# Explicit CN Soundness Proof

### Dhruv Makwana

June 21, 2021

## 1 Weakening

If  $C; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \sqsubseteq C'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}'$  and  $C; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash J$  then  $C'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}' \vdash J$ .

PROOF SKETCH: Induction over the typing judgements.

Assume: 1.  $C; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \sqsubseteq C'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}'$ 2.  $C; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash J$ 

PROVE:  $C'; L'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}' \vdash J$ .

## 2 Substitution

## 2.1 Weakening for Substitution

Weakening for substitution: as above, but with  $J = (\sigma) : (\mathcal{C}''; \mathcal{L}''; \Phi''; \mathcal{R}'')$ .

PROOF SKETCH: Induction over the substitution.

Assume: 1.  $C; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \sqsubseteq C'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}'$ 2.  $C; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash (\sigma) : (C''; \mathcal{L}''; \Phi''; \mathcal{R}'')$ 

PROVE:  $C': L': \Phi': \mathcal{R}' \vdash (\sigma) : (C'': L'': \Phi'': \mathcal{R}'')$ .

### 2.2 Substitution Lemma

If  $C; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash (\sigma) : (C'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}')$  and  $C'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}' \vdash J$  then  $C; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash \sigma(J)$ .

PROOF SKETCH: Induction over the typing judgements.

Assume: 1. C; L;  $\Phi$ ;  $R \vdash (\sigma) : (C'; L'; \Phi'; R')$ 2. C'; L';  $\Phi'$ ;  $R' \vdash J$ 

PROVE:  $C; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash \sigma(J)$ .  $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Case: Ty\_PVal\_Var.  $C'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi' \vdash x \Rightarrow \beta$ 

- $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Have  $x : \beta \in \mathcal{C}'$  (or  $x : \beta \in \mathcal{L}'$ ).
- $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . So  $\exists pval. \ \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi \vdash pval \Rightarrow \beta$  by Ty\_Subs\_Cons\_{Comp,Log}.
- $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . Since  $pval = \sigma(x)$ , we are done.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . Case: Ty\_TPE\_Let.

$$\mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi' \vdash \mathtt{let} \ pat = pexpr \ \mathtt{in} \ tpexpr \Leftarrow y_2 : \beta_2. \ term_2$$

 $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . By induction,

1. 
$$C$$
;  $\mathcal{L}$ ;  $\Phi \vdash \sigma(pexpr) \Rightarrow y_1 : \beta_1$ .  $\sigma(term_1)$   
2.  $C$ ,  $C_1$ ;  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $y_1 : \beta_1$ ;  $\Phi$ ,  $term_1$ ,  $\Phi' \vdash \sigma(tpexpr) \Leftarrow y_2 : \beta_2$ .  $\sigma(term_2)$ .

$$\langle 2 \rangle 2$$
.  $C$ ;  $L$ ;  $\Phi \vdash \sigma(\text{let } pat = pexpr \text{ in } tpexpr \Leftarrow y_2 : \beta_2. term_2)$  as required.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . Case: Ty\_TVal\_Log.

$$\mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}' \vdash \text{done } pval, \overline{spine\_elem} \Leftarrow \exists y : \beta. ret$$

 $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . By inversion and then induction,

1. 
$$C; \mathcal{L}; \Phi \vdash \sigma(pval)\beta$$

2. 
$$C; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash \sigma(\text{done } \overline{spine\_elem}) \Leftarrow \sigma([pval/y]ret).$$

- $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Therefore  $C; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash \sigma(\text{done } pval, \overline{spine\_elem} \Leftarrow \exists y : \beta.ret)$ .
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ . Case: Ty\_Spine\_Res.

$$\mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}'_1, \mathcal{R}_2 \vdash x = res\_term, \overline{x = spine\_elem} :: res \multimap arg \gg res\_term/x, \psi; ret$$

 $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . By inversion and then induction,

1. 
$$C$$
;  $L$ ;  $\Phi$ ;  $R_1 \vdash \underline{x = \sigma(res\_term)} \Leftarrow \sigma(res)$ 

2. 
$$C; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}_2 \vdash \overline{x = \sigma(spine\_elem)} :: \sigma(res) \multimap \sigma(arg) \gg \sigma(\psi); \sigma(ret)$$

$$\langle 2 \rangle 2$$
. Hence  $C; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2 \vdash \sigma(x = res\_term, \overline{x = spine\_elem} :: res \multimap arg \gg res\_term/x, \psi; ret)$ 

### 2.3 Identity Extension

If 
$$C; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash (\sigma) : (C'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}')$$
 then  $C; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R} \vdash (\sigma, id) : (C, C'; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}'; \Phi, \Phi'; \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}')$ .

PROOF SKETCH: Induction over the substitution.

Assume: 
$$C; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash (\sigma) : (C'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}')$$

PROVE: 
$$C; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R} \vdash (\sigma, id) : (C, C'; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}'; \Phi, \Phi'; \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}').$$

#### 2.4 Usable Substitution Lemma

If 
$$C; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash (\sigma) : (C'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}')$$
 and  $C, C'; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}'; \Phi, \Phi'; \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}' \vdash J$  then  $C; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R} \vdash \sigma(J)$ .

PROOF SKETCH: Apply identity extension then substitution lemma.

ASSUME: 1. 
$$C; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash (\sigma) : (C'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}')$$
  
2.  $C, C'; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}'; \Phi, \Phi'; \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}' \vdash J$ 

PROVE: 
$$C; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R} \vdash \sigma(J)$$
.

## 3 Progress

If 
$$\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R} \vdash e \Leftrightarrow t$$
 then either value(e) or  $\forall h : R. \exists e', h'. \langle h; e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'; e' \rangle$ .

PROOF SKETCH: Induction over the typing rules.

Assume:  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R} \vdash e \Leftrightarrow t$ 

PROVE: either value(e) or  $\forall h: R. \exists e', h'. \langle h; e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'; e' \rangle$ .

#### **Framing** 4

If  $\langle h_1; e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'_1; e' \rangle$  and  $h_1, h_2$  disjoint then  $\langle h_1 + h_2; e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'_1 + h_2; e' \rangle$ .

PROOF SKETCH: Induction over the operational rules.

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Assume:} & 1. \ \langle h_1; e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h_1'; e' \rangle \\ & 2. \ h_1, h_2 \ \text{disjoint.} \end{array}$ 

PROVE:  $\langle h_1 + h_2; e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'_1 + h_2; e' \rangle$ .

#### Type Preservation 5

 $\text{If } :; :; :; \mathcal{R} \vdash e \Leftrightarrow t \text{ then } \forall h : \mathcal{R}, e', h' : \mathcal{R}'. \ \langle h; e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'; e' \rangle \implies :; :; :; \mathcal{R}' \vdash e' \Leftrightarrow t.$ 

PROOF SKETCH: Induction over the typing rules.

Assume: 1.  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R} \vdash e \Leftrightarrow t$ 

2. arbitrary  $h: \mathcal{R}, e', h': \mathcal{R}'$ 

3.  $\langle h; e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'; e' \rangle$ .

PROVE:  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R}' \vdash e' \Leftrightarrow t$ .

## 6 Typing Judgements

# 7 Opsem Judgements