# Fundamentals of Database Systems

Assignment: 2

Due Date: 18th August, 2017

#### **Instructions**

This question paper contains 10 questions in 6 pages.

Q1: Consider the following schema for an office payroll system, where the primary keys are underlined and the foreign keys are italicized.

```
Person(<u>pid</u>, fname, lname)
Employee(pid, desig, salary)
```

Write a relational algebra query to update the salary of all employees to the average salary for their designation.

- A.  $Employee \leftarrow \pi_{pid,desig,salary=avg(salary)}(Employee * (_{desig}\mathcal{G}_{avg(salary)}(Employee)))$
- B.  $\pi_{pid,desig,salary=avg(salary)}\sigma_{salary\neq avg(salary)}(Employee*(desig \mathcal{G}_{avg(salary)}(Employee)))$
- C.  $Employee \leftarrow \pi_{pid,salary=avg(salary)}(Employee * (desig \mathcal{G}_{avg(salary)}(Employee)))$
- D.  $Employee \leftarrow \sigma_{salary \neq avg(salary)}(Employee * (_{desig}\mathcal{G}_{avg(salary)}(Employee)))$

**Explanation:** Updation of a set of tuples require the tuples to be selected, some function/operation on the required attribute of the selected tuple and assignment to relation without changing the schema.

**Q2:** Consider the following schema for an office payroll system, where the primary keys are underlined and the foreign keys are italicized.

Write an SQL query for the following relational algebra query.

$$Employee \leftarrow \pi_{pid,desig,salary=avg(salary)}(Employee * (desig \mathcal{G}_{avg(salary)}(Employee)))$$

A. UPDATE Employee

SET salary=avg(salary)

WHERE salary != avg

GROUP BY desig;

B. SELECT salary=avg(salary), desig

FROM Employee

GROUP BY desig;

C. WITH t as (SELECT desig as d, avg(salary) as avg

FROM Employee

**GROUP BY desig)** 

**UPDATE** Employee, t

SET salary=avg

WHERE desig = d;

D. SELECT pid, desig, salary FROM EmployeeWHERE salary = avg(salary) GROUP BY desig;

**Explanation:** Option C is correct, as it groups designations calculating average salary for each, and then updates the table appropriately.

A is incorrect since GROUP BY cannot be used in an UPDATE query.

B and D are incorrect because they do not perform any update, as well as logical mistakes.

Q3: Consider the following schema, where the primary key is underlined.

Person(pid, first\_name, last\_name, address)

Consider the following queries.

- SQL Query: SELECT first\_name, last\_name FROM Person;
- Relational Algebra Query:  $\pi_{first\_name,last\_name}(Person)$

Can the two queries have different number of tuples in their outputs?

- A. Yes
- B. No
- C. Not enough information
- D. The two queries are not the same.

**Explanation:** The two queries are same and can have different number of tuples in their answer sets based on data, since relational algebra queries output distinct tuples or a set while SQL queries are by default multi-set in nature.

- **Q4:** From the following options, select the command syntax that suggests that SQL is a Data Manipulative Language (DML).
  - A. INSERT INTO table-name VALUES (comma separated list of values);
  - B. DROP TABLE table-name;
  - C. ALTER TABLE table-name DROP attribute-name;
  - D. None of the above

**Explanation:** INSERT command is one of the DML commands in SQL while DROP and ALTER commands are part of DDL commands.

- **Q5:** From the following options, select the command syntax that suggests that SQL is a Data Definition Language (DDL).
  - A. UPDATE table-name SET attribute-name = value;

B. DELETE FROM table-name WHERE predicate;

- C. CREATE TABLE table-name (attribute-name datatype);
- D. All of the above

**Explanation:** CREATE TABLE is a DDL command while UPDATE and DELETE are DML commands.

**Q6:** Given below is the database schema of a hotel, where the primary keys are underlined and the foreign keys are italicized.

Room(room\_no, intercom\_no, tariff)

Customer(cid, name, contact, address, staying)

Checkin(chid, cid, room\_no, checkinTimestamp, checkoutTimestamp)

The *staying* attribute of the Customer table stores indicates if the customer is currently staying; if yes, the value is 'Yes', otherwise, it is 'No'. The system needs a trigger that changes the value of *staying* to 'No' when the check-out time is updated in the *Checkin* table. Assuming that the check-out time is updated one at a time, select the best possible answer that defines the above trigger.

## A. CREATE TRIGGER update\_staying

AFTER UPDATE of checkoutTimestamp on Checkin

**BEGIN** 

**UPDATE Customer as C** 

SET staying = 'No'

WHERE C.cid = cid

**END** 

## B. CREATE TRIGGER update\_staying

BEFORE INSERT on Checkin

**BEGIN** 

UPDATE Customer as C

SET staying = 'No'

WHERE C.cid = cid

**END** 

#### C. CREATE TRIGGER update\_staying

BEFORE UPDATE of checkoutTimestamp on Checkin

BEGIN

UPDATE Customer as C

SET staying = 'No'

WHERE C.cid = cid

**END** 

## D. CREATE TRIGGER update\_staying

AFTER INSERT on Checkin

**BEGIN** 

UPDATE Customer as C

SET staying = 'No'

WHERE C.cid = cid

**END** 

**Explanation:** The query to record check-out time will be an update query and the staying status of a customer should be changed only after the check-out time has been updated from null to some value.

Q7: Given below is the database schema of a hotel, where the primary keys are underlined and the foreign keys are italicized.

Room(room\_no, intercom\_no, tariff)

Customer(cid, name, contact, address, staying)

Checkin(chid, cid, room\_no, checkinTimestamp, checkoutTimestamp)

What is the result of the following query?

**UPDATE Room** 

SET tariff = 1.15\*tariff

WHERE 1;

- A. Tariff of some rooms is increased by 15% for 'room\_no' 1.
- B. Tariff of 1 room is increased by 15%.
- C. Tariff of all rooms is increased by 15%.
- D. None of the above.

**Explanation:** C is correct.

Condition '1' evaluates to TRUE, thus tariff is increased for all rooms by 15%.

- **Q8:** Which is the keyword used in SQL to remove a table?
  - A. REMOVE
  - B. DELETE
  - C. ALTER
  - D. DROP

**Explanation:** SQL specifications.

**Q9:** Consider the following database schema for an online game, where the primary keys are underlined and the foreign keys are italicized.

**Account**(id, *username*, email, password)

Player(username, cid, attack-value, attack-rank, defense-value, defense-rank, gold, rank)

**Attack**(aid, attacker, defender, result, gold\_stolen)

Clan(cid, cname)

Each account is tied to a player name. Players attack each other to steal gold and raise their *attack-value*, *defense-value* to earn better rank.

Players can spend their gold to change their *attack-value*, *defense-value* at any point. Given a state of the game at any point, *attack-value* and *defense-value* can be ranked individually. Highest *attack-value* results in the *attack-rank* 1 and so on. At every hour mark, the ranks are updated based on values at that point.

Which SQL query would be used to update the attack-rank and defense-rank into the Player table?

**Note**: You may assume that "Rank()" is a function that simply gives the rank of each row of a query with respect to the other rows. Syntax of Rank() is

Rank() OVER ( [ query\_partition\_clause] ORDER BY clause )

```
A. WITH t1 as (
   ( SELECT username, attack-value AS arank FROM Player ORDER BY attack-value )
   ( SELECT username, defense-value AS drank FROM Player ORDER BY defense-value )
   UPDATE Player
   SET attack-rank = arank, defense-rank = drank:
B. WITH t1 as
   ( SELECT username, attack-value AS arank FROM Player ORDER BY attack-value ),
   ( SELECT username, defense-value AS drank FROM Player ORDER BY defense-value )
   UPDATE Player
   SET attack-rank = t1.arank, defense-rank = t2.drank;
C. WITH t1 as (
   (SELECT username, Rank() OVER (ORDER BY attack-value DESC) AS arank FROM Player)
   NATURAL JOIN
   ( SELECT username, Rank() OVER (ORDER BY defense-value DESC) as drank FROM Player)
   UPDATE Player NATURAL JOIN t1
   SET attack-rank = arank, defense-rank = drank;
D. WITH t1 as
   ( SELECT username, Rank() OVER (ORDER BY attack-value DESC) AS arank FROM Player)
   ( SELECT username, Rank() OVER (ORDER BY defense-value DESC) as drank FROM Player)
   UPDATE t1 NATURAL JOIN t2
   SET attack-rank = t1.arank, defense-rank = t2.drank;
```

## **Explanation:** C

t1 is a natural join on ordering on attack and defense values. Rank on such ordering is taken from this table and inserted in the Player table.

**Q10:** Consider the following database schema for an online game, where the primary keys are underlined and the foreign keys are italicized.

**Account**(id, *username*, email, password)

Player(username, cid, attack-value, attack-rank, defense-value, defense-rank, gold, rank)

Attack(aid, attacker, defender, result, gold\_stolen)

Clan(cid, cname)

Each account is tied to a player name. Players attack each other to steal gold and raise their *attack-value*, *defense-value* to earn better rank.

The summation of *attack-rank* and *defense-rank* decides a player's total rank, with the lowest sum yielding best rank. For example, someone having rank #1 on *attack-value* and rank #100 on *defense-value* (sum 101) will be ranked worse than someone who has rank #25 of both (sum 50).

What would be the SQL query to update current rank of the players (after the *attack-rank* and *defense-rank* has been updated)?

**Note**: You may assume that "Rank()" is a function that simply gives the rank of each row of a query with respect to the other rows. Syntax of Rank() is

Rank() OVER ( [ query\_partition\_clause] ORDER BY clause )

## A. UPDATE Player

**NATURAL JOIN** 

( SELECT username, Rank() OVER (ORDER BY (attack-rank + defense-rank) ASC) AS overall\_rank FROM Player ) SET rank = overall\_rank;

## B. UPDATE Player

SET rank = attack-rank + defense-rank;

## C. UPDATE Player

**NATURAL JOIN** 

( <code>SELECT</code> username, <code>Rank()</code> OVER (ORDER BY attack-value ASC) AS a\_rank, <code>Rank()</code> OVER (ORDER BY defense-value ASC) AS d\_rank FROM Player )

SET rank =  $a_rank + d_rank$ ;

## D. UPDATE Player

NATURAL JOIN

( SELECT username, Rank() OVER (ORDER BY (attack-value + defense-value) ASC) AS overall\_rank FROM Player ) SET rank = overall\_rank;

## **Explanation:** A is correct.

Since attack-rank and defense-rank are already present, we order on their sum and assign rank thereafter. Ordering on the attack-value and defense-value as in opion D, will not be reliable since their ranks need not be directly proportional to values. B and C are clearly wrong since they are basically setting the final rank as sum of individual ranks, instead of ordering on that sum.