

Explicit CN Soundness Proof

Dhruv Makwana

July 5, 2021

1 Weakening

If $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}'$ and $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash J$ then $\mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}' \vdash J$.

PROOF STRATEGY: Induction over the typing judgements.

ASSUME: 1. $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}'$.
2. $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash J$.

PROVE: $\mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}' \vdash J$.

2 Substitution

2.1 Weakening for Substitution

Weakening for substitution: as above, but with $J = (\sigma) : (\mathcal{C}''; \mathcal{L}''; \Phi''; \mathcal{R}'')$.

PROOF STRATEGY: Induction over the substitution.

ASSUME: 1. $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}'$.
2. $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash (\sigma) : (\mathcal{C}''; \mathcal{L}''; \Phi''; \mathcal{R}'')$.

PROVE: $\mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}' \vdash (\sigma) : (\mathcal{C}''; \mathcal{L}''; \Phi''; \mathcal{R}'')$.

2.2 Substitution Lemma

If $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash (\sigma) : (\mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}')$ and $\mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}' \vdash J$ then $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash \sigma(J)$.

PROOF STRATEGY: Induction over the typing judgements.

ASSUME: 1. $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash (\sigma) : (\mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}')$.
2. $\mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}' \vdash J$.

PROVE: $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash \sigma(J)$.

$\langle 1 \rangle$ 1. CASE: `TY_PVAL_VAR`.

$\mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi' \vdash x \Rightarrow \beta$

$\langle 2 \rangle$ 1. Have $x : \beta \in \mathcal{C}'$ (or $x : \beta \in \mathcal{L}'$).

$\langle 2 \rangle$ 2. So $\exists pval. \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi \vdash pval \Rightarrow \beta$ by `TY_SUBS_CONS_{\{COMP, LOG\}}`.

$\langle 2 \rangle$ 3. Since $pval = \sigma(x)$, we are done.

⟨1⟩2. CASE: TY_TPE_LET.

$\mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi' \vdash \text{let } ident_or_pattern = pexpr \text{ in } tpepr \Leftarrow y_2:\beta_2. term_2.$

⟨2⟩1. By induction,

1. $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi \vdash \sigma(pexpr) \Rightarrow y_1:\beta. \sigma(term_1)$
2. $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}_1; \mathcal{L}, y_1:\beta; \Phi, term_1, \Phi' \vdash \sigma(tpepr) \Leftarrow y_2:\beta. \sigma(term_2).$

⟨2⟩2. $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi \vdash \sigma(\text{let } ident_or_pattern = pexpr \text{ in } tpepr) \Leftarrow y_2:\beta_2. \sigma(term_2)$ as required.

⟨1⟩3. CASE: TY_TVAL_LOG.

$\mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}' \vdash \text{done } pval, \overline{spine_elem_i}^i \Leftarrow \exists y:\beta. ret.$

⟨2⟩1. By inversion and then induction,

1. $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi \vdash \sigma(pval) \Rightarrow \beta$
2. $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash \sigma(\text{done } \overline{spine_elem_i}^i) \Leftarrow \sigma(pval/y. \cdot (ret)).$

⟨2⟩2. Therefore $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash \sigma(\text{done } pval, \overline{spine_elem_i}^i) \Leftarrow \exists y:\beta. \sigma(ret).$

⟨1⟩4. CASE: TY_SPINE_RES.

$\mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}'_1, \mathcal{R}_2 \vdash x = res_term, \overline{x_i = spine_elem_i}^i :: res \multimap arg \gg res_term/x, \psi; ret$

⟨2⟩1. By inversion and then induction,

1. $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}_1 \vdash \sigma(res_term) \Leftarrow \sigma(res).$
2. $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}_2 \vdash \overline{x_i = \sigma(spine_elem_i)}^i :: \sigma(res) \multimap \sigma(arg) \gg \sigma(\psi); \sigma(ret).$

⟨2⟩2. Hence $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2 \vdash x = \sigma(res_term), \overline{x_i = \sigma(spine_elem_i)}^i :: \sigma(res \multimap arg) \gg \sigma(res_term/x, \psi); \sigma(ret)$ as required.

2.3 Identity Extension

If $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash (\sigma):(\mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}')$ then $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R} \vdash (\sigma, id):(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}'; \Phi, \Phi'; \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}')$.

PROOF SKETCH: Induction over the substitution.

ASSUME: $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash (\sigma):(\mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}')$.

PROVE: $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R} \vdash (\sigma, id):(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}'; \Phi, \Phi'; \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}')$.

⟨1⟩1. $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}_1 \vdash (id):(\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}_1).$

PROOF: By induction on each of $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}_1$.

⟨1⟩2. $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R} \vdash (\sigma, id):(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}'; \Phi, \Phi'; \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}')$

PROOF: By induction on σ with base case as above.

2.4 Let-friendly Substitution Lemma

If $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash (\sigma):(\mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}')$ and $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}'; \Phi, \Phi'; \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}' \vdash J$ then $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R} \vdash \sigma(J).$

PROOF SKETCH: Apply identity extension then substitution lemma.

ASSUME: 1. $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash (\sigma):(\mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}')$.

2. $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}'; \Phi, \Phi'; \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}' \vdash J.$

PROVE: $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R} \vdash \sigma(J).$

$\langle 1 \rangle 1. \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash (\sigma, \text{id}) : (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}'; \Phi, \Phi'; \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}').$

PROOF: Apply identity extension to 1.

$\langle 1 \rangle 2. \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R} \vdash (\sigma, \text{id})(J).$

PROOF: Apply substitution lemma (2.2) to $\langle 1 \rangle 1.$

$\langle 1 \rangle 3. \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R} \vdash \sigma(J).$

PROOF: $\text{id}(J) = J.$

3 Progress

If $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R} \vdash e \Leftrightarrow t$ then either $\text{value}(e)$ or $\forall h : R. \exists e', h'. \langle h; e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'; e' \rangle.$

PROOF STRATEGY: Induction over the typing rules.

ASSUME: $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R} \vdash e \Leftrightarrow t.$

PROVE: either $\text{value}(e)$ or $\forall h : R. \exists e', h'. \langle h; e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'; e' \rangle.$

4 Framing

If $\langle h_1; e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'_1; e' \rangle$ and h_1, h_2 disjoint then $\langle h_1 + h_2; e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'_1 + h_2; e' \rangle.$

PROOF STRATEGY: Induction over the operational rules.

ASSUME: 1. $\langle h_1; e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'_1; e' \rangle.$

2. h_1, h_2 disjoint.

PROVE: $\langle h_1 + h_2; e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'_1 + h_2; e' \rangle.$

5 Type Preservation

5.1 Ty_Spine_* and Decons_Arg_* construct same substitution and return type

If $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash \overline{x_i = \text{spine_elem}_i^i}^i :: \text{arg} \gg \sigma; \text{ret}$ and $\overline{x_i = \text{spine_elem}_i^i}^i :: \text{arg} \gg \sigma'; \text{ret}'$ then $\sigma = \sigma'$ and $\text{ret} = \text{ret}'.$

PROOF SKETCH: Induction over $\text{arg}.$

5.2 Pointed-to values have type β_τ

For $pt = _ \check{\vdash}_\tau pval$, if $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash pt \Leftarrow pt$ then $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi \vdash pval \Rightarrow \beta_\tau.$

PROOF SKETCH: Induction over the typing judgements. Only `TY_ACTION_STORE` create such permissions, and its premise $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi \vdash pval_1 \Rightarrow \beta_\tau$ ensures the desired property. `TY_ACTION_LOAD` simply preserves the property.

5.3 Deconstructing a pattern leads to a well-typed substitution

First, computational part.

ASSUME: 1. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash pval \Rightarrow \beta_1.$

2. $\text{ident_or_pattern} : \beta \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{C} \text{ with term}.$

3. $\text{ident_or_pattern} = \text{pval} \rightsquigarrow \sigma$.

PROVE: $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash (\sigma):(\mathcal{C}; \cdot; \cdot)$.

PROOF SKETCH: By induction over 2.

$\langle 1 \rangle 1$. CASE: $\text{TY_PAT_SYM_OR_PATTERN_SYM}$ and $\text{TY_PAT_COMP_SYM_ANNOT}$.

$\sigma = \text{pval}/x, \cdot$ and $\mathcal{C} = \cdot, x:\beta$.

PROOF: By TY_SUBS_CONS_COMP and 1 and TY_SUBS_CONS_PHI .

$\langle 1 \rangle 2$. CASE: $\text{TY_PAT_NO_SYM_ANNOT}$ and TY_PAT_COMP_NIL .

σ and \mathcal{C} are empty.

PROOF: By TY_SUBS_EMPTY , we are done.

$\langle 1 \rangle 3$. CASE: $\text{TY_PAT_COMP}\{\text{SPECIFIED}, \text{CONS}, \text{TUPLE}, \text{ARRAY}\}$.

PROOF: By induction (and concatenating well-typed substitutions).

Now, resource part.

ASSUME: 1. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash \text{res_term} \Leftarrow \text{res}$.

2. $\text{res_pattern}:\text{res} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}'$.

3. $\text{res_pattern} = \text{res_term} \rightsquigarrow \sigma$.

PROVE: $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash (\sigma):(\cdot; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}')$.

PROOF SKETCH: By induction over 2.

$\langle 1 \rangle 1$. CASE: TY_PAT_RES_EMPTY .

$\text{res_pattern} = \text{res_term} = \text{res} = \mathbf{emp}$. $\sigma, \mathcal{L}, \Phi, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}'$ are all empty.

PROOF: By TY_SUBS_EMPTY , we are done.

$\langle 1 \rangle 2$. CASE: $\text{TY_PAT_RES_POINTSTO}$.

$\text{res_pattern} = \text{res_term} = \text{res} = \text{pt}$. $\sigma = \cdot, \mathcal{L} = \cdot, \Phi = \cdot, \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}' = \cdot, \text{pt}$.

PROOF: By $\text{TY_SUBS_CONS_RES_ANON}$.

$\langle 1 \rangle 3$. CASE: TY_PAT_RES_VAR .

$\text{res_pattern} = r$, $\sigma = \text{res_term}/x, \cdot$, $\mathcal{L} = \cdot$, $\Phi = \cdot$, $\mathcal{R}' = \cdot, x:\text{res}$.

PROOF: By $\text{TY_SUBS_CONS_RES_NAMED}$.

$\langle 1 \rangle 4$. CASE: $\text{TY_PAT_RES_SEPCONJ}$.

PROOF: By induction (and concatenating well-typed substitutions).

$\langle 1 \rangle 5$. CASE: TY_PAT_RES_CONJ .

PROOF: By induction and TY_SUBS_CONS_PHI .

$\langle 1 \rangle 6$. CASE: TY_PAT_RES_PACK .

$\text{res_pattern} = \mathbf{pack}(x, \text{res_pattern}')$, $\text{res_term} = \mathbf{pack}(\text{pval}, \text{res_term}')$, $\text{res} = \exists x:\beta. \text{res}'$.

$\sigma = \text{pval}/x, \sigma', \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}', x:\beta, \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}'$.

PROOF: By induction and TY_SUBS_CONS_LOG .

Now, full proof.

ASSUME: 1. $\overline{\text{ret_pattern}_i = \text{spine_elem}_i^i} \rightsquigarrow \sigma$.

2. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash \mathbf{done} \text{ spine_elem}_i^i \Leftarrow \text{ret}$.

3. $\overline{\text{ret_pattern}_i^i}:\text{ret} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}'$.

PROVE: $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash (\sigma):(\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}')$.

PROOF SKETCH: Induction on 3. Base case by `TY_SUBS_EMPTY`. `TY_RET_PAT_{COMP,RES}` by induction, well-typed computational / resource substitutions and concatenating well-typed substitutions. `TY_RET_PAT_{LOG,PHI}` by induction and `TY_SUBS_CONS_{LOG,PHI}`.

5.4 Type Preservation Statement and Proof

If $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R} \vdash e \Leftrightarrow t$ then $\forall h : \mathcal{R}, e', h' : \mathcal{R}'. \langle h; e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'; e' \rangle \implies \cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R}' \vdash e' \Leftrightarrow t$.

PROOF SKETCH: Induction over the typing rules.

ASSUME: 1. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R} \vdash e \Leftrightarrow t$
 2. arbitrary $h : \mathcal{R}, e', h' : \mathcal{R}'$
 3. $\langle h; e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'; e' \rangle$.

PROVE: $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R}' \vdash e' \Leftrightarrow t$.

$\langle 1 \rangle 1$. CASE: `TY_PE_ARRAY_SHIFT`.

LET: $term = mem_ptr +_{ptr} (mem_int \times \text{size-of}(\tau))$.

ASSUME: 1. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash \text{array_shift}(mem_ptr, \tau, mem_int) \Rightarrow y:\text{loc}. y = term$.

2. $\langle \text{array_shift}(mem_ptr, \tau, mem_int) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle mem_ptr' \rangle$.

PROVE: $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash mem_ptr' \Rightarrow y:\text{loc}. y = term$.

PROOF: By `TY_PVAL_OBJ_INT`, `TY_PVAL_OBJ`, `TY_PE_VAL` and construction of mem_ptr' (inversion on 2).

$\langle 1 \rangle 2$. CASE: `TY_PE_MEMBER_SHIFT`.

PROOF SKETCH: Similar to `TY_ARRAY_SHIFT`.

$\langle 1 \rangle 3$. CASE: `TY_PE_NOT`.

ASSUME: 1. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash \text{not}(bool_value) \Rightarrow y:\text{bool}. y = \neg bool_value$.

2. $\langle \text{not}(\text{True}) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \text{False} \rangle$ or $\langle \text{not}(\text{False}) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \text{True} \rangle$.

PROVE: $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash bool_value' \Rightarrow y:\text{bool}. y = \neg bool_value$.

PROOF: By `TY_PVAL_{TRUE,FALSE}`, `TY_PE_VAL` and 2.

$\langle 1 \rangle 4$. CASE: `TY_PE_ARITH_BINOP`.

LET: $term = mem_int_1 \text{ binop}_{arith} mem_int_2$.

ASSUME: 1. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash mem_int_1 \text{ binop}_{arith} mem_int_2 \Rightarrow y:\text{integer}. y = term$.

2. $\langle mem_int_1 \text{ binop}_{arith} mem_int_2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle mem_int \rangle$.

PROVE: $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash mem_int \Rightarrow y:\text{integer}. y = term$.

PROOF: By `TY_PVAL_OBJ_INT`, `TY_PVAL_OBJ`, `TY_PE_VAL` and construction of mem_int (inversion on 2).

$\langle 1 \rangle 5$. CASE: `TY_PE_{REL,BOOL}_BINOP`.

PROOF SKETCH: Similar to `TY_PE_ARITH_BINOP`.

$\langle 1 \rangle 6$. CASE: `TY_PE_CALL`.

PROOF: See `TY_SEQ_E_CALL` for a more general case and proof.

$\langle 1 \rangle 7$. CASE: `TY_PE_ASSERT_UNDEF`.

ASSUME: 1. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash \text{assert_undef}(\text{True}, UB_name) \Rightarrow y:\text{unit}. y = \text{unit}$.

2. $\langle \text{assert_undef}(\text{True}, UB_name) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \text{Unit} \rangle$.

PROVE: $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash \text{Unit} \Rightarrow y:\text{unit}. y = \text{unit}$.

PROOF: By TY_PVAL_UNIT and TY_PE_VAL .

$\langle 1 \rangle 8$. CASE: $\text{TY_PE_BOOL_TO_INTEGER}$.

LET: $term = \text{if } bool_value \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0$.

ASSUME: 1. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash \text{bool_to_integer}(bool_value) \Rightarrow y:\text{integer}. y = term$.

2. $\langle \text{bool_to_integer}(\text{True}) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle 1 \rangle$ or $\langle \text{bool_to_integer}(\text{False}) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle 0 \rangle$.

PROVE: $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash mem_int \Rightarrow y:\text{integer}. y = term$

PROOF: By cases on $bool_value$, then applying $\text{TY_PVAL}\{-\text{TRUE}, \text{FALSE}\}$ and TY_PE_VAL .

$\langle 1 \rangle 9$. CASE: TY_PE_WRAP .

PROOF SKETCH: Similar to $\text{TY_PE_BOOL_TO_INTEGER}$, except by cases on $abbrev_2 \leq \max_int_\tau$, then applying TY_PVAL_OBJ_INT , TY_PVAL_OBJ and TY_PE_VAL .

$\langle 1 \rangle 10$. CASE: TY_TPE_IF .

PROOF: See TY_SEQ_TE_IF for a more general case and proof.

$\langle 1 \rangle 11$. CASE: TY_TPE_LET .

PROOF: See TY_SEQ_TE_LET for a more general case and proof.

$\langle 1 \rangle 12$. CASE: TY_TPE_LETT .

PROOF: See TY_SEQ_TE_LETT for a more general case and proof.

$\langle 1 \rangle 13$. CASE: TY_TPE_CASE .

PROOF: See TY_SEQ_TE_CASE for a more general case and proof.

$\langle 1 \rangle 14$. CASE: TY_ACTION_CREATE .

LET: $pt = mem_ptr \overset{\times}{\mapsto}_\tau pval$.

$term = \text{representable}(\tau*, y_p) \wedge \text{alignedI}(mem_int, y_p)$.

$ret = \Sigma y_p:\beta_\tau. loc. term \wedge \exists y:\beta_\tau. y_p \overset{\times}{\mapsto}_\tau y \otimes \mathbf{I}$.

ASSUME: 1. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash \text{create}(mem_int, \tau) \Rightarrow ret$.

2. $\langle \cdot; \text{create}(mem_int, \tau) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \cdot + \{pt\}; \text{done } mem_ptr, pval, pt \rangle$.

PROVE: $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot, pt \vdash \text{done } mem_ptr, pval, pt \Leftarrow ret$.

$\langle 2 \rangle 1$. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash mem_ptr \Rightarrow loc$ by TY_PVAL_OBJ_INT and TY_PVAL_OBJ .

$\langle 2 \rangle 2$. $\text{smt}(\cdot \Rightarrow term)$ by construction of mem_ptr .

$\langle 2 \rangle 3$. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash pval \Rightarrow \beta_\tau$ by construction of $pval$.

$\langle 2 \rangle 4$. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot, pt \vdash pt \Leftarrow pt$ by TY_RES_POINTS_TO .

$\langle 2 \rangle 5$. By TY_TVAL_I and then $\langle 2 \rangle 4 - \langle 2 \rangle 1$ with $\text{TY_TVAL}\{-\text{RES}, \text{LOG}, \text{PHI}, \text{COMP}\}$ respectively, we are done.

$\langle 1 \rangle 15$. CASE: TY_ACTION_LOAD .

LET: $pt = mem_ptr \overset{\checkmark}{\mapsto}_\tau pval$.

$ret = \Sigma y:\beta_\tau. y = pval \wedge pt \otimes \mathbf{I}$.

ASSUME: 1. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot, pt \vdash \text{load}(\tau, mem_ptr, -, pt) \Rightarrow ret$.

2. $\langle \cdot + \{pt\}; \text{load}(\tau, mem_ptr, -, pt) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \cdot + \{pt\}; \text{done } pval, pt \rangle$.

PROVE: $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot, pt \vdash \text{done } pval, pt \Leftarrow ret$

$\langle 2 \rangle 1$. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot, pt \vdash pt \Leftarrow pt$, by inversion on 1.

- $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. $\text{smt}(\cdot \Rightarrow pval = pval)$ trivially.
- $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash pval \Rightarrow \beta_\tau$ by $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ and lemma 5.2.
- $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. By TY_TVAL_I and then $\langle 2 \rangle 1 - \langle 2 \rangle 3$ with $\text{TY_TVAL_}\{\text{RES}, \text{PHI}, \text{COMP}\}$ respectively, we are done.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 16$. CASE: TY_ACTION_STORE .
 LET: $pt = mem_ptr \xrightarrow{\check{\tau}} \dots$
 $pt' = mem_ptr \xrightarrow{\check{\tau}} pval$.
 $ret = \Sigma _:\text{unit}. pt' \otimes I$.
 ASSUME: 1. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot, pt \vdash \text{store}(_, \tau, pval_0, pval_1, _, pt) \Rightarrow ret$.
 2. $\langle \cdot + \{pt\}; \text{store}(_, \tau, mem_ptr, pval, _, pt) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \cdot + \{pt'\}; \text{doneUnit}, pt' \rangle$.
 PROVE: $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot, pt' \vdash \text{doneUnit}, pt' \Leftarrow ret$.
- $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash \text{Unit} \Rightarrow \text{unit}$ by TY_PVAL_UNIT .
- $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot, pt' \vdash pt' \Leftarrow pt'$ by TY_RES_POINTSTO .
- $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. By TY_TVAL_I and $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ and $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ with $\text{TY_TVAL_}\{\text{RES}, \text{COMP}\}$ respectively, we are done.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 17$. CASE: $\text{TY_ACTION_KILL_STATIC}$.
 LET: $pt = mem_ptr \mapsto_\tau \dots$
 ASSUME: 1. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot, pt \vdash \text{kill}(\text{static } \tau, pval_0, pt) \Rightarrow \Sigma _:\text{unit}. I$.
 2. $\langle \cdot + \{pt\}; \text{kill}(\text{static } \tau, mem_ptr, pt) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h; \text{doneUnit} \rangle$.
 PROVE: $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash \text{doneUnit} \Leftarrow \Sigma _:\text{unit}. I$
 PROOF: By TY_TVAL_I , TY_PVAL_UNIT and then TY_TVAL_COMP .
- $\langle 1 \rangle 18$. CASE: $\text{TY_MEMOP_REL_BINOP}$.
 PROOF: Similar TY_PE_REL_BINOP , except with $\text{TY_TVAL_}\{\text{I}, \text{PHI}, \text{COMP}\}$ at the end.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 19$. CASE: $\text{TY_MEMOP_INTFROMPTR}$.
 LET: $ret = \Sigma y:\text{integer}. y = \text{cast_ptr_to_int } mem_ptr \wedge I$.
 ASSUME: 1. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash \text{intFromPtr}(\tau_1, \tau_2, mem_ptr) \Rightarrow ret$.
 2. $\langle \cdot; \text{intFromPtr}(\tau_1, \tau_2, mem_ptr) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \cdot; \text{done } mem_int \rangle$.
 PROVE: $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash \text{done } mem_int \Leftarrow ret$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. $\text{smt}(\cdot \Rightarrow mem_int = \text{cast_ptr_to_int } mem_ptr)$ by construction of mem_int (inversion on 2).
- $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash mem_int \Rightarrow \text{integer}$ by TY_PVAL_OBJ_INT and TY_PVAL_OBJ .
- $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. By TY_TVAL_I and $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ and $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ with $\text{TY_TVAL_}\{\text{PHI}, \text{COMP}\}$ respectively, we are done.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 20$. CASE: $\text{TY_MEMOP_PTRFROMINT}$.
 PROOF: Similar to $\text{TY_MEMOP_INTFROMPTR}$, swapping base types integer and loc .
- $\langle 1 \rangle 21$. CASE: $\text{TY_MEMOP_PTRVALIDFORDEREF}$.
 LET: $pt = mem_ptr \xrightarrow{\check{\tau}} \dots$
 $ret = \Sigma y:\text{bool}. y = \text{aligned}(\tau, mem_ptr) \wedge pt \otimes I$.
 ASSUME: 1. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R} \vdash \text{ptrValidForDeref}(\tau, mem_ptr, pt) \Rightarrow ret$.

2. $\langle \cdot + \{pt\}; \text{ptrValidForDeref}(\tau, \text{mem_ptr}, pt) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \cdot + \{pt\}; \text{done } \text{bool_value}, pt \rangle$.
 PROVE: $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R} \vdash \text{done } \text{bool_value}, pt \Leftarrow \text{ret}$.

$\langle 2 \rangle 1$. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R} \vdash pt \Leftarrow pt$, by inversion on 1.

$\langle 2 \rangle 2$. $R = \cdot, pt$, by TY_RES_POINTS_TO .

$\langle 2 \rangle 3$. $\text{bool_value} = \text{aligned}(\tau, \text{mem_ptr})$ by construction of bool_value (inversion on 2).

$\langle 2 \rangle 4$. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash \text{bool_value} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ by $\text{TY_PVAL}\{-\text{TRUE}, \text{FALSE}\}$.

$\langle 2 \rangle 5$. By TY_TVAL_I , and then $\langle 2 \rangle 2 - \langle 2 \rangle 4$ with $\text{TY_TVAL}\{-\text{RES}, \text{PHI}, \text{COMP}\}$ respectively, we are done.

$\langle 1 \rangle 22$. CASE: $\text{TY_MEMOP_PTRWELLALIGNED}$.

LET: $\text{ret} = \Sigma y:\text{bool}. y = \text{aligned}(\tau, \text{mem_ptr}) \wedge \text{I}$.

ASSUME: 1. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash \text{ptrWellAligned}(\tau, \text{mem_ptr}) \Rightarrow \text{ret}$.

2. $\langle \cdot; \text{ptrWellAligned}(\tau, \text{mem_ptr}) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \cdot; \text{done } \text{bool_value} \rangle$.

PROVE: $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash \text{done } \text{bool_value} \Rightarrow \text{ret}$.

$\langle 2 \rangle 1$. $\text{smt}(\cdot \Rightarrow \text{bool_value} = \text{aligned}(\tau, \text{mem_ptr}))$ by construction of bool_value (inversion on 2).

$\langle 2 \rangle 2$. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash \text{bool_value} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ by $\text{TY_PVAL}\{-\text{TRUE}, \text{FALSE}\}$.

$\langle 2 \rangle 3$. By TY_TVAL_I and $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ and $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ with $\text{TY_TVAL}\{-\text{PHI}, \text{COMP}\}$ respectively, we are done.

$\langle 1 \rangle 23$. CASE: $\text{TY_MEMOP_PTRARRAYSHIFT}$.

PROOF: Similiar to TY_PE_ARRAY_SHIFT , except with $\text{TY_TVAL}\{-\text{I}, \text{PHI}, \text{COMP}\}$ at the end.

$\langle 1 \rangle 24$. CASE: TY_SEQ_E_CCALL .

ASSUME: 1. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R} \vdash \text{ccall}(\tau, \text{pval}, \overline{\text{spine_elem}_i}^i) \Rightarrow \sigma(\text{ret})$.

2. $\langle h; \text{ccall}(\tau, \text{pval}, \overline{\text{spine_elem}_i}^i) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h; \sigma'(\text{texpr}); \sigma'(\text{ret}) \rangle$.

PROVE: $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R} \vdash \sigma(\text{texpr}) \Leftarrow \sigma(\text{ret})$

$\langle 2 \rangle 1$. $\text{pval}:\text{arg} \equiv \overline{x_i}^i \mapsto \text{texpr} \in \text{Globals}$ by inversion (on either assumption).

$\langle 2 \rangle 2$. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R} \vdash \overline{x_i}^i = \text{spine_elem}_i^i :: \text{arg} \gg \sigma; \text{ret}$ by inversion on 1.

$\langle 2 \rangle 3$. $\sigma = \sigma'$ and $\text{ret} = \text{ret}'$ by induction on arg .

PROOF: Follows from lemma 5.1.

$\langle 2 \rangle 4$. LET: $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}'$ be the the type of substitution σ : $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R} \vdash (\sigma):(\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}')$.

PROOF: Constructing such a substitution requires $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi \vdash \text{pval}_i \Rightarrow \beta_i$ for each $x_i:\beta_i \in \mathcal{C}$ or $x_i:\beta_i \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}' \vdash \text{res_term}_i \Leftarrow \text{res}_i$ for each $\text{res}_i \in \mathcal{R}'$ which can be deduced from $\langle 2 \rangle 2$.

$\langle 2 \rangle 5$. $\mathcal{C}''; \mathcal{L}''; \Phi''; \mathcal{R}'' \vdash \text{texpr} \Leftarrow \text{ret}''$ where $\overline{x_i}^i :: \text{arg} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{C}''; \mathcal{L}''; \Phi''; \mathcal{R}'' \mid \text{ret}''$ formalises the assumption that all global functions and labels are well-typed.

$\langle 2 \rangle 6$. $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}'', \Phi = \Phi'', \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}'', \mathcal{R}' = \mathcal{R}''$ and $\text{ret} = \text{ret}''$.

PROOF: By induction on arg .

- ⟨2⟩7. Apply substitution lemma (2.2) to ⟨2⟩4 and ⟨2⟩5 to finish proof.
- ⟨1⟩25. CASE: TY_SEQ_E_PROC .
PROOF: Similar to TY_SEQ_E_CCALL .
- ⟨1⟩26. CASE: TY_IS_E_MEMOP .
PROOF: By induction on TY_MEMOP^* cases.
- ⟨1⟩27. CASE: $\text{TY_IS_E_}\{\text{NEG_}\}\text{ACTION}$.
PROOF: By induction on TY_ACTION^* cases.
- ⟨1⟩28. CASE: TY_SEQ_TE_LETP .
PROOF SKETCH: Only covering case $\langle pexpr \rangle \longrightarrow \langle pexpr' \rangle$ here.
See TY_SEQ_TE_LET for a more general version and proof for the remaining $\langle pexpr \rangle \longrightarrow \langle texpr:(y:\beta. term) \rangle$ case.
ASSUME: 1. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash \text{let ident_or_pattern} = pexpr \text{ in } texpr \Leftarrow y_2:\beta_2. term_2$.
2. $\langle \text{let ident_or_pattern} = pexpr \text{ in } texpr \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \text{let ident_or_pattern} = pexpr' \text{ in } texpr \rangle$.
PROVE: $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash \text{let ident_or_pattern} = pexpr' \text{ in } texpr \Leftarrow y_2:\beta_2. term_2$.
- ⟨2⟩1. 1. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash pexpr \Rightarrow y:\beta. term$.
2. $\text{ident_or_pattern}:\beta \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{C}_1 \text{ with } term_1$.
3. $\mathcal{C}_1; \cdot; \cdot, term_1/y, \cdot(term), \Phi_1; \mathcal{R} \vdash texpr \Leftarrow ret$.
PROOF: Invert assumption 1.
- ⟨2⟩2. $\langle pexpr \rangle \longrightarrow \langle pexpr' \rangle$.
PROOF: Invert assumption 2.
- ⟨2⟩3. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash pexpr' \Rightarrow y:\beta. term$.
PROOF: By induction on ⟨2⟩1.1 and ⟨2⟩2.
- ⟨2⟩4. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash \text{let ident_or_pattern} = pexpr' \text{ in } texpr \Leftarrow y_2:\beta_2. term_2$.
PROOF: By TY_SEQ_TE_LETP using ⟨2⟩1.2,3 and ⟨2⟩3.
- ⟨1⟩29. CASE: TY_SEQ_TE_LETPT .
PROOF: See TY_SEQ_TE_LETT for a more general case and proof.
- ⟨1⟩30. CASE: TY_SEQ_TE_LET .
ASSUME: 1. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R}' \vdash \text{let } \overline{\text{ret_pattern}_i}^i = seq_expr \text{ in } texpr_2 \Leftarrow ret_2$.
2. $\langle h; \text{let } \overline{\text{ret_pattern}_i}^i = seq_expr \text{ in } texpr_2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h; \text{let } \overline{\text{ret_pattern}_i}^i : ret'_1 = texpr_1 \text{ in } texpr_2 \rangle$.
PROVE: $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R}' \vdash \text{let } \overline{\text{ret_pattern}_i}^i : ret_1 = texpr_1 \text{ in } texpr_2 \Leftarrow ret_2$.
- ⟨2⟩1. 1. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R}' \vdash seq_expr \Rightarrow ret_1$.
2. $\overline{\text{ret_pattern}_i}^i : ret_1 \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{C}_1; \mathcal{L}_1; \Phi_1; \mathcal{R}_1$.
3. $\mathcal{C}_1; \mathcal{L}_1; \Phi_1; \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}_1 \vdash texpr \Leftarrow ret_2$.
PROOF: By inversion on 1.
- ⟨2⟩2. $\langle h; seq_expr \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h; texpr_1 : ret'_1 \rangle$.
PROOF: By inversion on 2.
- ⟨2⟩3. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R}' \vdash texpr_1 \Leftarrow ret_1$.
PROOF: By induction on ⟨2⟩1.1 and ⟨2⟩2.

$\langle 2 \rangle 4.$ $ret_1 = ret'_1$.

PROOF: By cases $TY_SEQ_E_ \{CCALL, PCALL\}$.

$\langle 2 \rangle 5.$ By $TY_SEQ_TE_LET$ with $\langle 2 \rangle 1.2, 3$ and $\langle 2 \rangle 3$, we are done.

$\langle 1 \rangle 31.$ CASE: $TY_SEQ_TE_LETT$.

NOTE: $h : \mathcal{R}', \mathcal{R}$ and $h : \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}$.

ASSUME: 1. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R}', \mathcal{R} \vdash \text{let } \overline{ret_pattern_i}^i : ret_1 = \text{done } \overline{spine_elem_i}^i \text{ in } texpr_2 \Leftarrow ret_2$.
 2. $\langle h; \text{let } \overline{ret_pattern_i}^i : ret_1 = \text{done } \overline{spine_elem_i}^i \text{ in } texpr \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h; \sigma(texpr_2) \rangle$.

PROVE: $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R}', \mathcal{R} \vdash \sigma(texpr_2) \Leftarrow \sigma(ret_2)$.

$\langle 2 \rangle 1.$ 1. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R}' \vdash \text{done } \overline{spine_elem_i}^i \Leftarrow ret_1$.

2. $\overline{ret_pattern_i}^i : ret_1 \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{C}_1; \mathcal{L}_1; \Phi_1; \mathcal{R}_1$.

3. $\mathcal{C}_1; \mathcal{L}_1; \Phi_1; \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R} \vdash texpr_2 \Leftarrow ret_2$.

PROOF: By inversion on 1.

$\langle 2 \rangle 2.$ $\overline{ret_pattern_i}^i = \overline{spine_elem_i}^i \rightsquigarrow \sigma$.

PROOF: By inversion on 2.

$\langle 2 \rangle 3.$ $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R}' \vdash (\sigma)(\mathcal{C}_1; \mathcal{L}_1; \Phi_1; \mathcal{R}_1)$.

PROOF: By $\langle 2 \rangle 1.1, 2$ and $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ using lemma 5.3.

$\langle 2 \rangle 4.$ By $\langle 2 \rangle 1.3$ and $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ and lemma 2.4, we are done.

$\langle 1 \rangle 32.$ CASE: $TY_SEQ_TE_LETT$.

ASSUME: 1. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R}', \mathcal{R} \vdash \text{let } \overline{ret_pattern_i}^i : ret_1 = texpr_1 \text{ in } texpr_2 \Leftarrow ret_2$.

2. $\langle h; \text{let } \overline{ret_pattern_i}^i : ret = texpr_1 \text{ in } texpr_2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'; \text{let } \overline{ret_pattern_i}^i : ret = texpr'_1 \text{ in } texpr_2 \rangle$.

PROVE: $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R}'', \mathcal{R} \vdash \text{let } \overline{ret_pattern_i}^i : ret_1 = texpr'_1 \text{ in } texpr_2 \Leftarrow ret_2$.

$\langle 2 \rangle 1.$ 1. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R}' \vdash texpr_1 \Leftarrow ret_1$.

2. $\overline{ret_pattern_i}^i : ret_1 \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{C}_1; \mathcal{L}_1; \Phi_1; \mathcal{R}_1$.

3. $\mathcal{C}_1; \mathcal{L}_1; \Phi_1; \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R} \vdash texpr_2 \Leftarrow ret_2$.

PROOF: By inversion on 1.

$\langle 2 \rangle 2.$ $\langle h; texpr_1 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'; texpr'_1 \rangle$.

PROOF: By inversion on 2.

$\langle 2 \rangle 3.$ $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R}'' \vdash texpr'_1 \Leftarrow ret_1$.

PROOF: By induction on $\langle 2 \rangle 1.1$ and $\langle 2 \rangle 2$.

$\langle 2 \rangle 4.$ By $\langle 2 \rangle 3$, $\langle 1 \rangle 32.2, 3$ using $TY_SEQ_TE_LETT$, we are done.

$\langle 1 \rangle 33.$ CASE: $TY_SEQ_TE_CASE$.

ASSUME: 1. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R} \vdash \text{case pval of } \overline{pattern_i}^i \Rightarrow texpr_i \text{ end} \Leftarrow ret$.

2. $\langle h; \text{case pval of } \overline{pattern_i}^i \Rightarrow texpr_i \text{ end} \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h; \sigma_j(texpr_j) \rangle$.

PROVE: $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R} \vdash \sigma_j(texpr_j) \Leftarrow ret$.

$\langle 2 \rangle 1.$ 1. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash pval \Rightarrow \beta_1$.

2. $\overline{pattern_i}^i : \beta_1 \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{C}_i \text{ with } term_i^i$.

3. $\mathcal{C}_i; \cdot; \cdot, term_i = pval; \mathcal{R} \vdash texpr_i \Leftarrow ret$.

PROOF: By inversion on 1.

- $\langle 2 \rangle 2.$ 1. $pattern_j = pval \rightsquigarrow \sigma_j$.
 2. $\forall i < j. \text{not } (pattern_i = pval \rightsquigarrow \sigma_i)$.
 PROOF: By inversion on 2.
- $\langle 2 \rangle 3.$ $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash (\sigma_j)(\mathcal{C}_i; \cdot; \cdot)$.
 PROOF: By lemma 5.3.
- $\langle 2 \rangle 4.$ $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R} \vdash (\sigma_j)(\mathcal{C}_i; \cdot; \cdot, term_j = pval_j; \mathcal{R})$.
 PROOF: By $\langle 2 \rangle 3$, TY_SUBS_CONS_PHI and TY_SUBS_CONS_RES*.
- $\langle 2 \rangle 5.$ By $\langle 2 \rangle 1.3$ and 2.2, we are done.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 34.$ CASE: TY_SEQ_TE_IF.
 Only covering **True** case, **False** is almost identical.
 ASSUME: 1. $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R} \vdash \text{if True then } expr_1 \text{ else } expr_2 \Leftarrow ret$.
 2. $\langle h; \text{if True then } expr_1 \text{ else } expr_2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h; expr_1 \rangle$.
 PROVE: $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R} \vdash expr_1 \Leftarrow ret$.
 PROOF: Invert 1, note $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R} \vdash (id)(\cdot; \cdot; \cdot, \text{true} = \text{true}; \mathcal{R})$ and then apply substitution lemma (2.2).
- $\langle 1 \rangle 35.$ CASE: TY_SEQ_TE_RUN.
 PROOF SKETCH: Similar to case TY_SEQ_E_{CCALL,PCALL}.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 36.$ CASE: TY_SEQ_TE_BOUND.
 PROOF: By inversion on the typing rule.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 37.$ CASE: TY_IS_TE_LETS.
 PROOF SKETCH: Similar to TY_SEQ_TE_LETT.

6 Typing Judgements

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| $object_value_jtype$ | $::=$ $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi \vdash object_value \Rightarrow \mathbf{obj} \beta$ |
| $pval_jtype$ | $::=$ $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi \vdash pval \Rightarrow \beta$ |
| res_jtype | $::=$ $\Phi \vdash res \equiv res'$ $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash res_term \Leftarrow res$ |
| $spine_jtype$ | $::=$ $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash \overline{x_i = spine_elem_i}^i :: arg \gg \sigma; ret$ |
| $pexpr_jtype$ | $::=$ $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi \vdash pexpr \Rightarrow ident:\beta. term$ |
| $tpval_jtype$ | $::=$ $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi \vdash tpval \Leftarrow ident:\beta. term$ |
| $tpexpr_jtype$ | $::=$ $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi \vdash tpexpr \Leftarrow ident:\beta. term$ |
| $action_jtype$ | $::=$ $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash mem_action \Rightarrow ret$ |
| $memop_jtype$ | $::=$ $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash mem_op \Rightarrow ret$ |
| seq_expr_jtype | $::=$ $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash seq_expr \Rightarrow ret$ |
| is_expr_jtype | $::=$ $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash is_expr \Rightarrow ret$ |
| $tval_jtype$ | $::=$ $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash tval \Leftarrow ret$ |
| $texpr_jtype$ | $::=$ $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash seq_texpr \Leftarrow ret$ $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash is_texpr \Leftarrow ret$ $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash texpr \Leftarrow ret$ |

7 Opsem Judgements

$pure_opsem_jtype \quad ::=$
 $\quad | \quad \langle pexpr \rangle \longrightarrow \langle pexpr' \rangle$
 $\quad | \quad \langle pexpr \rangle \longrightarrow \langle tpepr:(y:\beta. term) \rangle$
 $\quad | \quad \langle tpepr \rangle \longrightarrow \langle tpepr' \rangle$

$opsem_jtype \quad ::=$
 $\quad | \quad \langle h; seq_expr \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'; texpr:ret \rangle$
 $\quad | \quad \langle h; seq_texpr \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'; texpr \rangle$
 $\quad | \quad \langle h; mem_op \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'; tval \rangle$
 $\quad | \quad \langle h; mem_action \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'; tval \rangle$
 $\quad | \quad \langle h; is_expr \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'; is_expr' \rangle$
 $\quad | \quad \langle h; is_texpr \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'; texpr \rangle$
 $\quad | \quad \langle h; texpr \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'; texpr' \rangle$