

Measure Theory

Claudio Landim

January 30, 2020

Contents

| | | |
|----------|---|----------|
| 1 | Introduction: a non-measurable set | 2 |
| 2 | Classes of subsets | 3 |
| 3 | Set functions | 4 |

1 Introduction: a non-measurable set

Suppose we want a measure that satisfies:

0. $\lambda : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}$
1. $\lambda((a, b]) = b - a$
2. $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}, A + x = \{x + y : y \in A\}$

$$\forall A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda(A + x) = \lambda(A)$$

3. $A = \bigcup_{j \geq 1} A_j, A_j \cap A_k = \emptyset$

$$\lambda(A) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda(A_k)$$

Define $x \sim y$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ if $y - x \in \mathbb{Q}$. $\Lambda = \mathbb{R} / \sim$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$. Γ is uncountable since each equivalent class is countable.

By the **Axiom of Choice**, we have a $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ s.t. for each $[x] \in \mathbb{R} / \sim$, there is a $x \in [x]$ s.t. $x \in \Omega$. Hence we can assume $\Omega \subseteq (0, 1)$.

Claim: For $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}$, either $\Omega + p = \Omega + q$ or $\Omega + p \cap \Omega + q = \emptyset$.

Proof. Assume $(\Omega + p) \cap (\Omega + q) \neq \emptyset, x = \alpha + p = \beta + q$. Hence $\alpha - \beta = q - p \in \mathbb{Q}$, which implies $\alpha = \beta$. \square

Claim: $\Omega + q \subseteq (-1, 2)$ since $-1 < q < 1$.

In particular,

$$\bigcup_{\substack{q \in \mathbb{Q} \\ -1 < q < 1}} (\Omega + q) \subseteq (-1, 2)$$

Claim: If $E \subseteq F$, then $\lambda(E) \leq \lambda(F)$

Proof. $\lambda(F) = \lambda(E \cup (F - E)) = \lambda(E) + \lambda(F - E)$ \square

If $q \neq p$,

$$\lambda\left(\bigcup_{\substack{q \in \mathbb{Q} \\ -1 < q < 1}} (\Omega + q)\right) = \sum_{\substack{q \in \mathbb{Q} \\ -1 < q < 1}} \lambda(\Omega + q) = \sum_{\substack{q \in \mathbb{Q} \\ -1 < q < 1}} \lambda(\Omega) \leq \lambda((-1, 2)) = 3$$

Hence $\lambda(\Omega) = 0$

Claim: $(0, 1) \subseteq \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Q}, -1 < q < 1} (\Omega + q)$

Proof. Fix $x \in [0, 1], \exists \alpha \in [x] \cap \Omega$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Hence $\alpha - x = q \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then $x \in \Omega + q$ \square

Hence we have a contradiction and there is no such λ function.

2 Classes of subsets

Definition 2.1. For $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$, \mathcal{S} is a **semi-algebra** if

1. $\Omega \in \mathcal{S}$
2. If $A, B \in \mathcal{S}$, then $A \cap B \in \mathcal{S}$
3. For all $A \in \mathcal{S}$, there are $E_1, \dots, E_n \in \mathcal{S}$ s.t. $A^c = \sqcup E_j$

Example 2.1. If $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S} = & \mathbb{R} \cup \{(a, b] : a < b, a, b \in \mathbb{R}\} \\ & \cup \{(-\infty, b] : b \in \mathbb{R}\} \\ & \cup \{(a, \infty) : a \in \mathbb{R}\} \\ & \cup \emptyset \end{aligned}$$

then \mathcal{S} is a semi-algebra

Definition 2.2. Take $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$, \mathcal{A} is an **algebra** if

1. $\Omega \in \mathcal{A}$
2. If $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, then $A \cap B \in \mathcal{A}$
3. If $A \in \mathcal{A}$, then $A^c \in \mathcal{A}$

If \mathcal{A} is an algebra, then it is also semi-algebra.

Definition 2.3. $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ is a **σ -algebra** if

1. $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}$
2. If $A_j \in \mathcal{F}$ for $j \geq 1$, then $\bigcap_{j \geq 1} A_j \in \mathcal{F}$
3. If $A \in \mathcal{F}$, then $A^c \in \mathcal{F}$

Proposition 2.4. Suppose $\mathcal{A}_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$, \mathcal{A}_α is an (σ) -algebra, $\alpha \in I$. Then $\mathcal{A} = \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ is an (σ) -algebra

Definition 2.5. Suppose class $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$. An (σ) -algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ **generated by \mathcal{C}** is the smallest (σ) -algebra s.t. for any (σ) -algebra $\mathcal{B} \supseteq \mathcal{C}$ and \mathcal{B} is an (σ) -algebra, then $\mathcal{B} \supseteq \mathcal{A}$. Hence $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}) = \bigcap_{\alpha} \mathcal{A}_\alpha$

Lemma 2.6. Let \mathcal{S} be a semi-algebra and $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$. $A \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ iff there exists $1 \leq j \leq n, E_j \in \mathcal{S}$ s.t.

$$A = \sum_{j=1}^n E_j$$

Proof. Suppose $A = \sum_{j=1}^n E_j, E_j \in \mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$

- If $E, F \in \mathcal{A}$ then $E \cup F \in \mathcal{A}$ since $E \cup F = (E^c \cap F^c)^c$

Suppose $A \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$, let $\mathcal{B} = \{\sum_{j=1}^n F_j : F_j \in \mathcal{S}\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$

- \mathcal{B} is an algebra
- $\mathcal{B} \supseteq \mathcal{S}$

□

Definition 2.7. $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$, $\emptyset \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\mu : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}$, μ is **additive** if

1. $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$
2. $E_1, \dots, E_n \in \mathcal{C}$, $E = \sum_{j=1}^n E_j \in \mathcal{C}$, then $\mu(E) = \sum_{j=1}^n \mu(E_j)$

Remark. 1. Assume there is a set $A \in \mathcal{C}$, $\mu(A) < \infty$, then $A = A \cup \emptyset$ and $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$

2. If $E \subseteq F$ and $F - E \in \mathcal{C}$, if $\mu(E) = +\infty$, $F = E \cup (F - E)$, hence $\mu(F) = \mu(E) + \mu(F - E) = +\infty$. If $\mu(E) < \infty$, $\mu(F - E) = \mu(F) - \mu(E)$. We can conclude $\mu(E) \leq \mu(F)$

Example 2.2. Discrete measure. Suppose we have $\{X_j : j \geq 1\}$, $\{P_j : j \geq 1\}$ and $\mu(A) = \sum_j P_j 1\{X_j \in A\}$ (indicator function), then μ is additive

Definition 2.8. $\emptyset \in \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$, $\mu : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}$. μ is **σ -additive** if

1. $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$
2. $E = \sum_{j \geq 1} E_j \in \mathcal{C}$, $\mu(E) = \sum_{j \geq 1} \mu(E_j)$

Example 2.3. $\Omega = (0, 1)$, $\mathcal{C} = \{(a, b] : 0 \leq a < b < 1\}$

$$\mu((a, b]) = \begin{cases} +\infty & a = 0 \\ b - a & b > a \end{cases}$$

$(a, b] = \sum_{j=1}^n (a_j, b_j]$. μ is additive but not sigma-additive. If x_j converges to 0, $x_0 = 1/2$, then $\mu((0, 1/2]) = +\infty$, $\mu(\sum_{j \geq 1} (x_{j+1}, x_j]) = 1/2$

3 Set functions

Definition 3.1. 1. μ is **continuous from below** at E if for all $(E_n)_{n \geq 1}$,

$$E_n \in \mathcal{C}, E_n \subseteq E_{n+1}, \bigcup_{n \geq 1} E_n = E, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(E_n) = \mu(E)$$

2. μ is **continuous from above** at E if $E_n \supseteq E_{n+1}$, $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} E_n = E$, $\exists n$ $\mu(E_{n_0}) < \infty$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(E_n) = \mu(E)$

Lemma 3.2. Algebra $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$, $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}$ additive, then

1. If μ is σ -additive, then μ is continuous at E for all $E \in \mathcal{A}$
2. If μ is continuous from below, then μ is σ -additive
3. If μ is continuous from above at \emptyset and μ is finite, then μ is σ -additive

Proof. 1. Suppose $E_n \uparrow E$, define $F_1 = E_1, F_2 = E_2 - E_1, \dots, F_n = E_n - E_{n-1}$, then $\bigcup E_n = \sum F_n = E, \mu(E) = \sum \mu(F_k) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu(F_k) = \lim \mu(\sum F_k) = \lim \mu(E_n)$
 Suppose $E, E_n \in \mathcal{A}, E_n \downarrow E, \mu(E_{n_0}) < \infty$. Define $G_1 = E_{n_0} - E_{n_0+1}, G_2 = E_{n_0} - E_{n_0+2}, \dots, G_k = E_{n_0} - E_{n_0+k}$, we know $G_k \uparrow E_{n_0} - E$. By the first part, $\mu(G_k) \uparrow \mu(E_{n_0} - E)$. $\mu(E_{n_0} - E) = \mu(E_{n_0}) - \mu(E) = \lim_k \mu(E_{n_0} - E_{n_0+k}) = \lim_k (\mu(E_{n_0}) - \mu(E_{n_0+k}))$
 2. $\sum_{k=1}^n E_k \subseteq E, \mu(\sum E_k) \leq \mu(E), \sum (\mu(E_k)) \leq \mu(E)$, hence $\sum \mu(E_k) \leq \mu(E)$. Let $F_n = \sum_{k=1}^n E_k \in \mathcal{A}, F_n \uparrow E$. σ -additivity follows.
 3. $F_n = \sum_{k \geq n} E_k$. $\mu(E) = \mu(\sum_{k=1}^n E_k \cup \sum_{k > n} E_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n \mu(E_k) + \mu(F_{n+1})$
 converges to $\sum_{k \geq 1} \mu(E_k)$

□

Example 3.1. $(a, b], 0 \leq a < b < 1$,

$$\mu((a, b]) = \begin{cases} b - a & a > 0 \\ +\infty & a = 0 \end{cases}$$

Take $E_n \downarrow \emptyset, \mu$ won't be finite in some cases

Theorem 3.3. Suppose we have a semi-algebra $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$, μ is additive, there is a ν s.t. $\nu : \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}$

1. ν is additive
2. $\nu(A) = \mu(A)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{S}$
3. If $\mu_1, \mu_2 : \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}, \forall A \in \mathcal{S}, \mu_1(A) = \mu_2(A)$, then $\forall E \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S}), \mu_1(E) = \mu_2(E)$
4. ν is σ -additive

Proof. If $A \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$, then $A = \sum_{j=1}^n E_j, E_j \in \mathcal{S}$. $\nu(A) = \sum_{j=1}^n \nu(E_j) = \sum_{j=1}^n \mu(E_j)$

1. ν is well-defined

If $A = \sum_{k=1}^m F_k, F_k \in \mathcal{S}, E_j = E_j \cap \sum_{k=1}^m F_k = \sum_{k=1}^m E_j \cap F_k$ and $\mu(E_j) = \sum_{k=1}^m \mu(E_j \cap F_k)$. Hence $\nu(A) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \mu(E_j \cap F_k)$

2. ν is additive

3. unique

4. $A = \sum_{j \geq 1} A_j, A, A_j \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S}), A = \sum_{j=1}^n E_j, E_j \in \mathcal{S}, A_k = \sum_{l=1}^{m_k} E_{k,l} \in \mathcal{S}.$

$$\begin{aligned} E_j &= E_j \cap A = E_j \cap \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} A_k \right) \\ &= E_j \cap \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{l=1}^{m_k} E_{k,l} \right) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{l=1}^{m_k} E_j \cap E_{k,l} \end{aligned}$$

$$\mu(E_j) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{l=1}^{m_k} \mu(E_j \cap E_{k,l}) \text{ and } \nu(A) = \sum_{j=1}^n \mu(E_j) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{l=1}^{m_k} \mu(E_j \cap E_{k,l}).$$

$$E_{k,l} = E_{k,l} \cap A = \sum_{j=1}^n E_{k,l} \cap E_j$$

$$\mu(E_{k,l}) = \sum_{j=1}^n \mu(E_{k,l} \cap E_j). \text{ Finish.}$$

□