

Notes on Set Theory

Thomas Jech

December 23, 2019

Contents

1	Ordinal	2
1.1	Linear and partial ordering	2
1.2	Well-Ordering	2
1.3	Ordinal Numbers	3
1.4	Induction and Recursion	4
1.5	Ordinal Arithmetic	6
1.6	Well-Founded Relations	8
1.7	Exercise	8
2	Models of Set - Sertraline	9
2.1	Some mathematical logic	9
2.2	Cumulative Hierarchy	9
2.3	Relativization	11
2.4	Absoluteness	12
2.5	Relative consistence of the axiom of foundation	14
2.6	Induction and recursion based on well-order relation	15
2.7	Absoluteness under the axiom of foundation	16
2.8	Unaccessible cardinal and models of ZFC	17
2.9	Reflection theorem	19
3	Constructable Set - Venlafaxine	20
3.1	Definability and Gödel operation	20
3.2	Gödel's L	21
3.3	Axiom of constructibility and relativization	22
4	The end	24

1 Ordinal

1.1 Linear and partial ordering

options []

Definition 1.1. A binary relation $<$ on a set P is a **partial ordering** of P if:

1. $p \not< p$ for any $p \in P$
2. if $p < q$ and $q < r$ then $p < r$
($P, <$) is called a **partial ordered set**. A partial ordering $<$ of P is a **linear ordering** if moreover
3. $p < q$ or $q < p$ or $p = q$ for all $p, q \in P$

If $(P, <)$ and $(Q, <)$ are poset and $f : P \rightarrow Q$, then f is **order-preserving** if $x < y$ implies $f(x) < f(y)$. If P and Q are linearly ordered, then f is also called **increasing**

1.2 Well-Ordering

Definition 1.2. A linear ordering $<$ of a set P is a **well-ordering** if every nonempty subset of P has a least element

Lemma 1.3. If $(W, <)$ is a well-ordering set and $f : W \rightarrow W$ is an increasing function, then $f(x) \geq x$ for each $x \in W$

Proof. Assume that the set $X = \{x \in W \mid f(x) < x\}$ is nonempty and let z be the least element of X . Hence $f(f(z)) < f(z)$ and $f(z) \in X$, a contradiction. \square

Corollary 1.4. The only automorphism of a well-ordered set is the identity

Corollary 1.5. If two well-ordered sets W_1, W_2 are isomorphic, then the isomorphism of W_1 onto W_2 is unique

If W is a well-ordered set and $u \in W$, then $\{x \in W : x < u\}$ is an **initial segment** of W

Lemma 1.6. No well-ordered set is isomorphic to an initial segment of itself

Proof. If $\text{ran}(f) = \{x : x < u\}$, then $f(u) < u$, contrary to lemma 1.3 \square

Theorem 1.7. If W_1 and W_2 are well-ordered sets, then exactly one of the following three cases holds:

1. $W_1 \cong W_2$

2. W_1 is isomorphic to an initial segment of W_2
3. W_2 is isomorphic to an initial segment of W_1

Proof. For $u \in W_i$, ($i = 1, 2$), let $W_i(u)$ denote the initial segment of W_i given by u . Let

$$f = \{(x, y) \in W_1 \times W_2 \mid W_1(x) \cong W_2(y)\}$$

If $W_1(x) \cong W_w(y)$ and $W_1(x) \cong W_2(y')$, then $W_2(y) \cong W_1(y')$. According to lemma 1.6, $y = y'$. Hence it's easy to see that f is a one-to-one function.

If h is an isomorphism between $W_1(x)$ and $W_2(y)$ and $x' < x$, then $W_1(x') \cong W_2(h(x'))$. It follows that f is order-preserving.

If $\text{dom}(f) = W_1$ and $\text{ran}(f) = W_2$, then case 1 holds.

If $y_1 < y_2$ and $y_2 \in \text{ran}(f)$, then $y_1 \in \text{ran}(f)$. If there is some $y < y_2$ and $y \notin \text{ran}(f)$. Consider the least element y' of $\{y \in W_2 \mid y < y_2 \wedge y \notin \text{ran}(f)\}$. Let $x' = \sup\{x \in W_1 \mid \exists y \in W_2 (W_1(x) \cong W_2(y) \wedge y < y')\}$, then $W_1(x') \cong W_2(y')$, a contradiction.

If $\text{ran}(f) \neq W_2$ and y_0 is the least element of $W_2 - \text{ran}(f)$. We have $\text{ran}(f) = W_2(x_0)$. Necessarily, $\text{dom}(f) = W_1$, for otherwise we could have $(x_0, y_0) \in f$ where $x_0 = \text{least element of } W_1 - \text{dom}(f)$. Thus case 2 holds.

Similarly, case 3 holds. \square

If $W_1 \cong W_2$, we say that they have the same **order-type**

1.3 Ordinal Numbers

The idea is to define ordinal numbers so that

$$\alpha < \beta \Leftrightarrow \alpha \in \beta \wedge \alpha = \{\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$$

Definition 1.8. A set T is **transitive** if every element of T is a subset of T

Definition 1.9. A set is an **ordinal number** (an **ordinal**) if it's transitive and well-ordered by \in

The class of all ordinals is denoted by Ord

We define

$$\alpha < \beta \Leftrightarrow \alpha \in \beta$$

Lemma 1.10. 1. $0 = \emptyset$ is an ordinal

2. If α is an ordinal and $\beta \in \alpha$, then β is an ordinal
3. If $\alpha \neq \beta$ are ordinals and $\alpha \subset \beta$, then $\alpha \in \beta$
4. If α, β are ordinals, then either $\alpha \subset \beta$ or $\beta \subset \alpha$

Proof. 1. definition

2. definition

3. If $\alpha \subset \beta$, let γ be the least element of the set $\beta - \alpha$. Since α is transitive, it follows that α is the initial segment of β given by γ . Thus $\alpha = \{\xi \in \beta \mid \xi < \gamma\} = \gamma \in \beta$
4. Clearly $\alpha \cap \beta$ is an ordinal γ . We have $\gamma = \alpha$ or $\gamma = \beta$, for otherwise $\gamma \in \alpha$ and $\gamma \in \beta$ by 3. Then $\gamma \in \gamma$ which contradicts the definition of an ordinal

□

Using lemma 1.10 one gets the following facts about ordinal numbers

1. $<$ is a linear ordering of the class Ord
 2. For each α , $\alpha = \{\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$
 3. If C is a nonempty class of ordinals, then $\bigcap C$ is an ordinal, $\bigcap C \in C$ and $\bigcap C = \inf C$
 4. If X is a nonempty set of ordinals, then $\bigcup X$ is an ordinal and $\bigcup X = \sup X$
 5. For every α , $\alpha \cup \{\alpha\}$ is an ordinal and $\alpha \cup \{\alpha\} = \inf\{\beta : \beta > \alpha\}$
- We thus define $\alpha + 1 = \alpha \cup \{\alpha\}$ (the **successor** of α)

Theorem 1.11. *Every well-ordered set is isomorphic to a unique ordinal number*

Proof. The uniqueness follows from lemma 1.6. Given a well-ordered set W , we find an isomorphic ordinal as follows: Define $F(x) = \alpha$ if α is isomorphic to the initial segment of W given by x . If such an α exists, then it's unique. By the replacement axiom, $F(W)$ is a set. For each $x \in W$, such an α exists. Otherwise consider the least x such that α doesn't exist. Let $\alpha = \sup\{F(x') \mid x' \in W \wedge x' < x\}$ and $F(x) = \alpha$. If γ is the least $\gamma \notin F(W)$, then $F(W) = \gamma$ and we have an isomorphism of W onto γ □

If $\alpha = \beta + 1$, then α is a **successor ordinal**. If α is not a successor ordinal then $\alpha = \sup\{\beta : \beta < \alpha\} = \bigcup \alpha$ is called a **limit ordinal**. We also consider 0 a limit ordinal and define $\sup \emptyset = 0$.

1.4 Induction and Recursion

Theorem 1.12 (Transfinite Induction). *Let C be a class of ordinals and assume*

1. $0 \in C$
2. if $\alpha \in C$, then $\alpha + 1 \in C$
3. if α is a nonzero limit ordinal and $\beta \in C$ for all $\beta < \alpha$, then $\alpha \in C$

Then C is the class of all ordinals

Proof. Otherwise let α be the least ordinal $\alpha \notin C$ and apply 1, 2 or 3 □

A function whose domain is the set \mathbb{N} is called an **{(infinite) sequence}**
(A **sequence** in X is a function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X$). The standard notation for a sequence is

$$\langle a_n : n < \omega \rangle$$

A **finite sequence** is a function s s.t. $\text{dom}(s) = \{i : i < n\}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
then s is a **sequence of length n**

A **transfinite sequence** is a function whose domain is an ordinal

$$\langle a_\xi : \xi < \alpha \rangle$$

It is also called an α -**sequence** or a **sequence of length α** . We also say that
a sequence $\langle a_\xi : \xi < \alpha \rangle$ is an **enumeration** of its range $\{a_\xi : \xi < \alpha\}$. If
 s is a sequence of length α , then $s^\frown x$ or simply sx denotes the sequence of
length $\alpha + 1$ that extends s and whose α th term is x :

$$s^\frown x = sx = s \cap \{(\alpha, x)\}$$

Theorem 1.13 (Transfinite Recursion). *Let G be a function, then 1 below defines
a unique function F on Ord s.t.*

$$F(\alpha) = G(F \upharpoonright \alpha)$$

for each α

In other words, if we let $a_\alpha = F(\alpha)$, then for each α

$$a_\alpha = G(\langle a_\xi : \xi < \alpha \rangle)$$

Corollary 1.14. *Let X be a set and θ be an ordinal number. For every function
 G on the set of all transfinite sequences in X of length $< \theta$ s.t. $\text{ran}(G) \subset X$ there
exists a unique θ -sequence in X s.t. $a_\alpha = G(\langle a_\xi : \xi < \alpha \rangle)$ for every $\alpha < \theta$*

Proof. Let

$$F(\alpha) = x \leftrightarrow \text{there is a sequence } \langle a_\xi : \xi < \alpha \rangle \text{ such that} \quad (1)$$

$$1. (\forall \xi < \alpha) a_\xi = G(\langle a_\eta : \eta < \xi \rangle)$$

$$2. x = G(\langle a_\xi : \xi < \alpha \rangle)$$

For every α , if there is an α -sequence that satisfying 1, then such a
sequence is unique. Thus $F(\alpha)$ is determined uniquely by 2 and therefore
 F is a function. □

Definition 1.15. Let $\alpha > 0$ be a limit ordinal and let $\langle \gamma_\xi : \xi < \alpha \rangle$ be a **nondecreasing** sequence of ordinals (i.e., $\xi < \eta$ implies $\gamma_\xi \leq \gamma_\eta$). We define the **limit** of the sequence by

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \alpha} \gamma_\xi = \sup\{\gamma_\xi : \xi < \alpha\}$$

A sequence of ordinals $\langle \gamma_\alpha : \alpha \in Ord \rangle$ is **normal** if it's increasing and **continuous**, i.e., for every limit α , $\gamma_\alpha = \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \alpha} \gamma_\xi$

1.5 Ordinal Arithmetic

Definition 1.16 (Addition). For all ordinal numbers α

1. $\alpha + 0 = \alpha$
2. $\alpha + (\beta + 1) = (\alpha + \beta) + 1$, for all β
3. $\alpha + \beta = \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \beta} (\alpha + \xi)$ for all limit $\beta > 0$

Definition 1.17 (Multiplication). For all ordinal numbers α

1. $\alpha \cdot 0 = 0$
2. $\alpha \cdot (\beta + 1) = (\alpha \cdot \beta) + \alpha$, for all β
3. $\alpha \cdot \beta = \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \beta} (\alpha \cdot \xi)$ for all limit $\beta > 0$

Definition 1.18 (Exponentiation). For all ordinal numbers α

1. $\alpha^0 = 1$
2. $\alpha^{\beta+1} = \alpha^\beta \cdot \alpha$, for all β
3. $\alpha^\beta = \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \beta} \alpha^\xi$ for all limit $\beta > 0$

Lemma 1.19. For all ordinals α, β and γ

1. $\alpha + (\beta + \gamma) = (\alpha + \beta) + \gamma$
2. $\alpha \cdot (\beta \cdot \gamma) = (\alpha \cdot \beta) \cdot \gamma$

Neither $+$ nor \cdot are commutative

$$1 + \omega = \omega \neq \omega + 1, \quad 2 \cdot \omega = \omega \neq \omega \cdot 2$$

Definition 1.20. Let $(A, <_A)$ and $(B, <_B)$ be disjoint linearly ordered sets. The **sum** of these linear orders is the set $A \cup B$ with the ordering defined as follows: $x < y$ if and only if

1. $x, y \in A$ and $x <_A y$
2. $x, y \in B$ and $x <_B y$
3. $x \in A$ and $y \in B$

Definition 1.21. Let $(A, <)$ and $(B, <)$ be linearly ordered sets. The **product** of these linear orders is the set $A \times B$ with the ordering defined by

$$(a_1, b_1) < (a_2, b_2) \Leftrightarrow b_1 < b_2 \text{ or } (b_1 = b_2 \wedge a_1 < a_2)$$

Lemma 1.22. For all ordinals α and β , $\alpha + \beta$ and $\alpha \cdot \beta$ are respectively isomorphic to the sum and to the product of α and β

Proof. Suppose $(A, <_A) \cong \alpha$ and $(B, <_B) \cong \beta$.

1. if $\beta = 0$, then $B = \emptyset$, $A \cup B = A$
2. if $(A \cup B, <_{A \cup B}) \cong \alpha + \beta$, let $B' = B \cup \{c\}$ s.t. $\{c\} \cap A = \{c\} \cap B = \emptyset$ all for all $b \in B$, $b < c$. Hence

$$\alpha + (\beta + 1) = (\alpha + \beta) + 1 \cong (A \cup B) \cup \{c\} = A \cup B'$$

3. if β is a limit ordinal and for all $\xi < \beta$ and $B_\xi \cong \xi$,
 $(A \cup B_\xi, <_{A \cup B_\xi}) \cong \alpha + \xi$,

$$A \cup B = A \cup \sup B_\xi = \sup(A \cup B_\xi) \cong \sup(\alpha + \xi) = \alpha + \beta$$

□

- Lemma 1.23.**
1. If $\beta < \gamma$ then $\alpha + \beta < \alpha + \gamma$
 2. If $\alpha < \beta$ then there exists a unique δ s.t. $\alpha + \delta = \beta$
 3. If $\beta < \gamma$ and $\alpha > 0$, then $\alpha \cdot \beta < \alpha \cdot \gamma$
 4. If $\alpha > 0$ and γ is arbitrary, then there exist a unique β and a unique $\rho < \alpha$ s.t. $\gamma = \alpha \cdot \beta + \rho$
 5. If $\beta < \gamma$ and $\alpha > 1$, then $\alpha^\beta < \alpha^\gamma$

Proof. 2. Let δ be the order-type of the set $\{\xi : \alpha \leq \xi < \beta\}$

4. Let β be the greatest ordinal s.t. $\alpha \cdot \beta \leq \gamma$

□

Theorem 1.24 (Cantor's Normal Form Theorem). Every ordinal $\alpha > 0$ can be represented uniquely in the form

$$\alpha = \omega^{\beta_1} \cdot k_1 + \cdots + \omega^{\beta_n} \cdot k_n$$

where $n \geq 1$, $\alpha \geq \beta_1 > \cdots > \beta_n$ and k_1, \dots, k_n are nonzero natural numbers.

Proof. By induction on α . For $\alpha = 1$ we have $1 = \omega^0 + 1$; for arbitrary $\alpha > 0$, let β be the greatest ordinal s.t. $\omega^\beta \leq \alpha$. The uniqueness of the normal form is proved by induction

□

1.6 Well-Founded Relations

A binary relation E on a set P is **well-founded** if every nonempty $X \subset P$ has an E -**minimal** element.

Given a well-founded relation E on a set P , we can define the **height** of E and assign to each $x \in P$ and ordinal number, the **rank** of x in E

Theorem 1.25. *If E is a well-founded relation on P , then there exists a unique function ρ from P into the ordinals s.t. for all $x \in P$*

$$\rho(x) = \sup\{\rho(y) + 1 : yEx\}$$

The range of ρ is an initial segment of the ordinals, thus an ordinal number. This ordinal is called the **height** of E

Proof. By induction, let

$$\begin{aligned} P_0 &= \emptyset \\ P_{\alpha+1} &= \{x \in P : \forall y(yEx \rightarrow y \in P_\alpha)\} \cup P_\alpha \\ P_\alpha &= \bigcup_{\xi < \alpha} P_\xi \text{ if } \alpha \text{ is a limit ordinal} \end{aligned}$$

Let θ be the least ordinal s.t. $P_{\theta+1} = P_\theta$. We claim that $P_\theta = P$ □

1.7 Exercise

1. Every normal sequence $\langle \gamma_\alpha : \alpha \in Ord \rangle$ has arbitrarily large **fixed points**, i.e., α s.t. $\gamma_\alpha = \alpha$

Proof. From StackExchange. □

A limit ordinal $\gamma > 0$ is called **indecomposable** if there exist no $\alpha < \gamma$ and $\beta < \gamma$ s.t. $\alpha + \beta = \gamma$

2. A limit ordinal $\gamma > 0$ is indecomposable if and only if $\alpha + \gamma = \gamma$ for all $\alpha < \gamma$ if and only if $\gamma = \omega^\alpha$ for some α

Proof. (a) (3) \rightarrow (1). Assume $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 < \gamma = \omega^\alpha$. By Cantor's normal form theorem, there exist α' and k s.t. $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 < \omega^{\alpha'} \cdot k$

(b) (2) \rightarrow (3). Assume that γ can't be written as ω^α . Then by Cantor's theorem, $\gamma = \omega^{\beta_1} \cdot k_1 + \dots + \omega^{\beta_n} \cdot k_n$. But then $\omega^{\beta_1} < \gamma$ and $\omega^{\beta_1} + \gamma > \gamma$

□

2 Models of Set - Sertraline

2.1 Some mathematical logic

Theorem 2.1 (Gödel's second incompleteness theorem). *If a consistent recursive axiom set T contains **ZFC**, then*

$$T \not\vdash \text{Con}(t)$$

*especially, **ZFC** $\not\vdash \text{Con}(\text{ZFC})$*

Definition 2.2. Suppose (M, E_M) and (N, E_N) are two models of set theory, then

1. if for any formula σ , $M \models \sigma$ if and only if $N \models \sigma$, then M and N are **elementary equivalent**, denoted by $M \equiv N$
2. If bijection $f : M \rightarrow N$ satisfies: for any $a, b \in M$, $a E_M b$ iff $f(a) E_N f(b)$, then $f : M \cong N$ is an **isomorphism**
3. If $M \subseteq N$ and $E_M = E_N \upharpoonright M$, then M is N 's submodel
4. If M is isomorphic to a submodel of N by injection f , and for any formula $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, for any $a_1, \dots, a_n \in M$, $M \models \varphi[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ iff $N \models \varphi[f(a_1), \dots, f(a_n)]$, then f is called an **elementary embedding** from M to N , written as $f : M \prec N$
5. If $M \subseteq N$ and $M \prec N$, then M is a **elementary submodel** of N

Lemma 2.3. Suppose $N \models \text{ZFC}$, $M \subseteq N$, then $M \prec N$ iff $\forall \varphi(x, x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $\forall (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in M$, if $\exists a \in N$ s.t. $N \models \varphi[a, a_1, \dots, a_n]$, then $\exists a \in M$ s.t. $M \models \varphi[a, a_1, \dots, a_n]$

Definition 2.4. Suppose $(M, E) \models \text{ZFC}$

1. $h_\varphi : M^n \rightarrow M$ is φ 's **Skolem function** if $\forall a_1, \dots, a_n \in M$, if $\exists a \in M$ s.t. $M \models \varphi[a, a_1, \dots, a_n]$, then $M \models \varphi[h_\varphi(a_1, \dots, a_n), a_1, \dots, a_n]$. requires **AC**
2. Let $\mathcal{H} = \{h_\varphi \mid \varphi \text{ is a formula on set theory}\}$. For any $S \subseteq M$, **Skolem hull** $\mathcal{H}(S)$ is the smallest set consisting of S and closed under \mathcal{H}

Lemma 2.5. $N \models \text{ZFC}$, $S \subseteq N$, if $M = \mathcal{H}(S)$, then $M \prec N$

Theorem 2.6 (Löwenheim-Skolem theorem). Suppose $N \models \text{ZFC}$ and is infinite, then there is a model M s.t. $|M| = \omega$ and $M \prec N$

2.2 Cumulative Hierarchy

This section works in ZF^- (a.k.a. **ZF** – axiom of foundation)

Definition 2.7. For any α , define sequence V_α

1. $V_0 = \emptyset$
 2. $V_{\alpha+1} = \mathcal{P}(V_\alpha)$
 3. For any limit ordinal λ , $V_\lambda = \bigcup_{\beta < \lambda} V_\beta$
- And $\mathbf{WF} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathbf{On}} V_\alpha$

Lemma 2.8. For any ordinal α

1. V_α is transitive
2. if $\xi \leq \alpha$, then $V_\xi \subseteq V_\alpha$
3. if κ is inaccessible cardinal, then $|V_\kappa| = \kappa$

Proof. 1. Obviously $\kappa \leq V_\kappa$. Since κ is inaccessible, then for any $\alpha < \kappa$, $|V_\alpha| < \kappa$. □

Definition 2.9. For any set $x \in \mathbf{WF}$,

$$\text{rank}(x) = \min\{\beta \mid x \in V_{\beta+1}\}$$

- Lemma 2.10.**
1. $V_\alpha = \{x \in \mathbf{WF} \mid \text{rank}(x) < \alpha\}$
 2. \mathbf{WF} is transitive
 3. For any $x, y \in \mathbf{WF}$, if $x \in y$, then $\text{rank}(x) < \text{rank}(y)$
 4. for any $y \in \mathbf{WF}$, $\text{rank}(y) = \sup\{\text{rank}(x) + 1 \mid x \in y\}$

Lemma 2.11. Suppose α is an ordinal

1. $\alpha \in \mathbf{WF}$ and $\text{rank}(\alpha) = \alpha$
2. $V_\alpha \cap \mathbf{On} = \alpha$

- Lemma 2.12.**
1. If $x \in \mathbf{WF}$, then $\bigcup x, \mathcal{P}(x), \{x\} \in \mathbf{WF}$, and their ranks are all less than $\text{rank}(x) + \omega$
 2. If $x, y \in \mathbf{WF}$, then $x \times y, x \cup y, x \cap y, \{x, y\}, (x, y), x^y \in \mathbf{WF}$, and their ranks are all less than $\text{rank}(x) + \text{rank}(y) + \omega$
 3. $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R} \in V_{\omega+\omega}$
 4. for any set x , $x \in \mathbf{WF}$ iff $x \subset \mathbf{WF}$

Lemma 2.13. Suppose AC

1. for any group G , there exists group $G' \cong G$ in \mathbf{WF}
2. for any topological space T , there exists $T' \cong T$ in \mathbf{WF}

Definition 2.14. Binary relation $<$ on set A is **well-founded** if for any nonempty $X \subseteq A$, X has minimal element under $<$

Theorem 2.15. *If $A \in \mathbf{WF}$, then \in is a well-founded relation on A*

Lemma 2.16. *If set A is transitive and \in is well-founded on A , then $A \in \mathbf{WF}$*

Lemma 2.17. *For any set x , there is a smallest transitive set $\text{trcl}(x)$ s.t. $x \subseteq \text{trcl}(x)$*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 &= x \\ x_{n+1} &= \bigcup x_n \\ \text{trcl}(x) &= \bigcup_{n < \omega} x_n \end{aligned}$$

□

$\text{trcl}(x)$ is called **transitive closure** of x

Lemma 2.18. *Without axiom of power set*

1. *if x is transitive, then $\text{trcl}(x) = x$*
2. *if $y \in x$, then $\text{trcl}(y) \subseteq \text{trcl}(x)$*
3. $\text{trcl}(x) = x \cup \bigcup \{\text{trcl}(y) \mid y \in x\}$

Theorem 2.19. *For any set X , the following are equivalent*

1. $X \in \mathbf{WF}$
2. $\text{trcl}(X) \in \mathbf{WF}$
3. \in is a well-founded relation on $\text{trcl}(X)$

Theorem 2.20. *The following propositions are equivalent*

1. *Axiom of foundation*
2. *For any set X , \in is a well-founded relation on X*
3. $V = \mathbf{WF}$

2.3 Relativization

Definition 2.21. Let \mathbf{M} be a class φ a formula, the **relativization** of φ to \mathbf{M} is $\varphi^{\mathbf{M}}$ defined inductively

$$\begin{aligned} (x \in y)^{\mathbf{M}} &\leftrightarrow x = y \\ (x \in y)^{\mathbf{M}} &\leftrightarrow x \in y \\ (\varphi \rightarrow \psi)^{\mathbf{M}} &\leftrightarrow \varphi^{\mathbf{M}} \rightarrow \psi^{\mathbf{M}} \\ (\neg \varphi)^{\mathbf{M}} &\leftrightarrow \neg \varphi^{\mathbf{M}} \\ (\forall x \varphi)^{\mathbf{M}} &\leftrightarrow (\forall x \in \mathbf{M}) \varphi^{\mathbf{M}} \end{aligned}$$

Note $\varphi^V = \varphi$ and

$$f^M = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) \in M \mid \varphi^M(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1})\}$$

Definition 2.22. For any theory T , any class M , $M \models T$ iff for any axiom φ of T , φ^M holds

Theorem 2.23 (ZF⁻). $WF \models ZF$

Proof. • **Axiom of existence**

$(\exists x(x = x))^M \leftrightarrow \exists x \in M (x = x)$, which is equivalent to M being nonempty

• **Axiom of extensionality**

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall X \forall Y \forall u ((u \in X \leftrightarrow u \in Y) \rightarrow X = Y)^M \leftrightarrow \\ & \forall X \in M \forall Y \in M \forall u \in M ((u \in X \leftrightarrow u \in Y) \rightarrow X = Y) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.24. If M is transitive, then axiom of extensionality holds in M

• **Axiom schema of specification**

$$\forall X \in M \exists Y \in M \forall u \in M (u \in Y \leftrightarrow u \in X \wedge \varphi^M(u))$$

Since for any $X \in WF$, $\mathcal{P}(X) \subseteq WF$

- **Axiom of paring**
- **Axiom of union**
- **Axiom of power set**

$$\forall X \in M \exists Y \in M \forall u \in M (u \in Y \leftrightarrow (u \subseteq X)^M)$$

and

$$(u \subseteq X)^M \leftrightarrow \forall x \in M (x \in u \rightarrow x \in X) \leftrightarrow u \cap M \subseteq X$$

- **Axiom of foundation**
- **Axiom schema of replacement**

□

2.4 Absoluteness

Definition 2.25. For any formula $\psi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and any class M, N , $M \subseteq N$, if

$$\forall x_1 \dots \forall x_n \in M (\psi^M(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leftrightarrow \psi^N(x_1, \dots, x_n))$$

then $\psi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is **absolute** for M , cn. If $N = V$, then ψ is **absolute** for M

Lemma 2.26. Suppose $M \subseteq N$ and φ, ψ are formulas, then

1. if φ, ψ are absolute for M , then so are $\neg\varphi, \varphi \rightarrow \psi$
2. if φ doesn't contain any quantifiers, then φ is absolute for any M
3. if M, N are transitive and φ is absolute for them, then so are $\forall x \in y \varphi$

Definition 2.27. Δ_0 formula

1. $x = y, x \in y$ are Δ_0 formulas
2. if φ, ψ are Δ_0 , then so are $\neg\varphi, \varphi \rightarrow \psi$
3. if φ is Δ_0 , y is any set, then $(\forall x \in y)\varphi$ is Δ_0
If φ is Δ_0 , then $\exists x_1 \dots \exists x_n \varphi$ is Σ_1 formula, $\forall x_1 \dots \forall x_n \varphi$ is Π_1

Lemma 2.28. $M \subseteq N$ are both transitive, $\psi(x_0, \dots, x_n)$ is a formula, then

1. if ψ is Δ_0 , then it's absolute for M , then
2. if ψ is Σ_1 , then

$$\forall x_1 \dots x_n (\psi^M(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow \psi^N(x_1, \dots, x_n))$$

3. if ψ is Π_1 , then

$$\forall x_1 \dots x_n (\psi^N(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow \psi^M(x_1, \dots, x_n))$$

Lemma 2.29. If $M \subseteq N$, $M \models \Sigma$, $N \models \Sigma$ and

$$\Sigma \vdash \forall x_1 \dots \forall x_n (\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leftrightarrow \psi(x_1, \dots, x_n))$$

then φ is absolute for M, N if and only if ψ is absolute for M, N

Definition 2.30. Suppose $M \subseteq N$, $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a function. f is **absolute** for M and N if and only if $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1})$ defining f is absolute.

Theorem 2.31. Following relations and functions can be defined in $\mathbf{ZF}^- - \text{Pow} - \text{Inf}$ and are equivalent to some Δ_0 formulas. So they are absolute for any transitive model M on $\mathbf{ZF}^- - \text{Pow} - \text{Inf}$

1. $x \in y$
2. $x = y$
3. $x \subset y$
4. $\{x, y\}$
5. $\{x\}$
6. (x, y)
7. \emptyset
8. $x \cup y$
9. $x - y$
10. $x \cap y$

11. x^+
12. x is a transitive set
13. $\bigcup x$
14. $\bigcap x$ ($\bigcap \emptyset = \emptyset$)

Lemma 2.32. *Absoluteness is closed under operation composition*

Theorem 2.33. *Following relations and functions are absolute for any transitive model \mathbf{M} on $\mathbf{ZF}^- - \text{Pow} - \text{Inf}$*

1. z is an ordered pair
2. $A \times B$
3. R is a relation
4. $\text{dom}(R)$
5. $\text{ran}(R)$
6. f is a function
7. $f(x)$
8. f is injective

2.5 Relative consistence of the axiom of foundation

Lemma 2.34. *Suppose transitive class $\mathbf{M} \models \mathbf{ZF}^- - \text{Pow} - \text{inf}$ and $\omega \in \mathbf{M}$, then the axiom of infinity is true in \mathbf{M} . Hence the axiom of infinity is true in \mathbf{WF}*

Theorem 2.35. *Let T be a theory of set theory language and Σ a set of sentences. Suppose \mathbf{M} is a class and $T \vdash \mathbf{M} \neq \emptyset$, then if $\mathbf{M} \models_T \Sigma$, then*

1. *for any sentences φ , if $\Sigma \vdash \varphi$, then $T \vdash \varphi^{\mathbf{M}}$*
2. *if T is consistent, then so is $\text{Cn}(\Sigma)$*

Theorem 2.36. *The axiom of foundation is consistent with \mathbf{ZF}^- .*

Proof. By 2.35, let T be \mathbf{ZF}^- , Σ be \mathbf{ZF} and \mathbf{M} be \mathbf{WF} □

Lemma 2.37 (\mathbf{ZF}^-). *Suppose transitive model $\mathbf{M} \models \mathbf{ZF}^- - \text{Pow} - \text{Inf}$. If $X, R \in \mathbf{M}$ and R is a well-order on X , then*

$$(R \text{ is a well-order on } X)^{\mathbf{M}}$$

Theorem 2.38 (\mathbf{ZF}^-). $V_\omega \models \mathbf{ZFC} - \text{Inf} + \neg \text{Inf}$

Proof. For any $X \in V_\omega$, X is finite hence there is a well-ordering on X □

Corollary 2.39. $\text{Con}\mathbf{ZF}^- \rightarrow \text{Con}\mathbf{ZFC} - \text{Inf} + \neg \text{Inf}$

2.6 Induction and recursion based on well-order relation

Definition 2.40. R is a well-founded relation on X if and only if

$$\forall U \subset X (U \neq \emptyset \rightarrow \exists y \in U (\neg \exists z \in U (zRy)))$$

Definition 2.41. Relation R is **set-like** on X iff for any $x \in X$, $\{y \in X \mid yRx\}$ is a set

Definition 2.42. If R is a set-like relation on X and $x \in X$, define

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pred}^0(X, x, R) &= \{y \in X \mid yRx\} \\ \text{pred}^{n+1}(X, x, R) &= \bigcup \{\text{pred}(X, y, R) \mid y \in \text{pred}^n(X, x, R)\} \\ \text{cl}(X, x, R) &= \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \text{pred}^n(X, x, R) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.43. If R is a set-like relation on X , then for any $y \in \text{cl}(X, x, R)$, $\text{pred}(X, y, R) \subseteq \text{cl}(X, x, R)$

Theorem 2.44 (Induction on well-founded set-like relation). If R is a well-founded set-like relation on X , then every nonempty $Y \subseteq X$ has minimal element under R

Theorem 2.45. Suppose R is a well-founded set-like relation on X . If $F : X \times V \rightarrow V$, then there is a unique $G : X \rightarrow V$ s.t.

$$\forall x \in X (G(x) = F(x, G \upharpoonright \text{pred}(X, x, R)))$$

Definition 2.46. If R is a set-like well-founded relation on X , define

$$\text{rank}(x, X, R) = \sup\{\text{rank}(y, X, R) + 1 \mid yRx \wedge y \in X\}$$

Note that

$$F(x, h) = \sup\{\alpha + 1 \mid \alpha \in \text{ran}(h)\}$$

Lemma 2.47 (ZF^-). If X is transitive and \in is well-founded on X , then $X \subseteq \text{WF}$ and for any $x \in X$, $\text{rank}(x, X, \in) = \text{rank}(x)$

Definition 2.48. R is a set-like well-founded relation on X , **Mostowski function** G on (X, R) is

$$G(x) = \{G(y) \mid y \in X \wedge yRx\}$$

$M = \text{ran}(G)$ is called the **Mostowski collapse** of (X, R)

- Lemma 2.49.**
1. $\forall x, y \in X (xRy \rightarrow G(x) \in G(y))$
 2. M is transitive
 3. If the axiom of power set holds, $M \subseteq WF$
 4. if the axiom of power set holds and $x \in X$, then $rank(x, X, R) = rank(G(x))$

Definition 2.50. R is extensional on X iff

$$\forall x, y \in X (\forall z \in X (zRx \leftrightarrow zRy) \rightarrow x = y)$$

Lemma 2.51. If X is transitive then \in is extensional on X

Lemma 2.52. Let R be a set-like well-founded relation on X , G is a Mostowski function on it. If R is extensional, then G is an isomorphism

Theorem 2.53 (Mostowski collapse theorem). Suppose R is set-like well-founded extensional on X , then there are unique transitive class M and bijection $G : X \rightarrow M$ s.t. $G : (X, R) \cong (M, \in)$

2.7 Absoluteness under the axiom of foundation

Theorem 2.54. The following relations and functions can be defined by formulas in $ZF - Pow$ and are equivalent to some Δ_0 formulas

1. x is an ordinal
2. x is a limit ordinal
3. x is a successor ordinal
4. ω
5. x is a finite ordinal
6. $0, 1, 2, \dots, 20, \dots$

Theorem 2.55. If transitive model $M \models ZF - Pow$, then every finite subset of M belongs to M

Proof. prove

$$\forall x \subset M (|x| = n \rightarrow x \in M)$$

□

Theorem 2.56. The following concepts are absolute for any transitive model of $ZF - Pow$

1. x is finite
2. X^n
3. $X^{<\omega}$

4. R is a well-ordering on X
5. $\text{type}(X, R)$
6. $\alpha + 1$
7. $\alpha - 1$
8. $\alpha + \beta$
9. $\alpha \cdot \beta$

Class \mathbf{X} is in fact a formula $\mathbf{X}(x)$. It's absolute for \mathbf{M} if and only if $\forall x \in \mathbf{M} (\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{M}}(x) \leftrightarrow \mathbf{X}(x))$, which is equivalent to $\{x \in \mathbf{M} \mid \mathbf{X}(x)\} = \{x \in \mathbf{M} \mid \mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{M}}(x)\}$. Hence \mathbf{X} is absolute for \mathbf{M} if and only if $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{M}} = \mathbf{M} \cap \mathbf{X}$

Theorem 2.57. Suppose \mathbf{R} is a well-founded set-like relation on \mathbf{X} , $\mathbf{F} : \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$,

$$\forall x \in \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{G}(x) = \mathbf{F}(x, \mathbf{G} \upharpoonright (\mathbf{X}, x, \mathbf{R})))$$

transitive model $\mathbf{M} \models \mathbf{ZF} - \text{Pow}$ and

1. \mathbf{F} is absolute for \mathbf{M}
2. \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{R} are absolute for \mathbf{M} , $(\mathbf{R} \text{ is set-like on } \mathbf{X})^{\mathbf{M}}$ and

$$\forall x \in \mathbf{M} (\text{pred}(\mathbf{X}, x, \mathbf{R}) \subseteq \mathbf{M})$$

then \mathbf{G} is absolute for \mathbf{M}

Theorem 2.58. The following concept is absolute for any transitive model of $\mathbf{ZF} - \text{Pow}$

1. α^β
2. $\text{rank}(x)$
3. $\text{trcl}(x)$

Lemma 2.59. transitive $\mathbf{M} \models \mathbf{ZF}$

1. if $x \in \mathbf{M}$, then $\mathcal{P}^{\mathbf{M}}(x) = \mathcal{P}(x) \cap \mathbf{M}$
2. if $\alpha \in \mathbf{M}$, then $V_\alpha^{\mathbf{M}} = V_\alpha \cap \mathbf{M}$

2.8 Unaccessible cardinal and models of ZFC

$$\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{ZF} - \text{Rep}, \mathbf{ZF}^- = \mathbf{ZFC} - \text{Rep}$$

Theorem 2.60. If $\gamma > \omega$ is a limit ordinal, then $V_\gamma \models_{\mathbf{ZF}} \mathbf{Z}$ and $V_\gamma \models_{\mathbf{ZFC}} \mathbf{ZC}$

Corollary 2.61. $V_{\omega+\omega}$ doesn't satisfies the axiom of replacement

Proof.

□

Theorem 2.62. $\mathbf{ZC} \not\models \exists x (x = V_\omega)$, $\mathbf{ZC} \not\models \forall x \exists y (\text{trcl}(x) = y)$

Theorem 2.63. *If κ is an inaccessible cardinal, then $V_\kappa \models_{ZF^-} ZF$,
 $V_\kappa \models_{ZFC^-} ZFC$*

Proof. Since κ is inaccessible, $|V_\kappa| = \kappa$. For any $A \in V_\kappa$, $|A| < \kappa$. Since κ is regular, any $f : A \rightarrow V_\kappa$ is bounded. Hence there exists $\alpha < \kappa$ s.t. $\text{ran}(f) \subseteq V_\alpha$ \square

Corollary 2.64. *We cannot prove "there is some inaccessible cardinals" in ZFC*

Proof. Suppose we could. Then we have $V_\kappa \models ZFC$, which contradicts Gödel's second incompleteness theorem \square

Lemma 2.65. *Suppose κ is inaccessible. The following concepts are absolute for V_κ*

1. x is a cardinal
2. x is a regular cardinal
3. x is an inaccessible cardinal

Lemma 2.66. $\text{Con}(ZFC) \rightarrow \text{Con}(ZFC + \text{"there is no inaccessible cardinal"})$

Proof. If κ is the smallest inaccessible cardinal, then
 $V_\kappa \models ZFC + \text{"there is no inaccessible cardinal"}$. Define

$$\mathbf{M} = \bigcap \{V_\kappa \mid \kappa \text{ is inaccessible}\}$$

\square

If there are, then $\mathbf{M} = V_\kappa$

Corollary 2.67. $\text{Con}(ZFC) \neg \rightarrow \text{Con}(ZFC + \text{"there are some inaccessible cardinals"})$

Definition 2.68. For any infinite cardinal κ , $H_\kappa = \{x \mid |\text{trcl}(x)| < \kappa\}$ is the collection of sets which **hereditarily have size less than κ** . Element of H_ω is called **hereditarily finite set**. Element of H_{ω_1} is called **hereditarily countable set**

Lemma 2.69. *For any infinite cardinal κ , $H_\kappa \subseteq V_\kappa$*

Lemma 2.70. *If κ is regular, then $H_\kappa = V_\kappa$ if and only if κ is inaccessible*

Proof. which implies $|V_\kappa| = \kappa$ \square

Lemma 2.71. *For any infinite cardinal κ*

1. H_κ is transitive
2. $H_\kappa \cap \mathbf{On} = \kappa$

3. If $x \in H_\kappa$, then $\bigcup x \in H_\kappa$
4. If $x, y \in H_\kappa$, then $\{x, y\} \in H_\kappa$
5. If $x \in H_\kappa, y \subseteq x$, then $y \in H_\kappa$
6. if κ is regular, then $\forall x (x \in H_\kappa \leftrightarrow x \subset H_\kappa \wedge |x| < \kappa)$

Theorem 2.72. If κ is uncountable regular cardinal, then $H_\kappa \models_{\text{ZFC}} \text{ZFC} - \text{Pow}$

Theorem 2.73. If κ is uncountable regular cardinal, then the following propositions are equivalent

1. $H_\kappa \models \text{ZFC}$
2. $H_\kappa = V_\kappa$
3. κ is inaccessible

Corollary 2.74. $\text{Con}(\text{ZFC}) \rightarrow \text{Con}(\text{ZFC} - \text{pow} + \forall x (x \text{ is countable}))$

2.9 Reflection theorem

Lemma 2.75. $M \subseteq N$ are classes. $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ is a sequence closed under subformula, then the following propositions are equivalent

1. $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ are absolute for M and N
2. if $\varphi_i = \exists \varphi_j(x, y_1, \dots, y_m)$, then

$$\forall y_1, \dots, y_m \in M (\exists x \in N \varphi_j^N(x, y_1, \dots, y_m) \rightarrow \exists x \in M \varphi_j^M(x, y_1, \dots, y_m))$$

Theorem 2.76 (reflection theorem(ZF)). For any finite formula set $F = \{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n\}$, for any V_α , there exists V_β s.t. $V_\alpha \subseteq V_\beta$ and $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ are absolute for V_β

Corollary 2.77 (ZF). $F = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n\}$ are finite subsets of ZF , then

$$\forall \alpha \exists \beta > \alpha (\sigma_1^{V_\beta} \wedge \dots \wedge \sigma_n^{V_\beta})$$

Corollary 2.78. $F = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n\}$ is a finite subset of ZF . Unless ZF is inconsistent, F cannot prove all axioms of ZF

Theorem 2.79 (ZFC). For any finite formula set $F = \{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n\}$, for any set N , there exists set M s.t.

1. $N \subseteq M$
2. $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ are absolute for (M, \in)
3. $|M| \leq |N| \cdot \omega$

Corollary 2.80 (ZFC). For any finite formula set $F = \{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n\}$, for any set N , there exists set M s.t.

1. $N \subseteq M$
2. $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ are absolute for (M, \in)
3. $|M| \leq |N| \cdot \omega$
4. M is transitive

3 Constructable Set - Venlafaxine

3.1 Definability and Gödel operation

Definition 3.1. M is a set, $\psi(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m)$ is a formula, $X \subseteq M^n$ is **definable in M from parameters from ψ** if and only if there are $y_1, \dots, y_m \in M$ s.t.

$$X = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid (\psi^M(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m))\}$$

$$\text{Def}(M) = \{X \subseteq M \mid \exists \psi, X \text{ is definable in } M \text{ from } \psi\}$$

Definition 3.2. Gödel operation

1. $G_1(X, Y) = \{X, Y\}$
2. $G_2(X, Y) = X \times Y$
3. $G_3(X, Y) = \in \restriction X \times Y$
4. $G_4(X, Y) = X - Y$
5. $G_5(X, Y) = X \cap Y$
6. $G_6(X, Y) = \bigcap X$
7. $G_7(X, Y) = \text{dom}(X)$
8. $G_8(X, Y) = \{(x, y) \mid (y, x) \in X\}$
9. $G_9(X, Y) = \{(x, y, z) \mid (x, z, y) \in X\}$
10. $G_{10}(X, Y) = \{(x, y, z) \mid (y, z, x) \in X\}$

Class C is closed under Gödel operation if for any $X, Y, X, Y \in C$ implies $G_i(X, Y) \in C$. For any set M , $\text{cl}_G(M)$ is the **closure under Gödel operation**

Definition 3.3. ψ is a **normal form** if

1. only \neg, \wedge, \exists are logical symbol
2. $=$ doesn't appear
3. if $x_i \in x_j$ then $i \neq j$
4. \exists only shown as: $\exists x_{m+1} \in x_i \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{m+1}), 1 \leq i \leq m$

Lemma 3.4. Any Δ_0 formula can be transformed into normal form

Theorem 3.5. For any Δ_0 formula $\psi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, there is Gödel operations' composition G s.t. for any X_1, \dots, X_n

$$G(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_1 \in X_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n \in X_n \wedge \psi(x_1, \dots, x_n)\}$$

Corollary 3.6. If M is transitive and $M = \text{cl}_G(M)$, then for any Δ_0 formula $\psi(x, y_1, \dots, y_m)$, any set $X \in M$, any $y_1, \dots, y_m \in M$ if

$$Y = \{x \in X \mid \psi(x, y_1, \dots, y_m)\}$$

then $Y \in M$. Hence Δ_0 schema of specification holds in M

Lemma 3.7. If $G(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is Gödel operations' composition, then $Z = G(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is equivalent to a Δ_0 formula

Theorem 3.8. For any transitive set M , $\text{Def}(M) = \text{cl}_G(M \cup \{M\}) \cap \mathcal{P}(M)$

Lemma 3.9. If transitive $\mathbf{M} \models \mathbf{ZF}$, then for any transitive set $M \in \mathbf{M}$, $\text{Def}(M)$ is absolute for \mathbf{M}

Lemma 3.10. For any transitive set M

1. $\text{Def}(M) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(M)$
2. $M \subseteq \text{Def}(M)$
3. for any $X \subseteq M$, if X is finite, then $X \in \text{Def}(M)$
4. assume **AC** and $|M| \geq \omega$, then $|\text{Def}(M)| = |M|$

3.2 Gödel's \mathbf{L}

Definition 3.11. for any α

1. $L_0 = \emptyset$
 2. $L_{\alpha+1} = \text{Def}(L_\alpha)$
 3. For any limit α , $L_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} L_\beta$
- $\mathbf{L} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathbf{On}} L_\alpha$. Element of \mathbf{GL} is called constructible set

Lemma 3.12. For any ordinal α

1. L_α is transitive
2. If $\alpha < \beta$, then $L_\alpha \subseteq L_\beta$
3. $L_\alpha \subseteq V_\alpha$

Definition 3.13. $x \in \mathbf{L}$

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbf{L}}(x) = \min\{\beta \mid x \in \mathbf{L}_{\beta+1}\}$$

Lemma 3.14. For any α

$$L_\alpha = \{x \in \mathbf{L} \mid \text{rank}_{\mathbf{L}}(x) < \alpha\}$$

Lemma 3.15. For any ordinal α

1. $L_\alpha \cap \mathbf{On} = \alpha$
2. $\alpha \in \mathbf{L} \cap \text{rank}_{\mathbf{L}}(\alpha) = \alpha$

Proof. since " α is a cardinal" is absolute for any transitive set.

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= L_\alpha \cap \mathbf{On} = \{\eta \in L_\alpha \mid \eta \text{ is an ordinal}\} \\ &= \{\eta \in L_\alpha \mid (\eta \text{ is an ordinal})^{L_\alpha}\} \in \text{Def}(L_\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.16. *for any ordinal α*

1. $L_\alpha \in L_{\alpha+1}$
2. *any finite subset of L_α belongs to $L_{\alpha+1}$*

Lemma 3.17. 1. $\forall n \in \omega (L_n = V_n)$

2. $L_\omega = V_\omega$

Lemma 3.18. *If AC, then for any $\alpha \geq \omega, |L_\alpha| = |\alpha|$*

Theorem 3.19. $L \models \mathbf{ZF}$

3.3 Axiom of constructibility and relativization

Theorem 3.20 (Axiom of constructibility). $V = L$

Lemma 3.21. *function $\alpha \mapsto L_\alpha$ is absolute for any transitive model of \mathbf{ZF}*

Theorem 3.22. $L \models \mathbf{ZF} + V = L$

Proof. $(V = L)^L$ is $\forall x \in L \exists \alpha \in L (x \in L_\alpha)^L$. By 3.21, $(x \in L_\alpha)^L \Leftrightarrow x \in L_\alpha$. Hence $L \models V = L$ \square

Hence

Theorem 3.23. $\text{Con}(\mathbf{ZF}) \rightarrow \text{Con}(\mathbf{ZF} + V = L)$

Theorem 3.24. *Suppose transitive proper class $M \models \mathbf{ZF} - \text{Pow}$, then*
 $L = L^M \subseteq M$

Proof. For any ordinal α , since M is proper, $M \not\subseteq V_\alpha$. Hence there is $x \in M$ s.t. $\text{rank}(x) \geq \alpha$. Since rank is absolute, $\text{rank}(x) \in M$. And M is transitive, hence $\alpha \in M$. By 3.21, $L_\alpha \in M$

$$\begin{aligned} L^M &= \{x \in M \mid (\exists \alpha \in \mathbf{On} (x \in L_\alpha))^M\} \\ &= \{x \mid \exists \alpha \in \mathbf{On} \cap M (x \in L_\alpha \cap M)\} \\ &= \{x \mid \exists \alpha \in \mathbf{On} (x \in L_\alpha)\} \\ &= L \end{aligned}$$

\square

Definition 3.25. If transitive model $M \models \mathbf{ZF}$ contains all ordinals, then it's an **inner model**

Lemma 3.26. *there is a finite set of axioms $\{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n\}$ of $\mathbf{ZF} - \text{Pow}$ s.t. ordinals, rank and L_α are absolute for any model of $\{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n\}$*

Lemma 3.27. *If set M is transitive, then $M \cap \mathbf{On}$ is a ordinal and is the least that doesn't belong to M , denoted by α^M*

Theorem 3.28. *There is a finite subset $\{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n\}$ of axioms of $\mathbf{ZF} - \text{Pow}$ satisfying*

$$\forall M (M \text{ is transitive} \wedge \psi_1^M \wedge \dots \wedge \psi_n^M \rightarrow (L_{\alpha^M} = \mathbf{L}^M \subseteq M))$$

Theorem 3.29. *There is a finite subset $\{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{n+1}\}$ of axioms of $\mathbf{ZF} - \text{Pow} + \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{L}$ satisfying*

1. *If \mathbf{M} is a transitive proper class and $\psi_1^{\mathbf{M}} \wedge \dots \wedge \psi_{n+1}^{\mathbf{M}}$, then $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{L}$*
2. *$\forall M (M \text{ is transitive} \wedge \psi_1^M \wedge \dots \wedge \psi_n^M \rightarrow (L_{\alpha^M} = M))$*

Theorem 3.30. *There is a well-ordering on \mathbf{L} . Hence $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{AC}$*

If $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{L}$, hence $\aleph_\alpha \subseteq L_{\aleph_{\alpha+1}}$. Because $|L_{\alpha_{\alpha+1}}| = \aleph_{\alpha+1}$, $2^{\aleph_\alpha} \leq \aleph_{\alpha+1}$

Theorem 3.31. *If $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{L}$, then for any infinite ordinal α , $\mathcal{P}(L_\alpha) \subseteq L_{|\alpha|^+}$*

Corollary 3.32 (ZF). $(\mathbf{AC} + \mathbf{GCH})^{\mathbf{L}}$

Theorem 3.33 (ZF). $\text{Con}(\mathbf{ZF}) \rightarrow \text{Con}(\mathbf{ZFC} + \mathbf{GCH})$

Theorem 3.34 (ZF). *Suppose $S_0 = \{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n\} \subseteq \mathbf{ZF} + \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{L}$, then*

$$\mathbf{ZF} \vdash \exists M (|M| = \omega \wedge M \text{ is transitive} \wedge (\psi_1^M \wedge \dots \wedge \psi_n^M))$$

Lemma 3.35. *Suppose $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{L}$. For any uncountable regular cardinal κ , $L_\kappa = H_\kappa$*

Corollary 3.36. *If κ is a uncountable regular cardinal, then $\kappa \models \mathbf{ZF} - \text{Pow} + \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{L}$. If κ is inaccessible, then $L_\kappa \models \mathbf{ZF} + \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{L}$*

4 The end

Learn and forget