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**Introduction**

Animal Science has seen an influx of data in recent years due to advancements in data collection techniques and precise livestock farming. At CSU, Dr. Pablo Pineda, and Dr. Manriquez Alvarez collect large amounts of data on cows. This opens the door for machine learning.

Pregnancy loss is a common issue in dairy and cattle operations. In the Department of Animal Sciences at CSU, Dr. Pineda and Dr. Alvarez research this problem. They collect large amounts of health data on cows, and want to begin to use machine learning in their work. Specifically, they want to be able to predict the likelihood of pregnancy loss in their cows. This paper will detail the creation of a predictive model for cow pregnancy outcomes. I will begin by outlining the background of cow pregnancy and the available data. Then I will show my exploration of the dataset, and my strategy for wrangling and modeling. Finally, I will show results from modeling.

**Background**

This data operates in a very specific way. Having some domain knowledge is important.

*Cow Pregnancy Operations and Data Collections*

* After a dairy cow has calved (given birth), it begins to lactate. This is a very important time and is called the milking period. The Days in Milk (or DIM) metric begins when the cow gives birth. For example, at calving, DIM is 0. 100 days after calving, DIM is 100. Because this milking period is so important, sensor data is collected from cows at every DIM. This sensor data is called BCS (body condition score). It essentially represents overall health of the cow. [Pablo Pinedo and Diego Manriquez, 2022]
* The milking period is also when the cow once again attempts to become pregnant. First insemination is usually between DIM 60 and 100. A cow is inseminated as many times as it takes to get them pregnant (to a certain extent). Data about the number of times inseminated, DIM inseminated, if they had a successful first insemination or not, and when they were confirmed pregnant is all available.
* Information about any diseases, injuries, or ailments that occurred during milking are recorded.
* A cow can become pregnant at any date (though almost all are before DIM 300).
* A pregnancy loss can happen any time after a cow is successfully impregnated.
* Data is uploaded after entire milking period.

*Dataset Introduction*

The raw dataset contains 6524 instances of milking cows who successfully became pregnant. Below are important features:

* ‘Abort’ column
  + Whether the cow lost the pregnancy or not (0/1). This is very important and is what we will be predicting.
* BCS data (by DIM)
  + Gives health score (BCS) at every DIM up to DIM 150. There is a column for every DIM.
  + Columns: Labeled with integers corresponding to DIM (0, 1, 2, ..., 150)
* Artificial Insemination data
  + There is data collected that has to deal with the insemination of the cow.
  + Examples: whether the cow had a successful first insemination, how many times it has been inseminated, what DIM the cow actually got pregnant, etc.
* Disease and Injury data
  + Marks if a cow had a disease or injury while in milk (one hot encoded).
  + Examples: pyometra, fever, leg injury, etc.
* Milk Data
  + Average milk produced in a given period.
  + Columns: milkavg30, milkavg60, milkavg100, …

**Exploration and Strategy**

A large part of this project was developing a strategy for modeling. Since pregnancy can begin and be lost at any DIM, it is unclear how and when during milking to use the model. If we model at a set DIM, some cows would have already lost the pregnancy, while others aren’t even confirmed to be pregnant yet.

I considered many approaches and frameworks for this problem. After deliberation, I settled on doing binary classification on the ‘Abort’ column at either DIM 100 or pregnancy DIM (the day when the cow is confirmed pregnant), whichever comes later. Only 3 pregnancy losses came before DIM 100, meaning I should safely be able to use information up to this date. This mostly applies to the daily BCS data, which I use up to DIM 100 for every cow. However, some cows become pregnant after this date (at up to 400 DIM!). Some of the most predictive features are acquired on the day the cow becomes pregnant, so modelling before this date is foolish. For this reason, if the cow is confirmed pregnant at a day later than 100 DIM, we model at that DIM. If the cow is confirmed pregnant before 100 DIM, wait until 100 DIM to model (we need to fill BCS data up to DIM 100). During this time, a user can also fill in any injuries or diseases the cow may have had.
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Figure 1. If the pregnancy is confirmed before DIM 100, the model still requires BCS data up to DIM 100. Pregnancy loss almost never occurs before DIM 100, so we can do this safely. If pregnancy is confirmed after DIM 100, model at that date (only using BCS data up to DIM 100). Modeling before a cow is even confirmed pregnant discards information and is foolish.

*Data Wrangling*

* Remove observations where the cow died or was sold
* Remove redundant/useless/NaN/string columns
* Remove columns that record data that happened after the model was to be made. For example, I can’t include milkmonth7 or BCS @ DIM 150, because a lot of cows would have already lost their pregnancy by then, and I can’t put a NaN into a ML model.
* Making sure there were no diseases or injuries recorded after the pregnancy loss.

Another difficult aspect of this project is that the data is imbalanced. Pregnancy loss only occurs about 11% of the time in the dataset. This makes the data hard to visualize, and makes model results bias and misleading. After testing, I chose to down-sample the majority class so there is an equal number of outcomes in the dataset. Without down-sampling, the model has very bad recall and precision (Fig 2). We actually want to be able to predict when the pregnancy losses are happening, so the data had to be balanced. There is not enough data to warrant doing any other technique than down-sampling. [Yasmin Sun et al., 2009]
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Figure 2. Confusion matrix for a XGBoost model trained with no down sampling. The model misses over half of the pregnancy losses.

*Final Dataset*

* 790 observations (rows). Each row is one pregnancy resulting in either loss of pregnancy or no loss of pregnancy.
* 127 features (columns). 100 BCS features (by DIM). The other 27 mark injuries, diseases, important events, and milking information.

**Data Exploration**

After examination, the data seemed promising for predicting pregnancy loss. In particular, the BCS data and breeding information looked particularly predictive, while the disease, injury and milk information looked less so. All of these insights were confirmed by Dr. Pinedo, who observes these phenomena in real life.
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Figure 3. Average BCS by DIM, separated by abort column. On average, a cow who had a pregnancy loss had a worse BCS score at every DIM. This shows that BCS can be indicative of pregnancy outcomes.
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Figure 4. Four features with highest correlation to pregnancy loss. *tmsbred*: how many times the cow was artificially inseminated. *outcome1AI*: indicates success or failure of the first artificial insemination. *pregnancy\_DIM*: the DIM the cow was confirmed pregnant. *ai1\_DIM*: DIM of first artificial insemination.

The things most predictive of pregnancy loss are related to when and how the cow got pregnant. Pregnancy is more successful when the pregnancy begins earlier in milking.

**Modeling**

*Setup*

This is a binary classification problem, predicting successful pregnancy or loss of pregnancy (0/1). I used a 70-15-15 stratified split for train, validation, and test. ‘Extra test’ refers to the data leftover from down-sampling. All outcomes in this extra set were successful pregnancies.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Set** | **Input Shape** | **Target Shape** |
| Train | 552 x 127 | 552 x 1 |
| Validation | 119 x 127 | 119 x 1 |
| Test | 119 x 127 | 119 x 1 |
| Extra test | 4818 x 127 | 4818 x 1 |

*Training*

Per usual practice, I used different models and parameters to try to maximize accuracy, recall and precision on the validation set. I believed this task would require a model who can do feature interactions (for the BCS columns), so I made sure to focus on random forests and XGBoost. Recall and precision are especially important metrics here because pregnancy losses are rare and important to catch. After testing different models, features, transformations, and hyperparameters, I settled on the following:

* Transformations:
  + Reduce the 100 BCS columns to their first 50 principal components
  + Fill NaN’s with column means.
* Features:
  + Include all features (down from 127 to 79 due to PCA).
* Model:
  + **XGBoostClassifier** with all default parameters [Chen et al., 2016]

**Results**

The results for this were generally positive. We were able to have competitive accuracy with good recall and precision.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Accuracy** | **F1 Score** | **Recall** | **Precision** |
| Train | 100 % | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Validation | 81.5 % | 82 % | 86.7 % | 77.6 % |
| Test | **79 %** | **80 %** | **84.7%** | **75.8 %** |
| Extra test | 78.2 % | N/A | N/A | N/A |
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Figure 5: Confusion matrix for the test set showing good recall and precision. The model slightly overpredicts pregnancy loss, lowering precision. However, Dr. Pinedo said this is okay, as false positives are better than false negatives for this context.

**Conclusion**

We conclude that it is possible to predict pregnancy loss in cows using this data at around 80% accuracy with good precision and recall. This model and others like it could prove to be a useful tool in cow operations. While this dataset is specific to our farm at CSU, this work shows that cow health can be predictive of pregnancy loss, meaning other farms could create a similar model using their own data.
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