

## 0.1 Some Classical Inequalities

In this section we study two classical Inequalities: Hardy's inequality and Fejer-Riesz inequality. The first inequality is an example of why  $H^p$  is a natural replacement of  $L^p$  for  $p \leq 1$ . The second inequality shows some geometry properties of conformal mappings.

### 0.1.1 Hardy's inequality

**Theorem 0.1.1.1** (Hardy's inequality). *Let  $F(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j z^j$  be in  $H^1$ . Then:*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{|a_j|}{j+1} \leq C \|F\|_{H^1}$$

with a constant  $C$  independent of  $F$ .

**Remark** (notes on proof of theorem 0.1.1.1). *We know the principal branch of the logarithm  $\log z = \log r + i\theta$  where  $z = re^{i\theta}$  with  $|\theta| < \pi$ . Thus  $\text{Im} \log 1 - z = \arg 1 - z$ . It is easy to see  $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \arg 1 - z < \frac{\pi}{2}$ .*

$$\begin{aligned} F(re^{it})u(re^{it}) &= \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j (re^{it})^j\right) \left(\frac{i}{2} \sum_{j \neq 0} j^{-1} r^{|j|} e^{ijt}\right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j r^j e^{ijt}\right) \left(\frac{i}{2} \sum_{k \neq 0} k^{-1} r^{|k|} e^{ikt}\right) \end{aligned}$$

After taking integral, only  $j + k = 0$  term does not vanish, thus:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F(re^{it})u(re^{it}) dt &= \left(\frac{i}{2} \sum_{j+k=0} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} a_j r^j e^{ijt} k^{-1} r^{|k|} e^{ikt} dt\right) \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j+k=0} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} a_j r^{j+|k|} e^{i(j+k)t} k^{-1} dt \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} a_j r^{2j} (-j)^{-1} dt \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j r^{2j} (-j)^{-1} \\ &= -\frac{i}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j j^{-1} r^{2j} \end{aligned}$$

The corollary 4.2 in book shows that if  $F(e^{it})$  is absolutely continuous (equivalent to  $F' \in H^1$ ), then  $(\hat{F}(n))_n \in \ell^1$ . But the converse is not true.  $(\hat{F}(n))_n \in \ell^1$  only implies  $F$  extends to a continuous function on  $\bar{D}$

**Remark** (Errata of  $\text{Re } H^1$ ). Let  $g(t)$  be  $\text{Re } F(e^{it}) = \sum_{j \geq 0} a_j e^{ijt}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} g(t) &= \frac{F(e^{it}) + \overline{F(e^{it})}}{2} \\ &= \frac{a_0 + \bar{a}_0}{2} + \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{a_j}{2} e^{ijt} + \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{\bar{a}_j}{2} e^{-ijt} \\ &= \frac{a_0 + \bar{a}_0}{2} + \sum_{j > 0} \frac{a_j}{2} e^{ijt} + \sum_{j < 0} \frac{\bar{a}_{-j}}{2} e^{ijt} \\ &= \frac{a_0 + \bar{a}_0}{2} + \sum_{j \neq 0} \hat{g}(j) e^{ijt} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{g}(j) = \frac{a_j}{2}$  for  $j > 0$ ,  $\hat{g}(j) = \frac{\bar{a}_{-j}}{2}$  for  $j < 0$  and  $\hat{g}(j) = \text{Re } a_0$ . Thus  $|a_j| = |\hat{g}(j)| + |\hat{g}(-j)|$ . Substitute  $|a_j|$  to  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_j|}{j} \leq \pi \|F\|_{H^1}$ . We have  $\sum_{j \neq 0} \left| \frac{\hat{f}(j)}{j} \right| \leq \pi \|f\|_{\text{Re } H^1}$

We have  $\text{Re } H^1$  is a proper subspace of  $\text{Re } L^1$ . And Hardy's inequality may be considered an extension to  $p = 1$  of Paley's inequality which says that for  $f \in L^p$  with  $1 < p \leq 2$ :

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|\hat{f}(j)|^p}{|j|^{p-2}} \leq C_p \|F\|_p^p$$

Later we will see in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  this inequality can be extended to  $H^p$  for  $p < 1$ . And  $H^p$  for  $p \leq 1$  are natural substitutes of Lebesgue spaces  $L^p$ .

### 0.1.2 Fejer-Riesz inequality

Recall the final corollary in last section. Let  $F$  be a conformal map from  $D$  to interior domain bounded by a Jordan curve  $\Gamma$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is rectifiable if and only if  $F' \in H^1$ .

**Theorem 0.1.2.1** (Fejer-Riesz inequality). Let  $F \in H^p$ ,  $0 < p < \infty$ , then

$$\int_{-1}^1 |F(x)|^p dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |F(e^{it})|^p dt$$

To prove this theorem, we first prove the  $p = 2$  case. Then for  $p \neq 2$  case, we factorize  $F(z) = B(z)H(z)$  and let  $|G(z)|^2 = |H(z)|^p$  to reduce this case to  $p = 2$ .

Here is a direct application of this inequality. Let  $F$  be the conformal map from  $D$  to interior domain bounded by a Jordan curve  $\Gamma$ . Then image of diameter of  $D$  has length at most half of length of  $\Gamma$  (corollary 4.6 in book).

**Remark** (notes on proof of corollary 4.6 in book). *To prove that  $\frac{1}{2}$  is the best constant in corollary 4.6 in book, we only need to show there is a conformal map from  $D$  to interior domain bounded by a rectifiable Jordan curve  $\Gamma$ , the constant  $\frac{1}{2}$  can not be smaller. Let  $F(z)$  is a conformal map from  $D$  to rectangle  $\{x + iy : |x| < 1, |y| < \epsilon\}$  and  $F$  maps segment  $(-1, 1)$  in  $D$  to segment  $(-1, 1)$  in rectangle. It is easy to construct this map. The constant has to be at least  $\frac{2}{4+4\epsilon}$ . Let  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  we conclude  $\frac{1}{2}$  is the best constant.*

Another usage of conformal mapping  $F' \in H^1$  is following:  $F$  can be extended on  $\bar{D}$  and  $F$  is still conformal. More precisely, Let  $F$  be a conformal mapping from  $D$  to interior domain bounded by a rectifiable Jordan curve  $\Gamma$ .  $F$  is also conformal at almost every boundary point (corollary 4.7 in book).

**Remark** (notes on proof of corollary 4.7 in book). *The step:*

$$\frac{F(e^{it_0}) - F(z)}{e^{it_0} - z} - F'(e^{it_0}) = \frac{1}{e^{it_0} - z} \int_z^{e^{it_0}} (F'(\xi) - F'(e^{it_0})) d\xi \rightarrow 0$$

as  $z \rightarrow e^{it_0}$  N.T. is by mean value theorem of integration.

*I don't know why the tangent to  $\Gamma$  at the point  $F(e^{it_0})$  happens for a.e. boundary point  $e^{it_0}$ .*

*The angle between  $\gamma$  and boundary in  $D$  is  $\lim \arg z - e^{it_0} - t_0 - \frac{\pi}{2}$  and The angle between  $F(\gamma)$  and boundary in  $F(D)$  is  $\lim \arg F(z) - F(e^{it_0}) - t_0 - \arg(\frac{d}{dt}(F(e^{it}))|_{t=t_0})$ . Since  $F$  is conformal in  $D$ , to prove  $F$  is conformal in  $\bar{D}$ , we only need to prove the conformal map preserves angle on boundary. That is:*

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow e^{it_0}} \arg(z - e^{it_0}) - t_0 - \frac{\pi}{2} = \lim_{z \rightarrow e^{it_0}} \arg(F(z) - F(e^{it_0})) - \arg\left(\frac{d}{dt}(F(e^{it}))|_{t=t_0}\right)$$

*We have  $\frac{d}{dt}F(e^{it})|_{t=t_0} = ie^{it_0}F'(e^{it_0})$ . Thus  $\arg(\frac{d}{dt}(F(e^{it}))|_{t=t_0}) = \frac{\pi}{2} + t_0 + \arg F'(e^{it_0})$ . So the equality is the same as:*

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow e^{it_0}} \arg(z - e^{it_0}) = \lim_{z \rightarrow e^{it_0}} \arg(F(z) - F(e^{it_0})) - \arg F'(e^{it_0})$$

*which is clearly if we take  $\arg$  in both sides in  $\lim_{z \rightarrow e^{it_0}} \frac{F(e^{it_0}) - F(z)}{e^{it_0} - z} = F'(e^{it_0})$ .*

*We use  $t_0 + \frac{\pi}{2}$  instead of  $t_0 - \frac{\pi}{2}$  match to  $\arg(\frac{d}{dt}(F(e^{it}))|_{t=t_0})$  since they are in the same direction.*