

Logic and Game Theory

wu

May 17, 2019

Contents

1 Lec 2 An invitation to game theory	1
1.1 Analysis of Nim	2

1 Lec 2 An invitation to game theory

Every game has three main ingredients:

1. the set of players, $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$
2. the rule of game
3. **outcomes** or winning conditions

The game arena, that gives the rules of the game, can be envisaged as a finite graph

- vertices denote game positions
- edges correspond to moves
- each vertex is labelled by the player whose turn it is to move
- winning conditions are not present in the arena

Game tree: the tree unfolding of a game arena is **extensive form**

Consider two-person zero-sum games of perfect information. $N = \{1, 2\}$. $\Sigma = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m\}$ is a finite set of action symbols, representing move of players, common for both players. Such games are referred as **bipartisan games**

A **game arena** is a graph $\mathcal{G} = (W, \rightarrow, s_0)$ with $W = \bigcup_{i \in N} W^i \cup \{W^{\text{leaf}}\}$. For $i \in N$, W^i is the set of *game positions* for player i and W^{leaf} is the set of terminal game positions. s_0 is the initial node of the game. $\rightarrow: (W \times \Sigma) \rightarrow W$, is a partial function called the move function

If a player i owns the game position $s_0, s_0 \in W^i$ then she picks an action a' and moves to token to s' , $s_0 \xrightarrow{a} s'$ A play in *calg* is a path $\rho: s_0 a_0 s_1 a_1 \dots$ where $\forall j: s_j \xrightarrow{a_j} s_{j+1}$

let \mathcal{G}_t denote the tree unfolding of the arena \mathcal{G} . A **strategy** for player $i, \mu = (W_\mu, \rightarrow_\mu, s_0)$ is a maximal connected subtree of \mathcal{G}_t where for each player i node, there is a unique outgoing edge and for the other player every move is included. That is, for $s \in W_\mu$, if $s \in W_\mu^i$ then there exists a unique $a \in \Sigma$ s.t. $s \xrightarrow{a}_\mu s'$ where we have $s \xrightarrow{a}_T s'$. If $s \in W_\mu^j (j \neq i)$, then for each $s' \xrightarrow{a}_T s'$ s.t. $s \xrightarrow{a}_T s'$, we have $s \xrightarrow{a}_\mu s'$

A **strategy profile** is a pair $\langle \mu, \tau \rangle$ that fixes a strategy for each player. A **winning strategy** σ for player i if every strategy τ of the opponent i wins.

A win/lose game is **determined** if starting from any game position, one of the players has a winning strategy

Theorem 1.1. *In every **finite** extensive form game of perfect information, we can compute whether player i can win. (Zermelo 1913)*

Proof. Backward induction □

1.1 Analysis of Nim

Lemma 1.1. *For all $m, n \geq 0$, (m, n) is winning iff $m \neq n$*

Every finite extensive form game is of the form 0 or

$$g_1 + g_2 + \dots + g_m$$

0 is the empty game, where no player can make any move, g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m are subgames

If $g = g_1 + \dots + g_m, h = h_1 + \dots + h_m$, then

$$g + h = (g_1 + h) + \dots + (g_m + h) + (g + h_1) + \dots + (g + h_n)$$

When g is a subgame of h , we write $g \leq h$

$g_1 \equiv g_2$ if for all h , $g_1 + h$ is winning(losing) iff $g_2 + h$ is winning(losing).
 \equiv is an equivalence relation

Lemma 1.2 (The loser's lemma). *If g is losing then $g \equiv 0$*

Proof. 1. fix a losing game g

2. prove: for all h , $g + h$ is losing iff h is losing

□