



Summery Post

by Saleh Almarzooqi - Monday, 13 October 2025, 10:54 PM

My knowledge of AI writing tools has significantly grown over the past units. As Mekheimer (2025) shows, while "robo-writers" bring fluency and efficiency to the administrative, professional, and creative realms, their inability to understand their contexts poses an ongoing hazard. From the basic level, AI can be used for doing routine tasks such as drafting emails, maintaining meeting notes, or summarising reports. These things improve the problem-solving skills of the users, and there are fewer risks of errors.

Moreover, machine learning can be used for speed writing, better structure, and fine-tuning of reports. But in this way, expression of the ideas is presented minimally, and critical thinking and engagement of the human mind are limited (Benites, Delorme Benites, & Anson, 2023). By having more reliance on technology, the basic writing and reasoning skills become less effective.

In creative writing, AI tools can be used to create stories, poems, and dialogues. But in these texts, the AI models have homogenisation of style, plagiarism, and cultural biases present because these models rely on training data (Dwivedi, Yogesh K., et al. 2024). The originality and the human voice are sacrificed due to this dependence on AI, and in this way, human creativity is hindered.

Now, after this discussion, I have come to the point that "human-in-the-loop" understanding is very important, and AI can be used for drafting and brainstorming, but ultimate responsibility for the content and authorship still rests with the human mind. Then there is transparency in the use of AI, and we need to have auditing for biases and discrimination to ensure integrity and accountability.

To summarize, it can be said that fluency is no wisdom, and efficiency is not a proper substitute for creativity and critical thinking. This means the best role for AI writers is as an assistive technology rather than an independent one. Through human attention and critical engagement, it is possible to reap their benefits without compromising originality, diversity, and integrity. Authorship must remain a human right.

References:

Benites, F., Delorme Benites, A., and Anson, C.M., 2023. Automated text generation and summarization for academic writing. In *Digital Writing Technologies in Higher Education: Theory, Research, and Practice* (pp. 279-301). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Dwivedi, Y.K., Malik, T., Hughes, L., and Albashrawi, M.A., 2024. Scholarly discourse on GenAI's impact on academic publishing. *Journal of Computer Information Systems*, pp.1-16.

Mekheimer, M., 2025. Generative AI-assisted feedback and EFL writing: a study on proficiency, revision frequency, and writing quality. *Discover Education*, 4(1), p.170.

Maximum rating: -

[Permalink](#)

[Reply](#)

