

Basic Proof Theory

A. S. Troelstra and H. Schwichtenberg

December 27, 2019

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Simple type theories	2

1 Introduction

1.1 Simple type theories

Definition 1.1 (the set of simple types). the set of **simple types** $\mathcal{T}_{\rightarrow}$ is constructed from a countable set of **type variables** P_0, P_1, \dots by means of a type-forming operation (**function-type constructor**) \rightarrow

1. type variables belong to $\mathcal{T}_{\rightarrow}$
2. if $A, B \in \mathcal{T}_{\rightarrow}$, then $(A \rightarrow B) \in \mathcal{T}_{\rightarrow}$

A type of the form $A \rightarrow B$ is called a **function type**

Definition 1.2 (Terms of the simply typed lambda calculus λ_{\rightarrow}). All terms appear with a type; for terms of type A we use t^A, s^A, r^A . The terms are generated by the following three clauses

1. For each $A \in \mathcal{T}_{\rightarrow}$ there is a countably infinite supply of variables of type A ; for arbitrary variables of type A we use $u^A, v^A, w^A, x^A, y^A, z^A$
2. if $t^{A \rightarrow B}, s^A$ are terms, then $\text{App}(t^{A \rightarrow B}, s^A)^B$ is a term of type B
3. if t^B is a term of type B and x^A a variable of type A , then $(\lambda x^A. t^B)^{A \rightarrow B}$

For $\text{App}(t^{A \rightarrow B}, s^A)^B$ we usually write simply $(t^{A \rightarrow B} s^A)^B$

Definition 1.3. The set $\text{FV}(t)$ of variables free in t is specified by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{FV}(x^A) &:= x^A \\ \text{FV}(ts) &:= \text{FV}(t) \cup \text{FV}(s) \\ \text{FV}(\lambda x. t) &:= \text{FV}(t) \setminus \{x\} \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.4 (Substitution). The operation of substitution of a term s for a variable x in a term t (notation $t[x/s]$) may be defined by recursion on the complexity of t , as follows

$$\begin{aligned} x[x/s] &:= s \\ y[x/s] &:= y \text{ for } y \neq x \\ (t_1 t_2)[x/s] &:= t_1[x/s] t_2[x/s] \\ (\lambda x. t)[x/s] &:= \lambda x. t \\ (\lambda y. t)[x/s] &= \lambda y. t[x/s] \text{ for } y \neq x; \text{ w.l.o.g. } y \notin \text{FV}(s) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1.5 (Substitution lemma). If $x \neq y, x \notin \text{FV}(t_2)$, then

$$t[x/t_1][y/t_2] \equiv t[y/t_2][x/t_1[y/t_2]]$$

Definition 1.6 (Conversion, reduction, normal form). Let T be a set of terms, and let conv be a binary relation on T , written in infix notation: $t \text{ conv } s$. If $t \text{ conv } s$, we say that t **converts to** s ; t is called a **redex** or **convertible** term and s the **conversum** of t . The replacement of a redex by its conversum is called a **conversion**. We write $t \succ_1 s$ (t **reduces in one step to** s) if s is obtained from t by replacement of a redex t' of t by a conversum t'' of t' . The relation \succ (**properly reduces to**) is the transitive closure of \succ_1 and \succeq (**reduces to**) is the reflexive and transitive closure of \succ_1 . The relation \succeq is said to be the notion of reduction **generated** by conv .

With the notion of reduction generated by conv we associate a relation on T called **conversion equality**: $t =_{\text{conv}} s$ (t is equal by conversion to s) if there is a sequence t_0, \dots, t_n with $t_0 \equiv t$, $t_n \equiv s$, and $t_i \preceq t_{i+1}$ or $t_i \succeq t_{i+1}$ for each i , $0 \leq i < n$. The subscript "conv" is usually omitted when clear from the context

A term t is in **normal form**, or t is **normal**, if t does not contain a redex. t **has a normal form** if there is a normal s such that $t \succeq s$.

A **reduction sequence** is a (finite or infinite) sequence of pairs $(t_0, \delta_0), (t_1, \delta_1), \dots$ with δ_i an (occurrence of a) redex in t_i and $t_i \succ t_{i+1}$ by conversion of δ_i , for all i . This may be written as

$$t_0 \xrightarrow{\delta_0} t_1 \xrightarrow{\delta_1} t_2 \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \dots$$

We often omit the δ_i , simply writing $t_0 \succ t_1 \succ t_2$

Finite reduction sequences are partially ordered under the initial part relation ("sequence σ is an initial part of sequence τ "); the collection of finite reduction sequences starting from a term g forms a tree, the **reduction tree** of t . The branches of this tree may be identified with the collection of all infinite and all terminating finite reduction sequences.

A term is **strongly normalizing** (is SN) if its reduction tree is finite

β -conversion:

$$(\lambda x^A. t^B) s^A \text{ cont}_\beta t^B[x^A/s^A]$$

η -conversion:

$$\lambda x^A. tx \text{ cont}_\eta t \quad (x \notin \text{FV}(t))$$

$\beta\eta$ -conversion $\text{cont}_{\beta\eta}$ is $\text{cont}_\beta \cup \text{cont}_\eta$

Definition 1.7. A relation R is said to be **confluent**, or to have the **Church-Rosser property** (CR), if whenever $t_0 R t_1$ and $t_0 R t_2$, then there is a t_3 s.t. $t_1 R t_3$ and $t_2 R t_3$. A relation R is said to be **weakly confluent** or to have the **weak Church-Rosser property** if whenever $t_0 R t_1$, $t_0 R t_2$ there is a t_3 s.t. $t_1 R^* t_3$ and $t_2 R^* t_3$ where R^* is the reflexive and transitive closure of T

Theorem 1.8. For a confluent reduction relation \succeq the normal forms of terms are unique. Furthermore, if \succeq is a confluent reduction relation we have $t = t'$ iff there is a term t'' s.t. $t \succ t''$ and $t' \succ t''$

Theorem 1.9 (Newman's lemma). Let \succeq be the transitive and reflexive closure of \succ_1 , and let \succ_1 be weakly confluent. Then the normal form w.r.t. \succ_1 of a strongly normalizing t is unique. Moreover, if all terms are strongly normalizing w.r.t. \succ_1 then the relation \succeq is confluent.

Proof. Assume WCR, and let write $s \in UN$ to indicate that s has a unique normal form. Assume $t \in SN, t \notin UN$. Then there are two reduction sequences $t \succ_1 t'_1 \cdots \succ_1 t'$ and $t \succ_1 T''_1 \succ_1 \cdots \succ_1 t''$ with $t' \neq t''$. Then either $t'_1 = t''_1$ or $t'_1 \neq t''_1$

In the first case we can take $t_1 := t'_1 = t''_1$. In the second case, by WCR we can find a t^* s.t. $t^* \prec t'_1, t''_1$; $t \in SN$ hence $t^* \succ t'''$ for some normal t''' . Since $t' \neq t'''$ or $t'' \neq t'''$, either $t'_1 \notin UN$ or $t''_1 \notin UN$; so take $t_1 := t'_1$ if $t' \neq t'''$, $t_1 := t''_1$ otherwise.

Hence we can always find a $t_1 \prec t$ with $t_1 \notin UN$ and get an infinite sequence contradicting the SN of t \square

Definition 1.10. The **simple typed lambda calculus** λ_{\rightarrow} is the calculus of β -reduction and β -equality on the set of terms of λ_{\rightarrow} . λ_{\rightarrow} has the term system as described with the following axioms and rules for \prec (\prec_{β}) and $=$ ($=_{\beta}$)

$$\begin{array}{c} t \succeq t \quad (\lambda x^A. t^B) s^A \succeq t^B[x^A/s^A] \\ \frac{t \succeq s}{rt \succeq rs} \quad \frac{t \succ s}{tr \succ sr} \quad \frac{t \succeq s}{\lambda x.t \succeq \lambda x.s} \quad \frac{t \succeq s \quad s \succeq r}{t \succeq r} \\ \frac{t \succeq s \quad t = s}{t = s} \quad \frac{t = s \quad s = r}{t = r} \end{array}$$

The **extensional simple typed lambda calculus** $\lambda_{\eta \rightarrow}$ is the calculus of β_{η} -reduction and β_{η} -equality and the set of terms of $\lambda_{\eta \rightarrow}$; in addition there is the axiom

$$\lambda x.tx \succeq t \quad (x \notin FV(t))$$

Lemma 1.11 (Substitutivity of \succ_{β} and $\succ_{\beta_{\eta}}$). For \succeq either \succeq_{β} or $\succ_{\beta_{\eta}}$ we have

$$\text{if } s \succeq s' \text{ then } s[y/s''] \succeq s'[y/s'']$$

Proof. By induction on the depth of a proof of $s \succeq s'$. It suffices to check the crucial basis step, where s is $(\lambda x.t)t'$ and s' is $t[x/t']$.

$$(\lambda x.t)t'[y/s''] = (\lambda x.(t[y/s''])t'[y/s'']) = t[y/s'']x[t'/y/s''] = t[x/t']y[s'']$$

□

Proposition 1.12. $\succ_{\beta,1}$ and $\succ_{\beta\eta,1}$ are weakly confluent

Proof. If the conversions leading from t to t' and t to t'' concern disjoint redexes, then t''' is simply obtained by converting both redexes

If $t \equiv \dots (\lambda x.s)s' \dots$, $t' \equiv \dots s[x/s'] \dots$ and $t'' \equiv \dots (\lambda x.s'')s' \dots$, $s' \succ_1 s''$, then $t''' \equiv \dots s[x/s''] \dots$

If $t \equiv \dots (\lambda x.s)s' \dots$, $t' \equiv \dots s[x/s'] \dots$ and $t'' \equiv \dots (\lambda x.s'')s' \dots$, $s \succ_1 s''$, then $t''' \equiv \dots s''[x/s'] \dots$

If $t \equiv \dots (\lambda x.sx)s'$, $t' = \dots (sx)[x/s'] \dots$, $t'' = \dots ss' \dots$ □

Theorem 1.13. The terms of λ_{\rightarrow} , $\lambda\beta_{\rightarrow}$ are SN for \succeq_{β} and $\succeq_{\beta\eta}$ respectively, then hence the β - and $\beta\eta$ -normal forms are unique

Definition 1.14. \succeq_p on λ_{\rightarrow} is generated by the axiom and rules

$$\begin{aligned} &(\text{id}) x \succeq_p x \\ &(\lambda\text{mon}) \frac{t \succeq_p t'}{\lambda x.t \succeq_p \lambda x.t'} \quad (\text{appmon}) \frac{t \succeq_p t' \quad s \succeq_p s'}{ts \succeq_p t's'} \\ &(\beta\text{par}) \frac{t \succeq_p t' \quad s \succeq_p s'}{(\lambda x.t)s \succeq_p t'[x/s']} \quad (\eta\text{par}) \frac{t \succeq_p t'}{\lambda x.tx \succeq_p t'} (x \notin \text{FV}(t)) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1.15 (Substitutivity of \succ_p). If $t \succ_p t'$, $s \succ_p s'$, then $t[x/s] \succ_p t'[x/s']$

Proof. By induction on t .

1. $t \equiv (\lambda y.t_1)t_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &t \succeq_p t'_1[y/t'_2] \\ &t[x/s] \equiv (\lambda y.t_1[x/s])t_2[x/s] \succeq_p t'_1[x/s'] [y/t'_2[x/s']] \succeq_p t'_1[y/t'_2][x/s'] \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 1.16. \succeq_p is confluent

Proof. Induction on t □

Theorem 1.17. β - and $\beta\eta$ -reduction are confluent

Proof. The reflexive closure of \succ_1 for $\beta\eta$ -reduction is contained in \succeq_p , and \succeq is therefore the transitive closure of \succeq_p . Write $t \succeq_{p,n} t'$ if there is a chain $t \equiv t_0 \succeq_p t_1 \succeq_p \dots \succeq_p t_n \equiv t'$. Then we show by induction on $n + m$ using the preceding lemma, that if $t \succeq_{p,n} t'$, $t \succeq_{p,m} t''$ then there is a t''' s.t. $t' \succeq_{p,m} t'''$, $t'' \succeq_{p,n} t'''$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
t & \xrightarrow{\alpha-1} & t'_0 & \xrightarrow{1} & t' \\
& \searrow n+m+1-\alpha & & \searrow n+m+1-\alpha & \\
& & t'' & \xrightarrow{\alpha-1} & t'''_0 \longrightarrow t'''
\end{array}$$

□

Definition 1.18 (Terms of typed combinatory logic $\mathbf{CL}_{\rightarrow}$). The terms are inductive defined as in the case of λ_{\rightarrow} , but now with the clauses

1. For each $A \in \mathcal{T}_{\rightarrow}$ there is a countably infinite supply of variables of type A ; for arbitrary variables of type A we use $u^A, v^A, w^A, x^A, y^A, z^A$
2. for each $A, B, C \in \mathcal{T}$ there are constant terms

$$k^{A,B} \in A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow A)$$

$$s^{A,B,C} \in (A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C))$$

3. if $t^{A,B}, s^A$ are terms, then so is $t^{A,B}s$

$$\text{FV}(k) = \text{FV}(s) = \emptyset$$

Definition 1.19. The **weak reduction** relation \succeq_w on the terms of $\mathbf{CL}_{\rightarrow}$ is generated by a conversion relation cont_w consisting of the following pairs

$$k^{A,B}x^Ay^B \text{ cont}_w x, \quad s^{A,B,C}x^{A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)}y^{A \rightarrow B}z^A \text{ cont}_w xz(yz)$$

In otherwords, $\mathbf{CL}_{\rightarrow}$ is the term system defined above with the following axioms and rules for \succeq_w and $=_w$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
t \succeq t & kxy \succeq x & sxyz \succeq xz(yz) \\
\frac{t \succeq s}{rt \succeq rs} & \frac{t \succeq s}{tr \succeq sr} & \frac{t \succeq s \quad s \succeq r}{t \succeq r} \\
\frac{t \succeq s}{t = s} & \frac{t = s}{s = t} & \frac{t = s \quad s = r}{t = r}
\end{array}$$

Theorem 1.20. The weak reduction relation in $\mathbf{CL}_{\rightarrow}$, is confluent and strongly normalizing, so normal forms are unique.

Theorem 1.21. To each term t in $\mathbf{CL}_{\rightarrow}$, there is another term $\lambda^*x^A.t$ such that

1. $x^A \notin \text{FV}(\lambda^*x^A.t)$
2. $(\lambda^*x^A.t)s^A \succ_w t[x^A/s^A]$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda^* x^A . x &:= s^{A, A \rightarrow A, A} k^{A, A \rightarrow A} k^{A, A} \\ \lambda^* x^A . y^B &:= k^{B, A} y^B \text{ for } y \neq x \\ \lambda^* x^A . t_1^{B \rightarrow C} t_2^B &:= s^{A, B, C} (\lambda^* x . t_1) (\lambda^* x . t_2)\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 1.22. CL_{\rightarrow} is **combinatorially complete**, i.e. for every applicative combination t of k, s and variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n there is a closed term s s.t. in $CL_{\rightarrow} \vdash s x_1 \dots x_n =_w t$, in fact even $CL_{\rightarrow} \vdash s x_1 \dots x_n \succeq_w t$

Remark. Note that: it's not true that if $t = t'$ then $\lambda^* x . t = \lambda^* x . t'$. $k x k = x$ but $\lambda^* x . k x k = s(s(k k)(s k k))(k k)$, $\lambda^* x . x = s k k$

Definition 1.23. The **Church numerals** of type A are β -normal terms \bar{n}_A of type $(A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow A)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, defined by

$$\bar{n}_A := \lambda f^{A \rightarrow A} \lambda x^A . f^n(x)$$

where $f^0(x) := x$, $f^{n+1}(x) := f(f^n(x))$. $N_A = \{\bar{n}_A\}$

N.B. If we want to use $\beta\eta$ -normal terms, we must use $\lambda f^{A \rightarrow A} . f$ instead of $\lambda f x . f x$ for $\bar{1}_A$

Definition 1.24. A function $\text{ff} f : \mathbb{N}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is said to be **A-representable** if there is a term F of λ_{\rightarrow} s.t. (abbreviating \bar{n}_A as \bar{n})

$$F \bar{n}_1 \dots \bar{n}_k = f(n_1, \dots, n_k)$$

for all $n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\bar{n}_i = (\bar{n}_i)_A$

Definition 1.25. Polynomials, extended polynomials

1. The n -argument **projections** p_i^n are given by $p_i^n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_i$, the unary constant functions c_m by $c_m(x) = m$, and $\text{sg}, \bar{\text{sg}}$ are unary functions which satisfy $\text{sg}(S_n) = 1$, $\text{sg}(0) = 0$, where S is the successor function.
2. The n -argument function f is the **composition** of m -argument g , n -argument h_1, \dots, h_m if f satisfies $f(\bar{x}) = g(h_1(\bar{x}), \dots, h_m(\bar{x}))$
3. The **polynomials** in n variables are generated from p_i^n, c_m , addition and multiplication by closure under composition. The **extended polynomials** are generated from $p_i^n, c_m, \text{sg}, \bar{\text{sg}}$, addition and multiplication by closure under proposition

Exercise 1.1.1. Show that all terms in β -normal form of type $(P \rightarrow P) \rightarrow (P \rightarrow P)$, P a propositional variable, are either of the form \bar{n}_P or of the form $\lambda f^{P \rightarrow P}.f$

Proof. 1. $\lambda f^{P \rightarrow P}.g^{P \rightarrow P}$, if $g \neq f$, then g is of the form $\lambda x^P.y^P$ and hence
 $\lambda f^{P \rightarrow P} \lambda x^P.y^P$

□