

# Recursively Enumerable Sets and Degrees: A Study of Computable Functions and Computably Generated Sets

Robert I. Soare

December 24, 2019

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Recursive Functions</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Formal Definitions of Computable Functions . . . . .	2
1.1.1	Primitive Recursive Functions . . . . .	2
1.1.2	Diagonalization and Partial Recursive Functions . . .	2
1.1.3	Turing Computable Functions . . . . .	3
1.2	The Basic Results . . . . .	3
1.3	Recursively Enumerable Sets and Unsolvable Problems . . .	5
1.4	Recursive Permutation and Myhill's Isomorphism Theorem	8
<b>2</b>	<b>Fundamentals of Recursively Enumerable Sets and the Recursion Theorem</b>	<b>8</b>
2.1	Equivalent Definitions of Recursively Enumerable Sets . . .	8

# 1 Recursive Functions

## 1.1 Formal Definitions of Computable Functions

### 1.1.1 Primitive Recursive Functions

**Definition 1.1.** The class of primitive recursive functions is the smallest class  $\mathcal{C}$  of functions closed under the following schema

1. the **successor function**,  $\lambda x[x + 1] \in \mathcal{C}$
2. the **constant functions**,  $\lambda x_1 \dots x_n[m] \in \mathcal{C}, 0 \leq n, m$
3. the **identity functions**,  $\lambda x_1 \dots x_n[x_i] \in \mathcal{C}, 1 \leq i \leq n$
4. (Composition) If  $g_1, \dots, g_m, h \in \mathcal{C}$ , then

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = h(g_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, g_m(x_1, \dots, x_n))$$

is in  $\mathcal{C}$  where  $g_1, \dots, g_m$  are functions of  $n$  variables and  $h$  is a function of  $m$  variables

5. (Primitive Recursion) If  $g, h \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $n \geq 1$  then  $f \in \mathcal{C}$  where

$$\begin{aligned} f(0, x_2, \dots, x_n) &= g(x_2, \dots, x_n) \\ f(x_1 + 1, x_2, \dots, x_n) &= h(x_1, f(x_1, \dots, x_n), x_2, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

Hence a function is primitive recursive if there is a **derivation**, namely a sequence  $f_1, \dots, f_k = f$  s.t. for each  $f_i, i \leq k$  is either an initial function or obtained from 4 or 5.

A predicate (relation) is **primitive recursive** if its characteristic function is.

### 1.1.2 Diagonalization and Partial Recursive Functions

Although the primitive recursive functions include all the usual functions from elementary number theory they fail to include **all** computable functions. Each derivation of a primitive recursive function is a finite string of symbols from a fixed finite alphabet, and thus all derivations can be effectively listed. Let  $f_n$  be the function corresponding to the  $n$ th derivation in this listing. Then the function  $g(x) = f_x(x) + 1$  cannot be primitive recursive.

The same argument applies to any effective set of schemata which produces only **total** functions. Thus to obtain all computable functions we are forced to consider computable **partial** functions.

**Definition 1.2** (Kleene). The class of **partial recursive** (p.r.) functions is the least class obtained by closing under schemata 1 through 5 for the primitive recursive functions and the following schemata 6. A **total recursive** function (abbreviated **recursive** function) is a partial recursive function which is total.

6. (Unbounded Search) If  $\theta(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)$  is a partial recursive function of  $n + 1$  variables, and

$$\psi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \mu y [\theta(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \downarrow = 0 \wedge (\forall z \leq y) [\theta(x_1, \dots, x_n, z) \uparrow]]$$

**Definition 1.3.** A relation  $R \subseteq \omega^n, n \geq 1$  is **recursive** ({primitive recursive, has property }  $P$ ) if its characteristic function  $\chi_R$  is recursive (primitive recursive) where  $\chi_R(x_1 \dots, x_n) = 1$  if and only if  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R$ .

### 1.1.3 Turing Computable Functions

A **Turing machine**  $M$  includes a two-way infinite **tape** divided into **cells**, a **reading head** which scans one cell of the tape at a time, and a finite set of internal **states**  $Q = \{q_0, \dots, q_n\}, n \geq 1$ . Each cell is either blank (B) or has written on it the symbol 1. In a single step the machine may simultaneously

1. change from one state to another
2. change the scanned symbol  $s$  to another symbol  $s' \in S = \{1, B\}$
3. move the reading head one cell to the right (R) or left (L)

The operation of  $M$  is controlled by a partial map  $\delta : Q \times S \rightarrow Q \times S \times \{R, L\}$

The map  $\delta$  viewed as a finite set of quintuples is called a {Turing program}. The **input** integer  $x$  is represented by a string of  $x + 1$  consecutive 1's.

## 1.2 The Basic Results

**Church's Thesis** asserts that these functions coincide with the intuitively computable functions. We shall accept Church's Thesis and from now on shall use the terms "partial recursive" "Turing computable" and "computable" interchangeably

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $P_e$  be the Turing program with code number (Gödel number)  $e$  (also called **index**  $e$ ) in this listing and let  $\varphi_e^{(n)}$  be the partial functions of  $n$  variables computed by  $P_e$ , where  $\varphi_e$  abbreviates  $\varphi_e^{(1)}$

**Lemma 1.5** (Padding Lemma). *Each partial recursive function  $\varphi_x$  has  $\aleph_0$  indices, and furthermore for each  $x$  we can effectively find an infinite set  $A_x$  of indices for the same partial function*

*Proof.* For any program  $P_x$  mentioning internal states  $\{q_0, \dots, q_n\}$  add extraneous instructions  $q_{n+1}Bq_{n+1}BR, q_{n+2}Bq_{n+2}, BR, \dots$  to get new programs for the same functions  $\square$

**Theorem 1.6** (Normal Form Theorem (Kleene)). *There exist a predicate  $T(e, x, y)$  (called the **Kleene T-predicate**) and a function  $U(y)$  which are recursive (indeed primitive recursive) s.t.*

$$\varphi_e(x) = U(\mu y T(e, x, y))$$

It follows from the Normal Form Theorem that every Turing computable partial function is partial recursive.

**Theorem 1.7** (Enumeration Theorem). *There is a p.r. function of 2 variables  $\varphi_z^{(2)}(e, x)$  s.t.  $\varphi_z^{(2)}(e, x) = \varphi_e(x)$ . Indeed the Enumeration Theorem holds for p.r. functions of  $n$  variables*

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi_z^{(2)}(e, x) = U(\mu y T(e, x, y))$ . For  $\varphi_z^{(n)}(e, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ , by  $s$ - $m$ - $n$  theorem,

$$\varphi_z^{(n)}(e, \bar{x}) = \varphi_{s_{n-1}^2(z, e)}^{(n-1)}(\bar{x})$$

Thus we only need to make sure that  $s_{n-1}^2(z, e) \in A_e$ , which can be effectively found.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.8** (Parameter Theorem ( $s$ - $m$ - $n$  Theorem)). *For every  $m, n \geq 1$  there exists a 1:1 recursive function  $s_n^m$  of  $m + 1$  variables s.t. for all  $x, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m$*

$$\varphi_{s_n^m(x, y_1, \dots, y_m)}^{(n)} = \lambda z_1, \dots, z_n (\varphi_x^{(m+n)}(y_1, \dots, y_m, z_1, \dots, z_n))$$

*Proof. (informal).* For simplicity consider the case  $m = n = 1$ . The program  $P_{s_1^1(x, y)}$  on input  $z$  first obtains  $P_x$  and then applies  $P_x$  to input  $(y, z)$   $\square$

*Remark.* Here is an interesting question in StackExchange

The  $s$ - $m$ - $n$  theorem asserts that  $y$  may be treated as a fixed parameter in the program  $P_{s(x, y)}$  which operate on  $z$  and furthermore that the index  $s(x, y)$  of this program is effective in  $x$  and  $y$ . A simple application of the  $s$ - $m$ - $n$  theorem is the existence of a recursive function  $f(x)$  s.t.  $\varphi_{f(x)} = 2\varphi_x$ .

Let  $\psi(x, y) = 2\varphi_x(y)$ . By Church's Thesis  $\psi(x, y) = \varphi_e^{(2)}(x, y)$  for some  $e$ .  
Let  $f(x) = s_1^1(e, x)$

We let  $\langle x, y \rangle$  denote the image of  $(x, y)$  under the standard pairing function  $\frac{1}{2}(x^2 + 2xy + y^2 + 3x + y)$  which is a bijective recursive function from  $\omega^2 \rightarrow \omega$ . Let  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  denote the inverse functions  $\pi_1(\langle x, y \rangle) = x$

**Definition 1.9.** We write  $\varphi_{e,s}(x) = y$  if  $x, y, e < s$  and  $y$  is the output  $\varphi_e(x)$  in  $< s$  steps of the Turing machine  $P_e$ . If such a  $s$  exists we say  $\varphi_{e,s}(x)$  **converges**, which we write as  $\varphi_{e,s}(x) \downarrow$ , and **diverges** ( $\varphi_{e,s}(x) \uparrow$ ). Similarly, we write  $\varphi_e(x) \downarrow$  if  $\varphi_{e,s}(x) \downarrow$  for some  $s$

**Theorem 1.10.** 1. The set  $\{\langle e, x, s \rangle : \varphi_{e,s}(x) \downarrow\}$  is recursive  
2. The set  $\{\langle e, x, y, s \rangle : \varphi_{e,s}(x) = y\}$  is recursive

*Proof.* From Church's Thesis since they are all computable □

### 1.3 Recursively Enumerable Sets and Unsolvable Problems

**Definition 1.11.** 1. A set  $A$  is **recursively enumerable** (r.e.) if  $A$  is the domain of some p.r. function  
2. let the  $e$ th r.e. set be denoted by

$$W_e = \text{dom}(\varphi_e) = \{x : \varphi_e(x) \downarrow\} = \{x : (\exists y)T(e, x, y)\}$$

3.  $W_{e,s} = \text{dom}(\varphi_{e,s})$

Note that  $\varphi_e(x) = x$  iff  $(\exists s)[\varphi_{e,s} = y]$  and  $x \in W_e$  iff  $(\exists s)(x \in W_{e,s})$

**Definition 1.12.** Let  $K = \{x : \varphi_x(x) \text{ converges}\} = \{x : x \in W_x\}$

**Proposition 1.13.**  $K$  is r.e.

*Proof.*  $K$  is the domain of the following p.r. function

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } \varphi_x(x) \text{ converges,} \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Now  $\psi$  is p.r. by Church's Thesis. Alternatively and more formally,  $K = \text{dom}(\theta)$  where  $\theta(x) = \varphi_z^{(2)}(x, x)$  for  $\varphi_z^{(2)}$  the p.r. function defined in the Enumeration Theorem □

**Corollary 1.14.**  $K$  is not recursive

*Proof.* If  $K$  had a recursive characteristic function  $\chi_K$  then the following function would be recursive

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \varphi_x(x) + 1 & \text{if } x \in K \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin K \end{cases}$$

However  $f$  cannot be recursive since  $f \neq \varphi_x$  for any  $x$  □

**Definition 1.15.**  $K_0 = \{\langle x, y \rangle : x \in W_y\}$

$K_0$  is p.r. but

**Proposition 1.16.**  $K_0$  is not recursive

*Proof.*  $x \in K$  iff  $\langle x, x \rangle \in K$  □

The **halting problem** is to decide for arbitrary  $x$  and  $y$  whether  $\varphi_x(y) \downarrow$ . Corollary 1.14 asserts the unsolvability of the halting problem.

**Definition 1.17.** 1.  $A$  is a **many-one reducible (m-reducible)** to  $B$  (written  $A \leq_m B$ ) if there is a recursive function  $f$  s.t.  $f(A) \subset B$  and  $f(\bar{A}) \subseteq \bar{B}$ , i.e.  $x \in A$  iff  $f(x) \in B$   
 2.  $A$  is a **one-one reducible (1-reducible)** to  $B$  ( $A \leq_1 B$ ) if  $A \leq_m B$  by a 1:1 recursive function

The proof of corollary 1.14 established that  $K \leq_1 K_0$  via the function  $f(x) = \langle x, x \rangle$

**Definition 1.18.** 1.  $A \equiv_m B$  if  $A \leq_m B$  and  $B \leq_m A$   
 2.  $A \equiv_1 B$  if  $A \leq_1 B$  and  $B \leq_1 A$   
 3.  $\deg_m(A) = \{B : A \equiv_m B\}$   
 4.  $\deg_1(A) = \{B : A \equiv_1 B\}$

The equivalence classes under  $\equiv_m$  and  $\equiv_1$  are called the **m-degrees** and **1-degrees** respectively

**Proposition 1.19.** If  $A \leq_m B$  and  $B$  is recursive then  $A$  is recursive

*Proof.*  $\chi_A(x) = \chi_B(f(x))$  □

**Theorem 1.20.**  $K \leq_1 \text{Tot} := \{x : \varphi_x \text{ is a total function}\}$

*Proof.* Define the function

$$\psi(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in K \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

By *s-m-n* theorem, there is a 1:1 recursive function  $f$  s.t.  $\varphi_{f(x)}(y) = \psi(x, y)$ . Choose  $e$  s.t.  $\varphi_e(x, y) = \psi(x, y)$  since  $\psi$  is p.r. and define  $f(x) = s_1^1(e, x)$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} x \in K &\implies \varphi_{f(x)} = \lambda y[1] \implies \varphi_{f(x)} \text{ total} \implies f(x) \in \text{Tot} \\ x \notin K &\implies \varphi_{f(x)} = \lambda y[\text{undefined}] \implies \varphi_{f(x)} \text{ not total} \implies f(x) \notin \text{Tot} \end{aligned}$$

□

**Definition 1.21.** A set  $A \subseteq \omega$  is an **index set** if for all  $x$  and  $y$

$$(x \in A \wedge \varphi_x = \varphi_y) \implies y \in A$$

**Theorem 1.22.** If  $A$  is a nontrivial index set, i.e.,  $A \neq \emptyset, \omega$ , then either  $K \leq_1 A$  or  $K \leq_1 \bar{A}$

*Proof.* Choose  $e_0$  s.t.  $\varphi_{e_0}(y)$  is undefined for all  $y$ . If  $e_0 \in \bar{A}$ , then  $K \leq_1 A$  as follows. Since  $A \neq \emptyset$  we can choose  $e_1 \in A$ . Now  $\varphi_{e_1} \neq \varphi_{e_0}$  because  $A$  is an index set. By *s-m-n* theorem define a 1:1 recursive function  $f$  s.t.

$$\varphi_{f(x)}(y) = \begin{cases} \varphi_{e_1}(y) & x \in K \\ \text{undefined} & x \notin K \end{cases}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} x \in K &\implies \varphi_{f(x)} = \varphi_{e_1} \implies f(x) \in A \\ x \notin K &\implies \varphi_{f(x)} = \varphi_{e_0} \implies f(x) \in \bar{A} \end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 1.23 (Rice's Theorem).** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be any class of partial recursive functions. Then  $\{n : \varphi_n \in \mathcal{C}\}$  is recursive iff  $\mathcal{C} = \emptyset$  or  $\mathcal{C}$  is the set of all partial recursive functions

*Proof.*  $\mathcal{C}$  is an index set and hence is trivial. □

**Definition 1.24.**

$$\begin{aligned}K_1 &= \{x : W_x \neq \emptyset\} \\ \text{Fin} &= \{x : W_x \text{ is finite}\} \\ \text{Inf} &= \omega - \text{Fin} = \{x : W_x \text{ is infinite}\} \\ \text{Tot} &= \{x : \varphi_x \text{ is total}\} = \{x : W_x = \omega\} \\ \text{Con} &= \{x : \varphi_x \text{ is total and constant}\} \\ \text{Cof} &= \{x : W_x \text{ is cofinite}\} \\ \text{Rec} &= \{x : W_x \text{ is recursive}\} \\ \text{Ext} &= \{x : \varphi_x \text{ is extendible to a total recursive function}\}\end{aligned}$$

**Definition 1.25.** An r.e. set  $A$  is **1-complete** if  $W_e \leq_1 A$  for every r.e. set  $W_e$

$K_0$  is 1-complete because  $x \in W_e$  iff  $\langle x, e \rangle \in K_0$

**Definition 1.26.** Let  $A$  join  $B$  written  $A \oplus B$  be

$$\{2x : x \in A\} \cup \{2x + 1 : x \in B\}$$

*Exercise 1.3.1.* 1.  $A \leq_m A \oplus B$  and  $B \leq_M A \oplus B$   
2. if  $A \leq_m C$  and  $B \leq_m C$  then  $A \oplus B \leq_m C$

## 1.4 Recursive Permutation and Myhill's Isomorphism Theorem

# 2 Fundamentals of Recursively Enumerable Sets and the Recursion Theorem

## 2.1 Equivalent Definitions of Recursively Enumerable Sets