FINM 32000: Homework 5

Due Friday May 3, 2024 at 11:59pm

Problem 1

Let r be the constant interest rate. Let $0 < T_1 < T_2$.

(a) Let F_t be the time-t forward price for T_2 -delivery of some arbitrary underlying S, not necessarily tradeable. Recall from FINM 33000, that a forward price is not the same thing as the value of a forward contract. By definition of the time-t forward price F_t for T_2 -delivery:

a forward contract paying $S_{T_2} - F_t$ at time T_2 has time-t value 0.

Let f_t be the time-t value of a T_2 -forward contract on the same underlying, but with some delivery price K (not necessarily equal to F_t).

Express f_t in terms of K and F_t and a discount factor.

Hint: consider a portfolio long one (K, T_2) -forward contract and short one (F_t, T_2) -forward contract. The portfolio has (in terms of f_t) what value at time t? The portfolio pays how much at expiration?

(b) If S is a *stock* paying no dividends, the forward price must be $F_t = S_t e^{r(T_2 - t)}$; otherwise, arbitrage would exist.

If, say, $F_t > S_t e^{r(T_2 - t)}$, then arbitrage would exist: at time t, borrow S_t dollars, buy the stock, and short the forward (with delivery price F_t and time-t value 0). At time T_2 , deliver the stock, and receive F_t , which is more than enough to cover your accumulated debt of $S_t e^{r(T_2 - t)}$ dollars.

However, if S is the spot price of a barrel of crude oil (so, for all t, the time-t price for time-t delivery is S_t per barrel), then this argument fails. Explain briefly (one or two sentences, no math) why this specific arbitrage does not apply to crude oil, by specifically pinpointing, in the quote above, why we cannot simply replace "stock" with "crude oil".

Hint: Consider practical complications.

So we need more assumptions to relate F_t and S_t (here and in (c,d,e,f,g), the S denotes spot crude oil, and F_t denotes the time-t forward price for T_2 -delivery crude oil). One approach is to model the risk-neutral dynamics of S. Assume that S satisfies

$$S_t = \exp(X_t)$$
$$dX_t = \kappa(\alpha - X_t)dt + \sigma dW_t.$$

where W is Brownian motion, under risk-neutral measure.

Then, since r is constant and $\mathbb{E}_t(e^{-r(T_2-t)}(S_{T_2}-F_t))$ must be 0, one can calculate

$$F_t = \mathbb{E}_t(S_{T_2}) = \exp\left[e^{-\kappa(T_2 - t)}\log S_t + (1 - e^{-\kappa(T_2 - t)})\alpha + \frac{\sigma^2}{4\kappa}(1 - e^{-2\kappa(T_2 - t)})\right],$$

where \mathbb{E}_t is time-t conditional expectation. Suppose $\kappa = 0.472$, $\alpha = 4.4$, $\sigma = 0.368$, r = 0.05, and the time-0 spot price is $S_0 = 106.9$.

Let C be the time-0 price of a K-strike T_1 -expiry European call on F. So this call pays $(F_{T_1} - K)^+$. Let the call option have strike K = 103.2 and expiration $T_1 = 0.5$. Let the forward have delivery date $T_2 = 0.75$. See the ipynb file.

- (c) Estimate $C(S_0)$ using Monte Carlo simulation of S with 100 timesteps on $[0, T_1]$. Choose the number of paths large enough that the standard error [the sample standard deviation, divided by the square root of the number of paths] is less than 0.05. Report the standard error. Don't use any variance reduction technique.
- (d) Estimate $\partial C/\partial S$ by using Monte Carlo simulation to calculate $(C(S_0 + 0.01) C(S_0))/0.01$. For the $C(S_0 + 0.01)$ calculation, reuse the same normal random variables which you generated for the $C(S_0)$ calculation. (Do not re-generate random variables to compute $C(S_0 + 0.01)$)
- (e) Calculate analytically $\partial f_0/\partial S$, where f_0 is the time-0 value of a position long one forward contract on a barrel of crude oil, with delivery date T_2 and some fixed delivery price K.
- (f) Suppose you want to hedge a position short one call (so your hedge portfolio should replicate a position long one call), by continuously rebalancing a position in T_2 -delivery forward contracts. Your hedge portfolio at time 0 should be long how many forward contracts? Your final answer should be a number.
 - The delivery price K of the forward contracts is irrelevant to the answer here; it would affect only how many units of the bank account to carry in the portfolio (which I am not asking you to compute).
- (g) Consider the following "purchase agreement" contract. The holder of this contract receives time- T_2 delivery of θ barrels of crude oil, and pays, at time T_2 , a delivery price of K dollars per barrel. The θ is chosen at time T_1 by the holder of the purchase agreement, subject to the restriction that $4000 \le \theta \le 5000$; in particular, $\theta = 0$ is not a valid choice, because the contract is a commitment to purchase at least 4000 barrels. Using your answer to (c), without running any new simulations, find the time-0 value of this contract.

Here K, T_1, T_2 have the same values as on the previous page.

Hint: Assume the holder acts optimally; thus θ is either 4000 or 5000, depending on F_{T_1} .

Problem 2

Suppose that a non-dividend-paying stock has dynamics

$$dS_t = rS_t dt + \sigma(t)S_t dW_t \tag{1}$$

where W is Brownian motion under risk-neutral probabilities, and where the time-dependent but non-random volatility function $\sigma:[0,T]\to\mathbb{R}$ is piecewise continuous and sufficiently integrable. L2.13 shows that this particular type of local volatility function σ (to be specific: the type of σ function that depends on t but does not depend on S, nor on anything else that is random) has an explicit relationship with the Black-Scholes implied volatility σ_{imp} .

- (a) Are the dynamics (1) capable of generating a non-constant (with respect to T) term-structure of implied volatility? Are they capable of generating an implied volatility skew (non-constant with respect to K)? Explain briefly.
- (b) Let $S_0 = 100$ and r = 0.05. At time 0, you observe the prices of at-the-money (this means K = 100) European calls at 0.1-year, 0.2-year, and 0.5-year expiries to be 5.25, 7.25, and 9.5, respectively. First find the Black-Scholes implied volatilities of the three options. Then find (calibrate) a time-varying local volatility function $\sigma : [0, 0.5] \to \mathbb{R}$ consistent with these option prices. A step function suffices (but other answers are also acceptable).
- (c) Consistently with your local volatility function σ from part (b), find the time-0 price of an at-the-money European call with expiry 0.4, and find the time-0.1 implied volatility of that call (the European call with expiry T=0.4). Do not use a tree or finite difference or Monte Carlo calculation.