FE5208: problem set 2

Each group of ≤ 5 people submits a copy on LumiNUS

Due on 3 April

<u>Part 1:</u>

1. Establish Equation (6) on Slide 15 of Lecture 4.

Answer: To prove equation $\tilde{E}[X|\mathcal{F}_s] = E\left[X\frac{Y(t)}{Y(s)}\Big|\mathcal{F}_s\right]$, it is to verify that:

- 1) $E\left[X\frac{Y(t)}{Y(s)}\middle|\mathcal{F}_s\right]$ is \mathcal{F}_s measurable.
- 2) $E\left[\left.X\frac{Y(t)}{Y(s)}\right|\mathcal{F}_s\right]$ is the conditional expectation of X under \tilde{P} :

It is obvious that $E\left[X\frac{Y(t)}{Y(s)}\Big|\mathcal{F}_s\right]$ is \mathcal{F}_s -measurable. To see 2), recall from Slide 15 of Lecture 4 that for $X_s \in \mathcal{F}_s$, we

have

$$\tilde{\mathsf{E}}\left(X_{s}\right) = \mathsf{E}\left(X_{s} \cdot Y\left(s\right)\right). \tag{1}$$

Since $E\left[X\frac{Y(t)}{Y(s)}\Big|\mathcal{F}_s\right]$ is \mathcal{F}_s -measurable, it follows that any $A\in\mathcal{F}_s$, we have

$$\tilde{E}\left[1_{A}E\left[X\frac{Y(t)}{Y(s)}\middle|\mathcal{F}_{s}\right]\right]
= E\left[1_{A}E\left[X\frac{Y(t)}{Y(s)}\middle|\mathcal{F}_{s}\right]Y(s)\right]
= E\left[1_{A}E\left[X\frac{Y(t)}{Y(s)}Y(s)\middle|\mathcal{F}_{s}\right]\right]
= E\left[1_{A}E[XY(t)|\mathcal{F}_{s}]\right]
= E\left[1_{A}XY(t)\right]
= \tilde{E}\left[1_{A}X\right]$$
(2)

where 1_A is indicator function and we use (1) to obtain (2). Thus: $\tilde{E}[X|\mathcal{F}_s] = E\left[X\frac{Y(t)}{Y(s)}\Big|\mathcal{F}_s\right]$ for 0 < s < t < T.

2. Calculate the Vasicek bond price following the steps on Slide 25 of Lecture 5.

Answer: Recall that

$$\begin{split} r(u) &= e^{-au} r(0) + ab \int_0^u e^{-a(u-s)} ds + \sigma \int_0^u e^{-a(u-s)} d\tilde{W}(s); \\ \int_t^T r(u) du &= \int_t^T e^{-au} r(0) du + ab \int_t^T \int_0^u e^{-a(u-s)} ds du + \sigma \int_t^T \int_0^u e^{-a(u-s)} d\tilde{W}(s) du. \end{split}$$

Thus,

$$\frac{1 - e^{-a(T-t)}}{a}r(t) = \left(\frac{1}{a}e^{-at} - \frac{1}{a}e^{-aT}\right)r(0) + b\left(\int_0^t e^{-a(t-s)}ds - \int_0^t e^{-a(T-s)}ds\right) + \frac{\sigma}{a}\left(\int_0^t e^{-a(t-s)}d\tilde{W}(s) - \int_0^t e^{-a(T-s)}d\tilde{W}(s)\right) \tag{3}$$

We calculate the two double integrals respectively as follows:

$$\int_{t}^{T} \int_{0}^{u} e^{-a(u-s)} ds du$$

$$= \int_{0}^{t} \int_{t}^{T} e^{-a(u-s)} du ds + \int_{t}^{T} \int_{s}^{T} e^{-a(u-s)} du ds$$

$$= \int_{0}^{t} -\frac{1}{a} \left[e^{-a(T-s)} - e^{-a(t-s)} \right] ds + \int_{t}^{T} -\frac{1}{a} \left(e^{-a(T-s)} - 1 \right) ds$$

$$= -\frac{1}{a} \int_{0}^{T} e^{-a(T-s)} ds + \frac{1}{a} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-a(t-s)} ds + \frac{1}{a} (T-t)$$

Likewise,

$$\begin{split} & \int_{t}^{T} \int_{0}^{u} e^{-a(u-s)} d\tilde{W}(s) du \\ & = \int_{0}^{t} \int_{t}^{T} e^{-a(u-s)} du d\tilde{W}(s) + \int_{t}^{T} \int_{s}^{T} e^{-a(u-s)} du d\tilde{W}(s) \\ & = \int_{0}^{t} -\frac{1}{a} \left[e^{-a(T-s)} - e^{-a(t-s)} \right] d\tilde{W}(s) + \int_{t}^{T} -\frac{1}{a} (e^{-a(T-s)} - 1) d\tilde{W}(s) \\ & = -\frac{1}{a} \int_{0}^{T} e^{-a(T-s)} d\tilde{W}(s) + \frac{1}{a} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-a(t-s)} d\tilde{W}(s) + \frac{1}{a} \int_{t}^{T} d\tilde{W}(s) \end{split}$$

Therefore, it follows from (3) that

$$\begin{split} \int_{t}^{T} r(u) du &= \int_{t}^{T} e^{-au} r(0) du + ab \int_{t}^{T} \int_{0}^{u} e^{-a(u-s)} ds du + \sigma \int_{t}^{T} \int_{0}^{u} e^{-a(u-s)} d\tilde{W}(s) du. \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{a} e^{-at} - \frac{1}{a} e^{-aT}\right) r(0) - b \int_{0}^{T} e^{-a(T-s)} ds + b \int_{0}^{t} e^{-a(t-s)} ds + b (T-t) \\ &- \frac{\sigma}{a} \int_{0}^{T} e^{-a(T-s)} d\tilde{W}(s) + \frac{\sigma}{a} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-a(t-s)} d\tilde{W}(s) + \frac{\sigma}{a} \int_{t}^{T} d\tilde{W}(s) \\ &= \frac{1 - e^{-a(T-t)}}{a} r(t) - b \int_{t}^{T} e^{-a(t-s)} ds + b (T-t) - \frac{\sigma}{a} \int_{t}^{T} (e^{-a(T-s)} - 1) d\tilde{W}(s) \end{split}$$

Let $B(t,T) = \frac{1-e^{-a(T-t)}}{a}$. It follows that

$$E_Q\left[\int_t^T r(u)du|\mathcal{F}_t\right] = B(t,T)(r(t)-b) + b(T-t).$$

Moreover,

$$Var\left[\int_{t}^{T} r(u)du|\mathcal{F}_{t}\right]$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^{2}}{a^{2}} \int_{t}^{T} (e^{-a(T-s)} - 1)^{2} ds$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^{2}}{a^{2}} \left[\frac{1}{2a} (1 - e^{-2a(T-t)}) + (T-t) - \frac{2}{a} (1 - e^{-a(T-t)})\right]$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^{2}}{a^{2}} \left[-\frac{a}{2} (B(t,T))^{2} - B(t,T) + (T-t)\right].$$

$$\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2a^{2}} \left[-\frac{a}{2} (B(t,T))^{2} - B(t,T) + (T-t)\right] + bB(t,T) - b(T-t)$$

Finally, we obtain that

$$P(t,T) = \exp\left[-E_Q \left[\int_t^T r(u)du | \mathcal{F}_t \right] + \frac{1}{2} Var_Q \left[\int_t^T r(u)du | \mathcal{F}_t \right] \right]$$

$$= \exp(A(t,T) - B(t,T)r(t))$$
where $B(t,T) = \frac{1 - e^{-a(T-t)}}{a}$

$$A(t,T) = (B(t,T) - (T-t))(b - \frac{\sigma^2}{2a^2}) - \frac{\sigma^2}{4a}(B(t,T))^2.$$

3. Verify that the bond price solution to the Ho-Lee model on Slide 30 of Lecture 5 satisfies the bond PDE with the boundary condition. **Answer:** Recall the bond PDE

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} + f(t, r) \frac{\partial P}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{2} \rho^2(t, r) \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial r^2} - R(r) P = 0$$

where h(t,r) = 0 is omitted and

$$R(r) = r;$$

$$dr(u) = f(u, r(u))du + \rho(u, r(u))d\tilde{W}(u);$$

Ho-Lee model: $f(t,r) = \theta(t)$ and $\rho(t,r) = \sigma$.

$$\begin{split} B(t,T) &= T - t \\ A(t,T) &= \int_t^T \theta(s)(s-T)ds + \frac{1}{6}\sigma^2(T-t)^3 \\ P(t,T) &= \exp\left[A(t,T) - B(t,T)r(t)\right] \\ \text{then } \frac{\partial P}{\partial t} &= \left(-r\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial A}{\partial t}\right)P = \left(r - \theta(t)(t-T) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(T-t)^2\right)P \\ \frac{\partial P}{\partial r} &= -B(t,T)P = -(T-t)P \\ \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial r^2} &= B^2(t,T)P = (T-t)^2P \end{split}$$

Then, in the bond PDE,

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} + f(t,r)\frac{\partial P}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{2}\rho^2(t,r)\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial r^2} - R(r)P\\ &= \left[r - \theta(t)(t-T) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(T-t)^2\right]P + \theta(t)(-(T-t))P\\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(T-t)^2P - rP\\ &= rP - \theta(t)(t-T)P - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(T-t)^2P - \theta(t)(T-t)P + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(T-t)^2P - rP\\ &= 0 \end{split}$$

4. Verify the bond price solution to the Vasicek model on Slide 31 of Lecture 5 satisfies the bond PDE with the boundary condition.

Answer: Vasicek: $f(t,r) = \alpha (\mu - r(t))$ and $\rho(t,r) = \sigma$.

$$B(t,T) = \frac{1 - e^{-\alpha(T-t)}}{\alpha}$$

$$A(t,T) = (B(t,T) - (T-t)) \left(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2\alpha^2}\right) - \frac{\sigma^2}{4\alpha} B(t,T)^2$$

$$P = \exp[A(t,T) - B(t,T)r(t)]$$
then
$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial r} = -B(t,T)P = \frac{e^{-\alpha(T-t)} - 1}{\alpha} P$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial r^2} = B^2(t,T)P = \frac{(1 - e^{-\alpha(T-t)})^2}{\alpha^2} P$$

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = \left(-r\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial A}{\partial t}\right) P$$

$$= \left((-r)(-e^{-\alpha(T-t)}) + \left(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2\alpha^2}\right) \left(\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} + 1\right) - \frac{\sigma^2}{4\alpha} \frac{\partial (B(t,T)^2)}{\partial t}\right) P$$

$$= \left[re^{-\alpha(T-t)} + \mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2\alpha^2}(1 - e^{-\alpha(T-t)})^2 - \mu e^{-\alpha(T-t)}\right] P$$

Then, in the bond PDE, we have

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} + f(t,r)\frac{\partial P}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{2}\rho^2(t,r)\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial r^2} - R(r)P\\ &= \left[re^{-\alpha(T-t)} + \mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2\alpha^2}(1 - e^{-\alpha(T-t)})^2 - \mu e^{-\alpha(T-t)}\right]P\\ &- (\mu - r)\left(1 - e^{-\alpha(T-t)}\right)P + \frac{\sigma^2}{2\alpha^2}(1 - e^{-\alpha(T-t)})^2P - rP\\ &= 0 \end{split}$$

5. Establish the two Facts stated on Slide 19 of Lecture 6.

Answer:

(a) Observe that

$$\int wdF - \int wdH = wF|_0^{\omega} - \int Fdw - \left(wH|_0^{\omega} - \int Hdw\right)$$
$$= wF|_0^{\omega} - wH|_0^{\omega} - \int (F - H)dw$$

Note that $F(\omega) = G(\omega) = 1$, F(0) = G(0) = 0. Since w is nondecreasing, $w' \ge 0$ and $dw = w'dx \ge 0$. Hence, $\int wdF - \int wdH = -\int (F - H)dw \ge 0$ iff $F(x) \le H(x)$ for all x.

(b) According to Krishna (2002, Appendix D), affiliation of signals implies that

$$\frac{g(x,y')}{g(x,y)} \le \frac{g(x',y')}{g(x',y)}, \ (y \le y', \ x \le x')$$

where g(x,y) is the joint density of X_1 and Y_1 . Hence, $\frac{g(y|x')}{g(y|x)} \le \frac{g(y'|x')}{g(y|x)}$ which makes $\frac{g(\cdot|x')}{g(\cdot|x)}$ a nondecreasing function for $x' \ge x$. For all $t > \tilde{t}, t < x$,

$$\frac{g(\tilde{t}|x)}{g(\tilde{t}|t)} \le \frac{g(t|x)}{g(t|t)} \Rightarrow \frac{g(\tilde{t}|x)}{g(t|x)} \le \frac{g(\tilde{t}|t)}{g(t|t)}$$

Since this holds for any $t > \tilde{t}$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} & \int_0^t \frac{g(\tilde{t}|x)}{g(t|x)} d\tilde{t} \leq \int_0^t \frac{g(\tilde{t}|t)}{g(t|t)} d\tilde{t} \\ & \Longrightarrow \frac{G(t|x)}{g(t|x)} \leq \frac{G(t|t)}{g(t|t)} \implies \frac{g(t|t)}{G(t|t)} \leq \frac{g(t|x)}{G(t|x)}. \end{split}$$

6. Derive the first-order condition for the second-price auction. Verify that the bidding strategy β^{II} defined on Slide 14 of Lecture 6 satisfies the first-order condition.

Answer: Bidder i's expected payoff with signal x and bid b is

$$\Pi^{\mathrm{II}}(b,x) = \int_{0}^{(\beta)^{-1}(b)} \left(u(x,y) - \beta(y) \right) g(y|x) dy = \int_{0}^{(\beta)^{-1}(b)} \left(u(x,y) - u(y,y) \right) g(y|x) dy$$

Its first-order condition is

$$\frac{\partial \Pi^{\mathrm{II}}(b,x)}{\partial b} = \frac{\partial}{\partial b} \int_0^{(\beta)^{-1}(b)} (u(x,y) - u(y,y)) g(y|x) dy$$
$$= (u(x,\beta^{-1}(b)) - u(\beta^{-1}(b),\beta^{-1}(b)))$$
$$\times g(\beta^{-1}(b)|x) \frac{1}{\beta'(\beta^{-1}(b))}$$
$$= 0$$

Hence, if we set $\beta = \beta^{II}$ and $b = \beta^{II}(x)$, the first-order condition becomes:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \Pi^{\mathrm{II}}(b,x)}{\partial b} &= \left(u\left(x,(\beta^{\mathrm{II}})^{-1}(b)\right) - u\left((\beta^{\mathrm{II}})^{-1}(b),(\beta^{\mathrm{II}})^{-1}(b)\right)\right) \\ &\times g\left((\beta^{\mathrm{II}})^{-1}(b)|x\right)\frac{1}{\beta^{\mathrm{II'}}((\beta^{\mathrm{II}})^{-1}(b))} \\ &= \left(u(x,x) - u(x,x)\right)g(x|x)\frac{1}{\beta^{\mathrm{II'}}(x)} = 0 \end{split}$$

7. Consider a common-value first-price auction where X_i is uniformly distributed in [0,1] and independently across the bidders. Assume that the common value $V = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$ where x_i is the realization of X_i . Again each bidder i knows only the realization of his signal X_i but not that of the other bidders' signals. Consider a symmetry equilibrium where $\beta(x) = \alpha x$ with $\alpha > 0$. Solve α . What is the value of α approaching when $n \to \infty$?

Answer: $X_i \sim U[0,1]$ and independent and $V = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$, where x_i is the realisation of X_i . Observe first that

$$u(x,y) = E[V|X_i = x_i, Y_i = y]$$

= $\frac{1}{n}(x + y + (n-2)\frac{y}{2}).$

This is because $\{X_i\}$ are all independent, $X_i = x$ and $Y_1 = \max_{j \neq i} X_j = y$ (the highest signal realization among other bidders is y, and finally the remaining n-2 signals all have expectated value $\frac{y}{2}$). Moreover, since $\{X_i\}$ are all independent,

$$G(y|x) = G(y) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} P(Y_i \le y) = y^{n-1};$$

 $g(y|x) = (n-1)y^{n-2}.$

Hence,

$$\frac{g(x|x)}{G(x|x)} = \frac{n-1}{x}$$

therefore,

$$L(y|x) = \exp\left(\int_{x}^{y} \frac{g(t|t)}{G(t|t)} dt\right) = \exp\left(\int_{x}^{y} \frac{n-1}{t} dt\right)$$
$$= \left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^{n-1}$$

We consider a symmetric equilibrium where $\beta(x) = \alpha x$ in a first-price auction.

$$\beta(x) = \int_0^x V(y, y) dL(y|x)$$

$$= \int_0^x \left(\frac{n+2}{2n}y\right) (n-1) \frac{y^{n-2}}{x^{n-1}} dy$$

$$= \frac{(n+2)(n-1)}{2x^{n-1}n} \int_0^x y^{n-1} dy$$

$$= \frac{(n+2)(n-1)}{n \cdot 2x^{n-1}} \cdot \frac{x^n}{n}$$

$$= \frac{(n+2)(n-1)}{2n^2} x$$

that is, $\alpha = \frac{n^2 + n - 2}{2n^2}x$, when $n \to \infty$, $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$.