# Algebra

### Serge Lang

June 16, 2020

## Contents

1	Rea	l Fields														2
	1.1	Ordered Fields.														2

### 1 Real Fields

#### 1.1 Ordered Fields

Let *K* be a field. An **ordering** of *K* is a subset *P* of *K* having the following properties

**ORD 1.** Given  $x \in K$ , we have either  $x \in P$ , or x = 0 or  $-x \in P$ , and these three possibilities are mutually exclusive

**ORD 2.** If  $x, y \in P$ , then  $x + y, xy \in P$ 

*K* is **ordered by** *P*, and we call *P* the set of **positive elements** 

Suppose *K* is ordered by *P*. Since  $1 \neq 0$  and  $1 = 1^2 = (-1)^2$ , we see that  $1 \in P$ . By **ORD 2**, it follows that  $1 + \cdots + 1 \in P$ , whence *K* has characteristic 0. If  $x \in P$  and  $x \neq 0$ , then  $xx^{-1} = 1 \in P$  implies that  $x^{-1} \in P$ 

Let E be a field. Then a product of sums of squares in E is a sum of squares. If  $a, b \in E$  are sum of squares and  $b \ne 0$ , then a/b is a sum of squares

Consider complex number:)

Let  $x, y \in K$ . We define x < y to mean that  $y - x \in P$ . If x < 0 we say that x is **negative**.

If *K* is ordered and  $x \in K$ ,  $x \neq 0$ , then  $x^2$  is positive

If *E* has characteristic  $\neq 2$ , and -1 is a sum of squares in *E*, then every element  $a \in E$  is a sum of squares, because  $4a = (1+a)^2 - (1-a)^2$ 

If K is a field with an ordering P, and F is a subfield, then obviously,  $P \cap F$  defines an ordering of F, which is called the **induced** ordering

Let K be an ordered field and let F be a subfield with the induced ordering. We put |x| = x if x > 0 and |x| = -x if x < 0. An element  $\alpha \in K$  is **infinitely large** over F if  $|\alpha| \ge x$  for all  $x \in F$ . It is **infinitely small** over F if  $0 \le |\alpha| \le |x|$  for all  $x \in F$ ,  $x \ne 0$ .  $\alpha$  is infinitely large if and only if  $\alpha^{-1}$  is infinitely small. K is **archimedean** over F if K has no elements which are infinitely large over F. An intermediate field  $F_1$ ,  $K \supset F_1 \supset F$  is **maximal archimedean over** F in K if it is archimedean over F and no other intermediate field containing  $F_1$  is archimedean over F. We say that F is **maximal archimedean in** K if it is maximal archimedean over itself in K

Let K be an ordered field and F a subfield. Let K be an ordered field and F a subfield. Let  $\mathfrak o$  be the set of elements of K which are not infinitely large over F. Then  $\mathfrak o$  is a ring and that for any  $\alpha \in K$ , we have  $\alpha$  or  $\alpha^{-1} \in \mathfrak o$ . Hence  $\mathfrak o$  is what is called a valuation ring, containing F. Let  $\mathfrak m$  be the ideal of all  $\alpha \in K$  which are infinitely small over F. Then  $\mathfrak m$  is the unique maximal

ideal of  $\mathfrak{o}$ , because any element in  $\mathfrak{o}$  which is not in  $\mathfrak{m}$  has an inverse in  $\mathfrak{o}$ . We call  $\mathfrak{o}$  the **valuation ring determined by the ordering of** K/F

**Proposition 1.1.** Let K be an ordered field and F a subfield. Let  $\mathfrak o$  be the valuation ring determined by the ordering of K/F, and let  $\mathfrak m$  be its maximal ideal. Then  $\mathfrak o/\mathfrak m$  is a real field.

*Proof.* Otherwise, we could write

$$-1 = \sum \alpha_i^2 + a$$

with  $\alpha_i \in \mathfrak{o}$  and  $a \in \mathfrak{m}$ . Since  $\sum \alpha_i^2$  is positive and a is infinitely small, such a relation is clearly impossible