# Problem 1

```
R(A, B, C, D, E, F)
F = \{
FD1: A \rightarrow BC,
FD2: C \rightarrow AD,
FD3: DE \rightarrow F
```

## Part A

```
Decomposition(FD1)

= FD4: A \rightarrow B

Decomposition(FD2)

= FD5: C \rightarrow A

Transitivity(FD5, FD4)

= FD6: C \rightarrow B
```

### Part B

```
Decomposition(FD1)

= FD7: A \rightarrow C

Decomposition(FD2)

= FD8: C \rightarrow D

Transitivity(FD7, FD8)

= FD9: A \rightarrow D

PseudoTransitivity(FD9, FD3)

= FD10: AE \rightarrow F
```

# Problem 2

```
function ComputeAttrClosure(X, F) X^+ := X; repeat unitl X^+ has not changed foreach FD in F if FD Y \rightarrow Z such that (i) Y is a subset of X^+, and (ii) Z is not a subset of X^+ do X^+ := X^+ \cup Z return X^+;
```

# Part B

 $X = \{A\}$ 

```
X = \{C, E\}
X^{+} = ComputeAttrClosure(X, F)
= \{C, E, A, D, F, B\}
= \{A, B, C, D, E, F\}
```

 $X^+$  = ComputeAttrClosure(X, F)

 $= \{A, B, C, D\}$ 

## Problem 3

```
R(A, B, C, D, E, F)
F = \{
FD1: AB \rightarrow CDEF,
FD2: E \rightarrow F,
FD3: D \rightarrow B
\}
```

#### Part A

import ComputeAttrClosure from "Problem 2"

#### Observations:

- A is only available on the left-hand side
  - → Must be part of a candidate key (and a superkey)
- C and F are only available on the right-hand side
  - → Cannot be part of a candidate key

Start with the largest set containing all attributes that must be part of a candidate key, as well as all attributes that can be part of a candidate key:

```
X = {A, B, D, E}

X<sup>+</sup> = ComputeAttrClosure(X, F)

= {A, B, D, E, C, F}

# superkey
```

Repeat for all permutations of superkeys with one of the non-mandatory attributes removed:

```
\rightarrow {A, B, D}, {A, B, E}, {A, D, E}
```

Repeat for all permutations of superkeys with one of the non-mandatory attributes removed:

$$\rightarrow$$
 {A, B}, {A, D}, {A, E}

Repeat for all permutations of superkeys with one of the non-mandatory attributes removed:

 $\rightarrow \{A\}$ 

#### Resulting candidate keys:

$$\rightarrow$$
 {A, B}, {A, D}

#### Part B

FD2 and FD3, since the left-hand sides are not superkeys (a superkey must be a superset of a candidate key).

#### Part C

- Let X → Y be the FD that violates BCNF in a relation schema R
- Replace R by two new relation schemas R1 and R2 constructed as follows
- Create R1 with all the attributes in X and in Y
- Create R2 from R by removing all attributes that are in Y and not in X
- Let R be a relation schema with a set F of FDs
- Let R1, R2, ..., Rn be a decomposition of R
- For every Ri we call the set of all FDs in F<sup>+</sup> that mention only attributes from Ri the restriction of F to R i
- Then, the decomposition is dependency preserving if for the restrictions F1, F2, ..., Fn of F to R1, R2, ..., Rn it holds that:

```
(F1 U F2 U ... U Fn)^{+} = F^{+}
```

First we decomposite R on the violating dependency FD2:

```
R1(E, F)

FD2: E \rightarrow F

Candidate keys: {E}

# BCNF

R2(A, B, C, D, E)

Decomposition(FD1)

= FD4: AB \rightarrow CDE

FD3: D \rightarrow B

Candidate keys: {A, B}, {A, D}

# not BCNF
```

Then we decomposite R2 on the violating dependency FD3:

```
R2A(D, B)
FD3: D → B
Candidate keys: {D}
# BCNF

R2B(A, C, D, E)
PseudoTransitivity(FD3, FD1)
= FD5: AD → CEF
Candidate keys: {A, D}
# BCNF
```

```
R(A, B, C, D, E, F)
F = \{ FD1: AB \rightarrow CDEF, FD2: E \rightarrow F, FD3: D \rightarrow B \}
```

Candidate keys: {A, B}, {A, D}

Lastly, we verify that the functional dependencies are preserved:

Since **B** is only on the right hand side, we can conclude that we cannot use **B** to deduce any other attributes. Hence, we do not have dependency preservation.

## Problem 4

```
R(A, B, C, D, E)
F = \{
FD1: ABC \rightarrow DE,
FD2: BCD \rightarrow AE,
FD3: C \rightarrow D
\}
```

#### Part A

import ComputeAttrClosure from "Problem 2"

Observations:

- B and C are only available on the left-hand side
  - → Must be part of a candidate key (and a superkey)
- E is only available on the right-hand side
  - → Cannot be part of a candidate key

Start with the largest set containing all attributes that must be part of a candidate key, as well as all attributes that can be part of a candidate key:

Repeat for all permutations of superkeys with one of the non-mandatory attributes removed:

```
\rightarrow \{A,\,B,\,D\},\,\{A,\,B,\,E\}\;,\,\{A,\,D,\,E\}
```

```
X = \{B, C, A\}

X^+ = ComputeAttrClosure(X, F)

= \{B, C, A, D, E\}
```

Repeat for all permutations of superkeys with one of the non-mandatory attributes removed:

```
X = {B, C}
```

 $\rightarrow$  {A, B, D}, {A, B, E}, {A, D, E}

```
X = {B, C}

X<sup>+</sup> = ComputeAttrClosure(X, F)

= {B, C, D, A, E}

# superkey
```

#### Resulting candidate keys:

```
\rightarrow {B, C}
```

FD3 violates BCNF, since the left-hand side is not a superkey (a superkey must be a superset of a candidate key).

#### Part B

 Let X → Y be the FD that violates BCNF in a relation schema R

Replace R by two new relation schemas R1 and R2 constructed as follows

Create R1 with all the attributes in X and in Y

 Create R2 from R by removing all attributes that are in Y and not in X

Let R be a relation schema with a set F of FDs

- Let R1, R2, ..., Rn be a decomposition of R
- For every Ri we call the set of all FDs in F<sup>+</sup> that mention only attributes from Ri the restriction of F to R i
- Then, the decomposition is dependency preserving if for the restrictions F1, F2, ..., Fn of F to R1, R2, ..., Rn it holds that:

```
(F1 U F2 U ... U Fn)^{+} = F^{+}
```

First we decomposite R on the violating dependency FD3:

```
R1(C, D)

FD3: C \rightarrow D

Candidate keys: {C}

# BCNF
```

R(A, B, C, D, E)

2018-04-19

```
F = \{ \\ FD1: ABC \rightarrow DE, \\ FD2: BCD \rightarrow AE, \\ FD3: C \rightarrow D \\ \}
```

Candidate keys:

{B, C}

```
R2(A, B, C, E)
PsuedoTransitivity(FD3, FD2)
= FD4: BC → AE
Candidate keys: {B, C}
# BCNF
```

Lastly, we verify that the functional dependencies are preserved:

```
F1 U F2 = {
    \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{D}
    \mathrm{BC} \to \mathrm{AE}
} # where Fn is the functional dependencies of Rn

Trivially, <superset of BC> \to AE, hence:
    \mathbf{BCD} \to \mathbf{AE}

Trivially, BC \to C

Transitivity(BC \to C, C \to D)
= BC \to D

Union(BC \to D, BC \to AE)
= BC \to ADE

Decomposition(BC \to ADE)
= BC \to DE

Trivially, <superset of BC> \to DE, hence:
    \mathbf{ABC} \to \mathbf{DE}
```

Since we have  $\{C \to D, BCD \to AE \text{ and } ABC \to DE\}$ , the original FD's in R's F, it follows that the functional dependencies are preserved.