

CODE SECURITY ASSESSMENT

APOLLOX

Overview

Project Summary

Name: ApolloX

Version: commit <u>c48d047</u>Platform: BNB Smart Chain

• Language: Solidity

• Repository: https://github.com/apollox-finance/apollox-perp-contracts

• Audit Scope: See Appendix - 1

Project Dashboard

Application Summary

Name	ApolloX
Version	v2
Туре	Solidity
Dates	Apr 20 2023
Logs	Apr 18 2023; Apr 20 2023

Vulnerability Summary

Total High-Severity issues	1
Total Medium-Severity issues	4
Total Low-Severity issues	8
Total informational issues	4
Total	17

Contact

E-mail: support@salusec.io



Risk Level Description

High Risk	The issue puts a large number of users' sensitive information at risk, or is reasonably likely to lead to catastrophic impact for clients' reputations or serious financial implications for clients and users.
Medium Risk	The issue puts a subset of users' sensitive information at risk, would be detrimental to the client's reputation if exploited, or is reasonably likely to lead to a moderate financial impact.
Low Risk	The risk is relatively small and could not be exploited on a recurring basis, or is a risk that the client has indicated is low impact in view of the client's business circumstances.
Informational	The issue does not pose an immediate risk, but is relevant to security best practices or defense in depth.



Content

In	troduction	4
	1.1 About SALUS	4
	1.2 Audit Breakdown	4
	1.3 Disclaimer	4
Fi	ndings	5
	2.1 Summary of Findings	5
	2.2 Notable Findings	6
	Flawed logic for updating lpAveragePrice	6
	2. Using the longAccFundingFeePerShare after it has been deleted prevents the trader from closing the position	7
	3. Data is written to memory instead of storage	9
	Incorrect calculation in checkTP()	10
	5. Centralization risk	11
	6. Improper checks for token existence	13
	Fee on transfer token can lead to incorrect reserves balances for the reward pool	14
	8. Use of uninitialized variable in the return statement	15
	9. Oracle return values are not used properly	16
	10. Imprecise bounds	18
	11. Potential divided by zero error	20
	12. removePair() would not clean the pair's leverageMargins mapping	22
	13. Missing events for important parameter change	23
	2.3 Informational Findings	24
	14. SafeMath library not needed since Solidity 0.8.0	24
	15. Lack of validation for the price feed in addChainlinkPriceFeed()	25
	16. Spelling error	26
	17. Inconsistent naming convention	27
Aj	ppendix	28
	Appendix 1 - Files in Scope	2.8



Introduction

1.1 About SALUS

At Salus Security, we are in the business of trust.

We are dedicated to tackling the toughest security challenges facing the industry today. By building foundational trust in technology and infrastructure through security, we help clients to lead their respective industries and unlock their full Web3 potential.

Our team of security experts employ industry-leading proof-of-concept (PoC) methodology for demonstrating smart contract vulnerabilities, coupled with advanced red teaming capabilities and a stereoscopic vulnerability detection service, to deliver comprehensive security assessments that allow clients to stay ahead of the curve.

In addition to smart contract audits and red teaming, our Rapid Detection Service for smart contracts aims to make security accessible to all. This high calibre, yet cost-efficient, security tool has been designed to support a wide range of business needs including investment due diligence, security and code quality assessments, and code optimisation.

We are reachable on Telegram (https://t.me/salusec), Twitter (https://twitter.com/salus_sec), or Email (support@salusec.io).

1.2 Audit Breakdown

The objective was to evaluate the repository for security-related issues, code quality, and adherence to specifications and best practices. Possible issues we looked for included (but are not limited to):

- Risky external calls
- Integer overflow/underflow
- Transaction-ordering dependence
- Timestamp dependence
- Access control
- Call stack limits and mishandled exceptions
- Number rounding errors
- Centralization of power
- · Logical oversights and denial of service
- Business logic specification
- Code clones, functionality duplication

1.3 Disclaimer

Note that this security audit is not designed to replace functional tests required before any software release and does not give any warranties on finding all possible security issues with the given smart contract(s) or blockchain software, i.e., the evaluation result does not guarantee the nonexistence of any further findings of security issues.



Findings

2.1 Summary of Findings

ID	Title	Severity	Category	Status
1	Flawed logic for updating IpAveragePrice	High	Business logic	Resolved
2	Using the longAccFundingFeePerShare after it has been deleted prevents the trader from closing the position	Medium	Business logic	Resolved
3	Data is written to memory instead of storage	Medium	Business logic	Resolved
4	Incorrect calculation in checkTP()	Medium	Business logic	Resolved
5	Centralization risk	Medium	Centralization	Acknowledged
6	Improper checks for token existence	Low	Validation	Resolved
7	Fee on transfer token can lead to incorrect reserves balances for the reward pool	Low	Business logic	Acknowledged
8	Use of uninitialized variable in the return statement	Low	Business logic	Resolved
9	Oracle return values are not used properly	Low	Business logic	Resolved
10	Imprecise bounds	Low	Validation	Resolved
11	Potential divided by zero error	Low	Arithmetic issue	Resolved
12	removePair() would not clean the pair's leverageMargins mapping	Low	Business logic	Acknowledged
13	Missing events for important parameter change	Low	Logging	Acknowledged
14	SafeMath library not needed since Solidity 0.8.0	Informational	Redundancy	Resolved
15	Lack of validation for the price feed in addChainlinkPriceFeed()	Informational	Validation	Resolved
16	Spelling error	Informational	Code quality	Resolved
17	Inconsistent naming convention	Informational	Code quality	Resolved



2.2 Notable Findings

Significant flaws that impact system confidentiality, integrity, or availability are listed below.

1. Flawed logic for updating lpAveragePrice	
Severity: High Category: Business logic	
Target: - contracts/diamond/facets/TradingCoreFacet.sol	

Description

The variable <u>pairPositionInfos</u> in TradingCoreStorage stores the position information of trading pairs. The pairPositionInfos variable contains the <u>lpAveragePrice</u> variable, which records the average price of LP's positions if the LP were to open or close a position in the opposite direction when a trader opens or closes a position.

However, the <u>logic behind IpAveragePrice's updating</u> is flawed, it does not consider the entry price when closing a position. For example, when a trader closes a long position with entryPrice P, the IpAveragePrice should be updated as if the LP closed a short position with entryPrice P. But in the code, the IpAveragePrice is updated as if the LP closed a short position with entryPrice of last IpAveragePrice (i.e. only the position quantity is changed, the IpAveragePrice remains the same). Therefore if P != last IpAveragePrice, the IpAveragePrice is updated incorrectly.

Consider the following scenario:

- 1. Assume the market for BTC/USD pair is empty, and the openFee, closeFee, and fundingRate are all set to zero.
- 2. Alice opens a long position with an entry price of \$22,000 and a quantity of 1e10. This updates the lpAveragePrice to \$22,000.
- 3. Bob then opens a long position with an entry price of \$23,000 and a quantity of 1e10. This updates the lpAveragePrice to the average of both positions, \$22,500.
- 4. Alice closes the position and Bob keeps the position in the market. The lpAveragePrice remains \$22,500. But actually the lpAveragePrice should be \$23,000 so that the unrealized profit/loss for LP equals the unrealized profit/loss for Bob.

The lpAveragePrice variable is used to calculate the LP's unrealized profit and loss (lpUnrealizedPnlUsd). This, in turn, is used to calculate the ALP price. Therefore, when lpAveragePrice is incorrectly updated, the ALP price will be miscalculated.

Recommendation

We recommend taking the entry price of the position into account when updating the IpAveragePrice.

Status

The team has resolved this issue in commit 6ae69e1.



2. Using the longAccFundingFeePerShare after it has been deleted prevents the trader from closing the position

Severity: Medium

Category: Business logic

Target:
- contracts/diamond/facets/TradingCoreFacet.sol

Description

contracts/diamond/facets/TradingCloseFacet.sol:L34-L55

```
function _closeTrade(
   LibTrading.TradingStorage storage ts, OpenTrade storage ot,
   bytes32 tradeHash, uint256 marketPrice, uint256 closePrice
) private returns (IOrderAndTradeHistory.CloseInfo memory) {
   int256 longAccFundingFeePerShare =
ITradingCore(address(this)).updatePairPositionInfo(ot.pairBase, closePrice, marketPrice,
ot.qty, ot.isLong, false);
   IVault.MarginToken memory mt = IVault(address(this)).getTokenForTrading(ot.tokenIn);
   int256 fundingFee = LibTrading.calcFundingFee(ot, mt, marketPrice,
longAccFundingFeePerShare);
   uint256 closeNotionalUsd = closePrice * ot.qty;
   int256 pnl =
   ot.isLong
   ? int256(closeNotionalUsd) - int256(uint256(ot.entryPrice * ot.qty))
    : int256(uint256(ot.entryPrice * ot.qty)) - int256(closeNotionalUsd)
    * int256(10 ** mt.decimals) / int256(1e10 * mt.price);
   uint16 closeFeeP =
IPairsManager(address(this)).getPairFeeConfig(ot.pairBase).closeFeeP;
   uint256 closeFee = closeNotionalUsd * closeFeeP * (10 ** mt.decimals) / (1e4 * 1e10
* mt.price);
    _settleForCloseTrade(ts, ot, tradeHash, pnl, fundingFee, closeFee);
   return IOrderAndTradeHistory.CloseInfo(uint64(closePrice), int96(fundingFee),
uint96(closeFee), int96(pnl));
```

When closing a position, the longAccFundingFeePerShare value returned from updatePairPositionInfo() is used to calculate and settle the funding fee in subsequent code.

contracts/diamond/facets/TradingCoreFacet.sol:L90-L109



```
ppi.lastFundingFeeBlock = block.number;
}
__updatePairQtyAndAvgPrice(tcs, ppi, pairBase, qty, userPrice, isOpen, isLong);
emit UpdatePairPositionInfo(
    pairBase, ppi.lastFundingFeeBlock, ppi.longQty, ppi.shortQty,
    ppi.longAccFundingFeePerShare, ppi.lpAveragePrice
);
return ppi.longAccFundingFeePerShare;
}
```

contracts/diamond/facets/TradingCoreFacet.sol:L153-L243

```
function _updatePairQtyAndAvgPrice(
   LibTradingCore.TradingCoreStorage storage tcs,
   ITradingCore.PairPositionInfo storage ppi,
   address pairBase, uint256 qty,
   uint256 userPrice, bool isOpen, bool isLong
) private {
   if (isOpen) {
   } else {
       if (isLong) {
       } else {
            . . .
        if (ppi.longQty == 0 && ppi.shortQty == 0) {
            address[] storage pairs = tcs.hasPositionPairs;
            uint lastIndex = pairs.length - 1;
            uint removeIndex = ppi.pairIndex;
            if (lastIndex != removeIndex) {
                address lastPair = pairs[lastIndex];
                pairs[removeIndex] = lastPair;
                tcs.pairPositionInfos[lastPair].pairIndex = uint16(removeIndex);
            pairs.pop();
            delete tcs.pairPositionInfos[pairBase];
       }
   }
}
```

However, when closing the last position in the market for a pair, the _updatePairQtyAndAvgPrice() function deletes the position info for the pair before the the longAccFundingFeePerShare value in the position info is returned. As a result, the longAccFundingFeePerShare returned from the updatePairPositionInfo() will be zero in this case and the position may not be able to be closed due to incorrect funding fee.

Recommendation

We recommend setting the return value longAccFundingFeePerShare to ppi.longAccFundingFeePerShare before executing _updatePairQtyAndAvgPrice() in the updatePairPositionInfo() function.

Status

The team has resolved this issue in commit <u>152f3fb</u>.



3. Data is written to memory instead of storage

Severity: Medium Category: Business logic

Target:

contracts/diamond/libraries/LibBrokerManager.sol

Description

contracts/diamond/libraries/LibBrokerManager.sol:L104-L110

```
function updateBrokerCommissionP(uint24 id, uint16 commissionP) internal {
    BrokerManagerStorage storage bms = brokerManagerStorage();
    Broker memory b = _checkBrokerExist(bms, id);
    uint16 oldCommissionP = b.commissionP;
    b.commissionP = commissionP;
    emit UpdateBrokerCommissionP(id, oldCommissionP, commissionP);
}
```

The commissionP is written to b.commissionP, which is a variable stored in memory, not in storage. That is, the updateBrokerCommissionP() does not update the commissionP storage variable.

contracts/diamond/libraries/LibBrokerManager.sol:L112-L118

```
function updateBrokerReceiver(uint24 id, address receiver) internal {
    BrokerManagerStorage storage bms = brokerManagerStorage();
    Broker memory b = _checkBrokerExist(bms, id);
    address oldReceiver = b.receiver;
    b.receiver = receiver;
    emit UpdateBrokerReceiver(id, oldReceiver, receiver);
}
```

contracts/diamond/libraries/LibBrokerManager.sol:L120-L126

```
function updateBrokerName(uint24 id, string calldata name) internal {
   BrokerManagerStorage storage bms = brokerManagerStorage();
   Broker memory b = _checkBrokerExist(bms, id);
   string memory oldName = b.name;
   b.name = name;
   emit UpdateBrokerName(id, oldName, name);
}
```

contracts/diamond/libraries/LibBrokerManager.sol:L128-134

```
function updateBrokerUrl(uint24 id, string calldata url) internal {
    BrokerManagerStorage storage bms = brokerManagerStorage();
    Broker memory b = _checkBrokerExist(bms, id);
    string memory oldUrl = b.url;
    b.url = url;
    emit UpdateBrokerUrl(id, oldUrl, url);
}
```

Likewise, the updateBrokerReceiver(), updateBrokerName(), and updateBrokerUrl() functions do not update the parameters in storage.

Recommendation

Consider writing the parameters to storage, rather than to memory.

Status

The team has resolved this issue in commit c05a022.



4. Incorrect calculation in checkTP()

Severity: Medium Category: Business logic

Target:

contracts/diamond/facets/TradingCheckerFacet.sol

Description

contracts/diamond/facets/TradingCheckerFacet.sol:L16-L26

```
function checkTp(
    bool isLong, uint takeProfit, uint entryPrice, uint leverage_10000, uint
maxTakeProfitP
) public pure returns (bool) {
    if (isLong) {
        // The takeProfit price must be set and the percentage of profit must not exceed
    the maximum allowed
        return takeProfit > entryPrice && (takeProfit - entryPrice) * leverage_10000 <=
maxTakeProfitP * entryPrice;
    } else {
        // The takeProfit price must be set and the percentage of profit must not exceed
    the maximum allowed
        return takeProfit > 0 && takeProfit < entryPrice && (entryPrice - takeProfit) *
leverage_10000 <= maxTakeProfitP * takeProfit;
    }
}</pre>
```

When calculating the profit ratio, the profit should be compared to its entry notional value. Thus, the highlighted **takeProfit** should be replaced with **entryPrice**.

Recommendation

Consider change the line

```
return takeProfit > 0 && takeProfit < entryPrice && (entryPrice - takeProfit) *
leverage_10000 <= maxTakeProfitP * takeProfit;
to
return takeProfit > 0 && takeProfit < entryPrice && (entryPrice - takeProfit) *
leverage 10000 <= maxTakeProfitP * entryPrice;</pre>
```

Status

The team has resolved this issue in commit f35baa7.



5. Centralization risk	
Severity: Medium	Category: Centralization
Target: - all	

Description

Throughout the ApolloX project, there are several privileged roles.

The ADMIN ROLE can:

- Pause and resume the project
- Set DAO repurchase address
- Set DAO share percent
- Set price gap and max delay for price request callback
- Set security margin percent for the vault
- Set broker configs
- Set trading configs
- Set cooling duration for the ALP token

The DEPLOYER_ROLE can:

- Use diamondCut() to update diamond facets (i.e. upgrade functions)

The TOKEN_OPERATOR_ROLE can:

Configure tokens in the vault

The STAKE OPERATOR ROLE can:

- Update the number of APX reward per block

The PRICE_FEED_OPERATOR_ROLE can:

- Configure price feed for a token

The PAIR_OPERATOR_ROLE can:

- Add or remove trading pairs
- Updating the pair status
- Configure fee data for a pair
- Configure slippage data for a pair
- Configure funding fee for a pair
- Configure leverage and maxOI for a pair

The KEEPER_ROLE is responsible for:

- Executing the limit orders
- Executing the take profit orders
- Executing the stop loss orders
- Executing the liquidations



The PRICE_FEEDER_ROLE is responsible for:

- Responding to the price request

And most importantly, the DEFAULT_ADMIN_ROLE can:

- Add or remove an address to the previously mentioned roles

If an attacker were to gain access to the private keys associated with these roles, they could cause significant damage to the project.

Recommendation

We recommend transferring the privileged roles to multi-sig accounts.

Status

This issue has been acknowledged by the team.



6. Improper checks for token existence

Severity: Low Category: Validation

Target:

- contracts/diamond/libraries/LibVault.sol
- contracts/diamond/libraries/LibAlpManager.sol

Description

```
contracts/diamond/libraries/LibVault.sol:L83
contracts/diamond/libraries/LibVault.sol:L104
contracts/diamond/libraries/LibVault.sol:L123
contracts/diamond/libraries/LibVault.sol:L136
require(at.weight > 0, "LibVault: Token does not exist");
contracts/diamond/libraries/LibAlpManager.sol:L71
contracts/diamond/libraries/LibAlpManager.sol:L107
require(at.weight > 0, "LibAlpManager: Token does not exist");
```

In the above lines, the condition at.weight > 0 is used to check if the token exists. However, the weight for an existing token can be set to zero by the TOKEN_OPERATOR_ROLE.

Recommendation

Consider checking if the token exists by using the condition at.tokenAddress != address(0).

Status

The team has resolved this issue in commit 8af36b6 and 07103b4.



7. Fee on transfer token can lead to incorrect reserves balances for the reward pool

Severity: Low Category: Business logic

Target:

- contracts/diamond/libraries/LibApxReward.sol

Description

contracts/diamond/libraries/LibApxReward.sol:L145-L151

```
function addReserves(uint256 amount) internal {
   ApxRewardStorage storage ars = apxRewardStorage();
   IApxReward.ApxPoolInfo storage pool = ars.poolInfo;
   ars.rewardToken.transferFrom(msg.sender, address(this), amount);
   pool.reserves += amount;
   emit AddReserves(msg.sender, amount);
}
```

The **ars.rewardToken** is expected to be set to the APX token, a fee-on-transfer token. Thus, the amount of the reward token received from the **transferFrom()** call may be less than the transfer amount. However, the pool's reserves are increased by the transfer amount, not the received amount.

Recommendation

Consider checking the balance before and after the transfer, and using the difference as the amount of tokens received, then adding the received amount to pool.reserves.

Status

The team has acknowledged this issue. The code remains unchanged because the ApolloX contract is whitelisted by ALP, and transferring ALP tokens to the ApolloX contract incurs no fees. Therefore, the amount received for the reward pool is equal to the amount sent by the user.



8. Use of uninitialized variable in the return statement

Severity: Low Category: Business logic

Target:

- contracts/diamond/facets/TradingCheckerFacet.sol

Description

contracts/diamond/facets/TradingCheckerFacet.sol:L385-L387

```
if (!checkTp(pt.isLong, pt.takeProfit, tuple.entryPrice, leverage_10000,
tuple.tc.maxTakeProfitP)) {
    return (false, entryPrice, Refund.TP);
}
```

The above path in the marketTradeCallbackCheck() function returns a tuple. Its second element, entryPrice, is an uninitialized variable and defaults to zero.

Instead of using entryPrice, the above code should use tuple.entryPrice.

Recommendation

Consider changing entryPrice to tuple.entryPrice.

Status

The team has resolved this issue in commit <u>c5a429a</u>.



9. Oracle return values are not used properly

Severity: Low Category: Business logic

Target:

- contracts/diamond/libraries/LibChainlinkPrice.sol
- contracts/diamond/libraries/LibPriceFacade.sol

Description

The oracle.latestRoundData() function, as documented, returns the following variables:

- uint80 roundld
- int256 answer
- uint256 startedAt
- uint256 updatedAt
- uint80 answeredInRound

Among these, startedAt is the timestamp when the price was submitted, and updatedAt is the timestamp when the price was updated on the chain.

contracts/diamond/libraries/LibChainlinkPrice.sol:L60-L69

```
function getPriceFromChainlink(address token) internal view returns (uint256 price,
uint8 decimals, uint256 startedAt) {
    ChainlinkPriceStorage storage cps = chainlinkPriceStorage();
    address priceFeed = cps.priceFeeds[token].feedAddress;
    require(priceFeed != address(0), "LibChainlinkPrice: Price feed does not exist");
    AggregatorV3Interface oracle = AggregatorV3Interface(priceFeed);
    (, int256 price_, uint256 startedAt_,,) = oracle.latestRoundData();
    price = uint256(price_);
    decimals = oracle.decimals();
    return (price, decimals, startedAt_);
}
```

contracts/diamond/libraries/LibPriceFacade.sol:L164-L172

```
function getPriceFromCacheOrOracle(PriceFacadeStorage storage pfs, address token)
internal view returns (uint64, uint40) {
    LatestCallbackPrice memory cachePrice = pfs.callbackPrices[token];
    (uint256 price, uint8 decimals,uint256 startedAt) =
LibChainlinkPrice.getPriceFromChainlink(token);
    uint40 updatedAt = cachePrice.timestamp >= startedAt ? cachePrice.timestamp :
uint40(startedAt);
    // Take the newer price
    uint64 tokenPrice = cachePrice.timestamp >= startedAt ? cachePrice.price :
    (decimals == 8 ? uint64(price) : uint64(price * 1e8 / (10 ** decimals)));
    return (tokenPrice, updatedAt);
}
```

The **startedAt** timestamp returned from oracle.latestRoundData() is used in the getPriceFromChainlink() function, but it is more appropriate to use the **updatedAt** timestamp instead. The timestamp returned from the getPriceFromChainlink() is then used in the getPriceFromCacheOrOracle() to check the freshness of the price.



Recommendation

Consider using **updatedAt** returned from the oracle.latestRoundData() to replace **startedAt**.

Status

The team has resolved this issue in commit <u>b4cde78</u>.



10. Imprecise bounds

Severity: Low Category: Validation

Target:

- contracts/diamond/libraries/LibAlpManager.sol
- contracts/diamond/libraries/LibVault.sol
- contracts/diamond/facets/ApxRewardFacet.sol
- contracts/diamond/libraries/LibApxReward.sol

Description

There are several checks where the value boundaries are not precise.

1. contracts/diamond/libraries/LibAlpManager.sol:L118

```
require(amountOut < vs.treasury[tokenOut], "LibAlpManager: tokenOut balance is
insufficient");</pre>
```

The < should be <= so that all amounts in the treasury are withdrawable.

2. contracts/diamond/libraries/LibVault.sol:L214

```
require(index.into() > 0 && otherTokenAmountUsd < totalBalanceUsd, "LibVault:
Insufficient funds in the treasury");</pre>
```

The highlighted < should <=.

3. contracts/diamond/facets/ApxRewardFacet.sol:L12-L19

```
function initializeApxRewardFacet(address _rewardsToken, uint256 _apxPerBlock, uint256
_startBlock) external {
    require(_rewardsToken != address(0), "Invalid _rewardsToken");
    require(_apxPerBlock >= 0, "Invalid _apxPerBlock");
    require(_startBlock >= 0, "Invalid _startBlock");

    LibAccessControlEnumerable.checkRole(Constants.DEPLOYER_ROLE);
    LibApxReward.initialize(_rewardsToken, _apxPerBlock, _startBlock);
}
```

The above >= should be >. Otherwise, the checks are unnecessary, since **_apxPerBlock** and **_startBlock** are both of type **uint256** and therefore must be >= 0.

4. contracts/diamond/libraries/LibApxReward.sol:L153-L158

```
function updateApxPerBlock(uint256 _apxPerBlock) internal {
    ApxRewardStorage storage st = apxRewardStorage();
    require(_apxPerBlock >= 0, "apxPerBlock greater than 0");
    updatePool();
    st.poolInfo.apxPerBlock = _apxPerBlock;
}
```

The >= should be >, as indicated in the message of the require statement.

Recommendation

Consider adjusting the boundaries in the mentioned checks.



Status

The team has resolved this issue in commit d216b69, f228ef4 and 879774f.



11. Potential divided by zero error

Severity: Low Category: Arithmetic issue

Target:

- contracts/diamond/facets/PairsManagerFacet.sol
- contracts/diamond/libraries/LibPairsManager.sol
- contracts/diamond/facets/TradingCoreFacet.sol

Description

contracts/diamond/facets/PairsManagerFacet.sol:L12-L22

contracts/diamond/libraries/LibPairsManager.sol:L120-L138

```
function addSlippageConfig(
    uint16 index, string calldata name, IPairsManager.SlippageType slippageType,
    uint256 onePercentDepthAboveUsd, uint256 onePercentDepthBelowUsd,
   uint16 slippageLongP, uint16 slippageShortP
) internal {
   PairsManagerStorage storage pms = pairsManagerStorage();
    SlippageConfig storage config = pms.slippageConfigs[index];
    require(!config.enable, "LibPairsManager: Configuration already exists");
   config.index = index;
    config.name = name;
   config.enable = true;
   config.slippageType = slippageType;
    config.onePercentDepthAboveUsd = onePercentDepthAboveUsd;
    config.onePercentDepthBelowUsd = onePercentDepthBelowUsd;
   config.slippageLongP = slippageLongP;
    config.slippageShortP = slippageShortP;
    \verb"emit AddSlippageConfig(index, slippageType, onePercentDepthAboveUsd, \\
        onePercentDepthBelowUsd, slippageLongP, slippageShortP, name);
```

The **onePercentDepthAboveUsd** and **onePercentDepthBelowUsd** can be set to zero by the PAIR OPERATOR ROLE for the ONE PERCENT DEPTH slippageType.

contracts/diamond/facets/TradingCoreFacet.sol:L29-L49

```
function slippagePrice(
   PairQty memory pairQty,
   IPairsManager.SlippageConfig memory sc,
   uint256 marketPrice, uint256 qty, bool isLong
```



```
) public pure override returns (uint256) {
   if (isLong) {
       uint slippage = sc.slippageLongP;
       if (sc.slippageType == IPairsManager.SlippageType.ONE_PERCENT_DEPTH) {
            // slippage = (longQty + qty) * price / depthAboveUsd
           slippage = (pairQty.longQty + qty) * marketPrice * 1e4 /
sc.onePercentDepthAboveUsd;
       return marketPrice * (1e4 + slippage) / 1e4;
   } else {
       uint slippage = sc.slippageShortP;
        if (sc.slippageType == IPairsManager.SlippageType.ONE_PERCENT_DEPTH) {
            // slippage = (shortQty + qty) * price / depthBelowUsd
           slippage = (pairQty.shortQty + qty) * marketPrice * 1e4 /
sc.onePercentDepthBelowUsd;
        return marketPrice * (1e4 - slippage) / 1e4;
   }
}
```

If slippageType is set to ONE_PERCENT_DEPTH and **onePercentDepthAboveUsd** is set to zero, the slippagePrice() will revert due to a divided by zero error when the user tries to open a long position or close a short position.

Likewise, if slippageType is set to ONE_PERCENT_DEPTH and **onePercentDepthBelowUsd** is set to zero, the slippagePrice() will fail when the user attempts to open a short position or close a long position.

Recommendation

Consider preventing the onePercentDepthAboveUsd and onePercentDepthBelowUsd from being set to zero for ONE_PERCENT_DEPTH slippageType in the addSlippageConfig() function.

Status

The team has resolved this issue in commit 4c0b265.



12. removePair() would not clean the pair's leverageMargins mapping

Severity: Low Category: Business logic

Target:

contracts/diamond/libraries/LibPairsManager.sol

Description

contracts/diamond/libraries/LibPairsManager.sol:L42-L65

```
struct Pair {
    ...
    // tier => LeverageMargin
    mapping(uint16 => LeverageMargin) leverageMargins;
    ...
}
```

contracts/diamond/libraries/LibPairsManager.sol:L233-L272

```
function removePair(address base) internal {
    ...
    delete pms.pairs[base];
    ...
}
```

The **leverageMargins** variable is a mapping nested in the Pair struct. The **delete** operator in Solidity does not clean the data in a mapping (see <u>this section</u>). Thus, after a pair is removed using removePair(), the leverageMargins of the pair remains in the storage. The Developers should be aware of this and ensure that, if a removed pair is added back, the new leverageMargins completely overrides the old leverageMargins.

Recommendation

Consider adding a comment to the removePair() regarding this issue.

If the tiers information is of fixed length, we recommend defining the leverageMargins as a fixed-size array instead of a mapping.

Status

The team has acknowledged this issue. A code comment has been added in commit 511b04d.



13. Missing events for important parameter change Severity: Low Category: Logging Target: - contracts/ALP.sol

Description

contracts/ALP.sol:L48-L66

```
function addFromWhiteList(address account) external onlyRole(ADMIN_ROLE) {
    require(account != address(0), "account cannot be 0 address");
    fromWhiteList[account] = true;
}

function removeFromWhiteList(address account) external onlyRole(ADMIN_ROLE) {
    require(account != address(0), "account cannot be 0 address");
    delete fromWhiteList[account];
}

function addToWhiteList(address account) external onlyRole(ADMIN_ROLE) {
    require(account != address(0), "account cannot be 0 address");
    toWhiteList[account] = true;
}

function removeToWhiteList(address account) external onlyRole(ADMIN_ROLE) {
    require(account != address(0), "account cannot be 0 address");
    delete toWhiteList[account];
}
```

Important parameter or configuration changes should trigger an event to enable tracking off-chain. However, the addFromWhiteList(), removeFromWhiteList(), addToWhiteList(), and removeToWhieList() functions change the whitelist - an important parameter - but do not emit events.

Recommendation

Consider adding events to functions that change important parameters.

Status

This issue has been acknowledged by the team.



2.3 Informational Findings

14. SafeMath library not needed since Solidity 0.8.0

Severity: Informational Category: Redundancy

Target:

- contracts/diamond/libraries/LibStakeReward.sol
- contracts/diamond/libraries/LibApxReward.sol

Description

<u>contracts/diamond/libraries/LibStakeReward.sol:L11</u> <u>contracts/diamond/libraries/LibApxReward.sol:L11</u>

using SafeMath for uint;

The SafeMath library is used to check underflow and overflow for arithmetic operations. However, since Solidity version 0.8.0, arithmetic operations revert on underflow and overflow by default.

Because the LibStakeReward and LibApxReward contracts use a Solidity version no less than 0.8.0, there is no need to use the SafeMath library..

Recommendation

Consider not using the SafeMath library.

Status

The team has resolved this issue in commit 879774f.



15. Lack of validation for the price feed in addChainlinkPriceFeed()

Severity: Informational Category: Validation

Target:

- contracts/diamond/libraries/LibPairsManager.sol
- contracts/diamond/libraries/LibChainlinkPrice.sol

Description

contracts/diamond/libraries/LibPairsManager.sol:L165-L201

```
function addPair(
    IPairsManager.PairSimple memory ps,
    uint16 slippageConfigIndex, uint16 feeConfigIndex,
    LeverageMargin[] memory leverageMargins
) internal {
    ...
    require(IPriceFacade(address(this)).getPrice(ps.base) > 0, "LibPairsManager: No
price feed has been configured for the pair");
    ...
}
```

The addPair() function validates the price feed of a pair by checking if the price received from the feed is greater than zero.

However, the <u>addChainlinkPriceFeed()</u> function sets the price feed for a pair, but does not validate the price feed.

To maintain code consistency, the addChainlinkPriceFeed() function should also validate the price feed by checking if the price received is greater than zero.

Recommendation

Consider adding a validation for the price feed in addChainlinkPriceFeed().

Status

The team has resolved this issue in commit 6dfd354.



16. Spelling error

Severity: Informational Category: Code quality

Target:

- contracts/diamond/libraries/LibVault.sol
- contracts/diamond/facets/VaultFacet.sol

Description

contracts/diamond/libraries/LibVault.sol:L134 contracts/diamond/facets/VaultFacet.sol:L46

function updateAsMagin(address tokenAddress, bool asMagin)

updateAsMagin should be updateAsMargin. asMagin should be asMargin.

Recommendation

Consider correcting the spelling errors.

Status

The team has resolved this issue in commit d216b69 and 5c79041.



17. Inconsistent naming convention		
Severity: Informational	Category: Code quality	
Target: - contracts/utils/Constants.sol		

Description

contracts/utils/Constants.sol:L13-L28

```
// 0xa49807205ce4d355092ef5a8a18f56e8913cf4a201fbe287825b095693c21775
bytes32 constant ADMIN ROLE = keccak256("ADMIN ROLE");
// 0xfc425f2263d0df187444b70e47283d622c70181c5baebb1306a01edba1ce184c
bytes32 constant DEPLOYER_ROLE = keccak256("DEPLOYER_ROLE");
// 0x62150a51582c26f4255242a3c4ca35fb04250e7315069523d650676aed01a56a
bytes32 constant TOKEN_OPERATOR_ROLE = keccak256("TOKEN_OPERATOR_ROLE");
// 0xbcf34dca9375a29f1b0549eee19900a8183308a2d43e0192eb541bc5ddd4501e
bytes32 constant STAKE_OPERATOR_ROLE = keccak256("STAKE_OPERATOR");
// 0xc24d2c87036c9189cc45e221d5dff8eaffb4966ee49ea36b4ffc88a2d85bf890
bytes32 constant PRICE_FEED_OPERATOR_ROLE = keccak256("PRICE_FEED_OPERATOR_ROLE");
// 0x04fcf77d802b9769438bfcbfc6eae4865484c9853501897657f1d28c3f3c603e
bytes32 constant PAIR_OPERATOR_ROLE = keccak256("PAIR_OPERATOR_ROLE");
// 0xfc8737ab85eb45125971625a9ebdb75cc78e01d5c1fa80c4c6e5203f47bc4fab
bytes32 constant KEEPER_ROLE = keccak256("KEEPER_ROLE");
// 0x7d867aa9d791a9a4be418f90a2f248aa2c5f1348317792a6f6412f94df9819f7
bytes32 constant PRICE_FEEDER_ROLE = keccak256("PRICE_FEEDER_ROLE");
```

The preimage string for a role usually matches the variable name. However, the preimage string for STAKE_OPERATOR_ROLE is STAKE_OPERATOR, without the _ROLE suffix.

Recommendation

Consider using a consistent naming system.

Status

The team has resolved this issue in commit aa0f18a and cec8aa6.



Appendix

Appendix 1 - Files in Scope

This audit covered the following files in commit $\underline{\text{c48d047}}$:

File	SHA-1 hash
contracts/ALP.sol	18e85e053e337b5ce2132f757756a1efb5184c9d
contracts/dependencies/IWBNB.sol	74ac7509c7eb55b8896d9348c0bc5a81ac3d336c
contracts/diamond/ApolloX.sol	ac1a634e0f70311543e0d9c077b9ac197a1cd071
contracts/diamond/facets/AccessControlEnumerableFacet.sol	c556ebb0ab3940b7c2d2db78288b262562f179ff
contracts/diamond/facets/AlpManagerFacet.sol	187421863437d8c7eebbaefc2f367997252342a8
contracts/diamond/facets/ApxRewardFacet.sol	2dbcc0451833f9ac6e79513850d5fe0ff559c8bd
contracts/diamond/facets/BrokerManagerFacet.sol	057a7bd700055251f2dd17f4e05336d19a476d7a
contracts/diamond/facets/ChainlinkPriceFacet.sol	dcea0e7b19c833fe1d782264e3d947f05fb711dc
contracts/diamond/facets/DiamondCutFacet.sol	aa3facac928dcd2b58c2ed32862637ac815f58df
contracts/diamond/facets/DiamondLoupeFacet.sol	f147a500fed8fd6c73ebd9f900a15968d0ff20fe
contracts/diamond/facets/FeeManagerFacet.sol	9bdcb2f78307cdbb03311e31c0ddb64a2fdc0c60
contracts/diamond/facets/LimitOrderFacet.sol	8927854eecb1533535223518aba67d07b8b0ac71
contracts/diamond/facets/OrderAndTradeHistoryFacet.sol	fd91f24959bd6d2c3a994447d87a42968e5fccb9
contracts/diamond/facets/PairsManagerFacet.sol	e07f11b28bcf445b0aa540ff1b9fecb7484c5dd5
contracts/diamond/facets/PausableFacet.sol	d643e1cb943443fd2391946a99c5f414f14b9b4b
contracts/diamond/facets/PriceFacadeFacet.sol	8a30da181fbec2acfac3b8d7c22efa81d5b75f1d
contracts/diamond/facets/StakeRewardFacet.sol	bdbbccb6e27fce7124fe7d65c03a2c3b6fce351a
contracts/diamond/facets/TradingCheckerFacet.sol	de32f9a0a443379a63d7720af83de1aa90b353f4
contracts/diamond/facets/TradingCloseFacet.sol	74b544f72ea2b8203734cff6b92632239ee0a287
contracts/diamond/facets/TradingConfigFacet.sol	92861d40b3962e0cc494aca1e67b21c935a71f60
contracts/diamond/facets/TradingCoreFacet.sol	2c5fb40b8845a53d3deaaab8e6e32e5a90053908
contracts/diamond/facets/TradingOpenFacet.sol	d4312f49ceb0fe27dd7643a3e30dc224061583e3



contracts/diamond/facets/TradingPortalFacet.sol	469c9d9f522acd59c3da14fb7efb44586a747481
contracts/diamond/facets/TradingReaderFacet.sol	fc319cbd639b398908b230b75d370986ca924cc8
contracts/diamond/facets/TransitionFacet.sol	945e3c9ea0d2fcef5e51bcb35933e92ae813dabe
contracts/diamond/facets/VaultFacet.sol	59be54d9be822f5485883c91967a57761e29aa41
contracts/diamond/interfaces/IAlpManager.sol	f3d780bbcc4c8c5b99905bb7df041ac1b2e44ae9
contracts/diamond/interfaces/IApxReward.sol	c34a82595b74a4dd6132836d23457e7816d09abe
contracts/diamond/interfaces/IBook.sol	f7c5daee94406df2de1ef20a669edc78ca5fca56
contracts/diamond/interfaces/IBrokerManager.sol	24fc0501ad481071870f860daa0e0254d40e5109
contracts/diamond/interfaces/IChainlinkPrice.sol	71b78d23e83b652ddf363b044c94840794e2742e
contracts/diamond/interfaces/IDiamondCut.sol	9489b488d03bb38b78aa7f79b88f8efb7079bb29
contracts/diamond/interfaces/IDiamondLoupe.sol	86ed93947d546cc23f4ff3a8ff2421ec24b5f9aa
contracts/diamond/interfaces/IFeeManager.sol	94d8c1e102503e2c3a3a81e6e9a59e2c57a42717
contracts/diamond/interfaces/ILimitOrder.sol	18b5c686724ba84fde7bbe880a7f53b455d24e92
contracts/diamond/interfaces/IOraclePrice.sol	7f5fa0f5971273c8dcf7685bfa43a9f7f4577380
contracts/diamond/interfaces/IOrderAndTradeHistor y.sol	5f529edca298b7c8870d5a676a3e2defde51bc0b
contracts/diamond/interfaces/IPairsManager.sol	5481556fc84e58075e307deff7b7d48e628abb60
contracts/diamond/interfaces/IPausable.sol	c1ea0caab18fec8075bc07b2466f932046f488c9
contracts/diamond/interfaces/IPriceFacade.sol	9ed0fe858c44fdb347bb619332778d127f343ba6
contracts/diamond/interfaces/IStakeReward.sol	31cba313d25fab4993870b0e4001ea1b594f6204
contracts/diamond/interfaces/ITrading.sol	2dd243a4804d4dc2c9028e9ecc872f502102becd
contracts/diamond/interfaces/ITradingChecker.sol	6628d59c216c1b65f07097caa871a2c1c38818e1
contracts/diamond/interfaces/ITradingClose.sol	390bc102ca81e55af3ceb8d8c561a7f9133b6e51
contracts/diamond/interfaces/ITradingConfig.sol	363eb92ddadc3bc52c63d2cb656fdadac8c124c2
contracts/diamond/interfaces/ITradingCore.sol	34df27407088ecc1c5b6e38512d1e48fa0817117
contracts/diamond/interfaces/ITradingOpen.sol	5eee63f0b25bb28514b78fb9a9e738b4d645c44b
contracts/diamond/interfaces/ITradingPortal.sol	3c2a30a66c5f97f9624cda1a6acaf25111d04b39
contracts/diamond/interfaces/ITradingReader.sol	a9b8666f55074e4acd000bde1402ac444c85a159
contracts/diamond/interfaces/IVault.sol	3bfc87a133b7bb8e7a4f8bf530c53e7c35bb8e8d
contracts/diamond/libraries/LibAccessControlEnume rable.so	364879e6decf6541eef276ef6a8ab0b55943373bl



contracts/diamond/libraries/LibAlpManager.sol	57ef42c8433d97737a16e2b2b8c075f74f6aae7e
contracts/diamond/libraries/LibApxReward.sol	5f25bf528d3768ab5e5d25adc4545213240fea2f
contracts/diamond/libraries/LibBrokerManager.sol	2be941c43dac1d53afa40674177803827253acc1
contracts/diamond/libraries/LibChainlinkPrice.sol	0a766184b4b4445f32b025f0dec2ccb681ef0eca
contracts/diamond/libraries/LibDiamond.sol	0bf1a82d451d55f648fafb5888891a4fef260260
contracts/diamond/libraries/LibFeeManager.sol	7570f9964fe4ecf2c2f11c3ba6f57f3d529a4e84
contracts/diamond/libraries/LibLimitOrder.sol	0cf2153bb50100456579fdbc7db53f36a3372437
contracts/diamond/libraries/LibOrderAndTradeHistor y.sol	53106b00789c1063b9a8bfe77b914aafa3826571
contracts/diamond/libraries/LibPairsManager.sol	c0e1a3637ce3e8927232b8cbe88313d8dd030c4a
contracts/diamond/libraries/LibPriceFacade.sol	cdb00d4dc576fb30af10a815cc3bb20b96d9ea1e
contracts/diamond/libraries/LibStakeReward.sol	d274dc893bdc8a8550ab1c2ac070fe9e911c592e
contracts/diamond/libraries/LibTrading.sol	d393d27112d913722c3be9e4feea62922bf4a4d3
contracts/diamond/libraries/LibTradingConfig.sol	feeddae879f43e50e2b44feba21c4997e6d7154e
contracts/diamond/libraries/LibTradingCore.sol	e8aace1e295d6a1921ce2b8d6ea8a0bff8bcf86f
contracts/diamond/libraries/LibVault.sol	5af888a4fa963e975bd2152122da33e3d0797336
contracts/diamond/security/OnlySelf.sol	0200855804fe033bba1e7b9a0d088cdf5d3d3121
contracts/diamond/security/Pausable.sol	b45ce1cc5fd8e028e88b1532803f279ce17d3d97
contracts/diamond/security/ReentrancyGuard.sol	078400147b5ab441fac70ce7f79bcc16f2d8fbcb
contracts/diamond/upgradeInitializers/ApolloXInit.sol	8a04174531f9c4eec4022dcefd803b5380067db5
contracts/utils/Bits.sol	864ffd8089c13d4f7552c43d176d32bfe4219943
contracts/utils/Constants.sol	08b6874d523b2f45d8545b31ea498efb9a426d49
	I .

