

# Explicit CN Soundness Proof

Dhruv Makwana

June 28, 2021

## 1 Weakening

If  $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}'$  and  $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash J$  then  $\mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}' \vdash J$ .

PROOF SKETCH: Induction over the typing judgements.

ASSUME: 1.  $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}'$ .  
2.  $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash J$ .

PROVE:  $\mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}' \vdash J$ .

## 2 Substitution

### 2.1 Weakening for Substitution

Weakening for substitution: as above, but with  $J = (\sigma) : (\mathcal{C}''; \mathcal{L}''; \Phi''; \mathcal{R}'')$ .

PROOF SKETCH: Induction over the substitution.

ASSUME: 1.  $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}'$ .  
2.  $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash (\sigma) : (\mathcal{C}''; \mathcal{L}''; \Phi''; \mathcal{R}'')$ .

PROVE:  $\mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}' \vdash (\sigma) : (\mathcal{C}''; \mathcal{L}''; \Phi''; \mathcal{R}'')$ .

### 2.2 Substitution Lemma

If  $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash (\sigma) : (\mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}')$  and  $\mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}' \vdash J$  then  $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash \sigma(J)$ .

PROOF SKETCH: Induction over the typing judgements.

ASSUME: 1.  $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash (\sigma) : (\mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}')$ .  
2.  $\mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}' \vdash J$ .

PROVE:  $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash \sigma(J)$ .

$\langle 1 \rangle$ 1. CASE: `TY_PVAL_VAR`.

$\mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi' \vdash x \Rightarrow \beta$

$\langle 2 \rangle$ 1. Have  $x : \beta \in \mathcal{C}'$  (or  $x : \beta \in \mathcal{L}'$ ).

$\langle 2 \rangle$ 2. So  $\exists pval. \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi \vdash pval \Rightarrow \beta$  by `TY_SUBS_CONS_{\{COMP, LOG\}}`.

$\langle 2 \rangle$ 3. Since  $pval = \sigma(x)$ , we are done.

⟨1⟩2. CASE: TY\_TPE\_LET.

$\mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi' \vdash \text{let ident\_or\_pattern} = pexpr \text{ in } tpepr \Leftarrow y_2:\beta_2. term_2.$

⟨2⟩1. By induction,

1.  $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi \vdash \sigma(pexpr) \Rightarrow y_1:\beta. \sigma(term_1)$
2.  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}_1; \mathcal{L}, y_1:\beta; \Phi, term_1, \Phi' \vdash \sigma(tpepr) \Leftarrow y_2:\beta. \sigma(term_2).$

⟨2⟩2.  $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi \vdash \sigma(\text{let ident\_or\_pattern} = pexpr \text{ in } tpepr) \Leftarrow y_2:\beta_2. \sigma(term_2)$  as required.

⟨1⟩3. CASE: TY\_TVAL\_LOG.

$\mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}' \vdash \text{done pval}, \overline{spine\_elem_i}^i \Leftarrow \exists y:\beta. ret.$

⟨2⟩1. By inversion and then induction,

1.  $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi \vdash \sigma(pval) \Rightarrow \beta$
2.  $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash \sigma(\text{done } \overline{spine\_elem_i}^i) \Leftarrow \sigma(pval/y. \cdot (ret)).$

⟨2⟩2. Therefore  $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash \sigma(\text{done pval}, \overline{spine\_elem_i}^i) \Leftarrow \exists y:\beta. \sigma(ret).$

⟨1⟩4. CASE: TY\_SPINE\_RES.

$\mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}'_1, \mathcal{R}_2 \vdash x = res\_term, \overline{x_i = spine\_elem_i}^i :: res \multimap arg \gg res\_term/x, \psi; ret$

⟨2⟩1. By inversion and then induction,

1.  $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}_1 \vdash \sigma(res\_term) \Leftarrow \sigma(res).$
2.  $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}_2 \vdash \overline{x_i = \sigma(spine\_elem_i)}^i :: \sigma(res) \multimap \sigma(arg) \gg \sigma(\psi); \sigma(ret).$

⟨2⟩2. Hence  $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2 \vdash x = \sigma(res\_term), \overline{x_i = \sigma(spine\_elem_i)}^i :: \sigma(res \multimap arg) \gg \sigma(res\_term/x, \psi); \sigma(ret)$  as required.

## 2.3 Identity Extension

If  $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash (\sigma):(\mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}')$  then  $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R} \vdash (\sigma, id):(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}'; \Phi, \Phi'; \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}')$ .

PROOF SKETCH: Induction over the substitution.

ASSUME:  $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash (\sigma):(\mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}')$ .

PROVE:  $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R} \vdash (\sigma, id):(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}'; \Phi, \Phi'; \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}')$ .

⟨1⟩1.  $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}_1 \vdash (id):(\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}_1).$

PROOF: By induction on each of  $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}_1$ .

⟨1⟩2.  $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R} \vdash (\sigma, id):(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}'; \Phi, \Phi'; \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}')$

PROOF: By induction on  $\sigma$  with base case as above.

## 2.4 Usable Substitution Lemma

If  $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash (\sigma):(\mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}')$  and  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}'; \Phi, \Phi'; \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}' \vdash J$  then  $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R} \vdash \sigma(J).$

PROOF SKETCH: Apply identity extension then substitution lemma.

ASSUME: 1.  $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash (\sigma):(\mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}'; \Phi'; \mathcal{R}')$ .

2.  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}'; \Phi, \Phi'; \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}' \vdash J.$

PROVE:  $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R} \vdash \sigma(J).$

$\langle 1 \rangle 1. \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash (\sigma, \text{id}) : (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'; \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}'; \Phi, \Phi'; \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}').$

PROOF: Apply identity extension to 1.

$\langle 1 \rangle 2. \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R} \vdash (\sigma, \text{id})(J).$

PROOF: Apply substitution lemma to  $\langle 1 \rangle 1.$

$\langle 1 \rangle 3. \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R} \vdash \sigma(J).$

PROOF:  $\text{id}(J) = J.$

### 3 Progress

If  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R} \vdash e \Leftrightarrow t$  then either  $\text{value}(e)$  or  $\forall h : R. \exists e', h'. \langle h; e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'; e' \rangle.$

PROOF SKETCH: Induction over the typing rules.

ASSUME:  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R} \vdash e \Leftrightarrow t.$

PROVE: either  $\text{value}(e)$  or  $\forall h : R. \exists e', h'. \langle h; e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'; e' \rangle.$

### 4 Framing

If  $\langle h_1; e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'_1; e' \rangle$  and  $h_1, h_2$  disjoint then  $\langle h_1 + h_2; e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'_1 + h_2; e' \rangle.$

PROOF SKETCH: Induction over the operational rules.

ASSUME: 1.  $\langle h_1; e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'_1; e' \rangle.$

2.  $h_1, h_2$  disjoint.

PROVE:  $\langle h_1 + h_2; e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'_1 + h_2; e' \rangle.$

### 5 Type Preservation

#### 5.1 Ty\_Spine\_\* and Decons\_Arg\_\* construct same substitution and return type

If  $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash \overline{x_i = \text{spine\_elem}_i^i}^i :: \text{arg} \gg \sigma; \text{ret}$  and  $\overline{x_i = \text{spine\_elem}_i^i}^i :: \text{arg} \gg \sigma'; \text{ret}'$  then  $\sigma = \sigma'$  and  $\text{ret} = \text{ret}'.$

PROOF SKETCH: Induction over  $\text{arg}.$

#### 5.2 Pointed-to values have type $\beta_\tau$

For  $pt = \_ \check{\vdash}_\tau \text{pval}$ , if  $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash pt \Leftarrow pt$  then  $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi \vdash \text{pval} \Rightarrow \beta_\tau.$

PROOF SKETCH: Induction over the typing judgements. Only `TY_ACTION_STORE` create such permissions, and its premise  $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi \vdash \text{pval}_1 \Rightarrow \beta_\tau$  ensures the desired property. `TY_ACTION_LOAD` simply preserves the property.

#### 5.3 Deconstructing a pattern leads to a well-typed substitution

ASSUME: 1.  $\overline{\text{ret\_pattern}_i = \text{spine\_elem}_i^i}^i \rightsquigarrow \sigma.$

2.  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R} \vdash \text{done } \overline{\text{spine\_elem}_i^i}^i \Leftarrow \text{ret}.$

3.  $\overline{ret\_pattern_i}^i : ret \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}'$ .

PROVE:  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R} \vdash (\sigma) : (\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}')$ .

PROOF SKETCH: Induction on  $ret$ ; inversion on 1, 2 and 3 to conclude the empty substitution is well-typed and each addition preserves that property.

## 5.4 Type Preservation Statement and Proof

If  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R} \vdash e \Leftrightarrow t$  then  $\forall h : \mathcal{R}, e', h' : \mathcal{R}'. \langle h; e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'; e' \rangle \implies \cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R}' \vdash e' \Leftrightarrow t$ .

PROOF SKETCH: Induction over the typing rules.

ASSUME: 1.  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R} \vdash e \Leftrightarrow t$   
 2. arbitrary  $h : \mathcal{R}, e', h' : \mathcal{R}'$   
 3.  $\langle h; e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'; e' \rangle$ .

PROVE:  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R}' \vdash e' \Leftrightarrow t$ .

$\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . CASE: `TY_PE_ARRAY_SHIFT`.

LET:  $term = mem\_ptr +_{ptr} (mem\_int \times size\_of(\tau))$ .

ASSUME: 1.  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash \text{array\_shift}(mem\_ptr, \tau, mem\_int) \Rightarrow y : \text{loc}. y = term$ .

2.  $\langle \text{array\_shift}(mem\_ptr, \tau, mem\_int) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle mem\_ptr' \rangle$ .

PROVE:  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash mem\_ptr' \Rightarrow y : \text{loc}. y = term$ .

PROOF: By `TY_PVAL_OBJ_INT`, `TY_PVAL_OBJ`, `TY_PE_VAL` and construction of  $mem\_ptr'$  (inversion on 2).

$\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . CASE: `TY_PE_MEMBER_SHIFT`.

PROOF SKETCH: Similar to `TY_ARRAY_SHIFT`.

$\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . CASE: `TY_PE_NOT`.

ASSUME: 1.  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash \text{not}(bool\_value) \Rightarrow y : \text{bool}. y = \neg bool\_value$ .

2.  $\langle \text{not}(\text{True}) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \text{False} \rangle$  or  $\langle \text{not}(\text{False}) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \text{True} \rangle$ .

PROVE:  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash bool\_value' \Rightarrow y : \text{bool}. y = \neg bool\_value$ .

PROOF: By `TY_PVAL_{TRUE,FALSE}`, `TY_PE_VAL` and 2.

$\langle 1 \rangle 4$ . CASE: `TY_PE_ARITH_BINOP`.

LET:  $term = mem\_int_1 \text{ binop}_{arith} mem\_int_2$ .

ASSUME: 1.  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash mem\_int_1 \text{ binop}_{arith} mem\_int_2 \Rightarrow y : \text{integer}. y = term$ .

2.  $\langle mem\_int_1 \text{ binop}_{arith} mem\_int_2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle mem\_int \rangle$ .

PROVE:  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash mem\_int \Rightarrow y : \text{integer}. y = term$ .

PROOF: By `TY_PVAL_OBJ_INT`, `TY_PVAL_OBJ`, `TY_PE_VAL` and construction of  $mem\_int$  (inversion on 2).

$\langle 1 \rangle 5$ . CASE: `TY_PE_{REL,BOOL}_BINOP`.

PROOF SKETCH: Similar to `TY_PE_ARITH_BINOP`.

$\langle 1 \rangle 6$ . CASE: `TY_PE_CALL`.

PROOF: See `TY_SEQ_E_CALL` for a more general case and proof.

$\langle 1 \rangle 7$ . CASE: `TY_PE_ASSERT_UNDEF`.

ASSUME: 1.  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash \text{assert\_undef}(\text{True}, UB\_name) \Rightarrow y : \text{unit}. y = \text{unit}$ .

2.  $\langle \text{assert\_undef}(\text{True}, UB\_name) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \text{Unit} \rangle$ .

PROVE:  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash \text{Unit} \Rightarrow y:\text{unit}. y = \text{unit}.$   
 PROOF: By  $\text{TY\_PVAL\_UNIT}$  and  $\text{TY\_PE\_VAL}$ .

$\langle 1 \rangle 8$ . CASE:  $\text{TY\_PE\_BOOL\_TO\_INTEGER}$ .

LET:  $term = \text{if } bool\_value \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0.$

ASSUME: 1.  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash \text{bool\_to\_integer}(bool\_value) \Rightarrow y:\text{integer}. y = term.$

2.  $\langle \text{bool\_to\_integer}(\text{True}) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle 1 \rangle$  or  $\langle \text{bool\_to\_integer}(\text{False}) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle 0 \rangle.$

PROVE:  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash mem\_int \Rightarrow y:\text{integer}. y = term$

PROOF: By cases on  $bool\_value$ , then applying  $\text{TY\_PVAL}\{-\text{TRUE}, \text{FALSE}\}$  and  $\text{TY\_PE\_VAL}$ .

$\langle 1 \rangle 9$ . CASE:  $\text{TY\_PE\_WRAPL}$ .

PROOF SKETCH: Similar to  $\text{TY\_PE\_BOOL\_TO\_INTEGER}$ , except by cases on  $abbrev_2 \leq \text{max\_int}_\tau$ , then applying  $\text{TY\_PVAL\_OBJ\_INT}$ ,  $\text{TY\_PVAL\_OBJ}$  and  $\text{TY\_PE\_VAL}$ .

$\langle 1 \rangle 10$ . CASE:  $\text{TY\_TPE\_IF}$ .

PROOF: See  $\text{TY\_SEQ\_TE\_IF}$  for a more general case and proof.

$\langle 1 \rangle 11$ . CASE:  $\text{TY\_TPE\_LET}$ .

PROOF: See  $\text{TY\_SEQ\_TE\_LET}$  for a more general case and proof.

$\langle 1 \rangle 12$ . CASE:  $\text{TY\_TPE\_LETT}$ .

PROOF: See  $\text{TY\_SEQ\_TE\_LETT}$  for a more general case and proof.

$\langle 1 \rangle 13$ . CASE:  $\text{TY\_TPE\_CASE}$ .

PROOF: See  $\text{TY\_SEQ\_TE\_CASE}$  for a more general case and proof.

$\langle 1 \rangle 14$ . CASE:  $\text{TY\_ACTION\_CREATE}$ .

LET:  $pt = mem\_ptr \xrightarrow{\times}_\tau pval.$

$term = \text{representable}(\tau*, y_p) \wedge \text{alignedI}(mem\_int, y_p).$

$ret = \Sigma y_p:\beta_\tau. loc. term \wedge \exists y:\beta_\tau. y_p \xrightarrow{\times}_\tau y \otimes \mathbf{I}.$

ASSUME: 1.  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash \text{create}(mem\_int, \tau) \Rightarrow ret.$

2.  $\langle \cdot; \text{create}(mem\_int, \tau) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \cdot + \{pt\}; \text{done } mem\_ptr, pval, pt \rangle.$

PROVE:  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot, \neg pt \vdash \text{done } mem\_ptr, pval, pt \Leftarrow ret.$

$\langle 2 \rangle 1$ .  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash mem\_ptr \Rightarrow loc$  by  $\text{TY\_PVAL\_OBJ\_INT}$  and  $\text{TY\_PVAL\_OBJ}$ .

$\langle 2 \rangle 2$ .  $\text{smt}(\cdot \Rightarrow term)$  by construction of  $mem\_ptr$ .

$\langle 2 \rangle 3$ .  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash pval \Rightarrow \beta_\tau$  by construction of  $pval$ .

$\langle 2 \rangle 4$ .  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot, \neg pt \vdash pt \Leftarrow pt$  by  $\text{TY\_RES\_POINTS\_TO}$ .

$\langle 2 \rangle 5$ . By  $\text{TY\_TVAL\_I}$  and then  $\langle 2 \rangle 4 - \langle 2 \rangle 1$  with  $\text{TY\_TVAL}\{-\text{RES}, \text{LOG}, \text{PHI}, \text{COMP}\}$  respectively, we are done.

$\langle 1 \rangle 15$ . CASE:  $\text{TY\_ACTION\_LOAD}$ .

LET:  $pt = mem\_ptr \xrightarrow{\check{\times}}_\tau pval.$

$ret = \Sigma y:\beta_\tau. y = pval \wedge pt \otimes \mathbf{I}.$

ASSUME: 1.  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot, \neg pt \vdash \text{load}(\tau, mem\_ptr, \neg, pt) \Rightarrow ret.$

2.  $\langle \cdot + \{pt\}; \text{load}(\tau, mem\_ptr, \neg, pt) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \cdot + \{pt\}; \text{done } pval, pt \rangle.$

PROVE:  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot, \neg pt \vdash \text{done } pval, pt \Leftarrow ret$

- $\langle 2 \rangle 1.$   $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R} \vdash pt \Leftarrow pt$ , by inversion on 1.
- $\langle 2 \rangle 2.$   $\text{smt}(\cdot \Rightarrow pval = pval)$  trivially.
- $\langle 2 \rangle 3.$   $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash pval \Rightarrow \beta_\tau$  by  $\langle 2 \rangle 1$  and lemma 5.2.
- $\langle 2 \rangle 4.$  By  $\text{TY\_TVAL\_I}$  and then  $\langle 2 \rangle 1 - \langle 2 \rangle 3$  with  $\text{TY\_TVAL\_}\{\text{RES}, \text{PHI}, \text{COMP}\}$  respectively, we are done.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 16.$  CASE:  $\text{TY\_ACTION\_STORE}$ .  
 LET:  $pt = \text{mem\_ptr} \xrightarrow{\check{\tau}} \_.$   
 $pt' = \text{mem\_ptr} \xrightarrow{\check{\tau}} pval.$   
 $ret = \Sigma \_:\text{unit}. pt' \otimes \text{I}.$   
 ASSUME: 1.  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot, \_:\text{pt} \vdash \text{store}(\_, \tau, pval_0, pval_1, \_, pt) \Rightarrow ret.$   
 2.  $\langle \cdot + \{pt\}; \text{store}(\_, \tau, \text{mem\_ptr}, pval, \_, pt) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \cdot + \{pt'\}; \text{doneUnit}, pt' \rangle.$   
 PROVE:  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot, \_:\text{pt}' \vdash \text{doneUnit}, pt' \Leftarrow ret.$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 1.$   $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash \text{Unit} \Rightarrow \text{unit}$  by  $\text{TY\_PVAL\_UNIT}$ .
- $\langle 2 \rangle 2.$   $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot, \_:\text{pt}' \vdash pt' \Leftarrow pt'$  by  $\text{TY\_RES\_POINTSTO}$ .
- $\langle 2 \rangle 3.$  By  $\text{TY\_TVAL\_I}$  and  $\langle 2 \rangle 1$  and  $\langle 2 \rangle 2$  with  $\text{TY\_TVAL\_}\{\text{RES}, \text{COMP}\}$  respectively, we are done.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 17.$  CASE:  $\text{TY\_ACTION\_KILL\_STATIC}$ .  
 LET:  $pt = \text{mem\_ptr} \mapsto_\tau \_.$   
 ASSUME: 1.  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot, \_:\text{pt} \vdash \text{kill}(\text{static } \tau, pval_0, pt) \Rightarrow \Sigma \_:\text{unit}. \text{I}.$   
 2.  $\langle \cdot + \{pt\}; \text{kill}(\text{static } \tau, \text{mem\_ptr}, pt) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h; \text{doneUnit} \rangle.$   
 PROVE:  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash \text{doneUnit} \Leftarrow \Sigma \_:\text{unit}. \text{I}$   
 PROOF: By  $\text{TY\_TVAL\_I}$ ,  $\text{TY\_PVAL\_UNIT}$  and then  $\text{TY\_TVAL\_COMP}$ .
- $\langle 1 \rangle 18.$  CASE:  $\text{TY\_MEMOP\_REL\_BINOP}$ .  
 PROOF: Similar  $\text{TY\_PE\_REL\_BINOP}$ , except with  $\text{TY\_TVAL\_}\{\text{I}, \text{PHI}, \text{COMP}\}$  at the end.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 19.$  CASE:  $\text{TY\_MEMOP\_INTFROMPTR}$ .  
 LET:  $ret = \Sigma y:\text{integer}. y = \text{cast\_ptr\_to\_int mem\_ptr} \wedge \text{I}.$   
 ASSUME: 1.  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash \text{intFromPtr}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \text{mem\_ptr}) \Rightarrow ret.$   
 2.  $\langle \cdot; \text{intFromPtr}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \text{mem\_ptr}) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \cdot; \text{done mem\_int} \rangle.$   
 PROVE:  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash \text{done mem\_int} \Leftarrow ret$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 1.$   $\text{smt}(\cdot \Rightarrow \text{mem\_int} = \text{cast\_ptr\_to\_int mem\_ptr})$  by construction of  $\text{mem\_int}$  (inversion on 2).
- $\langle 2 \rangle 2.$   $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash \text{mem\_int} \Rightarrow \text{integer}$  by  $\text{TY\_PVAL\_OBJ\_INT}$  and  $\text{TY\_PVAL\_OBJ}$ .
- $\langle 2 \rangle 3.$  By  $\text{TY\_TVAL\_I}$  and  $\langle 2 \rangle 1$  and  $\langle 2 \rangle 2$  with  $\text{TY\_TVAL\_}\{\text{PHI}, \text{COMP}\}$  respectively, we are done.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 20.$  CASE:  $\text{TY\_MEMOP\_PTRFROMINT}$ .  
 PROOF: Similar to  $\text{TY\_MEMOP\_INTFROMPTR}$ , swapping base types  $\text{integer}$  and  $\text{loc}$ .
- $\langle 1 \rangle 21.$  CASE:  $\text{TY\_MEMOP\_PTRVALIDFORDEREF}$ .  
 LET:  $pt = \text{mem\_ptr} \xrightarrow{\check{\tau}} \_.$

$ret = \Sigma y:\text{bool}. y = \text{aligned}(\tau, mem\_ptr) \wedge pt \otimes I.$   
 ASSUME: 1.  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R} \vdash \text{ptrValidForDeref}(\tau, mem\_ptr, pt) \Rightarrow ret.$   
           2.  $\langle \cdot + \{pt\}; \text{ptrValidForDeref}(\tau, mem\_ptr, pt) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \cdot + \{pt\}; \text{done } bool\_value, pt \rangle.$   
 PROVE:  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R} \vdash \text{done } bool\_value, pt \Leftarrow ret.$

(2)1.  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R} \vdash pt \Leftarrow pt$ , by inversion on 1.  
 (2)2.  $R = \cdot, \cdot; pt$ , by  $\text{TY\_RES\_POINTS\_TO}$ .  
 (2)3.  $bool\_value = \text{aligned}(\tau, mem\_ptr)$  by construction of  $bool\_value$  (inversion on 2).  
 (2)4.  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash bool\_value \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  by  $\text{TY\_PVAL}\{-\text{TRUE}, \text{FALSE}\}$ .  
 (2)5. By  $\text{TY\_TVAL\_I}$ , and then (2)2 – (2)4 with  $\text{TY\_TVAL}\{-\text{RES}, \text{PHI}, \text{COMP}\}$  respectively, we are done.

(1)22. CASE:  $\text{TY\_MEMOP\_PTRWELLALIGNED}$ .  
 LET:  $ret = \Sigma y:\text{bool}. y = \text{aligned}(\tau, mem\_ptr) \wedge I.$   
 ASSUME: 1.  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash \text{ptrWellAligned}(\tau, mem\_ptr) \Rightarrow ret.$   
           2.  $\langle \cdot; \text{ptrWellAligned}(\tau, mem\_ptr) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \cdot; \text{done } bool\_value \rangle.$   
 PROVE:  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash \text{done } bool\_value \Rightarrow ret.$

(2)1.  $\text{smt}(\cdot \Rightarrow bool\_value = \text{aligned}(\tau, mem\_ptr))$  by construction of  $bool\_value$  (inversion on 2).  
 (2)2.  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash bool\_value \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  by  $\text{TY\_PVAL}\{-\text{TRUE}, \text{FALSE}\}$ .  
 (2)3. By  $\text{TY\_TVAL\_I}$  and (2)1 and (2)2 with  $\text{TY\_TVAL}\{-\text{PHI}, \text{COMP}\}$  respectively, we are done.

(1)23. CASE:  $\text{TY\_MEMOP\_PTRARRAYSHIFT}$ .  
 PROOF: Similiar to  $\text{TY\_PE\_ARRAY\_SHIFT}$ , except with  $\text{TY\_TVAL}\{-\text{I}, \text{PHI}, \text{COMP}\}$  at the end.

(1)24. CASE:  $\text{TY\_SEQ\_E\_CCALL}$ .  
 ASSUME: 1.  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R} \vdash \text{ccall}(\tau, pval, \overline{spine\_elem_i}^i) \Rightarrow \sigma(ret).$   
           2.  $\langle h; \text{ccall}(\tau, pval, \overline{spine\_elem_i}^i) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h; \sigma'(texpr); \sigma'(ret) \rangle.$   
 PROVE:  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R} \vdash \sigma(texpr) \Leftarrow \sigma(ret)$

(2)1.  $pval:arg \equiv \overline{x_i}^i \mapsto texpr \in \text{Globals}$  by inversion (on either assumption).  
 (2)2.  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R} \vdash \overline{x_i = spine\_elem_i}^i :: arg \gg \sigma; ret$  by inversion on 1.  
 (2)3.  $\sigma = \sigma'$  and  $ret = ret'$  by induction on  $arg$ .  
 PROOF: Follows from lemma 5.1.

(2)4. LET:  $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}'$  be the the type of substitution  $\sigma: \cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R} \vdash (\sigma):(\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}')$ .  
 PROOF: Constructing such a substitution requires  $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi \vdash pval_i \Rightarrow \beta_i$  for each  $x_i; \beta_i \in \mathcal{C}$  or  $x_i; \beta_i \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R}' \vdash res\_term_i \Leftarrow res_i$  for each  $\_ : res_i \in \mathcal{R}'$  which can be deduced from (2)2.

(2)5.  $\mathcal{C}''; \mathcal{L}''; \Phi''; \mathcal{R}'' \vdash texpr \Leftarrow ret''$  where  $\overline{x_i}^i :: arg \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{C}''; \mathcal{L}''; \Phi''; \mathcal{R}'' \mid ret''$  formalises the assumption that all global functions and labels are well-typed.

(2)6.  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}''$ ,  $\Phi = \Phi''$ ,  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}''$ ,  $\mathcal{R}' = \mathcal{R}''$  and  $ret = ret''$ .

PROOF: By induction on  $arg$ .

⟨2⟩7. Apply substitution lemma to ⟨2⟩4 and ⟨2⟩5 to finish proof.

⟨1⟩25. CASE:  $TY\_SEQ\_E\_PROC$ .

PROOF: Similar to  $TY\_SEQ\_E\_CCALL$ .

⟨1⟩26. CASE:  $TY\_IS\_E\_MEMO$ .

PROOF: By induction on  $TY\_MEMOP^*$  cases.

⟨1⟩27. CASE:  $TY\_IS\_E\_ \{NEG\_ \} ACTION$ .

PROOF: By induction on  $TY\_ACTION^*$  cases.

⟨1⟩28. CASE:  $TY\_SEQ\_TE\_LETP$ .

PROOF SKETCH: Only covering case  $\langle pexpr \rangle \longrightarrow \langle pexpr' \rangle$  here.

See  $TY\_SEQ\_TE\_LET$  for a more general version and proof for the remaining  $\langle pexpr \rangle \longrightarrow \langle tpepr:(y:\beta. term) \rangle$  case.

ASSUME: 1.  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash \text{let } ident\_or\_pattern = pexpr \text{ in } tpepr \Leftarrow y_2:\beta_2. term_2$ .

2.  $\langle \text{let } ident\_or\_pattern = pexpr \text{ in } tpepr \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \text{let } ident\_or\_pattern = pexpr' \text{ in } tpepr \rangle$ .

PROVE:  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash \text{let } ident\_or\_pattern = pexpr' \text{ in } tpepr \Leftarrow y_2:\beta_2. term_2$ .

⟨2⟩1. 1.  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash pexpr \Rightarrow y:\beta. term$ .

2.  $y \text{ as } ident\_or\_pattern:\beta \rightsquigarrow C_1; \Phi_1$ .

3.  $C_1; \cdot, y:\beta; \cdot, term, \Phi_1; \mathcal{R} \vdash tpepr \Leftarrow ret$ .

PROOF: Invert assumption 1.

⟨2⟩2.  $\langle pexpr \rangle \longrightarrow \langle pexpr' \rangle$ .

PROOF: Invert assumption 2.

⟨2⟩3.  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash pexpr' \Rightarrow y:\beta. term$ .

PROOF: By induction on ⟨2⟩1.1 and ⟨2⟩2.

⟨2⟩4.  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot \vdash \text{let } ident\_or\_pattern = pexpr' \text{ in } tpepr \Leftarrow y_2:\beta_2. term_2$ .

PROOF: By  $TY\_SEQ\_TE\_LETP$  using ⟨2⟩1.2,3 and ⟨2⟩3.

⟨1⟩29. CASE:  $TY\_SEQ\_TE\_LETP$ .

PROOF: See  $TY\_SEQ\_TE\_LETT$  for a more general case and proof.

⟨1⟩30. CASE:  $TY\_SEQ\_TE\_LET$ .

LET:

ASSUME: 1.  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R}', \mathcal{R} \vdash \text{let } \overline{ret\_pattern_i}^i = seq\_expr \text{ in } tpepr \Leftarrow ret_2$ .

2.  $\langle h; \text{let } \overline{ret\_pattern_i}^i = seq\_expr \text{ in } tpepr_2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h; \text{let } \overline{ret\_pattern_i}^i : ret_1 = tpepr_1 \text{ in } tpepr_2 \rangle$ .

PROVE:  $\cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \mathcal{R}', \mathcal{R} \vdash \text{let } \overline{ret\_pattern_i}^i : ret_1 = tpepr_1 \text{ in } tpepr_2 \Leftarrow ret_2$ .

⟨1⟩31. CASE:  $TY\_SEQ\_TE\_LETT$ .

LET:

ASSUME: 1. .

2. .

PROVE: .



## 6 Typing Judgements

$object\_value\_jtype$	$::=$	$  \quad \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi \vdash object\_value \Rightarrow \mathbf{obj} \beta$
$pval\_jtype$	$::=$	$  \quad \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi \vdash pval \Rightarrow \beta$
$res\_jtype$	$::=$	$  \quad \Phi \vdash res \equiv res'$ $  \quad \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash res\_term \Leftarrow res$
$spine\_jtype$	$::=$	$  \quad \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash \overline{x_i = spine\_elem_i}^i :: arg \gg \sigma; ret$
$pexpr\_jtype$	$::=$	$  \quad \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi \vdash pexpr \Rightarrow ident:\beta. term$
$tpval\_jtype$	$::=$	$  \quad \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi \vdash tpval \Leftarrow ident:\beta. term$
$tpexpr\_jtype$	$::=$	$  \quad \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi \vdash tpexpr \Leftarrow ident:\beta. term$
$action\_jtype$	$::=$	$  \quad \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash mem\_action \Rightarrow ret$
$memop\_jtype$	$::=$	$  \quad \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash mem\_op \Rightarrow ret$
$seq\_expr\_jtype$	$::=$	$  \quad \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash seq\_expr \Rightarrow ret$
$is\_expr\_jtype$	$::=$	$  \quad \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash is\_expr \Rightarrow ret$
$tval\_jtype$	$::=$	$  \quad \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash tval \Leftarrow ret$
$texpr\_jtype$	$::=$	$  \quad \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash seq\_texpr \Leftarrow ret$ $  \quad \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash is\_texpr \Leftarrow ret$ $  \quad \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{L}; \Phi; \mathcal{R} \vdash texpr \Leftarrow ret$

## 7 Opsem Judgements

$pure\_opsem\_jtype \quad ::=$   
 $\quad | \quad \langle pexpr \rangle \longrightarrow \langle pexpr' \rangle$   
 $\quad | \quad \langle pexpr \rangle \longrightarrow \langle tpepr:(y:\beta. term) \rangle$   
 $\quad | \quad \langle tpepr \rangle \longrightarrow \langle tpepr' \rangle$

$opsem\_jtype \quad ::=$   
 $\quad | \quad \langle h; seq\_expr \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'; texpr:ret \rangle$   
 $\quad | \quad \langle h; seq\_texpr \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'; texpr \rangle$   
 $\quad | \quad \langle h; mem\_op \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'; tval \rangle$   
 $\quad | \quad \langle h; mem\_action \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'; tval \rangle$   
 $\quad | \quad \langle h; is\_expr \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'; is\_expr' \rangle$   
 $\quad | \quad \langle h; is\_texpr \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'; texpr \rangle$   
 $\quad | \quad \langle h; texpr \rangle \longrightarrow \langle h'; texpr' \rangle$