


Bottom-up: Accept many more languages than top-down parsers.

LL , LR
↓
Top-down

LR-k
↓
powerful



Parsing

- Process of determination whether a string can be generated by a grammar
- Parsing falls in two categories:
 - Top-down parsing:
Construction of the parse tree starts at the root (from the start symbol) and proceeds towards leaves (token or terminals)
 - Bottom-up parsing:
Construction of the parse tree starts from the leaf nodes (tokens or terminals of the grammar) and proceeds towards root (start symbol)

2

Bottom up parsing

- Construct a parse tree for an input string beginning at leaves and going towards root OR
- Reduce a string w of input to start symbol of grammar
Consider a grammar

$$S \rightarrow aABe$$

$$A \rightarrow Abc \mid b$$

$$B \rightarrow d$$

And reduction of a string

Right most derivation in reverse order

a b b c d e
a A b c d e
a A d e
a A B e
S

The sentential forms happen to be a right most derivation in the reverse order.

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow a A B e \\ &\rightarrow a A d e \\ &\rightarrow a A b c d e \\ &\rightarrow a b b c d e \end{aligned}$$

3

(2 main steps are shift or reduce) Shift reduce parsing

- Split string being parsed into two parts
 - Two parts are separated by a special character “.”
 - Left part is a string of terminals and non terminals
 - Right part is a string of terminals
- Initially the input is w → token stream (all terminals)

4


- * right-side can only have untouched or unprocessed input
- * Towards left: recognized as well as unprocessed input

1

Shift reduce parsing ...

- Bottom up parsing has two actions
- **Shift**: move terminal symbol from right string to left string

if string before shift is
then string after shift is



5

Shift reduce parsing ...

- **Reduce**: immediately on the left of "." identify a string same as RHS of a production and replace it by LHS

if string before reduce action is $\alpha\beta.pqr$
and $A \rightarrow \beta$ is a production

then string after reduction is $\alpha A.pqr$

* Reel the cursor doesn't move.
* string replaced by production rule root terminal.

stacks & queues: to store the left-side

* Reduction always happens on
the most recently used terminal. **Example**

Assume grammar is $E \rightarrow E+E \mid E^*E \mid id$

Parse id^*id+id

Assume an oracle tells you when to shift / when to reduce

String	action (by oracle)
id^*id+id	shift
$id.^*id+id$	reduce $E \rightarrow id$
$E.^*id+id$	shift
$E.^*id+id$	shift
$E^*id.+id$	reduce $E \rightarrow id$
$E^*E.+id$	reduce $E \rightarrow E^*E$
$E.^id$	shift
$E+id$	shift
$E+id.$	Reduce $E \rightarrow id$
$E+E.$	Reduce $E \rightarrow E+E$
$E.$	ACCEPT

7

s
(final symbol)

Shift reduce parsing ...

- Symbols on the left of "." are kept on a stack
 - Top of the stack is at "."
 - Shift pushes a terminal on the stack
 - Reduce pops symbols (rhs of production) and pushes a non terminal (lhs of production) onto the stack
- The most important issue: when to shift and when to reduce
- Reduce action should be taken only if the result can be reduced to the start symbol

8

Issues in bottom up parsing

- How do we know which action to take
 - whether to shift or reduce
 - Which production to use for reduction?
- Sometimes parser can reduce but it should not:
 $X \rightarrow \epsilon$ can always be used for reduction!

9

Issues in bottom-up parsing

- Sometimes parser can reduce in different ways!
 $\xrightarrow{\text{non-terminals} + \text{terminals}}$
- Given stack δ and input symbol a , should the parser
 - Shift a onto stack (making it δa)
 - Reduce by some production $A \rightarrow \beta$ assuming that stack has form $\underline{\alpha \beta}$ (making it $\underline{\alpha A}$)
 - Stack can have many combinations of $\underline{\alpha \beta}$
 - How to keep track of length of β ?

10

LHS \rightarrow RHS

Handles

- The basic steps of a bottom-up parser are
 - to identify a substring within a rightmost sentential form which matches the RHS of a rule.
 - when this substring is replaced by the LHS of the matching rule, it must produce the previous rightmost-sentential form.
- Such a substring is called a handle

Handle

- A handle of a right sentential form y is
 - a production rule $A \rightarrow \beta$, and
 - an occurrence of a sub-string β in ysuch that
- when the occurrence of β is replaced by A in y , we get the previous right sentential form in a rightmost derivation of y .

12

Formally, if

$$S \xrightarrow{rm^*} \underline{\alpha A w} \xrightarrow{rm} \underline{\alpha \beta w}$$

then

- β in the position following α ,
- and the corresponding production $A \rightarrow \beta$ is a handle of $\underline{\alpha \beta w}$.
- The string w consists of only terminal symbols

$d = \underline{\alpha \beta}$

Parser has to take a decision whether to go from $\underline{\beta}$ to \underline{A} or not.

13

Handle

- We only want to reduce handle and not any RHS
- **Handle pruning:** If β is a handle and $A \rightarrow \beta$ is a production then replace β by A
- A right most derivation in reverse can be obtained by handle pruning.

14

Handle: Observation

- Only terminal symbols can appear to the right of a handle in a rightmost sentential form.
- Why?
We only expand right-most non-terminal. If $A \rightarrow \beta$ is a handle, there would be no nonterminal symbol in w .
- * If there is a non-terminal symbol in w , that'll be expanded first.

15

Handle: Observation

* Handle can only appear on the top.

Is this scenario possible:

- $\underline{\alpha \beta \gamma}$ is the content of the stack
- $A \rightarrow \gamma$ is a handle
- The stack content reduces to $\underline{\alpha \beta A} \cdot w$
- Now $\underline{B \rightarrow \beta}$ is the handle

In other words, handle is not on top, but buried inside stack

Not Possible! Why?

$S \xrightarrow{rm^*} \underline{\alpha \beta A w} \xrightarrow{rm^*} \underline{\alpha \beta A} \cdot w$

④ This isn't rightmost. ($A \rightarrow \gamma$ should've been expanded first).

16

* rightmost (levels) are expanded first.

Handles ...

- Consider two cases of right most derivation to understand the fact that handle appears on the top of the stack

$$S \rightarrow \underline{\alpha A}z \rightarrow \alpha \underline{\beta}Byz \rightarrow \alpha \underline{\beta}y\underline{yz} \xrightarrow{\text{terminals}} S \rightarrow \underline{\alpha Bx}A\underline{z} \rightarrow \alpha \underline{Bx}yz \rightarrow \alpha \underline{y}xyz]$$

⇒ we always discover handle on top of the stack.

* when there is no handle on the top, we continue shifting.

17

Handle always appears on the top

Case I: $S \rightarrow \underline{\alpha A}z \rightarrow \alpha \underline{\beta}Byz \rightarrow \alpha \underline{\beta}y\underline{yz}$

stack	input	action
$\alpha \underline{\beta}y$	yz	reduce by $B \rightarrow y$
$\alpha \underline{\beta}B$	yz	shift y
$\alpha \underline{\beta}By$	z	reduce by $A \rightarrow \underline{\beta}By$
αA	z	

Case II: $S \rightarrow \alpha Bx \underline{A}z \rightarrow \alpha \underline{Bx}yz \rightarrow \alpha \underline{y}xyz$

stack	input	action
αy	xyz	reduce by $B \rightarrow y$
αB	xyz	shift x
αBx	yz	shift y
αBxy	z	reduce $A \rightarrow y$
αBxA	z	

18

Shift Reduce Parsers

- The general shift-reduce technique is:
 - if there is no handle on the stack then shift.
 - If there is a handle then reduce
- Bottom up parsing is essentially the process of detecting handles and reducing them.
- Different bottom-up parsers differ in the way they detect handles.

19

Conflicts

- What happens when there is a choice
 - What action to take in case both shift and reduce are valid?
shift-reduce conflict ✓
 - Which rule to use for reduction if reduction is possible by more than one rule?
reduce-reduce conflict ✓

Conflict: Only when we won't be able to resolve.

⇒ shift-shift conflicts do not exist
→ only one being possible.

20

Conflicts

- Conflicts come either because of ambiguous grammars or parsing method is not powerful enough

21

Shift reduce conflict

Consider the grammar $E \rightarrow E+E \mid E^*E \mid id$
and the input

stack	input	action
E+E	*id	reduce by $E \rightarrow E+E$
E	*id	shift
E*	id	shift
E*id		reduce by $E \rightarrow id$
E*E		reduce by $E \rightarrow E^*E$
E		reduce by $E \rightarrow E+E$

stack	input	action
E+E	*id	shift
E+E*	id	shift
E+E*id		reduce by $E \rightarrow id$
E+E*E		reduce by $E \rightarrow E^*E$
E+E		reduce by $E \rightarrow E+E$
E		

* Precedence $\exists * \text{ and } +$.


22

→ Parser keeps taking next token from the input buffer.

- Action: tells us what to shift, what to reduce
- Goto: tells us how the stack gets changes
- Not just x_i, s_i

LR parsing

- Input buffer contains the input string.
- Stack contains a string of the form $S_0X_1S_1X_2\dots X_nS_n$ where each X_i is a grammar symbol and each S_i is a state.
- Table contains action and goto parts.
- Action table is indexed by state and terminal symbols.
- Goto table is indexed by state and non terminal symbols.



6

Reduce reduce conflict

Consider the grammar $M \rightarrow R+R \mid R+c \mid R$

$$R \rightarrow c$$

and the input

$$c+c$$

Stack	input	action
c	+c	reduce by $R \rightarrow c$
R	+c	shift
R+	c	shift
R+c		reduce by $R \rightarrow c$
R+R		reduce by $M \rightarrow R+R$
M		reduce by $M \rightarrow R+R$

23

Stack	input	action
c	+c	shift
R	+c	reduce by $R \rightarrow c$
R+	c	shift
R+c		shift
M		reduce by $M \rightarrow R+R$

35: shift state 5

34: reduce using rule no.

4.
→ Each rule is numbered

* We should never get in the blank spaces.

Example Consider a grammar and its parse table

$$\begin{array}{l} E \rightarrow E + T \\ T \rightarrow T * F \\ F \rightarrow (E) \mid id \end{array}$$

State	id	+	*	()	\$	E	T	F	→ a _i
0	s ₅	✓err	✓err				1	2	3	
1		s ₆				acc				
2		r ₂	s ₇			r ₂	r ₂			
3		r ₄	r ₄			r ₄	r ₄			
4	s ₅			s ₄			8	2	3	
5		r ₆	r ₆			r ₆	r ₆			
6	s ₅			s ₄			9	3		
7	s ₅			s ₄				10		action
8		s ₆			s ₁₁					
9		r ₁	s ₇			r ₁	r ₁			
10		r ₃	r ₃			r ₃	r ₃			goto 25
11		r ₅	r ₅			r ₅	r ₅			25

Action → a_i Goto → 25

Actions in an LR (shift reduce) parser

- Assume S_i is top of stack and a_i is current input symbol
- Action $[S_i, a_i]$ can have four values
 - s_j : shift a_i to the stack, goto state S_j
 - r_k : reduce by rule number k
 - acc: Accept
 - err: Error (empty cells in the table)

26

Driving the LR parser

Stack: $S_0 X_1 S_1 X_2 \dots X_m S_m$ Input: $a_i a_{i+1} \dots a_n \$$

- If action $[S_m, a_i] = \text{shift } S$
Then the configuration becomes

Stack: $S_0 X_1 S_1 \dots X_m S_m a_i S$ Input: $a_{i+1} \dots a_n \$$

- If action $[S_m, a_i] = \text{reduce } A \rightarrow \beta$
Then the configuration becomes
- Stack: $S_0 X_1 S_1 \dots X_{m-r} S_{m-r} AS$ Input: $a_i a_{i+1} \dots a_n \$$
Where $r = |\beta|$ and $S = \text{goto}[S_{m-r}, A]$

Top 'r' symbols
& states are removed.

27

Driving the LR parser

Stack: $S_0 X_1 S_1 X_2 \dots X_m S_m$ Input: $a_i a_{i+1} \dots a_n \$$

- If action $[S_m, a_i] = \text{accept}$
Then parsing is completed. HALT
- If action $[S_m, a_i] = \text{error (or empty cell)}$
Then invoke error recovery routine.

28

Non-ambiguous grammar

Parse: id + id * id

State	id	+	*	()	\$	E	T	F
0	s5						1	2	3
1				s6					
2			r2	s7			r2	r2	
3			r4	r4			r4	r4	
4	s5				s4			8	2 3
5			r6	r6			r6	r6	
6	s5				s4			9	3
7	s5					s11			10
8			r1	s7			r1	r1	
9			r3	r3			r3	r3	
10			r5	r5			r5	r5	
11									

1. $E \rightarrow E + T$
2. $E \rightarrow T$
3. $T \rightarrow T * F \rightarrow \text{acc} = 3$
4. $T \rightarrow F$
5. $F \rightarrow (E)$
6. $F \rightarrow id$

29

Parse id + id * id

Stack	Input	Action
0	id	shift 5
0 F	id * id \$	reduce by $F \rightarrow id$
0 T	+ id * id \$	reduce by $T \rightarrow F$
0 E	+ id * id \$	reduce by $E \rightarrow T$
0 E 1	+ id * id \$	shift 6
0 E 1 + 6	+ id * id \$	shift 5
0 E 1 + 6 id	+ id * id \$	reduce by $F \rightarrow id$
0 E 1 + 6 id 5	* id \$	reduce by $T \rightarrow F$
0 E 1 + 6 F	* id \$	shift 7
0 E 1 + 6 T	* id \$	shift 5
0 E 1 + 6 T 9	* id \$	reduce by $F \rightarrow id$
0 E 1 + 6 T 9 * 7	* id \$	reduce by $T \rightarrow F$
0 E 1 + 6 T 9 * 7 id	* id \$	reduce by $E \rightarrow E + T$
0 E 1 + 6 T 9 * 7 F	* id \$	ACCEPT
0 E 1 + 6 T 9 * 7 F 10	* id \$	
0 E 1 + 6 T 9	\$	
0 E 1	\$	
	\$	

rule 6

30

Configuration of a LR parser

- The tuple $\langle \text{Stack Contents, Remaining Input} \rangle$ defines a configuration of a LR parser
- Initially the configuration is $\langle S_0, a_0 a_1 \dots a_n \$ \rangle$
- Typical final configuration on a successful parse is $\langle S_0 X_1 S_i, \$ \rangle$

31

LR parsing Algorithm

Initial state: Stack: S_0 Input: $w\$$

```

while (1) {
    if (action[S,a] = shift S') {
        push(a); push(S'); ip++
    } else if (action[S,a] = reduce A → β) {
        pop (2*|β|) symbols;
        push(A); push(goto[S'',A])
        ( $S''$  is the state at stack top after popping symbols)
    } else if (action[S,a] = accept) {
        exit
    } else { error }
}

```

32