

Initial post

Initial Post

Summary Post

Display replies in nested form

Settings



Initial post

by Saleh Almarzoogi - Friday, 3 October 2025, 4:57 AM

According to Hutson (2021), the current AI "robo-writers show a high level of fluency and a low level of understanding. This dissonance between form and comprehension makes one need to reason critically on the manner in which such systems are implemented in different writing situations.

According to Baviskar, Dipali, et al. (2021), AI has the potential to be used in regular and administrative operations to computerise the time-consuming aspects of meeting notes, standard mail, or report templates. It can offload human manpower in terms of repetitive work and enhance turnaround time when applied to these low-risk activities. The reason is that these texts can be reviewed easily, hence corrected quickly, which makes this the least disputable field to offer AI assistance.

With more specialised or technical writing, AI systems have a different type of value. They propose the outlines, summarise the convoluted information, or assist with refining the style of business documents (Spring, Faulconbridge, & Sarwar, 2022). Nonetheless, the higher the level of domain specificity of the content, the higher the chance of factual inaccuracy, fabricated references, or implicit biasing. Excessive use of this scale can also result in a decrease in the number of professionals who are analytical and rhetorical in aspects of their work, but who excessively depend on automated drafting (Sutton, Arnold, and Hohn, 2018).

The creative writing reflects the potential and the shortcomings of the technology. Prompts, characters, or stylistic experiments that writers get inspired by can be provided by generative models. However, due to the remixing of patterns of existing texts, their results can be derivative and even inadvertently stereotypical or violate originality (Zyska, Dennis, et al. 2023).

In order to mitigate these risks, AI must be seen as an aid, but not a replacement for a writer. Maintaining integrity, creativity, and accountability is gained through having human beings in charge of editing, disclosure, and fact-checking. Applied in this manner, AI can enhance instead of steal the ability and creativity that make real writing.

References:

Baviskar, D., Ahirao, S., Potdar, V. and Kotecha, K., 2021. Efficient automated processing of the unstructured documents using artificial intelligence: A systematic literature review and future directions. *IEEE Access*, 9, pp.72894-72936.

Hutson, M., 2021. Robo-writers: the rise and risks of language-generating AI.

Spring, M., Faulconbridge, J. and Sarwar, A., 2022. How information technology automates and augments processes: Insights from Artificial-Intelligence-based systems in professional service operations. *Journal of Operations Management*, 68(6-7), pp.592-618.

Sutton, S.G., Arnold, V. and Hohn, M., 2018. How much automation is too much? Keeping the human relevant in knowledge work. *Journal of emerging technologies in accounting*, 15(2), pp.15-25.

Zyska, D., Dycke, N., Buchmann, J., Kuznetsov, I. and Gurevych, I., 2023. CARE: Collaborative AI-assisted reading environment. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.12611*.

Maximum rating: -

Permalink

Reply



Knowledge Base