

Contents

1	Spatial Derivatives	2
1.1	Definition and elementary properties of spatial derivatives	2
1.2	Modular properties of spatial derivatives	14

Chapter 1

Spatial Derivatives

Spatial derivatives were introduced by A. Connes in [1]. In this chapter, we give an alternative definition (equivalent to that given in [1]) suggested to us by U. Haagerup, based on the notion of the extended positive part of a von Neumann algebra. This definition permits us to obtain very easily some elementary properties of spatial derivatives. After this, we recall their main modular properties and the characterization as (-1) -homogeneous operators.

1.1 Definition and elementary properties of spatial derivatives

Let M be a von Neumann algebra acting on a Hilbert space H , and let ψ be a normal faithful semifinite weight on the commutant M' of M .

We shall use the following standard notation: $n_\psi = \{y \in M' | \psi(y^*y) < \infty\}$, H_ψ the Hilbert space completion of n_ψ with respect to the inner product $(y_1, y_2) \mapsto \psi(y_2^*y_1)$, Λ_ψ the canonical injection of n_ψ into H_ψ , π_ψ the canonical representation of M' on H_ψ .

Definition 1. For each $\xi \in H$, we denote by $R^\psi(\xi)$ the (densely defined) operator from H_ψ to H defined by

$$R^\psi(\xi)\Lambda_\psi(y) = y\xi, y \in n_\psi. \quad (1)$$

Proposition 2. For all $\xi, \xi_1, \xi_2 \in H$, $x \in M$, and $y \in M'$ we have

$$(i) \ R^\psi(\xi_1 + \xi_2) = R^\psi(\xi_1) + R^\psi(\xi_2),$$

$$(ii) \ R^\psi(x\xi) = xR^\psi(\xi),$$

$$(iii) \ yR^\psi(\xi) \subset R^\psi(\xi)\pi_\psi(y),$$

and

$$(i)^* \ R^\psi(\xi_1)^* + R^\psi(\xi_2)^* \subset R^\psi(\xi_1 + \xi_2)^*,$$

$$(ii)^* \ R^\psi(x\xi)^* = R^\psi(\xi)^*x^*,$$

$$(iii)^* \ \pi_\psi(y)R^\psi(\xi)^* \subset R^\psi(\xi)^*y^*.$$

Proof. (i) and (ii) are immediate from Definition 1. (iii): For all $z \in n_\psi$, we have $yR^\psi(\xi)\Lambda_\psi(z) = yz\xi = R^\psi(\xi)\Lambda_\psi(yz) = R^\psi(\xi)\pi_\psi(y)\Lambda_\psi(z)$.

(i)*, (ii)*, and (iii)* follow from (i), (ii), and (iii) using $R^\psi(\xi_1) + R^\psi(\xi_2) \subset (R^\psi(\xi_1) + R^\psi(\xi_2))^*$, $(xR^\psi(\xi))^* = R^\psi(\xi)^*x^*$, and $(y^*R^\psi(\xi))^* = R^\psi(\xi)^*y^*$. \square

Definition 3. A vector $\xi \in H$ is called ψ -bounded if the operator $R^\psi(\xi)$ is bounded. The set of ψ -bounded vectors is denoted $D(H, \psi)$.

Notation. If $\xi \in D(H, \psi)$, $R^\psi(\xi)$ extends to a bounded operator $H_\psi \rightarrow H$ which we shall also denote $R^\psi(\xi)$.

Proposition 4. The set $D(H, \psi)$ is an M -invariant dense subspace of H .

Proof. That $D(H, \psi)$ is an M -invariant subspace of H follows from Proposition 2, i) and (ii). Denote by e the projection onto $\overline{D(H, \psi)}$; then $e \in M'$. Suppose that $e \neq 1$. Then $\psi(1 - e) > 0$. We can write $\psi = \sum_{i \in I} \omega_{\zeta_i, \zeta_i}$ for certain $\zeta_i \in H$. Then for at least one ζ_i , we have $((1 - e)\zeta_i | \zeta_i) \neq 0$ so that $(1 - e)\zeta_i \neq 0$. On the other hand, we have

$$\forall y \in n_\psi : \|y\zeta_i\|^2 \leq \psi(y^*y) = \|\Lambda_\psi(y)\|^2$$

so that $\zeta_i \in D(H, \psi)$ and hence $e\zeta_i = \zeta_i$. This is a contradiction. Hence we must have $e = 1$ and $D(H, \psi)$ is dense in H . \square

Let $\xi \in H$. By Proposition 2, (iii)*, $D(R^\psi(\xi)^*)$ is invariant under the action of M' . Hence the projection p onto $\overline{D(R^\psi(\xi)^*)}$ is in M . Considered as an operator from pH to H_ψ , $R^\psi(\xi)^*$ is closed and densely defined and hence $|R^\psi(\xi)^*|^2$ exists as a positive self-adjoint operator on pH which by Proposition 2, (iii)*, is affiliated with pMp . We denote by $\theta^\psi(\xi, \xi)$ the element of \widehat{M}_+ (the extended positive part of M) associated with the couple $(pH, |R^\psi(\xi)^*|^2)$ as in [7, Example 1.2 and Lemma 1.4], i.e.

Definition 5. For each $\xi \in H$, we denote by

$$\theta^\psi(\xi, \xi)$$

the element of \widehat{M}_+ characterized by

$$\forall \eta \in H : \langle \omega_{\eta, \eta}, \theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) \rangle = \begin{cases} \|R^\psi(\xi)^* \eta\|^2 & \text{if } \eta \in D(R^\psi(\xi)^*) \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}. \quad (2)$$

Remark 6. If $\xi \in D(H, \psi)$, we simply have

$$\theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) = R^\psi(\xi) R^\psi(\xi)^*. \quad (3)$$

Proposition 7. For all $\xi \in H$ and $x \in M$, we have

$$\theta^\psi(x\xi, x\xi) = x \cdot \theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) \cdot x^*.$$

Proof. For all $\eta \in H$, we have, using Proposition 2, (ii)*, and Definition 5

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \omega_{\eta, \eta}, \theta^\psi(x\xi, x\xi) \rangle &= \langle \omega_{x^* \eta, x^* \eta}, \theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) \rangle \\ &= \langle x^* \cdot \omega_{\eta, \eta} \cdot x, \theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) \rangle \\ &= \langle \omega_{\eta, \eta}, x \cdot \theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) \cdot x^* \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality simply follows from the definition of the operation $m \mapsto x \cdot m \cdot x^*$ in \widehat{M}_+ . \square

Recall that by [7, Proposition 1.10], every normal weight φ has a unique extension, also denoted φ , to a normal weight on \widehat{M}_+ .

Definition 8. Let φ be a normal weight on M . We define

$$q_\varphi : H \rightarrow [0, \infty]$$

by

$$q_\varphi(\xi) = \langle \varphi, \theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) \rangle, \xi \in H. \quad (4)$$

Proposition 9. Let φ be a normal weight on M . Then q_φ is a l.s.c. quadratic form on M , i.e.

$$(i) \quad \forall \xi_1, \xi_2 \in H : q_\varphi(\xi_1 + \xi_2) + q_\varphi(\xi_1 - \xi_2) = 2q_\varphi(\xi_1) + 2q_\varphi(\xi_2),$$

$$(ii) \quad \forall \xi \in H \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : q_\varphi(\lambda\xi) = |\lambda|^2 q_\varphi(\xi),$$

(iii) q_φ is lower semi-continuous.

Proof. (ii) is immediate. For the proof of (i) and (iii), first suppose that $\varphi = \omega_{\eta, \eta}$ for some $\eta \in H$. Then

$$q_\varphi(\xi) = \langle \omega_{\eta, \eta}, \theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) \rangle = \begin{cases} \|R^\psi(\xi)^* \eta\|^2 & \text{if } \eta \in D(R^\psi(\xi)^*) \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}. \quad (5)$$

Let $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in H$. We shall prove that

$$q_\varphi(\xi_1 + \xi_2) + q_\varphi(\xi_1 - \xi_2) \leq 2q_\varphi(\xi_1) + 2q_\varphi(\xi_2). \quad (6)$$

If either $\eta \in D(R^\psi(\xi_1)^*)$ or $\eta \in D(R^\psi(\xi_2)^*)$, the right hand side of (6) is $+\infty$ and hence (6) holds. Now suppose that $\eta \in D(R^\psi(\xi_1)^*)$ and $\eta \in D(R^\psi(\xi_2)^*)$. Then by Proposition 2, (i)*, also $\eta \in D(R^\psi(\xi_1 + \xi_2)^*)$ and $\eta \in D(R^\psi(\xi_1 - \xi_2)^*)$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|R^\psi(\xi_1 + \xi_2)^* \eta\|^2 + \|R^\psi(\xi_1 - \xi_2)^* \eta\|^2 \\ &= \|R^\psi(\xi_1)^* \eta + R^\psi(\xi_2)^* \eta\|^2 + \|R^\psi(\xi_1)^* \eta - R^\psi(\xi_2)^* \eta\|^2 \\ &= 2\|R^\psi(\xi_1)^* \eta\|^2 + 2\|R^\psi(\xi_2)^* \eta\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proved (6) in all cases.

By (6) applied to $\xi_1 + \xi_2$ and $\xi_1 - \xi_2$ we get

$$4(q_\varphi(\xi_1) + q_\varphi(\xi_2)) = q_\varphi(2\xi_1) + q_\varphi(2\xi_2) \leq 2q_\varphi(\xi_1 + \xi_2) + 2q_\varphi(\xi_1 - \xi_2).$$

In all, we have shown (i).

By (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \omega_{\eta, \eta}, \theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) \rangle &= \sup\{ |(R^\psi(\xi)^* \eta | \zeta)|^2 | \zeta \in D(R^\psi(\xi)), \|\zeta\| \leq 1 \} \\ &= \sup\{ |(\eta | R^\psi(\xi) \Lambda_\psi(y))|^2 | y \in n_\psi, \|\Lambda_\psi(y)\| \leq 1 \} \\ &= \sup\{ |(\eta | y \xi)|^2 | y \in n_\psi, \|\Lambda_\psi(y)\| \leq 1 \}\end{aligned}$$

for all $\xi \in H$. Since each $\xi \mapsto |(\eta | y \xi)|^2$ is continuous, this implies (iii).

Now let φ be an arbitrary normal weight. Then we can write

$$\varphi = \sum_{i \in I} \omega_{\eta_i, \eta_i}$$

and thus (cf. the proof of [7, Proposition 1.10])

$$\forall \xi \in H : q_\varphi(\xi) = \langle \varphi, \theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) \rangle = \sum_{i \in I} \langle \omega_{\eta_i, \eta_i}, \theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) \rangle.$$

Now (i) and (iii) follow by the first part of the proof. \square

Remark 10. Let φ be a normal weight on M . Write

$$\text{Dom}(q_\varphi) = \{\xi \in H | q_\varphi(\xi) < \infty\}. \quad (7)$$

Then for all $x \in n_\varphi$ and $\xi \in D(H, \psi)$, we have

$$x^* \xi \in \text{Dom}(q_\varphi). \quad (8)$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}q_\varphi(x^* \xi) &= \langle \varphi, \theta^\psi(x^* \xi, x^* \xi) \rangle \\ &= \langle \varphi, x^* \cdot \theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) \cdot x \rangle \\ &\leq \| \theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) \| \langle \varphi, x^* x \rangle < \infty.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, if φ is semifinite then $\text{Dom}(q_\varphi)$ is dense in H (since n_φ^* is strongly dense in M).

Definition 11. For each normal weight φ on M , we define the spatial derivative $\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi}$ as the unique element of $\widehat{B(H)}_+$ such that

$$\forall \xi \in H : \langle \omega_{\xi, \xi}, \frac{d\varphi}{d\psi} \rangle = \langle \varphi, \theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) \rangle. \quad (9)$$

The existence of $\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi}$ follows from Proposition 9 and [7, proof of Lemma 1.4].

Remark 12. If φ is semifinite, $\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi}$ is simply a positive self-adjoint operator on H (since in this case, $\{\xi \in H \mid \langle \omega_{\xi, \xi}, \frac{d\varphi}{d\psi} \rangle < \infty\} = \text{Dom}(q_\varphi)$ is dense in H). Note that

$$\forall \xi \in H : q_\varphi(\xi) = \begin{cases} \left\| \left(\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi \right\|^2 & \text{if } \xi \in D \left(\left(\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

We shall see below (Proposition 22) that the definition of $\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi}$ given here agrees with that given in [1]. (This is not quite obvious. Note that in [1, Lemma 6], the quadratic form q is only defined on the subspace $D(H, \psi)$, and then extended by [1, Lemma 5] to the whole of H .)

Lemma 13. Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, (\varphi_i)_{i \in I}$, and φ be normal weights on M and let $x \in M$. Then

- (i) $\forall m \in \widehat{M}_+ : \langle \varphi_1 + \varphi_2, m \rangle = \langle \varphi_1, m \rangle + \langle \varphi_2, m \rangle,$
- (ii) $\forall m \in \widehat{M}_+ : \langle x \cdot \varphi \cdot x^*, m \rangle = \langle \varphi, x^* \cdot m \cdot x \rangle,$
- (iii) if $\varphi_i \nearrow \varphi$, then $\forall m \in \widehat{M}_+ : \langle \varphi_i, m \rangle \nearrow \langle \varphi, m \rangle.$

Proof. (i) and (ii) are immediate consequences of [7, Proposition 1.10] (or its proof). As for (iii), we have by the proof of [7, Proposition 1.10], using the notation from there,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi_i, m \rangle &= \sup_n \langle \varphi_i, \int_0^n \lambda de_\lambda \rangle + \infty \cdot \varphi_i(p) \\ &\nearrow \sup_n \langle \varphi, \int_0^n \lambda de_\lambda \rangle + \infty \cdot \varphi_i(p) = \langle \varphi, m \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 14. For all normal weights φ_1 , φ_2 , and φ on M and all $x \in M$ we have

$$(a) \quad \frac{d(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2)}{d\psi} = \frac{d\varphi_1}{d\psi} + \frac{d\varphi_2}{d\psi},$$

$$(b) \quad \frac{d(x \cdot \varphi \cdot x^*)}{d\psi} = x \cdot \frac{d\varphi}{d\psi} \cdot x^*.$$

Remark 15. The sums and products occurring at the right hand side of (a) and (b) are to be understood in the sense of the operations in $\widehat{B(H)}_+$. In particular, if φ_1 , φ_2 , $\varphi_1 + \varphi_2$ are semifinite, $\frac{d\varphi_1}{d\psi} + \frac{d\varphi_2}{d\psi}$ is the form sum of the positive self-adjoint operators $\frac{d\varphi_1}{d\psi}$ and $\frac{d\varphi_2}{d\psi}$. Similarly, if $x \cdot \varphi \cdot x^*$ is semifinite, $x \cdot \frac{d\varphi}{d\psi} \cdot x^*$ is the form product.

Remark 16. In [1], the sum property is simply stated without proof. It seems to be difficult to give a proof using only the methods of [1] (one only gets " \geq "). - The product property is stated (and proved) only for invertible $x \in M$.

Proof of Theorem 14. Let $\xi \in H$. Then, using successively Definition 11, Lemma 13, Definition 11 again, and the definition of the sum in $\widehat{B(H)}_+$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \omega_{\xi, \xi}, \frac{d(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2)}{d\psi} \rangle &= \langle \varphi_1 + \varphi_2, \theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) \rangle \\ &= \langle \varphi_1, \theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) \rangle + \langle \varphi_2, \theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) \rangle \\ &= \langle \omega_{\xi, \xi}, \frac{d\varphi_1}{d\psi} \rangle + \langle \omega_{\xi, \xi}, \frac{d\varphi_2}{d\psi} \rangle \\ &= \langle \omega_{\xi, \xi}, \frac{d\varphi_1}{d\psi} + \frac{d\varphi_2}{d\psi} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \omega_{\xi, \xi}, \frac{d(x \cdot \varphi \cdot x^*)}{d\psi} \rangle &= \langle x \cdot \varphi \cdot x^*, \theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) \rangle = \langle \varphi, x^* \cdot \theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) \cdot x \rangle \\ &= \langle \varphi, \theta^\psi(x^* \xi, x^* \xi) \rangle = \langle \omega_{x^* \xi, x^* \xi}, \frac{d\varphi}{d\psi} \rangle \\ &= \langle x^* \cdot \omega_{\xi, \xi} \cdot x, \frac{d\varphi}{d\psi} \rangle = \langle \omega_{\xi, \xi}, x \cdot \frac{d\varphi_1}{d\psi} \cdot x^* \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 13 and Proposition 7. \square

Theorem 17. Let $(\varphi_i)_{i \in I}$ and φ be normal weights on M . Suppose that

$$\varphi_i \nearrow \varphi.$$

Then

$$\frac{d\varphi_i}{d\psi} \nearrow \frac{d\varphi}{d\psi}.$$

Remark 18. In particular, if φ is semifinite, we have $\frac{d\varphi_i}{d\psi} \nearrow \frac{d\varphi}{d\psi}$ in the usual sense of positive self-adjoint operators.

Proof of Theorem 17. For all $\xi \in H$, we have by Lemma 13

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \omega_{\xi, \xi}, \frac{d\varphi_i}{d\psi} \rangle &= \langle \varphi_i, \theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) \rangle \\ &\nearrow \langle \varphi, \theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) \rangle = \langle \omega_{\xi, \xi}, \frac{d\varphi}{d\psi} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 19. Let φ be a normal semifinite weight on M . Write $p = \text{supp } \varphi$. Then for all $m \in \widehat{M}_+$, we have

$$\langle \varphi, m \rangle = 0 \Leftrightarrow p \cdot m \cdot p = 0.$$

Proof. Let $m = \int_0^\infty \lambda de_\lambda + \infty \cdot (1 - r)$ be the spectral resolution of m . Put $x_n = \int_0^n \lambda de_\lambda$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi, m \rangle = 0 &\Leftrightarrow \forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \langle \varphi, x_n \rangle = 0 \text{ and } \langle \varphi, 1 - r \rangle = 0 \\ &\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : p \cdot x_n \cdot p = 0 \text{ and } p \cdot (1 - r) \cdot p = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow p \cdot m \cdot p = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 20. Let φ be a normal semifinite weight on M . Then

$$\text{supp} \left(\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi} \right) = \text{supp}(\varphi). \quad (11)$$

In particular, $\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi}$ is injective if and only if φ is faithful.

Proof. Put $p = \text{supp } \varphi \in M$. Now for all $\xi \in H$, we have, using Lemma 19 and Proposition 7:

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi \in \ker\left(\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi}\right) &\Leftrightarrow \langle \omega_{\xi, \xi}, \frac{d\varphi}{d\psi} \rangle = 0 \\
&\Leftrightarrow \langle \varphi, \theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) \rangle = 0 \\
&\Leftrightarrow p \cdot \theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) \cdot p = 0 \\
&\Leftrightarrow \theta^\psi(p\xi, p\xi) = 0 \\
&\Leftrightarrow p\xi = 0 \\
&\Leftrightarrow \xi \in (1 - p)H.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\ker\left(\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi}\right) = \text{supp}\left(\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi}\right)^\perp$, the result follows. \square

Proposition 21. *Let $\xi \in H$. Then there exists a sequence $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $D(H, \psi)$ satisfying*

$$\xi_n \rightarrow \xi \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and such that

$$q_\varphi(\xi_n) \rightarrow q_\varphi(\xi) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (12)$$

for all normal weights φ on M .

Proof. Let

$$\theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) = \int_0^\infty \lambda d e_\lambda + \infty \cdot (1 - p)$$

be the spectral resolution of $\theta^\psi(\xi, \xi)$. Then p is the projection onto $\overline{D(R^\psi(\xi)^*)}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the operator $R^\psi(e_n \xi)^*$, being closed and everywhere defined (since $R^\psi(e_n \xi)^* = R^\psi(\xi)^* e_n$), must be bounded; hence $R^\psi(e_n \xi)$ is bounded and $e_n \xi \in D(H, \psi)$.

Take a sequence $(\zeta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $D(H, \psi)$ such that $\zeta_n \rightarrow \xi$ (possible by Proposition 4). Then also $(1 - p)\zeta_n \in D(H, \psi)$.

Now for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, put

$$\xi_n = e_n \xi + (1 - p)\zeta_n \in D(H, \psi).$$

Then

$$\xi_n \rightarrow p\xi + (1 - p)\xi = \xi \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We claim that $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ (12).

Hence, let φ be a normal weight on M . We consider two cases. If $\langle \varphi, \theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) \rangle = \infty$, (12) is trivially true; indeed, by the lower semicontinuity of q_φ , we have

$$\infty = q_\varphi(\xi) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_\varphi(\xi_n).$$

Now suppose that $\langle \varphi, \theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) \rangle < \infty$. We can write

$$\varphi = \sum_{i \in I} \omega_{\eta_i, \eta_i}$$

for certain $\eta_i \in H$. Then all

$$\langle \omega_{\eta_i, \eta_i}, \theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) \rangle < \infty$$

so that $\eta_i \in D(R^\psi(\xi)^*) \subset pH$, whence

$$\omega_{\eta_i, \eta_i} = p \cdot \omega_{\eta_i, \eta_i} \cdot p.$$

Hence

$$\varphi = p \cdot \varphi \cdot p.$$

Now using

$$\begin{aligned} p \cdot \theta^\psi(\xi_n, \xi_n) \cdot p &= \theta^\psi(p\xi_n, p\xi_n) \\ &= \theta^\psi(e_n\xi, e_n\xi) \\ &= e_n \cdot \theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) \cdot e_n \\ &\nearrow p \cdot \theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) \cdot p \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi, \theta^\psi(\xi_n, \xi_n) \rangle &= \langle \varphi, p \cdot \theta^\psi(\xi_n, \xi_n) \cdot p \rangle \\ &\nearrow \langle \varphi, p \cdot \theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) \cdot p \rangle \\ &= \langle \varphi, \theta^\psi(\xi, \xi) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

□

Using Proposition 21, we can now prove that our definition of $\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi}$ agrees with Connes' [1]. Note that we also prove the existence of a biggest positive self-adjoint operator satisfying (13) below so that we do not need [1, Lemma 5].

Proposition 22. *Let φ be a normal semifinite weight on M .*

1) *The operator $\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi}$ is the biggest positive self-adjoint operator d satisfying*

$$\forall \xi \in D(H, \psi) : q_\varphi(\xi) = \begin{cases} \|d^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi\|^2 & \text{if } \xi \in D(d^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}. \quad (13)$$

2) *The operator $\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi}$ is the unique positive self-adjoint operator satisfying (13) and*

$$d^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left[d^{\frac{1}{2}}|_{D(H, \psi) \cap D(d^{\frac{1}{2}})} \right]. \quad (14)$$

Proof. 1) The operator $\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi}$ is characterized by (10). Hence, in particular, (13) holds.

Now let d be any positive self-adjoint operator satisfying (13). We shall prove that $d \leq \frac{d\varphi}{d\psi}$. Let $\xi \in D\left(\left(\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$. By Proposition 21, there exist $\xi_n \in D(H, \psi)$ such that $\xi_n \rightarrow \xi$ and

$$q_\varphi(\xi_n) \rightarrow q_\varphi(\xi).$$

On the other hand, the mapping $p : H \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ defined by

$$p(\xi) = \begin{cases} \|d^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi\|^2 & \text{if } \xi \in D(d^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

is lower semi-continuous (since $p(\xi) = \int_0^\infty \lambda d(e_\lambda \xi | \xi) = \sup \int_0^n \lambda d(e_\lambda \xi | \xi)$, where $d = \int_0^\infty \lambda d e_\lambda$ is the spectral resolution of d), whence

$$p(\xi) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_\varphi(\xi_n) = q_\varphi(\xi) = \left\| \left(\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi \right\|^2.$$

This shows that $d \leq \frac{d\varphi}{d\psi}$.

2) First, let us show that $d = \frac{d\varphi}{d\psi}$ satisfies (14). Let $\xi \in D(d^{\frac{1}{2}})$. Take a sequence $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $D(H, \psi)$ as in Proposition

21. Since $q_\varphi(\xi_n) \rightarrow q_\varphi(\xi) = \left\| d^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi \right\|^2 < \infty$, we may assume that all $q_\varphi(\xi_n) < \infty$, i.e. all $\xi_n \in D(H, \psi) \cap D(d^{\frac{1}{2}})$. Now $\xi_n \rightarrow \xi$ and $\left\| d^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi_n \right\|^2 \rightarrow \left\| d^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi \right\|^2$. It follows that $d^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi_n \rightarrow d^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| d^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi - d^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi_n \right\|^2 \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (2 \left\| d^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi \right\|^2 + 2 \left\| d^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi_n \right\|^2 - \left\| d^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi + d^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi_n \right\|^2) \\ &= 2 \left\| d^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi \right\|^2 + 2 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| d^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi_n \right\|^2 - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| d^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi + d^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi_n \right\|^2 \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Next, assume that d is a positive self-adjoint operator satisfying (13) and (14). We shall prove that then d is the maximal positive self-adjoint operator satisfying (13). Define $p : H \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ as above (15). Then

$$\forall \xi \in H : q_\varphi(\xi) \leq p(\xi). \quad (16)$$

Indeed, if $\xi \in D(d^{\frac{1}{2}})$, this is trivially true; if $\xi \in D(d^{\frac{1}{2}})$, take, by (14), $\xi_n \in D(H, \psi) \cap D(d^{\frac{1}{2}})$ such that

$$\xi_n \rightarrow \xi \text{ and } d^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi_n \rightarrow d^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi.$$

Then $q_\varphi(\xi_n) = \left\| d^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi_n \right\|^2 \rightarrow \left\| d^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi \right\|^2 = p(\xi)$ so that

$$q_\varphi(\xi) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_\varphi(\xi_n) = p(\xi).$$

Finally, (16) implies that $\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi} \leq d$, whence $\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi} = d$ by 1). \square

We recall [1, proof of Theorem 9]:

Example 23. Let M be the left algebra associated with a left Hilbert algebra \mathfrak{A} in H . Let φ_0 , resp. ψ_0 , be the canonical weight on M , resp. M' , associated with \mathfrak{A}'' , resp. \mathfrak{A}' . Then

$$\frac{d\varphi_0}{d\psi_0} = \Delta,$$

where Δ is the modular operator associated with φ_0 .

Spatial derivatives are preserved by spatial isomorphisms:

Proposition 24. *Let M_1 be a von Neumann algebra acting on a Hilbert space H_1 . Suppose that*

$$u : H \rightarrow H_1$$

is a unitary such that

$$uMu^* = M_1.$$

Then for all normal semifinite weights φ on M and all normal faithful semifinite weights ψ on M' , $u \cdot \varphi \cdot u^$ and $u \cdot \psi \cdot u^*$ are weights on M_1 and M'_1 respectively, and we have*

$$\frac{d(u \cdot \varphi \cdot u^*)}{d(u \cdot \psi \cdot u^*)} = u \frac{d\varphi}{d\psi} u^*.$$

The proof is left to the reader.

1.2 Modular properties of spatial derivatives

Here, we first recall, without proof, some main results from [1] and then state some immediate corollaries. For the first theorems, recall that the spatial derivatives occurring in them are injective by Theorem 20.

Theorem 25. *Let φ_1 and φ_2 be normal faithful semifinite weights on M , and let ψ be a normal faithful semifinite weight on M' . Then*

$$\forall t \in \mathbb{R} : (D\varphi_1 : D\varphi_2)_t = \left(\frac{d\varphi_1}{d\psi} \right)^{it} \left(\frac{d\varphi_2}{d\psi} \right)^{-it}.$$

Theorem 26. *Let φ and ψ be normal faithful semifinite weights on M and M' , respectively. Then*

$$(i) \quad \forall x \in M \forall t \in \mathbb{R} : \sigma_t^\varphi(x) = \left(\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi} \right)^{it} x \left(\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi} \right)^{-it},$$

$$(ii) \quad \forall y \in M' \forall t \in \mathbb{R} : \sigma_t^\psi(y) = \left(\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi} \right)^{-it} y \left(\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi} \right)^{it}.$$

Corollary 27. *Let φ and ψ be normal faithful semifinite weights on M and M' , respectively. Then*

- (i) $\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi} \eta M'$ if and only if φ is a trace,
- (ii) $\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi} \eta M$ if and only if ψ is a trace,
- (iii) $\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi} \eta Z(M)$ if and only if both φ and ψ are traces.

Theorem 28. *Let φ and ψ be normal faithful semifinite weights on M and M' , respectively. Then*

$$\left(\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi} \right)^{-1} = \frac{d\psi}{d\varphi}.$$

Property (ii) in Theorem 26 characterizes operators having the form $\frac{d\varphi}{d\psi}$:

Theorem 29. *Let ψ be a normal faithful semifinite weight on M' , and let a be a positive self-adjoint operator on H . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $a = \frac{d\varphi}{d\psi}$ for some (necessarily unique) normal semifinite weight φ on M ,
- (ii) $\forall y \in M' \forall t \in \mathbb{R} : \sigma_{-t}^\psi(y) a^{it} = a^{it} y$.

Note that $\varphi \mapsto \frac{d\varphi}{d\psi}$ is injective by (9) combined with [1, Proposition 3].

Corollary 30. *There is a bijective correspondence, characterized by the equation*

$$\frac{d\tau}{d\tau'} = 1, \tag{17}$$

between the sets of normal faithful semifinite traces τ and τ' on M and M' , respectively. (In particular, M is semifinite if and only if M' is semifinite.)

Proof. Given τ , there exists by Theorem 26 and 29 a weight τ' on M' such that $\frac{d\tau}{d\tau'} = 1$. By Corollary 27, τ' is a trace. Conversely, given τ' , by the same arguments, we can define τ . \square

In case of algebras on standard form, this correspondence reduces to the usual correspondence given by J :

Corollary 31. *Suppose that (M, H, J, P) is a standard form of M in the sense of [4, Definition 2.1]. Then for all normal faithful semifinite traces τ on M we have*

$$\tau' = \tau(J \cdot J).$$

Proof. Let u be the (unique) unitary carrying (M, H, J, P) onto $(M, H_\tau, J_\tau, P_\tau)$. In H_τ we have by Example 23

$$\frac{d\tau}{d\tau(J \cdot J)} = \Delta_\tau = 1.$$

Hence, by Proposition 24, also

$$\frac{d\tau}{d\tau(J \cdot J)} = 1.$$

in H , whence $\tau' = \tau(J \cdot J)$. \square

Corollary 32. *Suppose that M is semifinite and that τ and τ' are normal faithful semifinite traces on M and M' related by (17). Then for all normal semifinite weights φ on M , we have*

$$\forall t \in \mathbb{R} : \left(\frac{d\varphi}{d\tau'} \right)^{it} = (D\varphi : D\tau)_t.$$

Otherwise stated, for all positive self-adjoint operators $h \eta M$, we have

$$\frac{d\tau(h \cdot)}{d\tau'} = h.$$

Finally, we recall the notion of γ -homogeneity.

Definition 33. *Let ψ be a normal faithful semifinite weight on M' , and let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. A closed densely defined operator a on H with polar decomposition $a = u|a|$ is called γ -homogeneous with respect to ψ if*

$$u \in M \text{ and } \forall y \in M' \forall t \in \mathbb{R} : \sigma_{\gamma t}^\psi(y)|a|^{it} = |a|^{it}y. \quad (18)$$