

Explicit CN Soundness Proof

Dhruv Makwana

June 16, 2021

1 Typing Judgements

$object_value_jtype$	$::=$ $ \quad \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi \vdash object_value \Rightarrow \mathbf{obj} \beta$
$pval_jtype$	$::=$ $ \quad \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi \vdash pval \Rightarrow \beta$
res_jtype	$::=$ $ \quad \Phi \vdash res \equiv res'$ $ \quad \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash res_term \Leftarrow res$
$spine_jtype$	$::=$ $ \quad \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash \overline{x_i = spine_elem_i}^i :: arg \gg \sigma; ret$
$pexpr_jtype$	$::=$ $ \quad \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi \vdash pexpr \Rightarrow ident:\beta. term$
$tpval_jtype$	$::=$ $ \quad \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi \vdash tpval \Leftarrow ident:\beta. term$
$tpexpr_jtype$	$::=$ $ \quad \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi \vdash tpexpr \Leftarrow ident:\beta. term$
$action_jtype$	$::=$ $ \quad \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash mem_action \Rightarrow ret$
$memop_jtype$	$::=$ $ \quad \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash mem_op \Rightarrow ret$
seq_expr_jtype	$::=$ $ \quad \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash seq_expr \Rightarrow ret$
is_expr_jtype	$::=$ $ \quad \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash is_expr \Rightarrow ret$
$tval_jtype$	$::=$

$$\begin{array}{l|l}
& \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash tval \Leftarrow ret \\
\\
\text{texpr_jtype} & ::= \\
& | \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash seq_texpr \Leftarrow ret \\
& | \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash is_texpr \Leftarrow ret \\
& | \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash texpr \Leftarrow ret
\end{array}$$

2 Weakening

If $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}'$ and $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash J$ then $\mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}' \vdash J$.

3 Substitution

Weakening for substitution: as above, but with $J = (\sigma) : (\mathcal{C}''; \mathcal{L}''; \Phi''; \mathcal{R}'')$.

If $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash (\sigma) : (\mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}')$ and $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}'; \Phi, \Phi'; \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}' \vdash J$ then $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash \sigma(J)$.

4 Opsem Judgements

$$\begin{array}{l|l}
\text{pure_opsem_jtype} & ::= \\
& | \langle pexpr \rangle \longrightarrow \langle pexpr' \rangle \\
& | \langle pexpr \rangle \longrightarrow \langle tpepr:(y;\beta. term) \rangle \\
& | \langle tpepr \rangle \longrightarrow \langle tpepr' \rangle \\
\\
\text{opsem_jtype} & ::= \\
& | \langle seq_expr \rangle \longrightarrow \langle texpr:ret \rangle \\
& | \langle h; seq_texpr \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'; texpr \rangle \\
& | \langle h; mem_op \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'; tval \rangle \\
& | \langle h; mem_action \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'; tval \rangle \\
& | \langle h; is_expr \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'; is_expr' \rangle \\
& | \langle h; is_texpr \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'; texpr \rangle \\
& | \langle h; texpr \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'; texpr' \rangle
\end{array}$$

5 Progress

If $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R} \vdash e \Leftrightarrow t$ then either $\text{value}(e)$ or $\forall h : R. \exists e', h'. \langle h; e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'; e' \rangle$.

6 Framing

If $\langle h_1; e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'_1; e' \rangle$ and h_1, h_2 disjoint then $\langle h_1 + h_2; e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'_1 + h_2; e' \rangle$.

7 Type Preservation

If $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R} \vdash e \Leftrightarrow t$ then $\forall h : \mathcal{R}, e', h' : \mathcal{R}'. \langle h; e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'; e' \rangle \implies \cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R}' \vdash e' \Leftrightarrow t$.