      10.0      12.4
## Limon   -1.4701 [-1.7943; -1.1459]       9.6      12.4
## Fazle    0.8406 [ 0.5694; 1.1119]      13.7      12.5
```

```

## Miraz   -0.3445 [-0.6142; -0.0748]      13.9      12.5
## Ahnaf   -1.0137 [-1.3180; -0.7094]      10.9      12.5
## Sakib   -0.5247 [-0.8042; -0.2451]      12.9      12.5
##
## Number of studies: k = 8
##
##              SMD              95%-CI    z|t    p-value
## Common effect model      -0.2257 [-0.3263; -0.1252] -4.40 < 0.0001
## Random effects model (HK) -0.2439 [-0.9875; 0.4997] -0.78    0.4634
##
## Quantifying heterogeneity:
## tau^2 = 0.7668 [0.3227; 3.2647]; tau = 0.8757 [0.5681; 1.8069]
## I^2 = 97.0% [95.6%; 97.9%]; H = 5.76 [4.76; 6.98]
##
## Test of heterogeneity:
##      Q d.f.  p-value
## 232.49    7 < 0.0001
##
## Details on meta-analytical method:
## - Inverse variance method
## - Restricted maximum-likelihood estimator for tau^2
## - Q-Profile method for confidence interval of tau^2 and tau
## - Hartung-Knapp adjustment for random effects model (df = 7)

```

## Plot

```
forest.meta(MG,layout="RevMan5",fontsize = 5, spacing = .7)
```

