CS306 Group Project – Step 3 Group Number 16

Berke Ceylan 27895

İsmail Çakmak 29496

Nisa Erdal 28943

Salih Kaya 27890

Yunus Topçu 28880

Can be accessed at https://github.com/Salihmaya/CS306 Group16

Tobacco Consumption: Causes and Effects

Introduction

In Step 3 of the project, further relationships between the datasets and meaningful ways of storing these relationships were pursued. As illustrated in detail below, datasets were utilized for creating views to highlight significant data points that differed from the rest of the entries. These views were then combined using JOIN and EXCEPT statements to test where two views could jointly give insight. Views were further utilized to write IN / EXISTS for comparison between two views and Aggregate operators, namely (SUM, AVG, COUNT, MIN, MAX) were practiced, exploring the datasets even further.

In order to make the current tables more practical and increase future usability, logical Constraints on table inserts and appropriate Triggers for insertion / updates were added. Stored Procedures were also created to provide practical access to the tables that would output related data entries for a given country iso_code.

Views

Datasets were compared to their respective averages and views were created for:

Death Rates that were **lower than the average**:

```
7 lines (6 sloc) 247 Bytes

1 CREATE VIEW low_death_rate_smoking AS
2 SELECT c.country_name, d.year
3 FROM death_rate_smoking d
4 JOIN countries c ON d.iso_code = c.iso_code
5 WHERE d.death_rate < (SELECT AVG(death_rate) FROM death_rate_smoking);
6
7 SELECT * FROM low_death_rate_smoking;
```

And Lung Cancer Deaths, Public Health Expenditures, Smoking Quit Helps and Advertisement Bans that were **greater than the average**:

Joins and Set Operations

To further explore these datasets, these views were joined where it was logically applicable to do so, creating groups of two:

EXCEPT and OUTER JOIN were used interchangeably in order to illustrate that they can be used to give the same results.

High Lung Cancer Deaths vs High Advertisement Bans

• Low Death Rate vs High Lung Cancer Deaths

```
TREATE TABLE low_eath_rate_vs_high_lung_cancer_leftjoin (

CREATE TABLE low_eath_rate_vs_high_lung_cancer_leftjoin (

country_name VARCHAR(59),

year_INT

Nisher_INTO low_eath_rate_vs_high_lung_cancer_leftjoin (country_name, year)

ENCERT INTO low_eath_rate_smoking

Nishel_lung_cancer_deaths.country_name, high_lung_cancer_deaths.year

FROM high_lung_cancer_deaths.country_name = low_death_rate_smoking.country_name

AND high_lung_cancer_deaths.country_name = low_death_rate_smoking.country_name

REATE TABLE low_eath_rate_smoking.country_name IS NULL;

CREATE TABLE low_eath_rate_ws_high_lung_cancer_except (

country_name VARCHAR(59),

year_INT

);

INSERT INTO low_eath_rate_vs_high_lung_cancer_except (country_name, year)

FROM high_lung_cancer_deaths

EXCEPT

SELECT country_name, year

FROM high_lung_cancer_deaths

EXCEPT

SELECT country_name, year

FROM low_death_rate_smoking
```

• High Health Expenditure vs High Lung Cancer Deaths

• High Quit Help vs Low Death Rate

• High Advertisement Ban vs Low Death Rate

IN and EXISTS Statements

For the previously created views, statements that would result in the same outputs were created to showcase and practice that IN and EXISTS statements can be used interchangeably in this context.

Following are the practices on various views:

Smoking Death Rate View

High Lung Cancer Deaths and High Expenditure Years View

High Lung Cancer Deaths and High Advertisement Bans View

High Advertisement Bans and Low Smoking Death Rate View

High Smoking Quit Help and Low Smoking Death Rate View

It has been concluded that IN and EXISTS statements can indeed be used interchangeably and the outputs for the SELECT statements are the same for each view.

Aggregate Operators

In order to practice with the aggregate operators which were chosen as:

- SUM
- AVG
- COUNT
- MIN
- MAX

Previously created views were explored to practice with these statements and each were used at least once in the following code examples, whereas in some cases a combination of them were used.

COUNT Operator on Smoking Death Rate and Lung Cancer Deaths

```
13 lines (5 sloc) 404 Bytes

Raw Blame 

-- finds the lung cancer death rates and death rate smoking of the countries results to only include groups with a only death_rates highger than 40.

SELECT COUNT(DISTINCT d.iso_code, d.year) AS common_columns

FROM death_rate_smoking AS d

5 JOIN lung_cancer_deaths AS 1 ON d.iso_code = 1.iso_code AND d.year = 1.year

6 WHERE d.death_rate > 40 AND (1.male_death_rate > 40 OR 1.female_death_rate > 40);

7

8

9

10

11

12

13
```

MIN Operator on Lung Cancer Deaths and Advertisement Bans

```
14 lines (13 sloc) 476 Bytes

1 -- finds the lung cancer death rates of the countries with minimum advertisement bans in a specific year

2 
3 SELECT lc.iso_code, lc.year, lc.male_death_rate, lc.female_death_rate

4 FROM lung_cancer_deaths lc

5 INNER JOIN (

6 SELECT ca.iso_code, ca.year

7 FROM cigarette_advertisements ca

8 GROUP BY ca.iso_code, ca.year

9 HAVING MIN(ca.ban_indicator) = (

10 SELECT INN(ban_indicator)

11 FROM cigarette_advertisements

12 )

13 ) AS ca

14 ON lc.iso_code = ca.iso_code AND lc.year = ca.year;
```

MAX Operator on Advertisement Bans and Smoking Death Rate

AVG Operator on Smoking Quit Help and Low Smoking Death Rate

```
7 lines (7 sloc) 434 Bytes

1 -- AVG Statement was used to select the help indicator values bigger than the average

2 SELECT q.iso_code, q.year, AVG(q.help_indicator) AS avg_help_indicator

3 FROM smoking_quit_help q

4 LEFT JOIN low_death_rate_smoking d ON q.iso_code = (SELECT iso_code FROM countries WHERE country_name = d.country_name) AND q.year = d.year

5 GROUP BY q.iso_code, q.year

6 HAVING AVG(q.help_indicator) > (Select AVG(help_indicator)

7 from smoking_quit_help);
```

SUM and AVG Operators on Public Health Expenditure

```
6 lines (6 sloc) 364 Bytes

1 SELECT he.year, SLM(he.expenditure_pc_gdp) AS total_expenditure_pc_gdp, AVG(he.expenditure_pc_gdp) AS avg_expenditure_pc_gdp

2 FROM public_health_expenditure he

3 INNER JOIN high_expenditure_years hey ON

4 he.year = hey.year AND he.iso_code = (SELECT iso_code FROM countries WHERE country_name = hey.country_name)

5 GROUP BY he.year

6 HAVING COUNT(he.iso_code) > 1;
```

Hence all operators were used on both individual views and their combinations in this step.

Constraints and Triggers

To ensure that any future alterations & insertions to the tables would comply with the current format of the data entries:

- Constraints regarding the respective attributes were added
- Triggers were added for the cases:
 - o Before Insertion to the Table
 - o Before Update to the Table

Following are the constraints and triggers created for each table:

Smoking Death Rate

Lung Cancer Deaths

```
ADD CONSTRAINT female_death_rate_check CHECK (female_death_rate >= 0 AND female_death_rate <= 100);
-- insertion check
INSERT INTO lung_cancer_deaths(iso_code, year, male_death_rate, female_death_rate)
VALUES ('XXX', 2023, -5, 140);
CREATE TRIGGER before_insert_male
BEFORE INSERT ON lung_cancer_deaths
    SET NEW.male_death_rate = 0;
ELSEIF NEW.male_death_rate > 100 THEN
SET NEW.male_death_rate = 100;
 CREATE TRIGGER before_insert_female
BEFORE INSERT ON lung_cancer_deaths
   SET NEW.female_death_rate = 0;
ELSEIF NEW.female_death_rate > 100 THEN
SET NEW.female_death_rate > 100;
END IF;
DELIMITER //
CREATE TRIGGER before_update_male
BEFORE UPDATE ON lung_cancer_deaths
FOR EACH ROW
  IF NEW.male_death_rate < 0 THEN
SET NEW.male_death_rate = 0;
ELSEIF NEW.male_death_rate > 100 THEN
SET NEW.male_death_rate = 100;
END IF;
DELIMITER //
CREATE TRIGGER before_update_female
BEFORE UPDATE ON lung_cancer_deaths
  ST NEW. Female_death_rate < 0 THEN
SET NEW. Female_death_rate = 0;
ELSEIF NEW. Female_death_rate > 100 THEN
SET NEW. Female_death_rate = 100;
END IF;
```

Smoking Quit Help

Public Health Expenditure

Advertisement Bans

Following the creation of these constraints and triggers, correct & incorrect insertions / updates were performed on the tables as shown in the log files.

Comparison of General Constraints and Triggers

Creating general constraints and creating triggers are two different methods of enforcing data integrity in a database. Both methods have their pros and cons, and understanding these differences can help determine which approach is best suited for a particular situation.

Pros and Cons of General Constraints:

Pros:

- Enforces data integrity rules directly within the table schema, making them easy to understand and maintain.
- · Simpler to implement than triggers, as they are declarative and do not require procedural logic.
- · Improved performance compared to triggers, as constraints are evaluated by the database engine at the time of data manipulation.

Cons:

- · Limited in complexity and flexibility, as they can only enforce simple validation rules and cannot perform complex logic.
- Cannot reference other tables or columns, limiting their ability to enforce referential integrity.
- · Unable to perform custom error handling, resulting in generic error messages.

Pros and Cons of Triggers:

Pros:

- Highly flexible and powerful, as they can contain complex procedural logic and reference other tables or columns.
- · Can perform custom error handling, allowing for more informative error messages.
- · Can be used to enforce referential integrity and maintain relationships between tables.

Cons:

- · More difficult to understand and maintain than general constraints, as they require procedural logic and may be stored separately from the table schema.
- · Can negatively impact performance, as triggers are executed by the database engine for each affected row during data manipulation.
- Triggers may introduce unintended side effects, as they can be triggered by cascading actions from other tables.

In conclusion, general constraints are well-suited for enforcing simple data validation rules and offer better performance, while triggers provide more flexibility and power for complex data integrity scenarios. When choosing between the two, it is essential to weigh the pros and cons and consider the specific requirements of the data integrity rules being enforced.

Stored Procedures

To further improve the future functionality of the database(s), stored procedures were created for each table so that for a given iso_code input, related information can be obtained from each and every table without the need to reproduce the statements repetitively.

For practice, one of the stored procedures was created to take an integer input, denoting the "ban indicators" for the Advertisement Ban dataset.

Following are the stored procedure implementations for the tables:

Smoking Death Rate

Public Health Expenditure

Lung Cancer Deaths

Smoking Quit Help

Advertisement Ban

Conclusion

Throughout this project step, our team has successfully explored the relationships between various datasets related to tobacco consumption and its effects, delving deep into the intricacies of the data to uncover meaningful patterns, trends, and correlations. We have demonstrated our SQL skills by creating views, using joins and set operators, writing nested SELECT statements with IN and EXISTS operators, and applying aggregate operators to analyze the data. Furthermore, we have also effectively utilized constraints, triggers, and stored procedures to ensure the integrity, practicality, and maintainability of our database schema.

Our approach to the simple data analysis performed in this step has enabled us to extract valuable insights and expand our understanding of the complex dynamics surrounding tobacco consumption and its public health implications.