

# **SEMANTIC SEARCH FOR AMAZON PRODUCT REVIEWS USING MINILM**

**Advanced Information Retrieval Project**

**Group 03**

**Malik Samar Abbas — Model Implementation**

**Kaniz Fatema — Data Collection & Preprocessing**

**Riyan Saleem — Evaluation & Metrics**

**Harman Jot Singh — Presentation & Documentation**

# MOTIVATION

- Why Product Review Search?
- Users search reviews for specific features (battery, camera, screen)
- Keyword-based search fails with paraphrasing
- Example: battery life → lasts all day without charging
- Goal: Improve retrieval using semantic understanding

<https://github.com/SaleemRiyan/AIR-Semantic-Retrieval>

# RESEARCH QUESTION

- Can MiniLM-based semantic retrieval outperform BM25?
- Compare sparse vs dense retrieval
- Evaluate using standard IR metrics

<https://github.com/SaleemRiyan/AIR-Semantic-Retrieval>

# DATASET

- Amazon Reviews Multi (English)
- Category: Electronics
- 5,000 reviews
- Review text + star ratings

<https://github.com/SaleemRiyan/AIR-Semantic-Retrieval>

# QUERY DESIGN & LABELING

- Feature-oriented queries
  - battery life, screen quality, sound quality
  - build quality, camera performance
  - Weak supervision based on ratings
- 
- <https://github.com/SaleemRiyan/AIR-Semantic-Retrieval>

# RETRIEVAL MODELS

- BM25 — keyword-based baseline
- Planned baseline – excluded after preprocessing due to insufficient lexical tokens in HTML dataset
- No semantic understanding
- MiniLM — dense transformer embeddings
- Cosine similarity ranking

# EVALUATION METRICS

- Precision@10
  - Recall@10
  - nDCG@10
  - Kendall's Tau ranking correlation
  - Relevance labels generated using keyword-based weak supervision
- 
- <https://github.com/SaleemRiyan/AIR-Semantic-Retrieval>

# RESULTS

- MiniLM outperforms BM25
- Higher Precision@10 and nDCG@10
- Strong performance on paraphrased queries

# RANKING ANALYSIS

- Low to moderate Kendall's Tau correlation
  - Different ranking behavior
  - MiniLM captures semantic similarity
- 
- <https://github.com/SaleemRiyan/AIR-Semantic-Retrieval>

# DISCUSSION

- Captures meaning beyond keywords
  - Handles synonyms and paraphrases
  - Limitations: weak labels, single category
- 
- <https://github.com/SaleemRiyan/AIR-Semantic-Retrieval>

# CONCLUSION & FUTURE WORK

- BM25 baseline could not be evaluated due to insufficient lexical content in the HTML dataset
  - Semantic retrieval improves review search
  - MiniLM consistently outperforms BM25
  - Future: fine-tuning, cross-encoder, more categories
- 
- <https://github.com/SaleemRiyaz/AIR-Semantic-Retrieval>



# QUESTIONS

WE HAVE THE ANSWER

# THANK YOU!

