

Hi Samuel,

Thank you for the clear and concise analysis of the Malware case study.

Your post is both insightful and thought provoking. You successfully identified and provided explanations of the ethics violations that were persistently carried out by the Rogue company. The conclusion you provided draws a clear line between the legal and the ethical issues which were ignored by the Rogue company.

As you identified, this case highlights the Rogue company's refusal and unwillingness to take any action against unethical behaviour of their clients. The refusal to address this unethical behaviour suggests that the company ignored both, the ACM (ACM, 2018) and BCS (BCS, 2022) principles because they were, potentially, not required to do so legally.

One way to possibly expand and enhance your post could be aligning ACM Code of Ethics principles with BCS Code of Conduct principles regarding the specific violations.

References

ACM (2018). ACM Code of Ethics and Professional Conduct. [online] Association for Computing Machinery. Available at: <https://www.acm.org/code-of-ethics> [Accessed 3 November 2024].

BCS (2022). BCS, The Chartered Institute For IT Code Of Conduct For Bcs Members. [online] Available at: <https://www.bcs.org/media/2211/bcs-code-of-conduct.pdf> [Accessed 3 November 2024].