

Contents

1	Measurable Operators with Respect to a Trace	2
1.1	Preliminaries on unbounded operators	3
1.2	Preliminaries on projections	5
1.3	The theory of τ -measurable operators	6
1.4	L^p spaces with respect to a trace	18
1.5	Notes and comments	19
2	L^p Spaces Associated with a Von Neumann Algebra	20

Chapter 1

Measurable Operators with Respect to a Trace

In this chapter, we define the notion of measurability with respect to a trace τ on a von Neumann algebra M and show that the set M of τ -measurable operators is a complete topological $*$ -algebra. Our presentation is a modified version of that given by E. Nelson [13].

Let M be a - necessarily semifinite - von Neumann algebra acting on a Hilbert space H and let τ be a normal faithful semifinite trace on M .

For the convenience of the reader, we immediately give the definition of τ -measurability and state the main theorem about τ -measurable operators.

Definition 14: A closed densely defined operator a affiliated with M is called τ -measurable if for all $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+$ there exists a projection $p \in M$ such that

$$pH \subset D(a) \text{ and } \tau(1 - p) \leq \delta$$

For a characterization of τ -measurable operators in terms of the spectral projections of their absolute value, see Proposition 21 below.

We denote by \widetilde{M} the set of τ -measurable closed densely defined operators.

Theorem 28. 1) \widetilde{M} is a $*$ -algebra with respect to strong sum, strong product, and adjoint operation.

2) The sets

$$N(\epsilon, \delta) = \{a \in \widetilde{M} \mid \exists p \in M_{\text{proj}} : pH \subset D(a), \|ap\| \leq \epsilon, \tau(1-p) \leq \delta\},$$

where $\epsilon, \delta \in \mathbb{R}_+$, form a basis for the neighbourhoods of 0 for a topology on \widetilde{M} that turns \widetilde{M} into a topological vector space.

3) M is a complete Hausdorff topological $*$ -algebra and M is a dense subset of \widetilde{M} .

Once this theorem has been proven, we can freely add and multiply operators from \widetilde{M} , the operations being understood in the strong sense (see the definition below). Until then, we have to deal with unbounded operators in the usual careful way.

Although we are mainly interested in closed densely defined operators it will be convenient for us to work with more general kinds of unbounded operators. We therefore start by recalling some basic facts on arbitrary unbounded operators. Next, we recall some properties of the lattice M_{proj} of projections in M . After this, we go on to develop the theory of τ -measurability.

1.1 Preliminaries on unbounded operators

Recall that for any (linear) operators a and b on H we can define the sum $a+b$ and the product ab as operators on H with domains

$$D(a+b) = D(a) \cap D(b), \tag{1}$$

$$D(ab) = \{\xi \in D(b) \mid b\xi \in D(a)\}. \tag{2}$$

These operations are associative so that $a+b+c$ and abc are well-defined operators. Furthermore, for all a, b and c we have

$$(a+b)c = ac + bc \text{ and } c(a+b) \supset ca + cb \tag{3}$$

(with equality if $D(c) = H$).

We shall use the following terminology: an operator a on H is closed if its graph $G(a)$ is closed in $H \otimes H$; a is preclosed if the closure $\overline{G(a)}$ of its graph is the graph of some - necessarily closed - operator (the closure of a , denoted $[a]$; a is densely defined if $D(a)$ is dense in H .

If a , b and ab are densely defined, then

$$(ab)^* \supset b^*a^* \quad (4)$$

with equality if a is bounded and everywhere defined.

A closed densely defined operator a has a unique polar decomposition

$$a = u|a| \quad (5)$$

where $|a|$ is a positive self-adjoint operator and u a partial isometry with $\text{supp}(a)$ as its initial projection and $r(a)$, the projection onto the closure of the range of a , as its final projection.

If the sum $a + b$ of two closed densely defined operators a and b is preclosed and densely defined, then the closure $[a + b]$ is called the strong sum of a and b . Similarly, the strong product is the closure $[ab]$ if ab is preclosed and densely defined.

We shall write

$$\|a\| = \sup\{\|a\xi\| \mid \|\xi\| \leq 1\}$$

for all everywhere defined operators a on H , bounded or not. For all such operators, the usual norm estimates hold:

$$\|a + b\| \leq \|a\| + \|b\|, \|ab\| \leq \|a\|\|b\|.$$

Denote by M' the commutant of M .

Definition 1. A linear operator a on H is said to be affiliated with M (and we write $a\eta H$) if

$$\forall y \in M' : ya \subset ay \quad (6)$$

Remark 2. Using (3), (4) and (5) one easily verifies that

1. if $a, b\eta M$, then $a + b\eta M$ and $ab\eta H$;

2. if a is preclosed, resp. densely defined, and $a\eta M$, then $[a]\eta M$, resp. $a^*\eta M$;
3. if a is a closed densely defined operator with polar decomposition $a = u|a|$, then $a\eta M$ if and only if $u \in M$.

Notation. We denote by \overline{M} the set of closed densely defined operators affiliated with M .

1.2 Preliminaries on projections

We denote by M_{proj} the lattice of (orthogonal) projections in M . For a family $(p_i)_{i \in I}$ of projections in M , $\bigwedge_{i \in I} p_i$ (resp. $\bigvee_{i \in I} p_i$) is the projection onto $\bigcap_{i \in I} p_i H$ (resp. $\overline{\bigcup_{i \in I} p_i H}$).

Recall that

$$(\bigwedge_{i \in I} p_i)^\perp = \bigvee_{i \in I} p_i^\perp, (\bigvee_{i \in I} p_i)^\perp = \bigwedge_{i \in I} p_i^\perp \quad (7)$$

where $p^\perp = 1 - p$ is the projection orthogonal to p

Two projections p and q are equivalent if $p = u^*u$ and $q = uu^*$ for some $u \in M$. We denote equivalence by \sim . Equivalent projections have the same trace.

By the polar decomposition theorem, we have

Lemma 3. *Let a be a closed densely defined operator affiliated with M . Then*

$$\text{supp}(a) \sim r(a)$$

where $r(a)$ denotes the projection onto the closure of the range of a .

For any projections $p, q \in M$ we have

$$(p \vee q) - p \sim q - (p \wedge q). \quad (8)$$

It follows that

$$\tau(p \vee q) \leq \tau(p) + \tau(q). \quad (9)$$

More generally,

$$\tau(\bigvee_{i \in I} p_i) \leq \sum_{i \in I} \tau(p_i) \quad (10)$$

for any family $(p_i)_{i \in I}$ of projections in M (if I is finite, this follows by induction from (9); for the general case, use the normality of τ).

Another consequence of (8) is this:

$$\forall p, q \in M_{\text{proj}} : p \wedge q = 0 \Rightarrow p \lesssim 1 - q \quad (11)$$

(where \lesssim means: "is equivalent to a subprojection of"). Indeed,

$$p = 1 - p^\perp = (p \wedge q)^\perp - p^\perp = (p^\perp \vee q^\perp) - p^\perp \sim q^\perp - (p^\perp \wedge q^\perp) \leq q^\perp = 1 - q.$$

1.3 The theory of τ -measurable operators

Definition 4. Let $\epsilon, \delta \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Then we denote by $D(\epsilon, \delta)$ the set of all operators $a \eta M$ for which there exists a projection $p \in M$ such that

1. $pH \subset D(a)$ and $\|ap\| \leq \epsilon$ and
2. $\tau(1 - p) \leq \delta$.

When $pH \subset D(a)$, the operator ap is everywhere defined. The requirement $\|ap\| \leq \epsilon$ in particular implies that ap is bounded.

Note that we do not require a to be densely defined, closed or preclosed.

Proposition 5. Let $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \delta_1, \delta_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Then

1. $D(\epsilon_1, \delta_1) + D(\epsilon_2, \delta_2) \subset D(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2, \delta_1 + \delta_2)$,
2. $D(\epsilon_1, \delta_1)D(\epsilon_2, \delta_2) \subset D(\epsilon_1\epsilon_2, \delta_1 + \delta_2)$.

Proof. (1) Let $a \in D(\epsilon_1, \delta_1)$ and $b \in D(\epsilon_2, \delta_2)$. Then there exist projections $p, q \in M$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} pH \subset D(a), \|ap\| \leq \epsilon_1, \text{ and } \tau(1 - p) \leq \delta_1, \\ qH \subset D(b), \|bq\| \leq \epsilon_2, \text{ and } \tau(1 - q) \leq \delta_2. \end{aligned}$$

Put $r = p \wedge q$. Then

$$rH = pH \cap qH \subset D(a) \cap D(b) = D(a + b)$$

and

$$\|(a + b)r\| = \|ar + br\| \leq \|ar\| + \|br\| \leq \|ap\| + \|bq\| \leq \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2$$

Furthermore,

$$\tau(1 - r) = \tau((p \wedge q)^\perp) = \tau(p^\perp \vee q^\perp) \leq \tau(1 - p) + \tau(1 - q) \leq \delta_1 + \delta_2$$

This proves (1).

To prove (2), let $a \in D(\epsilon_1, \delta_1)$, $b \in D(\epsilon_2, \delta_2)$ and take $p, q \in M_{\text{proj}}$ as above. Then bq , and hence $(1 - p)bq$, is bounded. Denote by s the projection onto its null space:

$$sH = N((1 - p)bq).$$

Then $bq\xi \in pH \subset D(a)$ for all $\xi \in sH$, so that $sH \subset D(abq)$ and hence

$$(q \wedge s)H \subset D(ab)$$

Also, $abqs = apbqs$ so that

$$ab(q \wedge s) = abqs(q \wedge s) = apbq(q \wedge s)$$

and thus

$$\|ab(q \wedge s)\| \leq \|ap\|\|bq\| \leq \epsilon_1\epsilon_2.$$

On the other hand, using that

$$1 - s = \text{supp}((1 - p)bq) \sim r((1 - p)bq) \leq 1 - p,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(1 - (q \wedge s)) &= \tau((1 - q) \vee (1 - s)) \leq \tau(1 - q) + \tau(1 - s) \\ &\leq \tau(1 - q) + \tau(1 - p) \leq \delta_1 + \delta_2. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 6. *Let $\epsilon, \delta \in \mathbb{R}_+$.*

1. Let a be a preclosed operator. Then

$$a \in D(\epsilon, \delta) \Rightarrow [a] \in D(\epsilon, \delta).$$

2. Let a be a closed densely defined operator with polar decomposition $a = u|a|$. Then

$$a \in D(\epsilon, \delta) \Leftrightarrow u \in M \text{ and } |a| \in D(\epsilon, \delta).$$

Proof. (1): trivial. (2): trivial, since $a = u|a|$, $|a| = u^*a$, and $\|u\| \leq 1$. \square

Lemma 7. Let $a \in \overline{M}$ and $\epsilon, \delta \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Then

$$a \in D(\epsilon, \delta) \Leftrightarrow \tau(\chi_{[\epsilon, \infty[}(|a|)) \leq \delta$$

(where $\chi_{[\epsilon, \infty[}(|a|)$ denotes the spectral projection of $|a|$ corresponding to the interval $]\epsilon, \infty[$).

Proof. " \Leftarrow ": Put $p = \chi_{[0, \epsilon]}(|a|)$. Then $pH \subset D(|a|)$ and $\||a|p\| \leq \epsilon$.

" \Rightarrow ": For some $p \in M_{\text{proj}}$, we have

$$\||a|p\| \leq \epsilon \text{ and } \tau(1 - p) \leq \delta.$$

Let $|a| = \int_0^\infty \lambda de_\lambda$ be the spectral decomposition of $|a|$. Now for all $\xi \in pH$ we have

$$\||a|\xi\|^2 \leq \epsilon^2 \|\xi\|^2,$$

and for all $\xi \in (1 - e_\epsilon)H \setminus \{0\}$ we have

$$\||a|\xi\|^2 > \epsilon^2 \|\xi\|^2$$

since

$$\||a|\xi\|^2 = \int_0^\infty \lambda^2 d(e_\lambda \xi | \xi) = \int_{[\epsilon, \infty[} \lambda^2 d(e_\lambda \xi | \xi).$$

Hence $(1 - e_\epsilon)H \cap pH$ must be $\{0\}$, i.e. $(1 - e_\epsilon) \wedge p = 0$. By (11) we conclude that $1 - e_\epsilon \lesssim 1 - p$, whence $\tau(1 - e_\epsilon) \leq \delta$. \square

Proposition 8. *Let $a \in \overline{M}$ and $\epsilon, \delta \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Then*

$$a \in D(\epsilon, \delta) \Leftrightarrow a^* \in D(\epsilon, \delta)$$

Proof. Let $a = u|a|$ be the polar decomposition of a . Then u is an isometry of $\chi_{]0, \infty[}(|a|) = \text{supp}(a)$ onto $\chi_{]0, \infty[}(|a^*|) = \text{supp}(a^*) = r(a)$. By uniqueness of the spectral decomposition, u induces for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ an isometry of $\chi_{] \lambda, \infty[}(|a|)$ onto $\chi_{] \lambda, \infty[}(|a^*|)$. The result follows by Lemma 7. \square

Definition 9. *A subspace E of H is called τ -dense if for all $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+$, there exists a projection $p \in M$ such that*

$$pH \subset E \text{ and } \tau(1 - p) \leq \delta.$$

Proposition 10. *Let E be a τ -dense subspace of H . Then there exists an increasing sequence $(p_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of projections in M with*

$$p_n \nearrow 1, \tau(1 - p_n) \rightarrow 0, \text{ and } \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n H \subset E.$$

Proof. Take projections $q_k \in M$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$q_k H \subset E \text{ and } \tau(1 - q_k) \leq 2^{-k}.$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, put

$$p_n = \wedge_{k=n+1}^{\infty} q_k.$$

Then

$$p_n H = \cap_{k=n+1}^{\infty} q_k H \subset E$$

and

$$\tau(1 - p_n) = \tau\left(\vee_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (1 - q_k)\right) \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \tau(1 - q_k) \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} = 2^{-n}$$

It follows that

$$p_n \nearrow 1;$$

indeed, denoting by p the supremum of the increasing sequence p_n , we have

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \tau(1 - p) \leq \tau(1 - p_n) \leq 2^{-n}$$

whence $\tau(1 - p) = 0$ and $p = 1$.

Furthermore,

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n H \subset E.$$

□

Corollary 11. *Let E be a τ -dense subspace of H . Then E is dense in H .*

An important property of τ -dense subspaces is the following:

Proposition 12. *Let $a, b \in \overline{M}$ and let E be a τ -dense subspace of H contained in $D(a) \cap D(b)$. Suppose that*

$$a|_E = b|_E.$$

Then $a = b$.

The proof is based on the following lemma:

Lemma 13. *1) Let $p_0 \in M_{proj}$. Suppose that*

$$\forall \delta \in \mathbb{R}_+ \exists p \in M_{proj} : p_0 \wedge p = 0 \text{ and } \tau(1 - p) \leq \delta.$$

Then $p_0 = 0$.

2) Let $p_1, p_2 \in M_{proj}$. Suppose that

$$\forall \delta \in \mathbb{R}_+ \exists p \in M_{proj} : p_1 \wedge p = p_2 \wedge p \text{ and } \tau(1 - p) \leq \delta.$$

and $p_1 = p_2$.

Proof. 1) Let $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Then $\tau(p_0) \leq \delta$. (indeed, for some $p \in M_{proj}$ we have $p_0 \wedge p = 0$ and $\tau(1 - p) \leq \delta$, whence $p_0 \lesssim 1 - p$ and $\tau(p_0) \leq \tau(1 - p) \leq \delta$). Hence $\tau(p_0) = 0$ and $p_0 = 0$.

2) Put $p_0 = p_1 - (p_1 \wedge p_2)$. Now $p_1 \wedge p = p_2 \wedge p$ implies $p_1 \wedge p = (p_1 \wedge p_2) \wedge p$ and hence $p_0 \wedge p = 0$, so that 1) applies to p_0 . Hence $p_0 = 0$, i.e. $p_1 = p_1 \wedge p_2$. Similarly, $p_2 = p_1 \wedge p_2$. In all, $p_1 = p_2$. □

Proof of Proposition 12. Consider in the Hilbert space $H_2 = H \oplus H$ the von Neumann algebra $M_2 = \begin{bmatrix} M & M \\ M & M \end{bmatrix}$ equipped with the normal faithful semifinite trace τ_2 defined by

$$\tau \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \tau(x_{11}) + \tau(x_{22}).$$

Denote by p_a and p_b the projections onto the graphs $G(a)$ and $G(b)$ of a and b . Since a and b are affiliated with M , $G(a)$ and $G(b)$ are invariant under all elements of $M'_2 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{bmatrix} : y \in M' \right\}$ and thus $p_a, p_b \in M_2$.

Let $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Then there exists a projection $p \in M$ with $pH \subset E$ and $\tau(1-p) \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$. Put $p_2 = \begin{bmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{bmatrix}$. Then $\tau_2(1-p_2) \leq \delta$.

Furthermore,

$$p_a \wedge p_2 = p_b \wedge p_2$$

since a and b agree on $pH \subset E$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned} G(a) \cap (pH \oplus pH) &= \{ \langle \xi, a\xi \rangle, \xi \in pH, a\xi \in pH \} \\ &= \{ \langle \xi, b\xi \rangle, \xi \in pH, b\xi \in pH \} = G(b) \cap (pH \oplus pH). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 13, we conclude that $p_a = p_b$, whence $a = b$. \square

Definition 14. An operator $a \in \overline{M}$ is called τ -measurable if $D(a)$ is τ -dense, i.e. if for all $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+$ there exists a projection $p \in M$ such that

$$pH \subset D(a) \text{ and } \tau(1-p) \leq \delta. \quad (12)$$

The set of τ -measurable operators $a \in \overline{M}$ is denoted \widetilde{M} .

Corollary 15. 1) Let $a, b \in \widetilde{M}$. If

$$a \subset b$$

then

$$a = b.$$

2) Let $a \in \widetilde{M}$. If a is symmetric (in particular, if a is positive), then a is self-adjoint.

Proof. Immediate from Definition 14 and Proposition 12 (for 2), use that $a \subset a^*$. \square

Note that when a is closed and $p \in M_{\text{proj}}$ is such that $pH \subset D(a)$, then the everywhere defined operator ap is also closed and hence - by the closed graph theorem - automatically bounded. Therefore the following definition is a generalization of Definition 14.

Definition 16. *Any operator $a\eta M$ is called τ -premeasurable if for all $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+$ there exists a projection $p \in M$ such that*

$$pH \subset D(a), \|ap\| < \infty, \text{ and } \tau(1 - p) \leq \delta. \quad (13)$$

By definition of the $D(\epsilon, \delta)$, this may be reformulated as:

Remark 17. *Let $a\eta M$. Then a is τ -premeasurable if and only if*

$$\forall \delta \in \mathbb{R}_+ \exists \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+ : a \in D(\epsilon, \delta).$$

Also note

Proposition 18. *Let $a\eta M$. If a is τ -premeasurable, then a is densely defined.*

Proof. $D(a)$ is τ -dense. \square

Proposition 19. *Let $a\eta M$. Suppose that a is τ -premeasurable and preclosed. Then*

$$[a] \in \widetilde{M}.$$

Proof. Trivial. \square

Proposition 20. *Let $a, b\eta M$ be τ -premeasurable. Then $a + b$ and ab are also τ -premeasurable.*

Proof. Combine Remark 17 and Proposition 5. \square

We have the following characterization of τ -measurable operators:

Proposition 21. *Let $a \in \overline{M}$ with polar decomposition $a = u|a|$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

1. a is τ -measurable,
2. $|a|$ is τ -measurable,
3. $\forall \delta \in \mathbb{R}_+ \exists \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+ : a \in D(\epsilon, \delta)$,
4. $\forall \delta \in \mathbb{R}_+ \exists \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+ : \tau(\chi_{] \epsilon, \infty[}(|a|)) \leq \delta$,
5. $\tau(\chi_{] \lambda, \infty[}(|a|)) \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$,
6. $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ : \tau(\chi_{] \lambda, \infty[}(|a|)) < \infty$.

Proof. The equivalence of (i), (ii), and (iii), follows from Lemma 7. Now note that

$$\tau(\chi_{] \lambda, \infty[}(|a|)) \searrow \emptyset \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty$$

so that, by the normality of τ ,

$$\tau(\chi_{] \lambda, \infty[}(|a|)) \searrow 0 \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty$$

if $\tau(\chi_{] \lambda_0, \infty[}(|a|)) < \infty$ for some λ_0 . The equivalence of (iii), (iv), (v), and (vi) follows. \square

Corollary 22. *We have $M \subset \widetilde{M}$.*

Proof. If a is bounded, then $\tau(\chi_{] \|a\|, \infty[}(|a|)) = 0$. \square

Proposition 23. *Let $a \in \widetilde{M}$ Then also $a^* \in \widetilde{M}$.*

Proof. Combine Proposition 8 and Proposition 21, (i) \Leftrightarrow (iii). \square

Proposition 24. 1) *Let $a, b \in \widetilde{M}$. Then $a+b$ and ab are densely defined and preclosed, and $[a+b] \in \widetilde{M}$, $[ab] \in \widetilde{M}$.*

2) *\widetilde{M} is a $*$ -algebra with respect to strong sum and strong product.*

Proof. 1) Let $a, b \in \widetilde{M}$. Then also $a^*, b^* \in \widetilde{M}$. By Proposition 20, $a+b$ and a^*+b^* are τ -premeasurable. In particular, they are densely defined. Hence $(a^*+b^*)^*$ exists and $a+b \subset (a^*+b^*)^*$, whence $a+b$ is also preclosed. By Proposition 19, $[a+b] \in \widetilde{M}$.

A quite analogous reasoning gives the result on ab .

2) Let $a, b, c \in \widetilde{M}$. Then by Proposition 20 the operators

$$a + b + c, abc, ac + bc, ca + cb, a^* + b^*, b^*a^*$$

are all τ -premeasurable. Hence by Proposition 12, each of them admits at most one extension in \widetilde{M} . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} [[a + b] + c] &= [a + [b + c]], [[ab]c] = [a[bc]], \\ [[a + b]c] &= [[ac] + [bc]], [c[a + b]] = [[ca] + [cb]], \\ [a + b]^* &= [a^* + b^*], [ab]^* = [b^*a^*]. \end{aligned}$$

□

Notation. From now on, we will omit the $[\]$ in the notation for strong sum and strong product.

Definition 25. For all $\epsilon, \delta \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we put

$$N(\epsilon, \delta) = \widetilde{M} \cap D(\epsilon, \delta),$$

i.e. $N(\epsilon, \delta)$ is the set of τ -measurable $a \in \widetilde{M}$ for which there exists a projection $p \in M$ such that

$$\|ap\| \leq \epsilon \text{ and } \tau(1 - p) \leq \delta.$$

Lemma 26. For all $\epsilon, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \delta, \delta_1, \delta_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

1. $N(\epsilon, \delta)^* = N(\epsilon, \delta)$,
2. $N(|\lambda|\epsilon, \delta) = \lambda N(\epsilon, \delta)$,
3. $\epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_2, \delta_1 \leq \delta_2 \Rightarrow N(\epsilon_1, \delta_1) \subset N(\epsilon_2, \delta_2)$,
4. $N(\epsilon_1, \delta_1) \cap N(\epsilon_2, \delta_2) \supset N(\epsilon_1 \wedge \epsilon_2, \delta_1 \wedge \delta_2)$,
5. $N(\epsilon_1, \delta_1) + N(\epsilon_2, \delta_2) \subset N(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2, \delta_1 + \delta_2)$,
6. $N(\epsilon_1, \delta_1)N(\epsilon_2, \delta_2) \subset N(\epsilon_1\epsilon_2, \delta_1\delta_2)$,

Proof. (ii), (iii), (iv) are easily verified. (i) follows from Proposition 8 and (v), (vi) follow from Proposition 5 and Proposition 6, (i) ((v) and (vi) are to be understood in the strong sense). □

Proposition 27. *The $N(\epsilon, \delta)$, $\epsilon, \delta \in \mathbb{R}_+$, form a basis for the neighbourhoods of 0 for a topological vector space topology on \widetilde{M} .*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 26, (ii), (iii), (iv) and (v). \square

Theorem 28. *\widetilde{M} is a complete Hausdorff topological $*$ -algebra in which M is dense.*

Proof. 1) To show that \widetilde{M} is Hausdorff, we shall prove that

$$\bigcap_{\epsilon, \delta \in \mathbb{R}_+} N(\epsilon, \delta) = \{0\}.$$

Let $a \in \bigcap_{\epsilon, \delta \in \mathbb{R}_+} N(\epsilon, \delta)$. Then

$$\forall \delta \in \mathbb{R}_+ \forall \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+ : \tau(\chi_{[\epsilon, \infty[}(|a|)) \leq \delta.$$

Since τ is faithful, this implies that all $\chi_{[\epsilon, \infty[}(|a|) = 0$, whence $a = 0$.

2) Next let us prove that \widetilde{M} is a topological $*$ -algebra. By Lemma 26, (i), the adjoint operation is continuous. Now let $a_0, b_0 \in \widetilde{M}$ and let $\epsilon, \delta \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Take $\mu, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$a_0 \in N(\mu, \delta), b_0 \in N(\lambda, \delta).$$

Then for all $a, b \in \widetilde{M}$ such that $a - a_0 \in N(\epsilon, \delta)$ and $b - b_0 \in N(\epsilon, \delta)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ab - a_0 b_0 &= (a - a_0)(b - b_0) + a_0(b - b_0) + (a - a_0)b_0 \\ &\in N(\epsilon, \delta)N(\epsilon, \delta) + N(\mu, \delta)N(\epsilon, \delta) + N(\epsilon, \delta)N(\lambda, \delta) \\ &\subset N(\epsilon^2, 2\delta) + N(\mu\epsilon, 2\delta) + N(\lambda\epsilon, 2\delta) \\ &\subset N(\epsilon(\epsilon + \lambda + \mu), 6\delta). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(a, b) \mapsto (ab)$$

is continuous.

3) M is dense in \widetilde{M} . Indeed, let $a \in \widetilde{M}$ and take projections $p_n \in M$ such that

$$p_n \nearrow 1, \tau(1 - p_n) \rightarrow 0, \text{ and } \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} p_n H \subset D(a)$$

(possible by Proposition 10). Then $ap_n \in M$ and

$$ap_n \rightarrow a \text{ in } \widetilde{M}$$

since $\|(ap_n - a)p_m\| = 0$ for all $m \geq n$ and $\tau(1 - p_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

4) Finally, we shall prove that the topological vector space \widetilde{M} is complete.

Since \widetilde{M} has a countable basis for the neighbourhoods of 0 (use e.g. the $N(1/n, 1/m)$, $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$), we just have to show that every Cauchy sequence $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \widetilde{M} converges. So let $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Cauchy sequence in \widetilde{M} .

Since M is dense in \widetilde{M} , we may assume that all $a_n \in M$ (if not, replace each a_n by $a'_n \in M$ such that $a_n - a'_n \in N(1/n, 1/n)$, and observe that $(a'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges if and only if $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges). Furthermore, we may assume that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : a_{n+1} - a_n \in N(2^{-(n+1)}, 2^{-n})$$

(since a subsequence of the given sequence has this property).

Now take projections $p_n \in M$ such that

$$\|(a_{n+1} - a_n)p_n\| \leq 2^{-(n+1)} \text{ and } \tau(1 - p_n) \leq 2^{-n}.$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, put

$$q_n = \bigwedge_{k=n+1}^{\infty} p_k.$$

Then

$$\tau(1 - q_n) = \tau\left(\bigvee_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (1 - p_k)\right) \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \tau(1 - p_k) \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} = 2^{-n},$$

and

$$\forall m \geq n+1 \forall l \in \mathbb{N} : \|(a_{m+l} - a_m)q_n\| \leq 2^{-m} \quad (14)$$

since $q_n \leq p_k$ for all $k \geq m \geq n+1$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|(a_{m+l} - a_m)q_n\| &\leq \sum_{k=m}^{m+l-1} \|(a_{k+1} - a_k)q_n\| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=m}^{m+l-1} \|(a_{k+1} - a_k)p_k\| \leq \sum_{k=m}^{m+l-1} 2^{-(k+1)} \leq 2^{-m}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\xi \in \cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} q_n H$. Then $\xi \in q_n H$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and hence by (14), the sequence $(a_m \xi)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy. Put

$$a\xi = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} a_m \xi$$

We have now defined an operator a with $D(a) = \cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} q_n H$ (note that $D(a)$ is a linear subspace because $(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an increasing sequence of projections).

By construction, a is τ -premeasurable: for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $q_n H \subset D(a)$ and $\tau(1 - q_n) \leq 2^{-n}$. We claim that a is also preclosed. To see this, apply the preceding arguments to $(a_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Hence there exists a τ -premeasurable operator b such that

$$b\eta = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} a_n^* \eta, \eta \in D(b).$$

Then

$$\forall \xi \in D(a) \forall \eta \in D(b) : (a\xi|\eta) = \lim (a_m \xi|\eta) = \lim (\xi|a_m^* \eta) = (\xi|b\eta),$$

whence

$$a \in b^*.$$

Hence a is preclosed. By Proposition 19 we then have $[a] \in \widetilde{M}$. Write $a_0 = [a]$.

Finally we shall prove that actually

$$a_n \rightarrow a_0 \text{ in } \widetilde{M}. \quad (15)$$

Let $\epsilon, \delta \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Take $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2^{-(n_0+1)} \leq \epsilon$ and $2^{-n_0} \leq \delta$. Then for all $m \geq n_0 + 1$ we have

$$\|(a_0 - a_m)q_{n_0}\| \leq 2^{-(n_0+1)} \leq \epsilon$$

and

$$\tau(1 - q_{n_0}) \leq 2^{-n_0} \leq \delta$$

since

$$\forall \xi \in H : (a_0 - a_m)q_{n_0}\xi = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} (a_{m+l} - a_m)q_{n_0}\xi$$

and

$$\|(a_{m+l} - a_m)q_{n_0}\| \leq 2^{-m} \leq 2^{-(n_0+1)} \leq \epsilon.$$

Hence

$$\forall m \geq n_0 + 1 : a_0 - a_m \in N(\epsilon, \delta).$$

This proves (1.3). \square

Example. 1) If τ is finite, then $\widetilde{M} = \overline{M}$, i.e. all closed densely defined operators affiliated with M are τ -measurable (by Proposition 21, (vi)).

2) If $M = B(H)$ and τ is the usual trace Tr , then $\widetilde{M} = M$ (by Proposition 21, (iv), and the fact that $\text{Tr}(x) < 1, x \geq 0$, implies $x = 0$).

3) If (X, μ) is a measure space, $M = L^\infty(x, \mu)$ and $\tau = \int \cdot d\mu$, then \widetilde{M} is the closure of $L^\infty(x, \mu)$ for the topology of convergence in measure.

1.4 L^p spaces with respect to a trace

For any positive self-adjoint operator a affiliated with M , we put

$$\tau(a) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tau \left(\int_0^n \lambda de_\lambda \right)$$

where

$$a = \int_0^\infty \lambda de_\lambda$$

is the spectral representation of a . Then for each $p \in [1, \infty[$, we can define

$$L^p(M, \tau) = \{a \in \overline{M} | \tau(|a|^p) < \infty\}$$

and

$$\|a\|_p = \tau(|a|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}, a \in L^p(M, \tau).$$

The $(L^p(M, \tau), \|\cdot\|_p)$ are Banach spaces in which $I = \{x \in M | \tau(|x|) < \infty\}$ is dense, and they are all contained in (and even continuously embedded in) \widetilde{M} (for this and further results, see [13]; see also [3], [12], [21], and Chapter IV).

1.5 Notes and comments

The notion of measurable operators was introduced by I.E. Segal [15] and formed the basis for investigations in non-commutative integration theory, i.e. a theory of "integration" where $L^\infty(X, \mu)$ (corresponding to a measure space (X, μ)) is replaced by a more general von Neumann algebra. Among other things, this theory provided a framework for constructing L^p spaces associated with (semifinite) von Neumann algebras as concrete spaces of (closed densely defined) operators ([12], [21]) (isomorphic to J. Dixmier's "abstract" L^p spaces [3]).

In [13], E. Nelson gave a new approach - requiring less knowledge of von Neumann algebra techniques - to the theory, based on the notion of measurability with respect to a trace (inspired by the notion of convergence in measure introduced by W. F. Stinespring in [16]). Any τ -measurable operator is also measurable in the sense of [15, Definition 2.1], whereas the converse is not in general true. The set of τ -measurable operators is, however, big enough to contain the L^p spaces with respect to τ .

In our presentation, we have followed [13] with some modifications. In [13], \widetilde{M} is defined as the (abstract) completion of M with respect to a certain (measure) topology on M (given by the 0-neighbourhoods $N(\epsilon, \delta) \cap M$, there simply called $N(\epsilon, \delta)$; afterwards, \widetilde{M} is identified with a subset of the closed densely defined operators affiliated with M . As a tool, the completion of the Hilbert space H with respect to a certain (measure) topology is considered. - We have preferred to work with operators on H right from the beginning and to introduce the measure topology directly on the whole of \widetilde{M} . When doing so, we do not need a new topology on H .

Chapter 2

L^p Spaces Associated with a Von Neumann Algebra

In this chapter, we present Haagerup's theory of L^p spaces associated with a von Neumann algebra.

Let M be a von Neumann algebra and let φ_0 be a normal faithful semifinite weight on M .

We denote by N the crossed product $R(M, \sigma^{\varphi_0})$ of M by the modular automorphism group σ^{φ_0} associated with φ_0 . Recall [18, Section 3; 8, Section 5] that if M is given on a Hilbert space H , then N is the Von Neumann algebra on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$ generated by the operators $\pi(x), x \in M$, and $\lambda(s), s \in \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$(\pi(x)\xi)(t) = \sigma_{-t}^{\varphi_0}(x)\xi(t), \xi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, H), t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1)$$

$$(\lambda(s)\xi)(t) = \xi(t - s), \xi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, H), t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2)$$

We identify M with its image $\pi(M)$ in N (recall that π normal faithful representation of M).

We denote by θ the dual action of \mathbb{R} in N . The $\theta_s, s \in \mathbb{R}$, are automorphisms of N characterized by

$$\theta_s x = x, x \in M \quad (3)$$

$$\theta_s \lambda(t) = e^{-ist} \lambda(t), t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4)$$

By (3), M is contained in the set of fixed points under θ . Actually

$$M = \{y \in N \mid \forall s \in \mathbb{R} : \theta_s y = y\} \quad (5)$$

(see e.g. [5, Lemma 3.6]).

The θ_s , $s \in \mathbb{R}$, naturally extend to automorphisms, still denoted θ_s , of \hat{N}_+ , the extended positive part of N [7, Section 1]. Recall [8, Lemma 5.2] that the formula

$$Tx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \theta_s(x) ds, x \in N_+, \quad (6)$$

defines a normal faithful semifinite operator valued weight T from N to M in the following sense: for each $x \in N_+$, Tx is the element of \hat{N}_+ characterized by

$$\langle Tx, \chi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle \theta_s(x), \chi \rangle ds \quad (7)$$

for all $x \in N_+^*$. Note that

$$\forall s \in \mathbb{R} : \theta_s \circ T = T. \quad (8)$$

In view of (5), this formula implies that the values of T are actually in \hat{M}_+ .

For each normal weight φ on M , we put

$$\tilde{\varphi} = \hat{\varphi} \circ T \quad (9)$$

where $\hat{\varphi}$ denotes the extension of φ to a normal weight on \hat{M}_+ as described in [7, Proposition 1.10]. Then $\tilde{\varphi}$ is a normal weight on N [7, Proposition 2.3]; $\tilde{\varphi}$ is called the dual weight of φ (see [6, Introduction + Section 1]). Note that (8) and (9) imply

$$\forall s \in \mathbb{R} : \tilde{\varphi} \circ \theta_s = \tilde{\varphi}. \quad (10)$$

If φ and ψ are normal faithful semifinite weights, then so are $\tilde{\varphi}$ and $\tilde{\psi}$, and we have [7, Theorem 4.7]:

$$\forall t \in \mathbb{R} \forall x \in M : \sigma_t^{\tilde{\varphi}}(x) = \sigma_t^{\varphi}(x), \quad (11)$$

$$\forall t \in \mathbb{R} : (D\tilde{\varphi} : D\tilde{\psi})_t = (D\varphi : D\psi)_t. \quad (12)$$

Lemma 1. 1) *The mapping*

$$\varphi \mapsto \tilde{\varphi}$$

is a bijection of the set of all normal semifinite weights on M onto the set of normal semifinite weights ψ on N satisfying

$$\forall s \in \mathbb{R} : \psi \circ \theta_s = \psi. \quad (13)$$

2) *For all normal weights φ and ψ on M and all $x \in M$, we have*

1. $(\varphi + \psi)^\sim = \tilde{\varphi} + \tilde{\psi},$
2. $(x \cdot \varphi \cdot x^*)^\sim = x \cdot \tilde{\varphi} \cdot x^*,$
3. $\text{supp } \tilde{\varphi} = \text{supp } \varphi.$

Proof. That $\tilde{\varphi}$ is semifinite if φ is follows from the proof of [7, Proposition 2.3]. That $\varphi \mapsto \tilde{\varphi}$ is injective follows from the formula

$$\varphi(\dot{T}x) = \tilde{\varphi}(x), x \in m_T,$$

and the fact that $\dot{T}(m_T)$ is σ -weakly dense in M [7, Proposition 2.5].

Now let us prove 2). First observe that $(\varphi + \psi)^\wedge = \hat{\varphi} + \hat{\psi}$ since $\hat{\varphi} + \hat{\psi} : \hat{M} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ obviously satisfies the properties that characterize $(\varphi + \psi)^\wedge$ ([7, Proposition 1.10]); (a) follows trivially. Similarly, $(x \cdot \varphi \cdot x^*)^\wedge = x \cdot \hat{\varphi} \cdot x^*$, whence (b).

To prove (c), put $p_0 = 1 - \text{supp } \varphi$. Then Mp_0 is the σ -weak closure in M of $N_\varphi = \{x \in M | \varphi(x^*x) = 0\}$. Similarly, the σ -weak closure in N of $N_{\tilde{\varphi}} = \{y \in N | \tilde{\varphi}(y^*y) = 0\}$ is Nq_0 where $q_0 = 1 - \text{supp } \tilde{\varphi}$. Now

$$n_T N_\varphi \subset N_{\tilde{\varphi}}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} \forall y \in n_T \forall x \in N_\varphi : \tilde{\varphi}(x^*y^*yx) &= \varphi(T(x^*y^*yx)) \\ &= \varphi(x^*T(y^*y)x) \leq \|T(y^*y)\|\varphi(x^*x) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

As n_T is σ -weakly dense in N , it follows that

$$N_\varphi \subset \overline{N_{\tilde{\varphi}}}^{\sigma-w}$$

whence

$$p_0 \leq q_0.$$

Note that we must have $q_0 \in M$ since $\tilde{\varphi}$, and hence $\text{supp } \tilde{\varphi}$, is θ -invariant. Thus to conclude that $p_0 = q_0$ we need only show that $\varphi(q_0) = 0$. This follows from

$$\forall x \in m_T : \varphi(q_0 \dot{T}(x) q_0) = \varphi(\dot{T}(q_0 x q_0)) = \tilde{\varphi}(q_0 x q_0) = 0$$

and the fact that $\dot{T}(m_T)$ is σ -weakly dense in M [7, Proposition 2.5].

We now return to 1). Let ψ be a normal semifinite weight on N satisfying (13). First suppose that ψ is also faithful. Then by [5, (proof of) Theorem 3.7], it follows that $\psi = \tilde{\varphi}$ for some normal faithful semifinite φ on M .

In the general case, put $q_0 = 1 - \text{supp } \psi$. Then by (13) and (5), we have $q_0 \in M$. Now take any normal semifinite weight χ_0 on M such that $\text{supp } \chi_0 = q_0$. Then $\tilde{\chi}_0$ is a normal faithful semifinite θ -invariant weight on N with $\text{supp } \tilde{\chi}_0 = q_0$. Hence $\tilde{\chi}_0 + \psi$ is faithful and thus, as above,

$$\tilde{\chi}_0 + \psi = \tilde{\varphi}$$

for some normal faithful semifinite weight φ on M . Finally, using (b), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &= (1 - q_0) \cdot (\tilde{\chi}_0 + \psi) \cdot (1 - q_0) \\ &= (1 - q_0) \cdot \tilde{\varphi} \cdot (1 - q_0) \\ &= ((1 - q_0) \cdot \varphi \cdot (1 - q_0))^\sim. \end{aligned}$$

□

Denote by τ the normal faithful semifinite trace on N characterized by

$$\forall t \in \mathbb{R} : (D\tilde{\varphi}_0 : D\tau)_t = \lambda(t) \tag{14}$$

(for the existence, see [8, Lemma 5.2]); τ satisfies

$$\forall s \in \mathbb{R} : \tau \circ \theta_s = e^{-s} \tau. \quad (15)$$

With each $h \in \hat{N}_+$ we associate the normal weight $\tau(h \cdot)$ on N as in [8, remarks preceding Proposition 1.11]. When h is simply a positive self-adjoint operator affiliated with N (see [7, Example 1.2]), this definition agrees with that given in [14, Section 4].

We recall some facts about the mapping $h \mapsto \tau(h \cdot)$ (see [7, Theorem 1.12 (and its proof) and Proposition 1.11, (4)]):

Lemma 2. *1) The mapping*

$$h \mapsto \tau(h \cdot)$$

is a bijection of \hat{N}_+ onto the set of normal weights on N . In particular, it is a bijection of the positive self-adjoint operators affiliated with N onto the normal semifinite weights on N .

2) For all $h, k \in \hat{N}_+$ and all $x \in N$, we have

1. $\tau((h \dot{+} k) \cdot) = \tau(h \cdot) + \tau(k \cdot),$
2. $\tau((x \cdot h \cdot x^*) \cdot) = x \cdot \tau(h \cdot) \cdot x^*,$
3. $\text{supp } \tau(h \cdot) = \text{supp } h.$

Here, $h \dot{+} k$ and $x \cdot h \cdot x^*$ denote the operations in \hat{N}_+ introduced in [7, Definition 1.3]. If h and k are positive self-adjoint operators such that $D(h^{\frac{1}{2}}) \cap D(k^{\frac{1}{2}})$ is dense, then $h \dot{+} k$ is simply the form sum of h and k [2, Corollary 4.13]. If h is a positive self-adjoint operator and x a bounded operator such that $D(h^{\frac{1}{2}} x^*)$ is dense, then $x \cdot h \cdot x^* = \left| h^{\frac{1}{2}} x^* \right|^2$.

Definition 3. *For each normal weight φ on M we define h_φ as the unique element of \hat{N}_+ given by*

$$\tilde{\varphi} = \tau(h_\varphi \cdot). \quad (16)$$

Proposition 4. 1) *The mapping*

$$\varphi \mapsto h_\varphi$$

is a bijection of the set of all normal semifinite weights on M onto the set of all positive self-adjoint operators h affiliated with N satisfying

$$\forall s \in \mathbb{R} : \theta_s h = e^{-s} h. \quad (17)$$

(2) For all normal weights φ and ψ on M and all $x \in M$, we have

1. $h_{\varphi+\psi} = h_\varphi + h_\psi$,
2. $h_{x \cdot \varphi \cdot x^*} = x \cdot h_\varphi \cdot x^*$,
3. $\text{supp } h_\varphi = \text{supp } \varphi$.

Proof. This proposition is an immediate consequence of Lemma 1 and 2. We just need to prove that a positive self-adjoint operator h affiliated with N satisfies (17) if and only if the corresponding weight $\tau(h \cdot)$ is θ -invariant. This follows easily from (15). Indeed, for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\tau(e^s \theta_s(h) \cdot) = e^s (\tau \circ \theta_s)(h \theta_{-s}(\cdot)) = \tau(h \theta_{-s}(\cdot)) = \tau(h \cdot) \circ \theta_{-s},$$

whence

$$e^s \theta_s(h) = h \Leftrightarrow \tau(e^s \theta_s(h) \cdot) = \tau(h \cdot) \Leftrightarrow \tau(h \cdot) = \tau(h \cdot) \circ \theta_{-s}.$$

The equivalence of (17) and

$$\forall s \in \mathbb{R} : \tau(h \cdot) = \tau(h \cdot) \circ \theta_s$$

follows. □

The next lemma is essential. It will permit us apply results on τ -measurable operators.

As usual, $\chi_{] \gamma, \infty[}$ denotes the characteristic function for the interval $] \gamma, \infty[$.

Lemma 5. *Let φ be a normal semifinite weight on M . Then for all $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we have*

$$\tau(\chi_{[\gamma, \infty[}(h_\varphi)) = \frac{1}{\gamma} \varphi(1).$$

Proof. First let us prove the formula in the case $\gamma = 1$.

Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then since θ_s is an automorphism and $\theta_s h_\varphi = e^{-s} h_\varphi$ we have

$$\theta_s(h_\varphi^{-1} \chi_{[1, \infty[}(h_\varphi)) = e^s h_\varphi^{-1} \chi_{[1, \infty[}(e^{-s} h_\varphi).$$

Now let $h_\varphi = \int \lambda de_\lambda$ be the spectral decomposition of h_φ . Then for any vector functional $\omega_{\xi, \xi}$, where ξ is a unit vector, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \int_{\mathbb{R}} \theta_s(h_\varphi^{-1} \chi_{[1, \infty[}(h_\varphi)) ds, \omega_{\xi, \xi} \right\rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle e^s h_\varphi^{-1} \chi_{[1, \infty[}(e^{-s} h_\varphi), \omega_{\xi, \xi} \rangle ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{]0, \infty[} e^s \lambda^{-1} \chi_{[1, \infty[}(e^{-s} \lambda) d(e_\lambda \xi | \xi) ds \\ &= \int_{]0, \infty[} \lambda^{-1} \left(\int_{]-\infty, \log \lambda[} e^s ds \right) d(e_\lambda \xi | \xi) \\ &= \int_{]0, \infty[} \lambda^{-1} \lambda d(e_\lambda \xi | \xi) \\ &= \|(\text{supp } h_\varphi) \xi\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

So that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \theta_s(h_\varphi^{-1} \chi_{[1, \infty[}(h_\varphi)) ds = \text{supp } h_\varphi = \text{supp } \varphi.$$

Finally, since $\tilde{\varphi} = \tau(h_\varphi \cdot)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\chi_{[1, \infty[}(h_\varphi)) &= \tau(h_\varphi^{\frac{1}{2}}(h_\varphi^{-1} \chi_{[1, \infty[}(h_\varphi))h_\varphi^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= \tilde{\varphi}(h_\varphi^{-1} \chi_{[1, \infty[}(h_\varphi)) \\ &= \varphi \left(\int \theta_s(h_\varphi^{-1} \chi_{[1, \infty[}(h_\varphi)) ds \right) = \varphi(\text{supp } \varphi) = \varphi(1). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof in the case $\gamma = 1$. In the general case, write $\gamma = e^s$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then by (15)

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(\chi_{]e^s, \infty[}(h_\varphi)) &= \tau(\chi_{]1, \infty[}(e^{-s}h_\varphi)) \\ &= \tau(\theta_s(\chi_{]1, \infty[}(h_\varphi))) \\ &= e^{-s}\tau(\chi_{]1, \infty[}(h_\varphi)) = e^{-s}\varphi(1).\end{aligned}$$

□

By Chapter I, Proposition 21, we have

Corollary 6. *Let φ be a normal semifinite weight on M . Then h_φ is τ -measurable if and only if $\varphi \in M_*$.*

We denote by \tilde{N} the set of all τ -measurable closed densely defined operators affiliated with N . Recall (Chapter I) that \tilde{N} is a topological $*$ -algebra with respect to strong sum and product. Sums and products of elements in \tilde{N} will always be understood to be in the strong sense although we do not emphasize it in the notation.

We denote by \tilde{N}_+ the subset of all positive self-adjoint elements of \tilde{N} .

Note that the θ_s , $s \in \mathbb{R}$, extend to continuous $*$ -automorphisms, still denoted θ_s , of \tilde{N} . We have

$$\forall s \in \mathbb{R} \forall \epsilon, \delta \in \mathbb{R}_+ : \theta_s(N(\epsilon, \delta)) = N(\epsilon, e^{-s}\delta) \quad (18)$$

Since for all $a \in \tilde{N}_+$

$$\tau(\chi_{]e, \infty[}(\theta_s a)) = \tau(\theta_s(\chi_{]1, \infty[}(a))) = e^{-s}\tau(\chi_{]1, \infty[}(a))$$

(for the definition and properties of the 0-neighbourhoods $N(\epsilon, \delta)$, we refer to Chapter I).

Theorem 7. 1) *The mapping*

$$\varphi \mapsto h_\varphi$$

extends to a linear bijection, still denoted $\varphi \mapsto h_\varphi$, of M_ onto the subspace*

$$\{h \in \tilde{N} \mid \forall s \in \mathbb{R} : \theta_s h = e^{-s}h\} \quad (19)$$

of N .

2) For all $\varphi \in M_*$ and $x, y \in M$, we have

$$h_{x \cdot \varphi \cdot y^*} = x h_\varphi y^* \quad (20)$$

and

$$h_{\varphi^*} = h_\varphi^*. \quad (21)$$

3) If $\varphi = u|\varphi|$ is the polar decomposition of φ , then $h = u h_{|\varphi|}$ ($h_\varphi = u h_{|\varphi|}$) is the polar decomposition of h_φ . In particular,

$$|h_\varphi| = h_{|\varphi|}. \quad (22)$$

The proof will be based on Corollary 6, Proposition 4, and the following lemma.

Lemma 8. 1) Let h and k be positive self-adjoint operators such that $D(h^{\frac{1}{2}}) \cap D(k^{\frac{1}{2}})$ is dense. Then

$$h + k \subset h \dot{+} k.$$

If $h + k$ is essentially self-adjoint, then its unique self-adjoint extension is precisely $h \dot{+} k$.

2) Let h be a positive self-adjoint operator and x a bounded operator such that $D(h^{\frac{1}{2}} x^*)$ is dense. Then

$$x h x^* \subset x \cdot h \cdot x^*.$$

If $x h x^*$ is essentially self-adjoint, then its unique self-adjoint extension is precisely $x \cdot h \cdot x^*$.

Proof. 1) Recall that by definition $h \dot{+} k$ is the unique positive self-adjoint operator characterized by $D((h \dot{+} k)^{\frac{1}{2}}) = D(h^{\frac{1}{2}}) \cap D(k^{\frac{1}{2}})$ and

$$\forall \xi \in D(h^{\frac{1}{2}}) \cap D(k^{\frac{1}{2}}) : \left\| (h \dot{+} k)^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi \right\|^2 = \left\| h^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi \right\|^2 + \left\| k^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi \right\|^2. \quad (23)$$

By polarization, it follows that

$$\forall \xi \in D(h^{\frac{1}{2}}) \cap D(k^{\frac{1}{2}}) : ((h \dot{+} k)^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi | (h \dot{+} k)^{\frac{1}{2}} \eta) = (h^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi | h^{\frac{1}{2}} \eta) + (k^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi | k^{\frac{1}{2}} \eta).$$

Now let $\xi \in D(h+k) = D(h) \cap D(k)$ and $\eta \in D(h \dot{+} k)$. Then also $\xi \in D(h^{\frac{1}{2}}) \cap D(k^{\frac{1}{2}})$ and $\eta \in D((h \dot{+} k)^{\frac{1}{2}}) = D(h^{\frac{1}{2}}) \cap D(k^{\frac{1}{2}})$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} ((h+k)\xi|\eta) &= (h\xi|\eta) + (k\xi|\eta) \\ &= (h^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi|h^{\frac{1}{2}}\eta) + (k^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi|k^{\frac{1}{2}}\eta) \\ &= ((h \dot{+} k)^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi|(h \dot{+} k)^{\frac{1}{2}}\eta) \\ &= (\xi|(h \dot{+} k)\eta). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$h+k \subset (h \dot{+} k)^* = (h \dot{+} k).$$

Hence $h+k$ is preclosed and $[h+k] \subset h \dot{+} k$. If $[h+k]$ is self-adjoint, we must have $[h+k] = h \dot{+} k$.

2) Recall that $x \cdot h \cdot x^* = \left| h^{\frac{1}{2}}x^* \right|^2$. Now let $\xi \in D(xhx^*) = D(hx^*)$ and $\eta \in D(x \cdot h \cdot x^*)$. Then also $\xi \in D(h^{\frac{1}{2}}x^*)$ and $\eta \in D((x \cdot h \cdot x^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}) = D(h^{\frac{1}{2}}x^*)$ so that

$$(xhx^*\xi|\eta) = (hx^*\xi|x^*\eta) = (h^{\frac{1}{2}}x^*\xi|h^{\frac{1}{2}}x^*\eta) = (\xi|(x \cdot h \cdot x^*)\eta).$$

It follows that

$$xhx^* \subset (x \cdot h \cdot x^*)^* = x \cdot h \cdot x^*.$$

Hence xhx^* is preclosed and $[xhx^*] \subset x \cdot h \cdot x^*$. If $[xhx^*]$ is self-adjoint, we must have $[xhx^*] = x \cdot h \cdot x^*$. \square

Proof of Theorem 7. Let $\varphi, \psi \in M_*^+$. Then h_φ and h_ψ are positive self-adjoint and τ -measurable so that their strong sum exists and is again a positive self-adjoint τ -measurable operator. By Lemma 8, this sum then coincides with $h_\varphi \dot{+} h_\psi$. Then Proposition 4 yields

$$h_{\varphi+\psi} = h_\varphi + h_\psi,$$

where the sum at the right hand side is now the sum in \tilde{N} .

Similarly for all $\varphi \in M_*^+$ and $x \in M$ we get

$$h_{x \cdot \varphi \cdot \xi^*} = xh_\varphi x^*. \quad (24)$$

Now the additive and homogeneous mapping $\varphi \mapsto h_\varphi$ of M_*^+ onto $\{h \in \tilde{N}_+ | \forall s \in \mathbb{R} : \theta_s h = e^{-s} h\}$ extends by linearity to a linear mapping $\varphi \mapsto h_\varphi$ of M_* onto the subspace of \tilde{N} spanned by $\{h \in \tilde{N}_+ | \forall s \in \mathbb{R} : \theta_s h = e^{-s} h\}$, i.e. onto the subspace (19) \square