

# MATLAB Simulation of Electric Field Distribution of Finite Line Charge

Junlong Huang 11810405, *Southern University of Science and Technology*

**Abstract**—At present, there are many studies on the electric field and potential distribution of infinitely long line charges. However, because of the fact that the length of the line charge under investigation cannot be infinitely long, it is more important to study the electric field potential distribution of the line charge of finite length. Therefore, this paper uses calculus and microelement method to calculate the electric field of finite length line charge, and uses Matlab to visualize the equipotential line and electric field line.

**Keywords**—Electric field distribution, Finite line charge, Matlab simulation, Infinitesimal Method.

## I. INTRODUCTION

THE electric field distribution of the finite linear charge is a basic model other than the electric field distribution of the point charge. However, the charged conductor in actual life and production cannot be infinitely long. As long as the length of the charged conductor is much larger than its width, it can be reduced to a finite length linear charge model for research.

This problem was solved long ago. Ernst Weber treated it in the 1965 revision[1] of his book, referencing earlier work of Abraham and Becker[2] among others. The latter introduced elliptic coordinates to show that the equipotentials are prolate ellipsoids. There are potential gradient method, Gauss's theorem and arc equivalent method to calculate the electric field distribution of line charge. Due to the continuous characteristics of line charges, complex integration operations are often performed when solving electric fields, and the algebraic results obtained are not particularly intuitive.

Therefore, this article will use the infinitesimal method and the integration method for comparative calculations, and simulate on Matlab to draw the electric field image. Considering that it is very easy to calculate the potential in a two-dimensional plane, and it is also very convenient to use Matlab to find the gradient, so this paper uses the potential gradient method to calculate the electric field distribution. And quantitative analysis of the approximate effect of infinitesimal method and integration method.

## II. METHOD AND ANALYSIS

### A. Calculus Method

The electric field strength  $E$  generated by the point charge in vacuum is:

$$\mathbf{E} = k \frac{Q}{R^2} \mathbf{a}_R \quad (1)$$

The coefficient  $k = 9 \times 10^9 \text{ F/m}$ , which is a measure of electrostatic force,  $Q$  is the amount of charge of a point charge, and  $R$  is the distance from the point charge to the field point.

If the zero potential point is taken at infinity, the potential generated by the point charge in vacuum is:

$$V = k \frac{Q}{R} \quad (2)$$

Suppose that a linear charge with a density of  $\rho = 1 \times 10^{-9} \text{ C/m}$  is distributed on the straight line between point A (-1,0) and point B (1,0) under two-dimensional rectangular coordinates. (The coordinate unit is meter m). Let the coordinates of a point on the plane be  $(X_0, Y_0)$ , then by integration, the potential at that point is:

$$\begin{aligned} V &= k \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\rho dx}{R} \\ &= k \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\rho dx}{\sqrt{(x - X_0)^2 + Y_0^2}} \\ &= k\rho \int_{-1}^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{(x - X_0)^2 + Y_0^2}} dx \\ &= k\rho \cdot \ln |(x - X_0) + \sqrt{(x - X_0)^2 + Y_0^2}| \Big|_{-1}^1 \\ &= k\rho \ln \left( \frac{1 - X_0 + \sqrt{(1 - X_0)^2 + Y_0^2}}{-1 - X_0 + \sqrt{(-1 - X_0)^2 + Y_0^2}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The electric field strength can be expressed as a negative gradient of potential, namely:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} &= -\nabla V \\ &= -\left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \mathbf{a}_x + \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} \mathbf{a}_y \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Since the analytical formula of the calculation result is too complicated, it is omitted here. This is a very easy way to get the electric field at point  $(X_0, Y_0)$  in Matlab, only need to use the Matlab function `[Ex, Ey]=Gradient(-V)` to get the electric field strength.

### B. Infinitesimal Method

Due to the huge amount of calculation of the calculus method, and the computer often processes discrete rather than continuous information, in fact, when using the calculus method to calculate the electric field distribution in the plane, Matlab also divides the plane into several grid points to calculate one by one. Therefore, using infinitesimal method to divide appropriate infinitesimal is a better choice to reduce the amount of computation and improve the efficiency of calculation.

First, we divide the line charge into several small charges (usually using the method of even division). Suppose it is

divided into  $n$  segments, the amount of charge in each segment is  $\frac{\rho L}{n}$ .

Then treat each small piece of charge as a point charge, solve the potential generated in space. The potential expression of the  $i$ -th charge  $((-1 + \frac{i-1}{n-1}), 0)$  at point  $(X_0, Y_0)$  is:

$$V_i = k \frac{Q_i}{R_i} = k \frac{\rho L/n}{\sqrt{(-1 + \frac{i-1}{n-1} - X_0)^2 + Y_0^2}} \quad (5)$$

And then, sum the potential of each piece of charge to obtain the point

$$V = \sum_{i=1}^n V_i \quad (6)$$

where the entire line charge is generated.

Finally, the gradient formula is used as continuous charges before to solve the electric field strength generated by the entire line charge.

### C. Matlab Function

Matlab has very convenient functions in studying potential electric fields. Here are some main functions for Matlab simulation.

```
1 xi=linspace(-1,1,n)
2 %Line charge n is equally divided on the interval
3 [Ex,Ey]=-Gradient(V)
4 %Calculating the electric field strength component
5 contour(X,Y,V,Veq)
6 %Draw the equipotential lines
7 streamline(X,Y,Ex,Ey,x_start,y_start)
8 %Draw the electric field lines
```

### D. Potential Line and Electric Field Line Cluster Function

By formula (3), (4) can be obtained  $x, y$  direction of the electric field strength component:

$$E_x = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} = k\rho \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{(-1-X_0)^2 + Y_0^2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1-X_0)^2 + Y_0^2}} \right] = k\rho \frac{r_1 - r_2}{r_1 r_2} \quad (7)$$

$$E_y = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial y} = k\rho \left[ \frac{1}{Y_0^2 + r_2^2} - \frac{1}{Y_0^2 + r_1^2} \right] \quad (8)$$

where  $r_1 = \sqrt{(1-X_0)^2 + Y_0^2}$ ,  $r_2 = \sqrt{(-1-X_0)^2 + Y_0^2}$ .

Differential equations that can be satisfied by the power line of the (7), (8) subtype:

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{E_y}{E_x} = \frac{r_2(1-X_0) - r_1(-1-X_0)}{Y_0(r_1 - r_2)} \quad (9)$$

Simplicity can be obtained:  $\frac{dr_2^2}{r_2} = \frac{dr_1^2}{r_1}$ , after integration:

$$\sqrt{(1-X_0)^2 + Y_0^2} - \sqrt{(-1-X_0)^2 + Y_0^2} = C \quad (10)$$

Equation (10) is the finite line charge power line cluster function.

From equation (3), since  $k$  and  $\rho$  are constants, the equipotential cluster function is

$$\frac{1-X_0 + \sqrt{(1-X_0)^2 + Y_0^2}}{-1-X_0 + \sqrt{(-1-X_0)^2 + Y_0^2}} = C \quad (11)$$

Simplify and organize as follows:

$$4C(C-1)^2 x^2 + (C^2-1)^2 y^2 = 4C(C+1)^2$$

$$\frac{x^2}{(\frac{C+1}{C-1})^2} + \frac{y^2}{\frac{4C(C+1)^2}{(C^2-1)^2}} = 1 \quad (12)$$

It can be seen from the equation (12) that the equipotential lines are elliptically distributed. For ellipses  $\frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} = 1$ , the expression of eccentricity is  $e = \frac{c}{a} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{b^2}{a^2}}$ . The result is as follows:

$$e = \sqrt{1 - \frac{4C(C+1)^2}{(C^2-1)^2} \cdot \frac{(C-1)^2}{(C+1)^2}} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{4C(C-1)^2}{(C^2-1)^2}} \quad (13)$$

The relationship between the elliptical eccentricity and the constant  $C$  is shown in the Fig. 1.




Fig. 1. The relationship between eccentricity  $e$  and constant  $C$ .

Due to the line charge is positive, so the potential is not negative. So  $C > 1$  for  $\ln C > 0$ . It can be seen from the monotonically increasing relationship of the logarithmic function that the larger the constant  $C$ , the larger the potential on the equipotential line. Therefore, we found that when the electric potential is small (where the area far away from the line charge), the eccentricity of the ellipse approaches 0, and the shape of the equipotential line tends to be circle ( $e = 0$ ). At the same time, the higher the potential, the closer

the eccentricity is to 1 and the flatter the ellipse. And also, this result is consistent with Rowley's conclusion at polar coordinates.[3]

### E. Error Analysis

There is a certain error between the result obtained by the infinitesimal method and the true value. The error mainly depends on the number of segments divided by the line charge. Generally speaking, the more segments, the smaller the error.

First we introduce the concept of squared residuals  $v^2$ . Let

$$v^2 = (V - V_i)^2$$

$V$  is the real potential calculated by the integral method,  $V_i$  is the electric potential approximated by the infinitesimal method.

In this way, we can infer the relative magnitude of the error between the results of the infinitesimal method and the true value through the value of the squares of the residuals.

However, due to the potentials at different points in the plane are different, in order to eliminate this effect, the normalized residual square is used to quantitatively describe the error.

We Define the normalized residual square is  $v'$ :

$$v' = \left( \frac{V - V_i}{V} \right)^2$$

The smaller  $v'$  is, the closer the infinitesimal method result is to the true value.

## III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### A. Calculus Method Result

Using the results of calculus, in Matlab simulation, the potential, the potential and the electric field distribution of the finite line chargeas are shown in Fig. 2.




Fig. 2. Calculus Method Calculation results: (a) The potential of the finite line chargeas, (b) The electric field distribution of the finite line chargeas

From Fig. 2 (a), we can see the characteristics of finite line charge potential distribution: potential is a long bar, close to the position of the wire charge potential is high, far away from the line charge where the potential is low. At line charge intermediate accessory, the potential changes gently. At both ends of the line, the potential drops rapidly. From Fig. 2 (b), it can be seen that the distribution of finite line charge electric field is indeed elliptical, as mentioned above. The area close to the line charge, the more flat the ellipse formed. The area away from the line charge, the more elliptical closer to the circle. At the same time, the electric field line is perpendicular to the isopotential face, like the electric field line of the point charge, dispersing in all directions.

### B. Infinitesimal Method Result

Using the results of infinitesimal method, in Matlab simulation, the potential, the potential and the electric field distribution of the finite line chargeas are shown in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 as follows.




Fig. 3. The potential distribution of the finite line chargeas (a) The actually result calculated by Calculus Method (b) The approximate result calculated by Infinitesimal Method when  $n=20$  (c)  $n=50$  (d)  $n=100$

As can be seen from Fig. 3 (b), when the number of equal segments  $n$  is small, the potential distribution on the line charge is jagged. When  $n$  is increased to 50 shown as Fig. 3 (c), the jagged becomes dense. When  $n$  is increased to 100 shown as Fig. 3 (d), the jagged edge become almost invisible. The infinitesimal method and the calculus method get almost the same graph.




Fig. 4. The potential and the electric field distribution of the finite line chargeas (a) The actually result calculated by Calculus Method (b) The approximate result calculated by Infinitesimal Method when  $n=20$  (c)  $n=50$  (d)  $n=100$

Fig. 4 is the potential and the electric field distribution of the finite line charges in a two-dimensional plane. As  $n$  increases, the subdivision of point charge increases, and the potential surface of the ellipse becomes smoother.

### C. Error Analysis Results

Using the square residual method, the potential obtained by the infinitesimal was compared with the potential obtained by the calculus, the figures are as follows:




Fig. 5. The square residual between infinitesimal and calculus (a)  $n=20$  (b)  $n=50$  (c)  $n=100$

Since the actual potentials are different in different locations, and the z-axis ratio of the three graphs is also different. In order to compare the results more directly and accurately, the residuals are normalized and squared, and the z-axis scale is set the same as the graph, the results are as follows.




Fig. 6. The square of normalized residual between infinitesimal and calculus (a)  $n=20$  (b)  $n=50$  (c)  $n=100$

As can be seen from Fig. 5 (a), when  $n = 20$ , the residual square is quite large, indicating that the results of the infinitesimal method calculation are very different from the actual result. The gap between infinitesimal method result and actual result is significantly smaller when  $n$  is 50 as shown in Fig. 5 (b). When  $n = 100$ , only the two ends of the line charge have a little significantly difference as shown in Fig. 5 (c).

From Fig. 6, it can be seen more clearly that with the increase of  $n$ , the result of infinitesimal method and the actual result of the generalization residual smaller, the less error. That is, the more micro-meta-segments, the smaller the error with the real result.

### IV. CONCLUSION

In this article, we use infinitesimal method and calculus method, respectively, to calculate the potential and electric field distribution of finite-length line charge, and to simulate and visualize in Matlab. Through calculation and simulation, we find that the isopotential plane of the finite length line charge is elliptically distributed, and the power line is perpendicular to the isopotential face from the line charge to the surrounding.

At the same time, we introduce the concept of residual square, and quantitatively analyze the error between the result of infinitesimal method and the real value. It is verified that the more the number of microelements, the closer the result is to the true value. As can be seen from the Fig. 6,  $n = 50$  is an approximate processing value that reduces the calculation quantity to improve the computational efficiency without affecting too much the accuracy of the result.

Other calculation methods for finite-length wire charge electric fields and the best values for  $n$  will be expanded in subsequent work.

### REFERENCES

- [1] E. Weber, *Electromagnetic Theory: Static Fields and Their Mapping*. Dover, New York, 1965.
- [2] M. Abraham and R. Becker, *The Classical Theory of Electricity and Magnetism, 2nd ed.* Hafner, New York, 1932.
- [3] R. J. Rowley, "Finite line of charge," *AMERICAN JOURNAL OF PHYSICS*, vol. 74, no. 12, pp. 1120–1125, DEC 2006.

## APPENDIX A

```

1  %% This is the code for Lab 2
2
3  %% Basic setup
4  clear all
5  clear;                % Empty all variables in memory
6  clc;                  % Clear the contents of the command window
7  k=9e9;                % Set the electrostatic force measure
8  rho=1e-9;             % Set the charge density
9  xm=2;                 % Set the range in the x direction of the field
10 ym=2.5;               % Set the range in the y direction of the field
11 x=linspace(-xm,xm,100); % Divide the X-axis into 100 equal parts
12 y=linspace(-ym,ym,100); % Divide the Y-axis into 100 equal parts
13 [X,Y]=meshgrid(x,y);   % Formed the coordinates of the points in the field
14
15 %% Calculus method
16 % Calculate the potential at each point in the field
17 V=k*rho.*log((1-X+sqrt((1-X).^2+Y.^2))./(-1-X+sqrt((-1-X).^2+Y.^2)));
18 % Plot the distribution of the potential
19 mesh(X,Y,V);
20 title(['Potential distribution of finite linear charges'],...
21 ['(Huang Junlong,11810405)'],'FontName','Times New Roman','fontsize',16);
22 xlabel('X-axis (Unit: m)','FontName','Times New Roman','fontsize',12);
23 ylabel('Y-axis (Unit: m)','FontName','Times New Roman','fontsize',12);
24 zlabel('Z-axis (Unit: V)','FontName','Times New Roman','fontsize',12);
25 figure
26 Vmin=10;               % Set the minimum potential of the family of equipotential lines
27 Vmax=60;               % Set the maximum potential of the family of equipotential lines
28 Veq=linspace(Vmin,Vmax,18); % Set the potential value of 18 equipotential lines
29 contour(X,Y,V,Veq);    % Draw 18 equipotential lines
30 grid on                % Form a grid
31 hold on                % Keep the graphics
32 line([-1,1],[0,0],'LineWidth',3,'color','y'); % Draw the line charge
33 % Calculate two components of the electric field intensity at each point in the field
34 [Ex,Ey]=gradient(-V);
35 % Generate the X-axis coordinate of the starting point of the power line;
36 xs=linspace(-1.05,1.05,15);
37 % Generate the Y-axis coordinate of the starting point of the power line;
38 ys1=repmat(0.05,1,15);
39 ys2=repmat(-0.05,1,15);
40 % Generating power lines;
41 streamline(X,Y,Ex,Ey,xs,ys1);
42 streamline(X,Y,Ex,Ey,xs,ys2);
43 streamline(X,Y,Ex,Ey,-1.05,0);
44 streamline(X,Y,Ex,Ey,1.05,0);
45 title(['Electric potential lines and field lines of finite line charge'],...
46 ['(Huang Junlong,11810405)'],'FontName','Times New Roman','fontsize',16);
47 xlabel('X-axis (Unit: m)','FontName','Times New Roman','fontsize',12);
48
49 %% Infinitesimal method
50 qi=zeros(1,3);         % Set micro-point charges
51 ni=[20,50,100];        % Set the number of equal parts of the line charge
52 Error=zeros(100,100,3); % Use residuals as errors
53 ErrorNor=zeros(100,100,3); % Use normalized residuals as errors
54 xqi=zeros(1,100,3);    % Initialization the micro-point charges x coordinate
55 % Set thr micro-point charges x coordinate
56 xqi(:, :, 1)=[linspace(-1,1,20),zeros(1,80)];
57 xqi(:, :, 2)=[linspace(-1,1,50),zeros(1,50)];
58 xqi(:, :, 3)=[linspace(-1,1,100)];
59 V1=zeros(100,100);     % Initialization the electric potential
60 for m=1:3               % Set for loop for 3 cases
61 figure
62 qi(m)=rho*2/ni(m);      % Calculate the amount of point charge
63 for i=1:ni(m)
64 % Calculate the potential generated by the point charge at each point
65 Vi=k*qi(m)./sqrt((X-xqi(1,i,m)).^2+Y.^2);
66 % Sum up to get the potential generated by the entire line charge
67 V1=V1+Vi;
68 end
69 mesh(X,Y,V1);           % Plot the distribution of the potential
70 title(['Potential distribution of finite linear charges (n=' num2str(ni(m)) ')'],...
71 ['(Huang Junlong,11810405)'],'FontName','Times New Roman','fontsize',16);
72 xlabel('X-axis (Unit: m)','FontName','Times New Roman','fontsize',12);
73 ylabel('Y-axis (Unit: m)','FontName','Times New Roman','fontsize',12);

```

```

74 xlabel('Z-axis (Unit: V)', 'FontName', 'Times New Roman', 'fontsize', 12);
75 figure
76 for n=1:ni(m)
77 plot(xqi(1,n,m), 0, 'o', 'MarkerSize', 5) % Draw n point charges
78 hold on
79 end
80 Vmin=10; % Set the minimum potential of the family of equipotential lines
81 Vmax=60; % Set the maximum potential of the family of equipotential lines
82 Veq=linspace(Vmin, Vmax, 18); % Set the potential value of 18 equipotential lines
83 contour(X, Y, V1, Veq); % Draw 18 equipotential lines
84 hold on
85 grid on
86 [Ex, Ey]=gradient(-V);
87 xs=linspace(-1.05, 1.05, 15);
88 ys1=repmat(0.05, 1, 15);
89 ys2=repmat(-0.05, 1, 15);
90 % Generating power lines;
91 streamline(X, Y, Ex, Ey, xs, ys1);
92 streamline(X, Y, Ex, Ey, xs, ys2);
93 streamline(X, Y, Ex, Ey, -1.05, 0);
94 streamline(X, Y, Ex, Ey, 1.05, 0);
95 title(['Electric potential lines and field lines of finite line charge (n=' num2str(ni(m)) ')'], ...
96 ['(Huang Junlong, 11810405)'], 'FontName', 'Times New Roman', 'fontsize', 15);
97 xlabel('X-axis (Unit: m)', 'FontName', 'Times New Roman', 'fontsize', 12);
98 % Calculate the sum of squared residuals.
99 % between the infinitesimal and the integrated potential
100 Error(:, :, m) = (V1 - V).^2;
101 % Calculate the sum of squared normalized residuals.
102 % between the infinitesimal and the integrated potential
103 ErrorNor(:, :, m) = ((V1 - V) ./ V).^2;
104 % Clear and reset the voltage at each point of the planar field
105 clear Vi;
106 V1=zeros(100, 100);
107 end
108
109 %% Error Analysis
110 % Infinitesimal and integral electric potential residual square
111 for k=1:3
112 figure
113 mesh(X, Y, Error(:, :, k));
114 % axis([-2 2, -2.5, 2.5, 0 200])
115 title(['Infinitesimal and integral electric potential residual square (n=' num2str(ni(k)) ')'], ...
116 ['(Huang Junlong, 11810405)'], 'FontName', 'Times New Roman', 'fontsize', 14);
117 xlabel('X-axis (Unit: m)', 'FontName', 'Times New Roman', 'fontsize', 12);
118 ylabel('Y-axis (Unit: m)', 'FontName', 'Times New Roman', 'fontsize', 12);
119 xlabel('Z-axis (Unit: V)', 'FontName', 'Times New Roman', 'fontsize', 12);
120 end
121 % Infinitesimal and integral electric potential normalized residual square
122 for k=1:3
123 figure
124 mesh(X, Y, ErrorNor(:, :, k));
125 axis([-2 2, -2.5, 2.5, 0 0.1])
126 title(['Infinitesimal and integral electric potential normalized residual square (n=' ...
127 num2str(ni(k)) ')'], ['(Huang Junlong, 11810405)'], 'FontName', 'Times New Roman', 'fontsize', 12);
128 xlabel('X-axis (Unit: m)', 'FontName', 'Times New Roman', 'fontsize', 12);
129 ylabel('Y-axis (Unit: m)', 'FontName', 'Times New Roman', 'fontsize', 12);
130 xlabel('Z-axis ', 'FontName', 'Times New Roman', 'fontsize', 12);
131 end
132
133 %% The calculation of elliptical eccentricity
134 clc, clear
135 xn=linspace(1, 1e4, 1e5);
136 y=sqrt(1 - (4.*xn.*(xn-1).^2) ./ ((xn.^2-1).^2));
137 plot(xn, y)
138 semilogx(xn, y)
139 grid on
140 xlabel('Constant C', 'FontName', 'Times New Roman', 'fontsize', 12)
141 ylabel('Eccentricity e', 'FontName', 'Times New Roman', 'fontsize', 12)
142 title('Relationship between eccentricity and constant C', 'FontName', 'Times New Roman', 'fontsize', 16)

```