

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Spatial Derivatives</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Definition and elementary properties of spatial derivatives . . . . .	2

# Chapter 1

## Spatial Derivatives

Spatial derivatives were introduced by A. Connes in [1]. In this chapter, we give an alternative definition (equivalent to that given in [1]) suggested to us by U. Haagerup, based on the notion of the extended positive part of a von Neumann algebra. This definition permits us to obtain very easily some elementary properties of spatial derivatives. After this, we recall their main modular properties and the characterization as  $(-1)$ -homogeneous operators.

### 1.1 Definition and elementary properties of spatial derivatives

Let  $M$  be a von Neumann algebra acting on a Hilbert space  $H$ , and let  $\psi$  be a normal faithful semifinite weight on the commutant  $M'$  of  $M$ .

We shall use the following standard notation:  $n_\psi = \{y \in M' | \psi(y^*y) < \infty\}$ ,  $H_\psi$  the Hilbert space completion of  $n_\psi$  with respect to the inner product  $(y_1, y_2) \mapsto \psi(y_2^*y_1)$ ,  $\Lambda_\psi$  the canonical injection of  $n_\psi$  into  $H_\psi$ ,  $\pi_\psi$  the canonical representation of  $M'$  on  $H_\psi$ .

**Definition 1.** For each  $\xi \in H$ , we denote by  $R^\psi(\xi)$  the (densely defined) operator from  $H_\psi$  to  $H$  defined by

$$R^\psi(\xi)\Lambda_\psi(y) = y\xi, y \in n_\psi. \quad (1)$$

**Proposition 2.** For all  $\xi, \xi_1, \xi_2 \in H$ ,  $x \in M$ , and  $y \in M'$  we have

$$(i) \ R^\psi(\xi_1 + \xi_2) = R^\psi(\xi_1) + R^\psi(\xi_2),$$

$$(ii) \ R^\psi(x\xi) = xR^\psi(\xi),$$

$$(iii) \ yR^\psi(\xi) \subset R^\psi(\xi)\pi_\psi(y),$$

and

$$(i)^* \ R^\psi(\xi_1)^* + R^\psi(\xi_2)^* \subset R^\psi(\xi_1 + \xi_2)^*,$$

$$(ii)^* \ R^\psi(x\xi)^* = R^\psi(\xi)^*x^*,$$

$$(iii)^* \ \pi_\psi(y)R^\psi(\xi)^* \subset R^\psi(\xi)^*y^*.$$

*Proof.* (i) and (ii) are immediate from Definition 1. (iii): For all  $z \in n_\psi$ , we have  $yR^\psi(\xi)\Lambda_\psi(z) = yz\xi = R^\psi(\xi)\Lambda_\psi(yz) = R^\psi(\xi)\pi_\psi(y)\Lambda_\psi(z)$ .

(i)\*, (ii)\*, and (iii)\* follow from (i), (ii), and (iii) using  $R^\psi(\xi_1) + R^\psi(\xi_2) \subset (R^\psi(\xi_1) + R^\psi(\xi_2))^*$ ,  $(xR^\psi(\xi))^* = R^\psi(\xi)^*x^*$ , and  $(y^*R^\psi(\xi))^* = R^\psi(\xi)^*y^*$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.** A vector  $\xi \in H$  is called  $\psi$ -bounded if the operator  $R^\psi(\xi)$  is bounded. The set of  $\psi$ -bounded vectors is denoted  $D(H, \psi)$ .

**Notation.** If  $\xi \in D(H, \psi)$ ,  $R^\psi(\xi)$  extends to a bounded operator  $H_\psi \rightarrow H$  which we shall also denote  $R^\psi(\xi)$ .

**Proposition 4.** The set  $D(H, \psi)$  is an  $M$ -invariant dense subspace of  $H$ .

*Proof.* That  $D(H, \psi)$  is an  $M$ -invariant subspace of  $H$  follows from Proposition 2, i) and (ii). Denote by  $e$  the projection onto  $\overline{D(H, \psi)}$ ; then  $e \in M'$ . Suppose that  $e \neq 1$ . Then  $\psi(1 - e) > 0$ . We can write  $\psi = \sum_{i \in I} \omega_{\zeta_i, \zeta_i}$  for certain  $\zeta_i \in H$ . Then for at least one  $\zeta_i$ , we have  $((1 - e)\zeta_i | \zeta_i) \neq 0$  so that  $(1 - e)\zeta_i \neq 0$ . On the other hand, we have

$$\forall y \in n_\psi : \|y\zeta_i\|^2 \leq \psi(y^*y) = \|\Lambda_\psi(y)\|^2$$

so that  $\zeta_i \in D(H, \psi)$  and hence  $e\zeta_i = \zeta_i$ . This is a contradiction. Hence we must have  $e = 1$  and  $D(H, \psi)$  is dense in  $H$ .  $\square$

Let  $\xi \in H$ . By Proposition 2, (iii)\*,  $D(R^\psi(\xi)^*)$  is invariant under the action of  $M'$ . Hence the projection  $p$  onto  $\overline{D(R^\psi(\xi)^*)}$  is in  $M$ . Considered as an operator from  $pH$  to  $H_\psi$ ,  $R^\psi(\xi)^*$  is closed and densely defined and hence  $|R^\psi(\xi)^*|^2$  exists as a positive self-adjoint operator on  $pH$  which by Proposition 2, (iii)\*, is affiliated with  $pMp$ . We denote by  $\theta^\psi(\xi, \xi)$  the element of  $\widehat{M}_+$  (the extended positive part of  $M$ ) associated with the couple  $(pH, |R^\psi(\xi)^*|^2)$  as in [7, Example 1.2 and Lemma 1.4], i.e.

**Definition 5.** For each  $\xi \in H$ , we denote by

$$\theta^\psi(\xi, \xi)$$

the element of  $\widehat{M}_+$  characterized by

$$\forall \eta \in H : \langle \omega_{\eta, \eta}, \theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) \rangle = \begin{cases} \|R^\psi(\xi)^* \eta\|^2 & \text{if } \eta \in D(R^\psi(\xi)^*) \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}. \quad (2)$$

**Remark 6.** If  $\xi \in D(H, \psi)$ , we simply have

$$\theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) = R^\psi(\xi) R^\psi(\xi)^*. \quad (3)$$

**Proposition 7.** For all  $\xi \in H$  and  $x \in M$ , we have

$$\theta^\psi(x\xi, x\xi) = x \cdot \theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) \cdot x^*.$$

*Proof.* For all  $\eta \in H$ , we have, using Proposition 2, (ii)\*, and Definition 5

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \omega_{\eta, \eta}, \theta^\psi(x\xi, x\xi) \rangle &= \langle \omega_{x^* \eta, x^* \eta}, \theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) \rangle \\ &= \langle x^* \cdot \omega_{\eta, \eta} \cdot x, \theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) \rangle \\ &= \langle \omega_{\eta, \eta}, x \cdot \theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) \cdot x^* \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality simply follows from the definition of the operation  $m \mapsto x \cdot m \cdot x^*$  in  $\widehat{M}_+$ .  $\square$

Recall that by [7, Proposition 1.10], every normal weight  $\varphi$  has a unique extension, also denoted  $\varphi$ , to a normal weight on  $\widehat{M}_+$ .

**Definition 8.** Let  $\varphi$  be a normal weight on  $M$ . We define

$$q_\varphi : H \rightarrow [0, \infty]$$

by

$$q_\varphi(\xi) = \langle \varphi, \theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) \rangle, \xi \in H. \quad (4)$$

**Proposition 9.** Let  $\varphi$  be a normal weight on  $M$ . Then  $q_\varphi$  is a l.s.c. quadratic form on  $M$ , i.e.

$$(i) \quad \forall \xi_1, \xi_2 \in H : q_\varphi(\xi_1 + \xi_2) + q_\varphi(\xi_1 - \xi_2) = 2q_\varphi(\xi_1) + 2q_\varphi(\xi_2),$$

$$(ii) \quad \forall \xi \in H \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : q_\varphi(\lambda\xi) = |\lambda|^2 q_\varphi(\xi),$$

(iii)  $q_\varphi$  is lower semi-continuous.

*Proof.* (ii) is immediate. For the proof of (i) and (iii), first suppose that  $\varphi = \omega_{\eta, \eta}$  for some  $\eta \in H$ . Then

$$q_\varphi(\xi) = \langle \omega_{\eta, \eta}, \theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) \rangle = \begin{cases} \|R^\psi(\xi)^* \eta\|^2 & \text{if } \eta \in D(R^\psi(\xi)^*) \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}. \quad (5)$$

Let  $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in H$ . We shall prove that

$$q_\varphi(\xi_1 + \xi_2) + q_\varphi(\xi_1 - \xi_2) \leq 2q_\varphi(\xi_1) + 2q_\varphi(\xi_2). \quad (6)$$

If either  $\eta \in D(R^\psi(\xi_1)^*)$  or  $\eta \in D(R^\psi(\xi_2)^*)$ , the right hand side of (6) is  $+\infty$  and hence (6) holds. Now suppose that  $\eta \in D(R^\psi(\xi_1)^*)$  and  $\eta \in D(R^\psi(\xi_2)^*)$ . Then by Proposition 2, (i)\*, also  $\eta \in D(R^\psi(\xi_1 + \xi_2)^*)$  and  $\eta \in D(R^\psi(\xi_1 - \xi_2)^*)$ . Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|R^\psi(\xi_1 + \xi_2)^* \eta\|^2 + \|R^\psi(\xi_1 - \xi_2)^* \eta\|^2 \\ &= \|R^\psi(\xi_1)^* \eta + R^\psi(\xi_2)^* \eta\|^2 + \|R^\psi(\xi_1)^* \eta - R^\psi(\xi_2)^* \eta\|^2 \\ &= 2\|R^\psi(\xi_1)^* \eta\|^2 + 2\|R^\psi(\xi_2)^* \eta\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proved (6) in all cases.

By (6) applied to  $\xi_1 + \xi_2$  and  $\xi_1 - \xi_2$  we get

$$4(q_\varphi(\xi_1) + q_\varphi(\xi_2)) = q_\varphi(2\xi_1) + q_\varphi(2\xi_2) \leq 2q_\varphi(\xi_1 + \xi_2) + 2q_\varphi(\xi_1 - \xi_2).$$

In all, we have shown (i).

By (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \omega_{\eta, \eta}, \theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) \rangle &= \sup\{ |(R^\psi(\xi)^* \eta | \zeta)|^2 | \zeta \in D(R^\psi(\xi)), \|\zeta\| \leq 1 \} \\ &= \sup\{ |(\eta | R^\psi(\xi) \Lambda_\psi(y))|^2 | y \in n_\psi, \|\Lambda_\psi(y)\| \leq 1 \} \\ &= \sup\{ |(\eta | y \xi)|^2 | y \in n_\psi, \|\Lambda_\psi(y)\| \leq 1 \}\end{aligned}$$

for all  $\xi \in H$ . Since each  $\xi \mapsto |(\eta | y \xi)|^2$  is continuous, this implies (iii).

Now let  $\varphi$  be an arbitrary normal weight. Then we can write

$$\varphi = \sum_{i \in I} \omega_{\eta_i, \eta_i}$$

and thus (cf. the proof of [7, Proposition 1.10])

$$\forall \xi \in H : q_\varphi(\xi) = \langle \varphi, \theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) \rangle = \sum_{i \in I} \langle \omega_{\eta_i, \eta_i}, \theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) \rangle.$$

Now (i) and (iii) follow by the first part of the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 10.** Let  $\varphi$  be a normal weight on  $M$ . Write

$$\text{Dom}(q_\varphi) = \{\xi \in H | q_\varphi(\xi) < \infty\}. \quad (7)$$

Then for all  $x \in n_\varphi$  and  $\xi \in D(H, \psi)$ , we have

$$x^* \xi \in \text{Dom}(q_\varphi). \quad (8)$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}q_\varphi(x^* \xi) &= \langle \varphi, \theta^\psi(x^* \xi, x^* \xi) \rangle \\ &= \langle \varphi, x^* \cdot \theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) \cdot x \rangle \\ &\leq \| \theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) \| \langle \varphi, x^* x \rangle < \infty.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, if  $\varphi$  is semifinite then  $\text{Dom}(q_\varphi)$  is dense in  $H$  (since  $n_\varphi^*$  is strongly dense in  $M$ ).