Approximating the eigenvalues of symmetric Toeplitz matrices using nearest circulants

Chris Salahub

University of Waterloo, `csalahub@uwaterloo.ca`

May 22, 2022

Consider the symmetric Toeplitz matrix

$$\mathbf{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \rho_0 & \rho_1 & \rho_2 & \cdots & \rho_{M-1} \\ \rho_1 & \rho_0 & \rho_1 & \cdots & \rho_{M-2} \\ \rho_2 & \rho_1 & \rho_0 & \cdots & \rho_{M-3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \rho_{M-1} & \rho_{M-2} & \rho_{M-3} & \cdots & \rho_0 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{1}$$

where $\rho_0, \ldots, \rho_{M-1} \in \mathbb{R}$. This matrix arises in statistical applications such as the correlation matrix of the discrete autoregressive process of order one, in information theory in certain filtering tasks Gray (2006), and in genetics in the correlation matrix between disjoint measured sequences [Need to cite My arXiv paper here]. In the case of the equidistant genetic survey of Lander and Botstein (1989) and the autoregressive time series, the matrix has the more specific form

$$\mathbf{\Sigma}_e = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho & \rho^2 & \cdots & \rho^{M-1} \\ \rho & 1 & \rho & \cdots & \rho^{M-2} \\ \rho^2 & \rho & 1 & \cdots & \rho^{M-3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \rho^{M-1} & \rho^{M-2} & \rho^{M-3} & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \tag{2}$$

p where $\rho \in [0, 1]$ is a real constant.

Of interest in certain applications are the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $\mathbf{\Sigma}$, call them $\mathbf{V}_1, \mathbf{V}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{V}_M$ and $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_M$ respectively. For brevity, the combination of these

vectors and values is here referred to as the *eigensystem* of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$. Gray (2006) demonstrates the application of this particular eigensystem in signal processing, while Cheverud (2001), Li and Ji (2005), and Galwey (2009) use the eigenvalues to adjust for dependent multiple tests in the genomic context.

This problem is trivial in the cases where $\rho = 1$ or $\rho = 0$. The latter gives the identity matrix, in which all eigenvalues are one and the eigenvectors are the basis vectors for $\mathbb{C}^M$, while the former gives the matrix of all ones. Recognizing that the case $\rho = 1$ can be written as $\mathbf{11}^\mathsf{T}$ where $\mathbf{1}$ is the vector of all ones in $\mathbb{C}^M$, the eigenvectors are $\mathbf{1}$ and any collection of $M - 1$ vectors orthogonal to $\mathbf{1}$, with corresponding eigenvalues $\lambda_1 = M$ and $\lambda_2 = \cdots = \lambda_M = 0$.

Extending this idea further, Cheverud (2001), Li and Ji (2005), and Galwey (2009) use the approximation
$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} = \rho\mathbf{11}^\mathsf{T} + (1 - \rho)\mathbf{I}_M \tag{3}$$
as a motivation to develop methods of multiple test adjustment. The eigensystem of $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}$ is known due to the simple eigensystems of $\mathbf{I}_M$ and $\mathbf{11}^\mathsf{T}$ and the unique eigensystem of $\mathbf{I}_M$. Gray (2006) and Grenander and Szegö (1958) instead utilize circulant matrices to approximate the eigensystem of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$. Asymptotically, $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ has the same eigensystem as certain circulant matrices and the eigensystem of circulant matrices are computable exactly.

In the case where $\rho = e^{-\kappa}$ for $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, Narayan and Shastry (2021) have an exact solution. Indeed, they provide a solution for a more general, non-Toeplitz case which is directly applicable to multiple test adjustment in the genomic context. Specifically the matrix

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho_1 & \rho_1\rho_2 & \rho_1\rho_2\rho_3 & \cdots \\ \rho_1 & 1 & \rho_2 & \rho_2\rho_3 & \cdots \\ \rho_2\rho_1 & \rho_2 & 1 & \rho_3 & \cdots \\ \rho_3\rho_2\rho_1 & \rho_3\rho_2 & \rho_3 & 1 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \tag{4}$$

Absent from these approximations for general ρ, is a consideration of the distance between the approximation and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ in the finite case. This work aims to fill this gap by demonstrating the optimality of a particular circulant approximation of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ in the weak matrix norm. It begins with a short review and introduction of the basics of circulant matrices in Section 1 before deriving the nearest circulant matrix to $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$, $\mathbf{C}_\Sigma$, in Section 2. Finally, the asymptotic equivalence of $\mathbf{C}_\Sigma$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is computed in Section 3 and the rate of convergence compared in Section 4.

1 Circulant Matrices

Note that in this section the eigenvalues of numerous different matrices are addressed, so let the function $\lambda_k(\mathbf{A})$ return the kth eigenvalue of $\mathbf{A}$, which may not be ordered by magnitude.

A complex matrix $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times M}$ is called circulant if the ith row is given by the cyclic shift i elements rightward of a vector of M elements, typically denoted $(c_0, c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{M-1})$. Explicitly

$$\mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} c_0 & c_1 & c_2 & \cdots & c_{M-2} & c_{M-1} \\ c_{M-1} & c_0 & c_1 & \cdots & c_{M-3} & c_{M-2} \\ c_{M-2} & c_{M-1} & c_0 & \cdots & c_{M-4} & c_{M-3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ c_2 & c_3 & c_4 & \cdots & c_0 & c_1 \\ c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & \cdots & c_{M-1} & c_0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{5}$$

So every circulant matrix $\mathbf{C}$ can be specified by its first row alone. Moreover, this first row corresponds to the coefficients in a convenient expression of $\mathbf{C}$ as a matrix polynomial. Let $\mathbf{P}$ be the circulant matrix with $c_0 = c_2 = c_3 = \cdots = c_{M-1} = 0$ and $c_1 = 1$. That is,

$$\mathbf{P} = [\mathbf{e}_M | \mathbf{e}_1 | \ldots | \mathbf{e}_{M-1}] \tag{6}$$

where $\mathbf{e}_i$ is the ith basis vector. Then $\mathbf{P}$ is the permutation matrix corresponding to a cyclic shift of all elements of a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^M$ one to the right. Due to this cyclic shift property, it is also straightforward to note that

$$\mathbf{P}^m = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{P}\ldots\mathbf{P} = [\mathbf{e}_{M-m+1} | \mathbf{e}_{M-m+2} | \ldots | \mathbf{e}_M | \mathbf{e}_1 | \ldots | \mathbf{e}_{M-m}]. \tag{7}$$

Using these $\mathbf{P}^m$, $\mathbf{C}$ can be written

$$\mathbf{C} = c_0 \mathbf{I}_M + c_1 \mathbf{P} + c_2 \mathbf{P}^2 + \cdots + c_{M-1} \mathbf{P}^{M-1}, \tag{8}$$

from which the eigensystem of $\mathbf{C}$ can be derived from the eigensystem of $\mathbf{P}$. Using a cofactor expansion of $\det(\mathbf{P} - \lambda \mathbf{I})$ it can be shown that the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{P}$ are the Mth roots of unity, that is

$$\lambda_k(\mathbf{P}) = \left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{M}} \right)^k = \omega^k$$

where $k = 0, \ldots, M - 1$. The corresponding eigenvectors $\mathbf{x}_k$ are then

$$\mathbf{x}_k = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \omega^k \\ \omega^{2k} \\ \vdots \\ \omega^{(M-1)k} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{9}$$

which can be seen by considering $\mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}_k = \lambda_k(\mathbf{P})\mathbf{x}_k$. Note that any eigenvector of $\mathbf{P}$ with eigenvalue λ is also an eigenvector of $\mathbf{P}^m$ with eigenvalue λ^m, and so the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{C}$ are given by

$$\lambda_k(\mathbf{C}) = c_0 + \sum_{m=1}^{M-1} c_m \omega^{mk} \tag{10}$$

with corresponding eigenvectors $\mathbf{x}_k$ as above for $k = 0, \ldots, M - 1$. A particular circulant matrix structure is of interest.

Definition 1 (Symmetric circulant). *A circulant matrix $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times M}$ is symmetric if elements in its first row $c_0, c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{M-1}$ satisfy $c_m = c_{M-m}$ for all $m \geq 1$.*

To provide a visual example of such a matrix, consider the circulant with $c_m = \min\{m, M - m\}$. When $M = 6$ we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

This circulant is symmetric, and any symmetric circulant matrix will have an analogous structure. By basic results of linear algebra, it follows that any symmetric circulant matrix will have only real eigenvalues. Indeed, a circulant matrix will have only real eigenvalues for any M if and only if it is symmetric.

Theorem 1 (Real eigenvalues of symmetric circulants). *A circulant matrix $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times M}$ has real eigenvalues if and only if it is a symmetric circulant matrix.*

4

Proof. Consider the eigensystem of $\mathbf{C}$. As it is circulant, it has eigenvalues

$$\lambda_k(\mathbf{C}) = c_0 + \sum_{m=1}^{M-1} c_m \omega^{mk},$$

or rather

$$\lambda_k(\mathbf{C}) = c_0 + \sum_{m=1}^{M-1} c_m \left(\cos \frac{2\pi mk}{M} + i \sin \frac{2\pi mk}{M} \right)$$

We can rewrite this to emphasize the real and imaginary components as

$$\lambda_k(\mathbf{C}) = \left(\sum_{m=0}^{M-1} c_m \cos \frac{2\pi mk}{M} \right) + i \left(\sum_{m=1}^{M-1} c_m \sin \frac{2\pi mk}{M} \right).$$

If $\lambda_k(\mathbf{C}) \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, M-1\}$, we must have

$$\sum_{m=1}^{M-1} c_m i \sin \frac{2\pi mk}{M} = 0 \quad \forall k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, M-1\}.$$

But note that

$$i \sin \frac{2\pi mk}{M} = \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{\frac{2\pi mk}{M} i} - e^{-\frac{2\pi mk}{M} i} \right)$$

and

$$e^{-\frac{2\pi mk}{M} i} = e^{-\frac{2\pi mk}{M} i + 2\pi ki} = e^{\frac{2\pi (M-m)k}{M} i},$$

and so we require

$$\sum_{m=1}^{M-1} c_m \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{\frac{2\pi mk}{M} i} - e^{\frac{2\pi (M-m)k}{M} i} \right) = 0 \quad \forall k \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, M-1\}.$$

However,

$$\sum_{m=1}^{M-1} c_m \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{\frac{2\pi mk}{M} i} - e^{\frac{2\pi (M-m)k}{M} i} \right) = 0$$

$$\iff \sum_{m=1}^{M-1} c_m e^{\frac{2\pi mk}{M} i} - \sum_{m=1}^{M-1} c_m e^{\frac{2\pi (M-m)k}{M} i} = 0$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow \sum_{m=1}^{M-1} c_m e^{\frac{2\pi mk}{M}i} - \sum_{m=1}^{M-1} c_{M-m} e^{\frac{2\pi mk}{M}i} = 0$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow \sum_{m=1}^{M-1} (c_m - c_{M-m}) e^{\frac{2\pi mk}{M}i} = \sum_{m=1}^{M-1} (c_m - c_{M-m}) \omega^{mk} = 0$$

for all $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, M-1\}$. In other words, the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{C}$ are all real if and only if the vector of differences $(c_m - c_{M-m})_{m=1,\ldots,M-1}$ is a vector in the null space of

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 \\ \omega & \omega^2 & \omega^3 & \ldots & \omega^{M-1} \\ \omega^2 & \omega^4 & \omega^6 & \ldots & \omega^{2(M-1)} \\ \omega^3 & \omega^6 & \omega^9 & \ldots & \omega^{3(M-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \omega^{M-1} & \omega^{2(M-1)} & \omega^{3(M-1)} & \ldots & \omega^{(M-1)^2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Noting that these columns are eigenvectors of $\mathbf{C}$, it can quickly be recognized that the null space of this matrix is the line $x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_M$, as this is the final orthogonal eigenvector of $\mathbf{C}$. Therefore, our differences must satisfy

$$c_m - c_{M-m} = a$$

for some $a \in \mathbb{C}$ for all $m \in \{1, 2, \ldots, M-1\}$. If M is even, then $M/2 \in \{1, 2, \ldots, M-1\}$, and so when $m = M/2$ we get the difference $c_{M/2} - c_{M-M/2} = c_{M/2} - c_{M/2} = 0$. Hence, $a = 0$ is the only solution. If M is odd, then we have in particular $c_{\lfloor M/2 \rfloor} - c_{M-\lfloor M/2 \rfloor} = c_{\lfloor M/2 \rfloor} - c_{\lfloor M/2 \rfloor+1} = a = c_{\lfloor M/2 \rfloor+1} - c_{\lfloor M/2 \rfloor} = c_{\lfloor M/2 \rfloor+1} - c_{M-\lfloor M/2 \rfloor-1}$, which is true only if $c_{\lfloor M/2 \rfloor+1} = c_{\lfloor M/2 \rfloor}$ and $a = 0$. Therefore, real eigenvalues are ensured if and only if

$$c_m - c_{M-m} = 0 \iff c_m = c_{M-m},$$

the definition of a symmetric circulant. $\qquad\square$

2 The Nearest Circulant to Σ

With this proof, we can move to a statistical framing of the problem of the eigenvalue distribution of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$. Consider the decomposition

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \mathbf{C} + \mathbf{R} \tag{11}$$

where $\mathbf{C}$ is a circulant matrix and $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{\Sigma} - \mathbf{C}$ is a matrix of the element-wise residuals between $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{\Sigma}$. This reframing moves the discussion from the space of asymptotic results to the features of $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{R}$, and places the result in a familiar framework for the statistician accustomed to considering the residuals of a given approximation.

Of immediate and obvious interest is the "closest" circulant matrix to a given $\mathbf{\Sigma}$, call it $\mathbf{C}_\Sigma$. Consider using the weak, or Frobenius, norm on matrices. First, introduce the *vectorization* operator on a matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times N}$, denoted $\mathrm{vec}\,\mathbf{A}$. This operator takes $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times N}$ and converts it to a vector in $\mathbb{C}^{MN}$ by appending columns in order. So, for example,

$$
\mathrm{vec} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \\ 2 \\ 5 \\ 3 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

The Frobenius norm is then given by

$$
||\mathbf{A}||_F = \sqrt{(\mathrm{vec}\,\mathbf{A})^*(\mathrm{vec}\,\mathbf{A})}
$$

or equivalently

$$
||\mathbf{A}||_F = \sqrt{\mathrm{trace}\,(\mathbf{A}^*\mathbf{A})}
$$

for a matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times M}$ with complex conjugate $\mathbf{A}^*$. Note that for any real pairwise measure $\mathbf{\Sigma}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times M}$, and so for our purpose

$$
||\mathbf{A}||_F = \sqrt{(\mathrm{vec}\,\mathbf{A})^\mathsf{T}(\mathrm{vec}\,\mathbf{A})} = \sqrt{\mathrm{trace}\,(\mathbf{A}^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{A})}.
$$

Let $\mathbf{C}$ be an $M \times M$ circulant matrix with first row $(c_0, c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{M-1})$. Then by definition $\mathbf{C}_\Sigma$ is given by $\arg\min_{\mathbf{C}} ||\mathbf{\Sigma} - \mathbf{C}||_F$, or equivalently $\arg\min_{\mathbf{C}} ||\mathbf{\Sigma} - \mathbf{C}||_F^2$. Taking the second of these, we have

$$
\mathbf{C}_\Sigma = \arg\min_{\mathbf{C}} ||\mathbf{\Sigma} - \mathbf{C}||_F^2. \tag{12}
$$

Considering that

$$
||\mathbf{\Sigma} - \mathbf{C}||_F^2 = \mathrm{trace}\left([\mathbf{\Sigma} - \mathbf{C}]^\mathsf{T} [\mathbf{\Sigma} - \mathbf{C}]\right)
$$

$$
= \left(\mathrm{trace}\,\mathbf{\Sigma}^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{\Sigma} - \mathrm{trace}\,\mathbf{\Sigma}^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{C} - \mathrm{trace}\,\mathbf{C}^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{\Sigma} + \mathrm{trace}\,\mathbf{C}^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{C}\right)
$$

7

and trace $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is constant in $\mathbf{C}$, we need only consider minimizing

$$F(\mathbf{C}) = \text{trace}\,\mathbf{C}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{C} - \text{trace}\,\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{C} - \text{trace}\,\mathbf{C}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}. \tag{13}$$

The first term of Equation (13) is straightforward to express in terms of the c_m. As $\mathbf{C}$ is circulant,

$$\text{trace}\,\mathbf{C}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{C} = M \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} c_m^2.$$

The other terms can be evaluated by expressing $\mathbf{C}$ as a matrix polynomial.

Equation (8) and the symmetry of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ allow us to write

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{C} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}\left(c_0\mathbf{I} + \sum_{m=1}^{M-1} c_m\mathbf{P}^m\right) = c_0\boldsymbol{\Sigma} + \sum_{m=1}^{M-1} c_m\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{P}^m$$

and similarly

$$\mathbf{C}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = c_0\boldsymbol{\Sigma} + \sum_{m=1}^{M-1} c_m(\mathbf{P}^m)^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = c_0\boldsymbol{\Sigma} + \sum_{m=1}^{M-1} c_m(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{P}^m)^{\mathsf{T}}.$$

Next, consider

$$\text{trace}\,\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{P}^m = \text{trace}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}[\mathbf{e}_{M-m+1}|\mathbf{e}_{M-m+2}|\ldots|\mathbf{e}_{M-m}]\right),$$

which can be evaluated by considering the kth row of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k$. The first k elements of this row are the descending sequence $\rho_{k-1}, \rho_{k-2}, \ldots, \rho_0$, and the remaining elements are the ascending sequence $\rho_1, \rho_2, \ldots, \rho_{M-k}$. Noting that the trace of a product of two matrices is simply a sum of the inner products of the rows of the first with the columns of the second, we obtain

$$\text{trace}\,\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{P}^m = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_k^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{e}_{M-m+k} + \sum_{k=1}^{M-m} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{m+k}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{e}_k$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{m} \rho_{M-m} + \sum_{k=1}^{M-m} \rho_m \tag{14}$$

$$= m\rho_{M-m} + (M-m)\rho_m.$$

8

Equation (14) can then be substituted into Equation (13) using the decomposition of Equation (8) to give

$$F(\mathbf{C}) = M \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} c_m^2 - 2 \left(M c_0 \rho_0 + \sum_{m=1}^{M-1} c_m (m \rho_{M-m} + (M-m) \rho_m) \right). \qquad (15)$$

As $\arg\min_{\mathbf{C}} F(\mathbf{C})$ is the same as $\arg\min_{\mathbf{C}} ||\mathbf{\Sigma} - \mathbf{C}||_F$, we can now consider the values which minimize Equation (15) in order to find the nearest circulant matrix to $\mathbf{\Sigma}$. Taking

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial c_m} F(\mathbf{C}) = \begin{cases} 2M c_0 - 2M \rho_0 & \text{for } m = 0, \\[2ex] 2M c_m - 2 \left(m \rho_{M-m} + (M-m) \rho_m \right) & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

and noting that the Hessian matrix is $2M\mathbf{I}$ and hence is positive definite so any solutions to $\arg\min F(\mathbf{C})$ must be minima, we obtain

$$c_m = \begin{cases} \rho_0 & \text{for } m = 0, \\[2ex] \frac{m}{M} \rho_{M-m} + \frac{M-m}{M} \rho_m & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

which can be re-expressed as

$$c_m = \begin{cases} \rho_0 & \text{for } m = 0, \\[2ex] \rho_m + \frac{m}{M}(\rho_{M-m} - \rho_m) & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \qquad (16)$$

to make the relationship between c_m and ρ_m clearer. An important consequence of this system of equations is that $c_m = \frac{m}{M}\rho_{M-m} + \frac{M-m}{M}\rho_m = \frac{M-(M-m)}{M}\rho_{M-m} + \frac{M-m}{M}\rho_{M-(M-m)} = c_{M-m}$, and so $\mathbf{C}_\Sigma$ is a symmetric circulant matrix with c_m defined as in Equation (16). Therefore, this nearest circulant will have only real eigenvalues.

So the optimal decomposition in the Frobenius norm is

$$\mathbf{\Sigma} = \mathbf{C}_\Sigma + \mathbf{R}_\Sigma \qquad (17)$$

where $\mathbf{C}_\Sigma$ is the circulant matrix defined by Equation (16), that is

$$c_m = \begin{cases} \rho_0 & \text{for } m = 0, \\[2ex] \rho_m + \frac{m}{M}(\rho_{M-m} - \rho_m) & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

9

and $\mathbf{R}_\Sigma = \Sigma - \mathbf{C}_\Sigma$, and so the value in the mth off-diagonal of $\mathbf{R}_\Sigma$ is $\rho_m - c_m = \rho_m - (\rho_m + \frac{m}{M}(\rho_{M-m} - \rho_m)) = \frac{m}{M}(\rho_m - \rho_{M-m})$.

The eigenvalues of $\mathbf{C}_\Sigma$ are given by a substitution of Equation (16) into Equation (10), giving

$$\lambda_k(\mathbf{C}_\Sigma) = \rho_0 + 2\sum_{m=1}^{M-1} \frac{M-m}{M}\rho_m \cos\frac{2\pi mk}{M}. \tag{18}$$

The corresponding eigenvectors are given by Equation (9).

Consider $\mathbf{R}_\Sigma$ briefly. For large M and small m, $\frac{m}{M}(\rho_{M-m} - \rho_m) \approx 0$ while when m is close to M, $\frac{m}{M}(\rho_m - \rho_{M-m}) \approx \rho_m - \rho_{M-m}$. This implies that in the case of large M, $\mathbf{R}_\Sigma$ will have vanishingly small values for the central off-diagonals, and values in the corners of approximately $\rho_m - \rho_{M-m}$.

In the particular case of Σ_e from Equation (2), $\rho_m = \rho^m$. In this case, $\mathbf{C}_{\Sigma_e}$ has entries

$$c_m = \rho^m + \frac{m}{M}(\rho^{M-m} - \rho^m)$$

and $\mathbf{R}_{\Sigma_e}$ is $\frac{m}{M}(\rho^m - \rho^{M-m})$ for the mth off-diagonal where $m \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, M-1\}$. The eigenvalues of $\mathbf{C}_{\Sigma_e}$ are therefore

$$\lambda_k(\mathbf{C}_\Sigma) = 1 + 2\sum_{m=1}^{M-1} \frac{M-m}{M}\rho^m \cos\frac{2\pi mk}{M}.$$

3   Asymptotic Equivalence

The approximate matrix $\mathbf{C}_\Sigma$ has been derived here based purely on minimizing $||\Sigma - \mathbf{C}||_F$ without any of the asymptotic guarantees of Grenander and Szegö (1958). Gray (2006) derives similar, but less general, results by considering the asymptotic equivalence of matrices in the weak norm. Matrices $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{M \times M}$ are said to be asymptotically equivalent in the weak norm if

$$\lim_{M\to\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}}||A - B||_F = 0.$$

Therefore, a natural consideration is the difference

$$\lim_{M\to\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}}||\Sigma - \mathbf{C}_\Sigma||_F = \lim_{M\to\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}}||\mathbf{R}_\Sigma||_F. \tag{19}$$

Before taking the limit, consider

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{M}}||\mathbf{R}_\Sigma||_F = \sqrt{\frac{1}{M}\operatorname{trace}\mathbf{R}_\Sigma^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{R}_\Sigma},$$

which has the square

$$\frac{1}{M}||\mathbf{R}_\Sigma||_F^2 = \frac{1}{M}\operatorname{trace}\mathbf{R}_\Sigma^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{R}_\Sigma$$

$$= \frac{1}{M}\sum_{i=0}^{M-1}\sum_{j=0}^{M-1}\frac{|i-j|^2}{M^2}\left(\rho^{2|i-j|} - 2\rho^{|i-j|+M-|i-j|} + \rho^{2(M-|i-j|)}\right)$$

$$= \frac{2}{M^3}\sum_{m=1}^{M-1}(M-m)m^2\left(\rho^{2m} - 2\rho^M + \rho^{2(M-m)}\right)$$

$$= \frac{2}{M^3}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{M-1}m^2\rho^{2m} - 2\sum_{m=1}^{M-1}m^2\rho^M + \sum_{m=1}^{M-1}m^2\rho^{2(M-m)}\right) \tag{20}$$

$$= \frac{2}{M^3}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{M-1}m^2\rho^{2m} - 2\rho^M\sum_{m=1}^{M-1}m^2 + \sum_{m=1}^{M-1}m^2\rho^{2(M-m)}\right)$$

$$= \frac{2}{M^3}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{M-1}\left[2m^2 + M^2 - 2Mm\right]\rho^{2m} - 2\rho^M\sum_{m=1}^{M-1}m^2\right),$$

where the last step comes by changing the index in the sum on the third term.

Each term in this sum can then be considered separately. The final term is the sum of the first $M-1$ squared integers multiplied by a constant and so

$$2\rho^M\sum_{m=1}^{M-1}m^2 = 2\rho^M\frac{(M-1)M(2M-1)}{6} = \frac{1}{3}\rho^M(M-1)M(2M-1). \tag{21}$$

Next,

$$\sum_{m=1}^{M-1}\left[2m^2 + M^2 - 2Mm\right]\rho^{2m}$$

can be split into three separate sums. The simplest of these to reduce is

$$M^2 \sum_{m=1}^{M-1} \rho^{2m} = M^2 \frac{\rho^2 - \rho^{2M}}{1 - \rho^2} = M^2 r(1 - \rho^{2(M-1)}) \tag{22}$$

when $\rho < 1$, where $r = \frac{\rho^2}{1-\rho^2}$ is useful shorthand. The restriction $\rho < 1$ corresponds to finite r. Considering next

$$2M \sum_{m=1}^{M-1} m\rho^{2m} = 2M\rho^2 \frac{d}{d\rho^2} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \rho^{2m}$$

$$= 2M\rho^2 \frac{d}{d\rho^2} \frac{\rho^2 - \rho^{2M}}{1 - \rho^2}$$

$$= 2M\rho^2 \left(\frac{1 - M\rho^{2(M-1)}}{1 - \rho^2} + \frac{\rho^2 - \rho^{2M}}{(1 - \rho^2)^2} \right) \tag{23}$$

$$= 2Mr \left(1 - M\rho^{2(M-1)} + r - \frac{\rho^{2M}}{1 - \rho^2} \right)$$

$$= 2Mr \left(1 + r - \rho^{2(M-1)}[M + r] \right).$$

The same derivative trick can be applied to obtain

$$\sum_{m=1}^{M-1} m^2 \rho^{2m} = \sum_{m=1}^{M-1} m(m - 1)\rho^{2m} + m\rho^{2m}$$

$$\tag{24}$$

$$= \rho^4 \frac{d^2}{(d\rho^2)^2} \sum_{m=1}^{M-1} \rho^{2m} + \rho^2 \frac{d}{d\rho^2} \sum_{m=1}^{M-1} \rho^{2m},$$

the second term of which can be determined easily using Equation (22) above. With a

12

second differentiation, the first term is

$$\rho^4 \frac{d^2}{(d\rho^2)^2} \sum_{m=1}^{M-1} \rho^{2m} = \rho^4 \frac{d}{d\rho^2} \left(\frac{1 - M\rho^{2(M-1)}}{1 - \rho^2} + \frac{\rho^2 - \rho^{2M}}{(1 - \rho^2)^2} \right)$$

$$= \rho^4 \left(\frac{-M(M-1)\rho^{2(M-2)}}{1 - \rho^2} + \frac{2(1 - M\rho^{2(M-1)})}{(1 - \rho^2)^2} + \frac{2(\rho^2 - \rho^{2M})}{(1 - \rho^2)^3} \right).$$

Substituting this and Equation (22) into Equation (24) gives

$$\sum_{m=1}^{M-1} m^2 \rho^{2m} = \rho^2 \left(\frac{1 - M\rho^{2(M-1)}}{1 - \rho^2} + \frac{\rho^2 - \rho^{2M}}{(1 - \rho^2)^2} \right)$$

$$+ \rho^4 \left(\frac{-M(M-1)\rho^{2(M-2)}}{1 - \rho^2} + \frac{2(1 - M\rho^{2(M-1)})}{(1 - \rho^2)^2} + \frac{2(\rho^2 - \rho^{2M})}{(1 - \rho^2)^3} \right)$$

$$= \rho^2 \left(\frac{1 - M^2\rho^{2(M-1)}}{1 - \rho^2} + \frac{3\rho^2 - (2M+1)\rho^{2M}}{(1 - \rho^2)^2} + \frac{2\rho^2(\rho^2 - \rho^{2M})}{(1 - \rho^2)^3} \right) \qquad (25)$$

$$= r \left(1 - M^2\rho^{2(M-1)} + 3r - (2M+1)r\rho^{2(M-1)} + 2r^2 - 2r^2\rho^{2(M-1)} \right)$$

$$= r \left(1 + 3r + 2r^2 - [M^2 + (2M+1)r + 2r^2]\rho^{2(M-1)} \right)$$

$$= r \left[(1+r)(1+2r) - \{(M+r)^2 + r(1+r)\}\rho^{M-1} \right]$$

So that Equation (20) becomes

$$
\frac{1}{M}||\mathbf{R}_\Sigma||_F^2 = \frac{2}{M^3}\Bigg(2r\Big[(1+r)(1+2r) - \{(M+r)^2 + r(1+r)\}\rho^{M-1}\Big]
$$
$$
+ M^2 r\Big[1 - \rho^{2(M-1)}\Big] - 2Mr\Big[1 + r - \rho^{2(M-1)}(M+r)\Big]
$$
$$
+ \frac{1}{3}\rho^M(M-1)M(2M-1) \Bigg)
$$

$$
= \frac{2}{M^3}\Bigg(r\Big[2(1+r)(1+2r-2M) + M^2
$$
$$
- \{(M+r)^2 + r(2r+3)\}\rho^{2(M-1)}\Big]
$$
$$
- \frac{1}{3}\rho^M M(M-1)(2M-1) \Bigg) \tag{26}
$$

$$
= \frac{2r}{M^3}\Big[2(1+r)(1+2r-2M) + M^2 - \{(M+r)^2 + r(2r+3)\}\rho^{2(M-1)}\Big]
$$
$$
- \frac{2\rho^M}{3M^2}(M-1)(2M-1)
$$

$$
= 2\Big(r[1 - \rho^{2(M-1)}] + \rho^M\Big)\frac{1}{M} - \Big(4r[2 + 2r - r\rho^{2(M-1)}] - \frac{2}{3}\rho^M\Big)\frac{1}{M^2}
$$
$$
+ 2r\Big(1+r\Big)\Big(1 + 2r - 3r\rho^{2(M-1)}\Big)\frac{1}{M^3} - \frac{4}{3}\rho^M
$$

when $\rho < 1 \iff r < \infty$. Under these constraints, the coefficients of Equation (26) are finite for all $M > 0$, and so

$$
\lim_{M\to\infty} \frac{1}{M}||\mathbf{R}_\Sigma||_F^2 = 0 \implies \lim_{M\to\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}}||\mathbf{R}_\Sigma||_F = 0.
$$

Therefore, $\mathbf{C}_\Sigma$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ are asymptotically equivalent in the weak norm.

4 Rate of Convergence

Gray (2006) suggests a slightly different approximation. Following Grenander and Szegö

14

(1958), the sum

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_k e^{ikx} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho^{|k|} e^{ikx}$$

is known to be critical to the approximation of Σ. Gray (2006) considers circulant entries generated using the expression

$$c_m = \begin{cases} \rho_0 & \text{for } m = 0, \\[2ex] \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} f\left(\frac{2\pi j}{M}\right) e^{\frac{2\pi ijm}{M}} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{27}$$

the second case can be expressed

$$\frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} f\left(\frac{2\pi j}{M}\right) e^{\frac{2\pi ijm}{M}} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho^{|k|} e^{ik\frac{2\pi j}{M}} e^{\frac{2\pi ijm}{M}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho^{|k|} e^{\frac{2\pi ij}{M}(m+k)}$$

$$= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho^{|k|} \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} e^{\frac{2\pi ij}{M}(m+k)}$$

$$= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho^{|k|} I_{(m+k)\mathrm{mod}M}(0)$$

as the second sum is the sum of the squared M^{th} roots of unity, which are orthonormal.

Now

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho^{|k|} I_{(m+k)\mathrm{mod}M}(0) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho^{|-m+kM|}$$

$$= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{0} \rho^{m-kM} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho^{-m+kM}$$

$$= \rho^m \frac{1}{1-\rho^M} + \rho^{-m} \frac{\rho^M}{1-\rho^M}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1-\rho^M} \left(\rho^m + \rho^{M-m} \right)$$

when $\rho < 1$. Therefore, Equation (27) becomes

$$c_m = \begin{cases} \rho_0 & \text{for } m = 0, \\ \\ \frac{1}{1-\rho^M} \left(\rho^m + \rho^{M-m} \right) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{28}$$

in the particular case of $\rho_m = \rho^m$. So, while $\mathbf{C}_\Sigma$ has entries which are a weighted average of ρ^m and ρ^{M-m}, this approximation instead takes a simple sum scaled by $1 - \rho^M$. Define $\mathbf{C}_{GS}$ as the circulant matrix with these entries, and let $\mathbf{R}_{GS}$ be $\mathbf{\Sigma} - \mathbf{C}_{GS}$. Then

$$\frac{1}{M} ||\mathbf{R}_{GS}||_F^2 = \frac{2}{(1-\rho^M)^2} \left[\frac{2}{M(1-\rho^2)} + 2\left(1 - \frac{1}{M}\right)\rho^{2M} - \frac{1}{M(1-\rho^2)}\rho^{4M} \right] \tag{29}$$

while an evaluation of Equation (26) gives

$$\frac{1}{M} ||\mathbf{R}_\Sigma||_F^2 = \frac{2\rho^2}{M^3} \left[\frac{M^2}{1-\rho^2} + \frac{1-2M-\rho^{2M}}{(1-\rho^2)^2} + \frac{2\rho^2(1-\rho^M)}{(1-\rho^2)^3} \right. \tag{30}$$

$$\left. - 2\rho^M M(M-1)(2M-1) \right]$$

Both of these have a leading term approximately equal to

$$\frac{2}{M(1-\rho^2)}$$

for large M, and so their asymptotic behaviour is identical for all ρ. For small M, however, it is helpful to plot these values and compare these squared distances.

References

James M Cheverud. A simple correction for multiple comparisons in interval mapping genome scans. *Heredity*, 87(1):52–58, 2001.

Nicholas W Galwey. A new measure of the effective number of tests, a practical tool for comparing families of non-independent significance tests. *Genetic Epidemiology*, 33(7): 559–568, 2009.

Robert M Gray. *Toeplitz and circulant matrices: A review*. now Publishers Inc., 2006.

Ulf Grenander and Gabor Szegö. *Toeplitz forms and their applications*. University of California Press, 1958.

Eric S Lander and David Botstein. Mapping mendelian factors underlying quantitative traits using RFLP linkage maps. *Genetics*, 121(1):185–199, 1989.

J Li and L Ji. Adjusting multiple testing in multilocus analyses using the eigenvalues of a correlation matrix. *Heredity*, 95(3):221–227, 2005.

Onuttom Narayan and B Sriram Shastry. Generalized toeplitz–hankel matrices and their application to a layered electron gas. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 54(17):175201, 2021.