Bachelor Thesis by XXX XXX

			Grand 1	otal				100%	#DI
			A. Research C	omnetence				10%	1
			A. Research C	ompetence				Avg. Points	We
a	tive, pro-activity and creativit							0,00	1
	1-3	4-5 Student picks up some	6 Student shows some initiative	7	8	9–10		1	
ş		initiatives and/or ideas	and/or together with the	Student initiates discussions on ideas with supervisor and	Student has his own creative ideas on hypothesis	Student develops innovative			
	leas at all	suggested by others (e.g. supervisor), but the selection is	supervisor develops one or two ideas on minor parts of the	develops one or two own ideas		hypotheses, research methods and/or data-analysis methods.	0		
		not motivated.	research.	on minor parts of the research.	processing.	ana/or data-analysis metrious.			
ı	mitment and perseverance								
	1-3	4-5	6	7	8 The student is motivated	9–10		1	
51	tudent is not motivated.	Student has little motivation.	Student is motivated at times, but often, sees the work as a	The student is motivated.  Overcomes an occasional	and/or overcomes an	The student is very motivated,			
	tudent escapes work and gives			setback with help of the	occasional setback on his own	goes at length to get the most			
u	p regularly.	Has given up once or twice.	from thesis work now and then.	supervisor.	and considers the work as his "own" project.	out of the project.			
e	management								
	1–3	4-5	6	7	8	9–10			
		Planning is without any detail,	Planning is somewhat concrete	Planning is quite concrete, but	Planning is quite concrete and				
N	to planning is made.	not feasible and backup	but not feasible and backup	some aspects of the planning	feasible, but backup strategies	feasible and backup strategies			
		strategies are lacking.	strategies are lacking.	are not feasible and backup strategies are insufficient.	are insufficient.	are sufficient.			
	al and sale sale of								
С	al and self-reflective capacity	4 5	6	7	8	9–10			
	1-3	4-5	ō		0	Student is able to point out		1	
	tudent doesn't realize the	Student is not able to point out	Student is able to point out	Student is able to point out	Student is able to point out	most of the strengths and			
		strengths and weaknesses of	some strengths and weaknesse:	many of the strengths and weaknesses of the research	most of the strengths and weaknesses of the research	weaknesses of the research (plan) and is able to give some			
	olan).	the research (plan).	of the research (plan).	(plan).	(plan).	constructive suggestions for			
						improvement.			
ıc	lling supervisor's comments								
	1-3	4-5	6	7	8	9–10		_	
	r de arde e e e e e e e e e	The supervisor needs to act as	Student incorporates some of	6	6	Supervisor's comments are			
	tudent does not pick up	an instructor and constantly	the comments of the	Student incorporates most or all of the supervisor's	Supervisor's comments are weighed by the student and	critically weighed by the student and asked for when			
	nervisor	needs to suggest solutions for							
		problems.	supervisor, but ignores others without arguments.	comments.	asked for when needed.	needed, also from other staff			
				comments.	asked for when needed.	needed, also from other staff members or students.			
			without arguments.		asked for when needed.			30-60%	1
		problems.	without arguments.  B. Research	Quality				30–60% Avg. Points	
		problems.	without arguments.	Quality				Avg. Points #DIV/0!	use
	s and processing: a) experiments 1–3	ntal work, b) data analysis, c) s	without arguments.  B. Research  oftware development, d) literal	Quality  ture analysis. Fill only relevant of	riteria.	members or students.  9–10  Student is able to setup or		Avg. Points #DIV/0!	use
	s and processing: a) experime 1–3	ntal work, b) data analysis, c) s 4-5  Student is able to execute	B. Research	Quality ture analysis. Fill only relevant of 7 Student is able to execute an	riteria.  8  Student is able to judge the	9–10  Student is able to setup or modify an experiment exactly		Avg. Points #DIV/0!	use
si	s and processing: a) experiments 1–3	ntal work, b) data analysis, c) s	B. Research oftware development, d) literal 6 Student is able to execute an experiment that has been designed by someone else	Quality  ture analysis. Fill only relevant of	riteria.  8  Student is able to judge the setup of an existing experiment	9–10  Student is able to setup or modify an experiment exactly		Avg. Points #DIV/0!	use
si	s and processing: a) experiments and processing: a) experiments tudent is not able to setup and/or execute an experiment.	ntal work, b) data analysis, c) s  4-5  Student is able to execute detailed instructions to some extent, but errors are made often, invalidating (part of) the	B. Research oftware development, d) literat 6 Student is able to execute an experiment that has been	Quality  ture analysis. Fill only relevant of  7  Student is able to execute an experiment that has been designed by someone else. Takes sources of error and	riteria.  8  Student is able to judge the setup of an existing experiment and to include modifications if needed. Take into account	9-10  Student is able to setup or modify an experiment exactly tailored to answering the research questions. Quantitative consideration of		Avg. Points #DIV/0!	use
Sit	s and processing: a) experiments and processing: a) experiments and to set up and/or execute an experiment.	ntal work, b) data analysis, c) s  4-5  Student is able to execute detailed instructions to some extent, but errors are made often, invalidating (part of) the experiment. Every single step	B. Research coftware development, d) literal 6 Student is able to execute an experiment that has been designed by someone else (without critical assessment of sources of error and uncertainty). Check of	Quality  ture analysis. Fill only relevant of  7  Student is able to execute an experiment that has been designed by someone else. Takes sources of error and uncertainty into account in a	riteria.  8  Student is able to judge the setup of an existing experiment and to include modifications if needed. Takes into account sources of error and	9–10  Student is able to setup or modify an experiment exactly tailored to answering the research questions.  Quantitative consideration of sources of error and		Avg. Points #DIV/0!	use imple
sii Si	s and processing: a) experiments and processing: a) experiments and to set up and/or execute an experiment.	ntal work, b) data analysis, c) s  4-5  Student is able to execute detailed instructions to some extent, but errors are made often, invalidating (part of) the	B. Research oftware development, d) literal 6 Student is able to execute an experiment that has been designed by someone else (without critical assessment of sources of error and	Quality  ture analysis. Fill only relevant of  7  Student is able to execute an experiment that has been designed by someone else. Takes sources of error and	riteria.  8  Student is able to judge the setup of an existing experiment and to include modifications if needed. Take into account	9-10  Student is able to setup or modify an experiment exactly tailored to answering the research questions. Quantitative consideration of		Avg. Points #DIV/0!	use imple
si	s and processing: a) experiments and processing: a) experiments and to set up and/or execute an experiment.	ntal work, b) data analysis, c) s  4-5  Student is able to execute detailed instructions to some extent, but errors are made often, invalidating (part of) the experiment. Every single step	B. Research oftware development, d) literat  6  Student is able to execute an experiment that has been designed by someone else (without critical assessment of sources of error and uncertainty). Check of supervisor is necessary.	Quality  ture analysis. Fill only relevant of  7  Student is able to execute an experiment that has been designed by someone else. Takes sources of error and uncertainty into account in a qualitative sense.	riteria.  8  Student is able to judge the setup of an existing experiment and to include modifications if needed. Takes into account sources of error and	9–10  Student is able to setup or modify an experiment exactly tailored to answering the research questions.  Quantitative consideration of sources of error and uncertainty. Execution of the		Avg. Points #DIV/0!	use
Si	s and processing: a) experimental and processing: a) experimental and processing: a second and processing and processing and an experimental and processing	ntal work, b) data analysis, c) s  4-5  Student is able to execute detailed instructions to some extent, but errors are made often, invalidating (part of) the experiment. Every single step	B. Research oftware development, d) literat  6  Student is able to execute an experiment that has been designed by someone else (without critical assessment of sources of error and uncertainty). Check of supervisor is necessary.	Quality  ture analysis. Fill only relevant of  7  Student is able to execute an experiment that has been designed by someone else. Takes sources of error and uncertainty into account in a qualitative sense.	student is able to judge the setup of an existing experiment and to include modifications if needed. Takes into account sources of error and uncertainty quantitatively.	9–10  Student is able to setup or modify an experiment exactly tailored to answering the research questions. Quantitative consideration of sources of error and uncertainty. Execution of the experiment is flawless.		Avg. Points #DIV/0!	use imple
Sia	s and processing: a) experiments and processing: a) experiments and to set up and/or execute an experiment.	ntal work, b) data analysis, c) s  4-5  Student is able to execute detailed instructions to some extent, but errors are made often, invalidating (part of) the experiment. Every single step has to be supervised.	B. Research oftware development, d) literal  6  Student is able to execute an experiment that has been designed by someone else (without critical assessment of sources of error and uncertainty). Deck of supervisor is necessary.  Student is able to organize data and perform some simple checks; but the way the data	Quality  ture analysis. Fill only relevant of  7  Student is able to execute an experiment that has been designed by someone else. Takes sources of error and uncertainty into account in a qualitative sense.	riteria.  8  Student is able to judge the setup of an existing experiment and to include modifications if needed. Takes into account sources of error and uncertainty quantitatively.  Student is able to organize the	9-10  Student is able to setup or modify an experiment exactly tailored to answering the research questions. Quantitative consideration of sources of error and uncertainty. Execution of the experiment is flawless.		Avg. Points #DIV/0!	use
Sia	s and processing: a) experiment 1–3  tudent is not able to setup and/or execute an experiment.  tudent is lost when using data. not able to use a spreadsheet rogram or any other	ntal work, b) data analysis, c) s  4-5  Student is able to execute detailed instructions to some extent, but errors are made often, invalidating (part of) the experiment. Every single step has to be supervised	B. Research  Oftware development, d) literal  6  Student is able to execute an experiment that has been designed by someone else (without critical assessment of sources of error and uncertainty). Check of supervisor is necessary.  Student is able to organize data and perform some simple checks; but the way the data are used does not learly.	Quality  ture analysis. Fill only relevant of  7  Student is able to execute an experiment that has been designed by someone else. Takes sources of error and uncertainty into account in a qualitative sense.  Student is able to organize the data, perform some basic checks and perform basic	student is able to judge the setup of an existing experiment and to include modifications if needed. Takes into account sources of error and uncertainty quantitatively.	9–10  Student is able to setup or modify an experiment exactly tailored to answering the research questions. Quantitative consideration of sources of error and uncertainty. Execution of the experiment is flawless.		Avg. Points #DIV/0!	use
Sils	s and processing: a) experimes  1–3  tudent is not able to setup nd/or execute an experiment.  tudent is lost when using data. in ot able to use a spreadsheet rogram or any other ppropriate data- processing	ntal work, b) data analysis, c) s  4-5  Student is able to execute detailed instructions to some extent, but errors are made often, invalidating (part of) the experiment. Every single step has to be supervised	B. Research oftware development, d) literal  6  Student is able to execute an experiment that has been designed by someone else (without critical assessment of sources of error and uncertainty). Check of supervisor is necessary.  Student is able to organize data and perform some simple checks; but the way the data are used does not clearly contribute to answering of the research questions and/or he is	Ture analysis. Fill only relevant of 7  Student is able to execute an experiment that has been designed by someone else. Takes sources of error and uncertainty into account in a qualitative sense.  Student is able to organize the data, perform some basic checks and perform basic analyses that contribute to the	riteria.  8  Student is able to judge the setup of an existing experiment and to include modifications if needed. Takes into account sources of error and uncertainty quantitatively.  Student is able to organize the	9–10  Student is able to setup or modify an experiment exactly tailored to answering the research questions. Quantitative consideration of sources of error and uncertainty. Execution of the experiment is flawless.  Student is able to organize the data, perform thorough checks and perform advanced and		Avg. Points #DIV/0!  leave empty if thesis had no focus on experiments	use imple
Sils	s and processing: a) experiment 1–3  tudent is not able to setup and/or execute an experiment.  tudent is lost when using data. not able to use a spreadsheet rogram or any other	ntal work, b) data analysis, c) s  4-5  Student is able to execute detailed instructions to some extent, but errors are made often, invalidating (part of) the experiment. Every single step has to be supervised	B. Research oftware development, d) literat  6  Student is able to execute an experiment that has been designed by someone else (without critical assessment of sources of error and uncertainty). Check of supervisor is necessary.  Student is able to organize data and perform some simple checks, but the way the data are used does not clearly contribute to answering of the research questions and/or he is unable to analyse the data	Quality  ture analysis. Fill only relevant of  7  Student is able to execute an experiment that has been designed by someone else. Takes sources of error and uncertainty into account in a qualitative sense.  Student is able to organize the data, perform some basic checks and perform basic analyses that contribute to the	riteria.  8  Student is able to judge the setup of an existing experiment and to include modifications if needed. Takes into account sources of error and uncertainty quantitatively.  Student is able to organize the data, perform commonly used checks and perform some	9–10  Student is able to setup or modify an experiment exactly tailored to answering the research questions. Quantitative consideration of sources of error and uncertainty. Execution of the experiment is flawless.  Student is able to organize the data, perform thorough checks and perform advanced and		Avg. Points #DIV/0!  leave empty if thesis had no focus on experiments	use imple
Sils	s and processing: a) experimes  1–3  tudent is not able to setup nd/or execute an experiment.  tudent is lost when using data. in ot able to use a spreadsheet rogram or any other ppropriate data- processing	ntal work, b) data analysis, c) s  4-5  Student is able to execute detailed instructions to some extent, but errors are made often, invalidating (part of) the experiment. Every single step has to be supervised	B. Research oftware development, d) literal  6  Student is able to execute an experiment that has been designed by someone else (without critical assessment of sources of error and uncertainty). Check of supervisor is necessary.  Student is able to organize data and perform some simple checks; but the way the data are used does not clearly contribute to answering of the research questions and/or he is	Ture analysis. Fill only relevant of 7  Student is able to execute an experiment that has been designed by someone else. Takes sources of error and uncertainty into account in a qualitative sense.  Student is able to organize the data, perform some basic checks and perform basic analyses that contribute to the	riteria.  8  Student is able to judge the setup of an existing experiment and to include modifications if needed. Takes into account sources of error and uncertainty quantitatively.  Student is able to organize the data, perform commonly used checks and perform some	9–10  Student is able to setup or modify an experiment exactly tailored to answering the research questions. Quantitative consideration of sources of error and uncertainty. Execution of the experiment is flawless.  Student is able to organize the data, perform thorough checks and perform advanced and		Avg. Points #DIV/0!  leave empty if thesis had no focus on experiments	use
Si Si Is	tudent is lost when using data. to table to use a spreadsheet rogram or any other propriate data-processing rogram.	ntal work, b) data analysis, c) s  4-5  Student is able to execute detailed instructions to some extent, but errors are made often, invalidating (part of) the experiment. Every single step has to be supervised  Student is able to organize the data, but is not able to perform checks and/or simple analyses.	B. Research coftware development, d) literal  6  Student is able to execute an experiment that has been designed by someone else (without critical assessment of sources of error and uncertainty). Check of supervisor is necessary.  Student is able to organize data and perform some simple checks; but the way the data are used does not clearly contribute to answering of the research questions and/or he is unable to analyse the data independently.	Student is able to execute an experiment that has been designed by someone else. Takes sources of error and uncertainty into account in a qualitative sense.  Student is able to organize the data, perform some basic checks and perform basic analyses that contribute to the research question.	student is able to judge the setup of an existing experiment and to include modifications if needed. Take into account sources of error and uncertainty quantitatively.  Student is able to organize the data, perform commonly used checks and perform some advanced analyses on the data.	9–10  Student is able to setup or modify an experiment exactly tailored to answering the research questions. Quantitative consideration of sources of error and uncertainty. Execution of the experiment is flawless.  Student is able to organize the data, perform thorough checks and perform advanced and original analyses on the data.		Avg. Points #DIV/0!	use impler
Silssi	s and processing: a) experimes  1–3  tudent is not able to setup nd/or execute an experiment.  tudent is lost when using data. inot able to use a spreadsheet rogram or any other ppropriate data- processing rogram.	ntal work, b) data analysis, c) s  4-5  Student is able to execute detailed instructions to some extent, but errors are made often, invalidating (part of) the experiment. Every single step has to be supervised.  Student is able to organize the data, but is not able to perform checks and/or simple analyses.	B. Research oftware development, d) literal  6  Student is able to execute an experiment that has been designed by someone else (without critical assessment of sources of error and uncertainty). Check of supervisor is necessary.  Student is able to organize data and perform some simple checks; but the way the data are used does not clearly contribute to answering of the research questions and/or he is unable to analyse the data independently.	Ture analysis. Fill only relevant of 7  Student is able to execute an experiment that has been designed by someone else. Takes sources of error and uncertainty into account in a qualitative sense.  Student is able to organize the data, perform some basic checks and perform basic analyses that contribute to the	riteria.  8  Student is able to judge the setup of an existing experiment and to include modifications if needed. Takes into account sources of error and uncertainty quantitatively.  Student is able to organize the data, perform commonly used checks and perform some advanced analyses on the data.  Student is able to develop a program from scratch, or add	9–10  Student is able to setup or modify an experiment exactly tailored to answering the research questions. Quantitative consideration of sources of error and uncertainty. Execution of the experiment is flawless.  Student is able to organize the data, perform thorough checks and perform advanced and original analyses on the data.		Avg. Points #DIV/0!  leave empty if thesis had no focus on experiments  leave empty if thesis had no focus on data analysis	use
Sils	s and processing: a) experime  1–3  tudent is not able to setup nd/or execute an experiment.  tudent is lost when using data. in ot able to use a spreadsheet rogram or any other ppropriate data-processing rogram.	ntal work, b) data analysis, c) s  4-5  Student is able to execute detailed instructions to some extent, but errors are made often, invalidating (part of) the experiment. Every single step has to be supervised.  Student is able to organize the data, but is not able to perform checks and/or simple analyses.	B. Research oftware development, d) literat  6  Student is able to execute an experiment that has been designed by someone else (without critical assessment of sources of error and uncertainty). Check of supervisor is necessary.  Student is able to organize data and perform some simple checks; but the way the data are used does not clearly contribute to answering of the research questions and/or he is unable to analyse the data independently.	Ture analysis. Fill only relevant of the state of the sta	student is able to judge the setup of an existing experiment and to include modifications if needed. Take into account sources of error and uncertainty quantitatively.  Student is able to organize the data, perform commonly used checks and perform some advanced analyses on the data.  Student is able to develop a program from scratch, or add an important new part to an existing one. Superficial	9–10  Student is able to setup or modify an experiment exactly tailored to answering the research questions. Quantitative consideration of sources of error and uncertainty. Execution of the experiment is flawless.  Student is able to organize the data, perform thorough checks and perform advanced and original analyses on the data.  Student is able to develop a program from scratch, or add an important new part to an existing one. Excellent		Avg. Points #DIV/0!	use imple
Sils	tudent is not able to setup nd/or execute an experiment.  tudent is lost when using data. not able to use a spreadsheet rogram or any other ppropriate data- processing rogram.	ntal work, b) data analysis, c) s  4-5  Student is able to execute detailed instructions to some extent, but errors are made often, invalidating (part of) the experiment. Every single step has to be supervised.  Student is able to organize the data, but is not able to perform checks and/or simple analyses.	B. Research oftware development, d) literal  6  Student is able to execute an experiment that has been designed by someone else (without critical assessment of sources of error and uncertainty). Check of supervisor is necessary.  Student is able to organize data and perform some simple checks; but the way the data are used does not clearly contribute to answering of the research questions and/or he is unable to analyse the data independently.	Type of the same o	riteria.  8  Student is able to judge the setup of an existing experiment and to include modifications if needed. Takes into account sources of error and uncertainty quantitatively.  Student is able to organize the data, perform commonly used checks and perform some advanced analyses on the data.  Student is able to develop a program from scratch, or add an important new part to an important new part to an	9–10  Student is able to setup or modify an experiment exactly tailored to answering the research questions. Quantitative consideration of sources of error and uncertainty. Execution of the experiment is flawless.  Student is able to organize the data, perform thorough checks and perform advanced and original analyses on the data.  Student is able to develop a program from scratch, or add an important new part to an important new part to an		Avg. Points #DIV/0!  leave empty if thesis had no focus on experiments  leave empty if thesis had no focus on data analysis	use imple
Sils	tudent is not able to setup nd/or execute an experiment.  tudent is lost when using data. not able to use a spreadsheet rogram or any other ppropriate data- processing rogram.	ntal work, b) data analysis, c) s  4-5  Student is able to execute detailed instructions to some extent, but errors are made often, invalidating (part of) the experiment. Every single step has to be supervised  Student is able to organize the data, but is not able to perform checks and/or simple analyses.  Student is able to make minor modifications (singular lines) to existing programs, but errors occur and persist. Insufficient	B. Research oftware development, d) literat  6  Student is able to execute an experiment that has been designed by someone else (without critical assessment of sources of error and uncertainty). Check of supervisor is necessary.  Student is able to organize data and perform some simple checks; but the way the data are used does not clearly contribute to answering of the research questions and/or he is unable to analyse the data independently.	Ture analysis. Fill only relevant of the state of the sta	riteria.  8  Student is able to judge the setup of an existing experiment and to include modifications in needed. Takes into account sources of error and uncertainty quantitatively.  Student is able to organize the data, perform commonly used checks and perform some advanced analyses on the data.  Student is able to develop a program from scratch, or add an important new part to an existing one. Superficial modeling, coding, and testing	9–10  Student is able to setup or modify an experiment exactly tailored to answering the research questions. Quantitative consideration of sources of error and uncertainty. Execution of the experiment is flawless.  Student is able to organize the data, perform thorough checks and perform advanced and original analyses on the data.  Student is able to develop a program from scratch, or add an important new part to an existing one. Excellent modeling, coding and testing skills.		Avg. Points #DIV/0!	use
Sils	tudent is not able to setup nd/or execute an experiment.  tudent is lost when using data. not able to use a spreadsheet rogram or any other ppropriate data- processing rogram.	ntal work, b) data analysis, c) s  4-5  Student is able to execute detailed instructions to some extent, but errors are made often, invalidating (part of) the experiment. Every single step has to be supervised  Student is able to organize the data, but is not able to perform checks and/or simple analyses.  Student is able to make minor modifications (singular lines) to existing programs, but errors occur and persist. Insufficient	B. Research oftware development, d) literal 6 Student is able to execute an experiment that has been designed by someone else (without critical assessment of sources of error and uncertainty). Check of supervisor is necessary.  Student is able to organize data and perform some simple checks; but the way the data are used does not clearly contribute to answering of the research questions and/or he is unable to analyse the data independently.	Student is able to execute an experiment that has been designed by someone else. Takes sources of error and uncertainty into account in a qualitative sense.  Student is able to organize the data, perform some basic checks and perform basic analyses that contribute to the research question.  Student is able to make major modifications to an existing program, based on literature or own analyses.	student is able to judge the setup of an existing experiment and to include modifications if needed. Take into account sources of error and uncertainty quantitatively.  Student is able to organize the data, perform commonly used checks and perform some advanced analyses on the data.  Student is able to develop a program from scratch, or add an important new part to an existing one. Superficial modeling, coding, and testing skills.	9-10  Student is able to setup or modify an experiment exactly tailored to answering the research questions. Quantitative consideration of sources of error and uncertainty. Execution of the experiment is flawless.  Student is able to organize the data, perform thorough checks and perform advanced and original analyses on the data.  Student is able to develop a program from scratch, or add an important new part to an existing one. Excellent		Avg. Points #DIV/0!	use
Si is	s and processing: a) experiments  1–3  tudent is not able to setup and/or execute an experiment.  tudent is lost when using data. In the state of the setup are setup and and the setup are setup and the setup are setup and the	ntal work, b) data analysis, c) s  4-5  Student is able to execute detailed instructions to some extent, but errors are made often, invalidating (part of) the experiment. Every single step has to be supervised.  Student is able to organize the data, but is not able to perform checks and/or simple analyses.  Student is able to make minor modifications (singular lines) to existing programs, but errors occur and persist. Insufficient testing.	B. Research  oftware development, d) literat  6  Student is able to execute an experiment that has been designed by someone else (without critical assessment of sources of error and uncertainty). Check of supervisor is necessary.  Student is able to organize data and perform some simple checks, but the way the data are used does not clearly contribute to answering of the research questions and/or he is unable to analyse the data independently.  Student is able to make major modifications to an existing model with help of supervisor.  Student is able to organize literature and comes to a surthesis that results in own.	Yeure analysis. Fill only relevant of a subject of the second of the sec	riteria.  8  Student is able to judge the setup of an existing experiment and to include modifications if needed. Takes into account sources of error and uncertainty quantitatively.  Student is able to organize the data, perform commonly used checks and perform some advanced analyses on the data.  Student is able to develop a program from scratch, or add an important new part to an existing one. Superficial modeling, coding, and testing skills.	9–10  Student is able to setup or modify an experiment exactly tailored to answering the research questions. Quantitative consideration of sources of error and uncertainty. Execution of the experiment is flawless.  Student is able to organize the data, perform thorough checks and perform advanced and original analyses on the data.  Student is able to develop a program from scratch, or add an important new part to an existing one. Excellent modeling, coding and testing skills.  Student is able to organize literature and critically evaluates the quality of his		Avg. Points #DIV/0!	use impler
Si a	tudent is not able to setup nd/or execute an experiment.  tudent is lost when using data. It is not able to use a spreadsheet rogram or any other poropriate data-processing rogram.  tudent is not able to make any nodification or addition to xisting programs.	ntal work, b) data analysis, c) s  4-5  Student is able to execute detailed instructions to some extent, but errors are made often, invalidating (part of) the experiment. Every single step has to be supervised  Student is able to organize the data, but is not able to perform checks and/or simple analyses.  Student is able to make minor modifications (singular lines) to existing programs, but errors occur and persist. Insufficient testing.	without arguments.  B. Research  oftware development, d) literat  6  Student is able to execute an experiment that has been designed by someone else (without critical assessment of sources of error and uncertainty). Check of supervisor is necessary.  Student is able to organize data and perform some simple checks, but the way the data are used does not clearly contribute to answering of the research questions and/or he is unable to analyse the data independently.  Student is able to make major modifications to an existing model with help of supervisor.  Student is able to organize literature and comes to a synthesis that results in own insights, hypotheses or	Yeure analysis. Fill only relevant of a subject of the second of the sec	riteria.  8  Student is able to judge the setup of an existing experiment and to include modifications if needed. Takes into account sources of error and uncertainty quantitatively.  Student is able to organize the data, perform commonly used checks and perform some advanced analyses on the data.  Student is able to develop a program from scratch, or add an important new part to an existing one. Superficial modeling, coding, and testing skills.  Student is able to organize literature and critically evaluates the quality of his literature ones. He comes to	9-10  Student is able to setup or modify an experiment exactly tailored to answering the research questions. Quantitative consideration of sources of error and uncertainty. Execution of the experiment is flawless.  Student is able to organize the data, perform thorough checks and perform advanced and original analyses on the data.  Student is able to develop a program from scratch, or add an important new part to an existing one. Excellent modeling, coding and testing skills.  Student is able to organize literature and critically evaluates the quality of his literature sources. He comes to		Avg. Points #DIV/0!  leave empty if thesis had no focus on experiments  leave empty if thesis had no focus on data analysis  leave empty if thesis had no focus on data analysis	use
Si S	tudent is not able to setup nd/or execute an experiment.  tudent is lost when using data. In our able to use a spreadsheet rogram or any other propriate data-processing rogram.  tudent is not able to make any nodification or addition to wisting programs.	ntal work, b) data analysis, c) s  4-5  Student is able to execute detailed instructions to some extent, but errors are made often, invalidating (part of) the experiment. Every single step has to be supervised  Student is able to organize the data, but is not able to perform checks and/or simple analyses.  Student is able to make minor modifications (singuijar lines) to existing programs, but errors occur and persist. Insufficient testing.  Student is able to organize the literature, but is not able come to a synthesis that results in own insights, hypotheses or	B. Research  Oftware development, d) literal  6  Student is able to execute an experiment that has been designed by someone else (without critical assessment of sources of error and uncertainty). Check of supervisor is necessary.  Student is able to organize data and perform some simple checks; but the way the data are used does not clearly contribute to answering of the research questions and/or he is unable to analyse the data independently.  Student is able to make major modifications to an existing model with help of supervisor.  Student is able to make major modifications to an existing model with help of supervisor.	Ture analysis. Fill only relevant of 7  Student is able to execute an experiment that has been designed by someone else. Takes sources of error and uncertainty into account in a qualitative sense.  Student is able to organize the data, perform some basic checks and perform basic analyses that contribute to the research question.  Student is able to make major modifications to an existing program, based on literature or own analyses.  Student is able to organize literature and comes to a synthesis that results in own insights, hypotheses or	riteria.  8  Student is able to judge the setup of an existing experiment and to include modifications if needed. Takes into account sources of error and uncertainty quantitatively.  Student is able to organize the data, perform commonly used checks and perform some advanced analyses on the data.  Student is able to develop a program from scratch, or add an important new part to an existing one. Superficial modeling, coding, and testing skills.  Student is able to organize literature and critically evaluates the quality of his literature sources. He comes to a synthesis that results in own	9–10  Student is able to setup or modify an experiment exactly tailored to answering the research questions. Quantitative consideration of sources of error and uncertainty. Execution of the experiment is flawless.  Student is able to organize the data, perform thorough checks and perform advanced and original analyses on the data.  Student is able to develop a program from scratch, or add an important new part to an existing one. Excellent modeling, coding and testing skills.  Student is able to organize literature and critically evaluates the quality of his literature sources. He comes to an original synthesis that results in own original insights, in own original insights,		Avg. Points #DIV/0!  leave empty if thesis had no focus on experiments  leave empty if thesis had no focus on data analysis  leave empty if thesis had no focus on data analysis	use (
Si S	tudent is not able to setup nd/or execute an experiment.  tudent is lost when using data. In our able to use a spreadsheet rogram or any other propriate data-processing rogram.  tudent is not able to make any nodification or addition to wisting programs.	ntal work, b) data analysis, c) s  4-5  Student is able to execute detailed instructions to some extent, but errors are made often, invalidating (part of) the experiment. Every single step has to be supervised  Student is able to organize the data, but is not able to perform checks and/or simple analyses.  Student is able to make minor modifications (singular lines) to existing programs, but errors occur and persist. Insufficient testing.	without arguments.  B. Research  oftware development, d) literat  6  Student is able to execute an experiment that has been designed by someone else (without critical assessment of sources of error and uncertainty). Check of supervisor is necessary.  Student is able to organize data and perform some simple checks, but the way the data are used does not clearly contribute to answering of the research questions and/or he is unable to analyse the data independently.  Student is able to make major modifications to an existing model with help of supervisor.  Student is able to organize literature and comes to a synthesis that results in own insights, hypotheses or	Student is able to execute an experiment that has been designed by someone else. Takes sources of error and uncertainty into account in a qualitative sense.  Student is able to organize the data, perform some basic checks and perform basic analyses that contribute to the research question.  Student is able to make major modifications to an existing program, based on literature or own analyses.  Student is able to organize the interest of the research question.	riteria.  8  Student is able to judge the setup of an existing experiment and to include modifications if needed. Takes into account sources of error and uncertainty quantitatively.  Student is able to organize the data, perform commonly used checks and perform some advanced analyses on the data.  Student is able to develop a program from scratch, or add an important new part to an existing one. Superficial modeling, coding, and testing skills.  Student is able to organize literature and critically evaluates the quality of his literature sources. He comes to a synthesis that results in own	members or students.  9–10  Student is able to setup or modify an experiment exactly tailored to answering the research questions. Quantitative consideration of sources of error and uncertainty. Execution of the experiment is flawless.  Student is able to organize the data, perform thorough checks and perform advanced and original analyses on the data.  Student is able to develop a program from scratch, or add an important new part to an existing one. Excellent modeling, coding and testing skills.  Student is able to organize literature and critically evaluates the quality of his literature sources. He comes to an original synthesis that results		Avg. Points #DIV/0!	wse to simplement the same the

**Bachelor Thesis by XXX** XXX

> 40% use 30% for

> > heavy thesis

## C. Written Thesis Avg. Points #DIV/0! 1. Problem definition, research setup, theoretical underpinning, and description of methods and data There is no researchable The research questions and the delineation are mostly clear but Most research questions are The research questions are The research questions are research question and the unclear, or not researchable mostly clear but could have clear and formulated to-the clear and researchable and the delineation of the research is and the delineation of the been defined sharper at some could have been defined point and limits of the research delineation is clear. sharper at some points. The context of the topic at The link between the thesis Context of the research is Context of the research is Research is positioned sharply defined well, with input from the student. There is a link between the context and defined sharply and to-the-point. Research questions emerge directly from the No link is made to existing hand is described in broad research and existing research in the relevant scientific field. research on the topic. No research context is described. terms but there is no link between what is known and does not go beyond the information provided by the Student is able to indicate the novelty and innovation of the what will be researched. supervisor. research questions. described context. research. Student has found the relevant theories, but the description has not been tailored to the Student has found the relevant Student has found the relevant Clear, complete and coherent overview of relevant theories. Exactly tailored to the project There is some discussion of theories, and has been partially successful in tailoring the description to the project at theories, makes a synthesis of those, and has been successful in tailoring the description to #DIV/0! underlying theories, but the No discussion of underlying description shows serious project at hand or shows errors. at hand. occasional errors. hand. Few errors occur the project at hand. Some aspects of the project regarding methods and analysis of information are described Description of methods and Description of methods and analysis of data or information is mostly complete, but there analysis of information or data is lacking in a number of places. No description of methods Insufficient information on methods and insufficient analysis of the information. insufficiently. Used methods are lacking some details. Used and/or analysis of the is appropriate, complete and Used methods and analysis of nformation/data. and analysis of methods and analysis of data or information mostly clear data/information are not data/information are appropriate. always appropriate 2. Use of literature No peer-reviewed or primary Relevant peer-reviewed papers Mostly peer-reviewed papers or Almost exclusively peer-Some peer-reviewed papers in specialized monographs in reference list. An occasional reference may be less relevant. All papers included are Websites / gray literature only scientific papers in reference Only a couple of peer-reviewed in reference list but also some reference list but also a list except for those already suggested by the supervisor papers in reference list (although better references gray literature or text books (although better references significant body of gray literature (although better exist). Some included (although better references references exist). exist). references less relevant used where appropriate. only used where appropriate #DIV/0I Student is inconsequent in Student uses one format for Student uses one format for Student is inconsequent in references in the text and/or Student is sometimes references in the text and references in the text and reference list. All reference list entries are complete and references in the text and/or references in the text anglor reference list or references are ambiguous (i.e. often only at end of paragraphs). reference list. In addition, all statements in the text are quoted appropriately. ent in references in eference list or frequently the text and/or reference list. 3. Structure, clarity of argumentation, discussion, and conclusions Main structure is correct, but lower level hierarchy of sections Main structure correct, but Well-structured: each section Most sections have a clear and unique function. Hierarchy of has a clear and unique function Thesis badly structured. In some places, and placement of is not logical in places. Some placement of material in (use of signpost paragraphs to sections is mostly correct. many cases information material in different chapters sections have overlapping different chapters illogical in link sections). Hierarchy of Ordering of sections is mostly Illigical in many places. Level of functions leading to ambiguity detail varies widely (information missing, or irrelevant information given). (information missing, or appears in wrong locations. Level of detail is inappropriate places. Level of detail sections is correct. Ordering of logical. All information occurs sections is correct. Ordering of sections is logical. All information occurs at the correct place. Level of detail is at the correct place, with few exceptions. In most places level of detail is appropriate. hroughout. irrelevant information given) appropriate throughout. Conclusions are drawn, but in many cases these are only Clear link between research Most conclusions well-linked to research questions and questions and questions and conclusions. A Conclusions are linked to the research questions, but not all No link between research partial answers to the research questions and conclusions. All Conclusions substantiated by questions are addressed. Some substantiated by results questions, results, and question. Conclusions merely conclusions substantiated by results. Conclusions are conclusions are not Conclusions mostly formulated onclusions. repeat results or conclusions results. Conclusions are formulated exact and concise substantiated by results or clearly but some vagueness in are not substantiated by formulated exact. Recommendations are to-the Some recommendations are given, but the link of those to point, well-linked to the conclusions, original and are Recommendations are to-the-point, well-linked to the Recommendations are well-#DIV/0! Recommendations are trivial. No recommendations given. sions is not always linked to the conclusions. extensive enough to serve as conclusions and original clear project description for a new thesis project. Student indicates all No discussion and/or reflection Student identifies only some Student indicates most Student indicates most Student indicates most weaknesses in the research, but weaknesses in the research and does not weigh their impact on the main results relative to each other. Student indicates most Student is able to identify all possible weaknesses and/or points at weaknesses which in reality irrelevant or nonpossible weaknesses in the research and to indicate which weaknesses affect the on only touches trivial or very general points of criticism. existent. each other. each other. conclusions most. used are indicated. Student critically confronts results to existing literature a in case of conflicts is able to weigh own results relative to obvious conflicts and correspondences with existing conflicts and correspondences No confrontation with existing with literature and can identify existing literature but existing literature, some literature; tries to describe the literature. existing literature. Student is incomplete and irrelevant. relevance. added value of his study but the added value of his research able to identify the contribution does not relate this to existing relative to existing literature. of his work to the development 4. Language and presentation

1-3	4-5	6	7	8	9-10	
Formulations in the text are often incorrect or inexact, inhibiting a correct interpretation of the text.		The text is ambiguous in some places but this does not always inhibit a correct interpretation of the text.	Formulations in text are predominantly clear and exact. Thesis report could have been written more concisely.	Formulations in text are clear and exact, as well as concise.	Textual quality of thesis is such that it could be acceptable for a peer- reviewed journal.	
English incorrect and unreadable. Spelling and grammar errors too many to count.	English incorrect and very hard to read. Spelling and grammar errors so numerous that they make the thesis almost impossible to understand.	English somehow correct but not pleasant to read. Spelling and grammar errors numerous.	English basically correct and readable. Spelling and grammar errors present but at acceptable quantities.	English correct and pleasant to read. Some spelling and grammar errors.	English fluent and pleasant to read. Few spelling/grammar errors. English (almost) at the level of what is written in peerreviewed journals.	#DIV/0!
No tables and/or no figures and/or inconsistent or poor page layout throughout the thesis.	Tables and figures not always referenced in the text. Quality of table layout and/or figures is poor. Distracting layout errors.	All tables and figures are always referenced, but their quality disturbs interpretation and/or layout inconsistencies or poor choice of fonts, margins, etc.	Tables and figures are readable but miss comprehensive captions and/or are not discussed/interpreted in the main text.	All tables and figures are concise and easy to read, have comprehensive captions, and are worked with in the main text. The layout is consistent and of high quality.	Thesis is layed out very pleasantly (textbook quality), adhering to highest typographical standards.	

bis	95	1,0
	90	1,3
	85	1,7
	80	2
	75	2,3
	70	2,7
	65	3