OpenIntro Statistics

CH 01: Introduction to Data



Treating Chronic Fatigue Syndrome

Objective. Evaluate the effectiveness of cognitive-behavior therapy for chronic fatigue syndrome.

Participant pool. 142 patients who were recruited from referrals by primary care physicians and consultants to a hospital clinic specializing in chronic fatigue syndrome.

Actual participants. Only 60 of the 142 referred patients entered the study. Some were excluded because they didn't meet the diagnostic criteria, some had other health issues, and some refused to be a part of the study.

Deale, et. al. 1997. Cognitive behavior therapy for chronic fatigue syndrome: A randomized controlled trial. The American Journal of Psychiatry 154:3.

1.1: Case Study:

Treating Chronic Fatigue Syndrome

Study design

Patients randomly assigned to treatment and control groups, 30 patients in each group:

Treatment: Cognitive behavior therapy -- collaborative, educative, and with a behavioral emphasis. Patients were shown on how activity could be increased steadily and safely without exacerbating symptoms.

Control: Relaxation -- No advice was given about how activity could be increased. Instead progressive muscle relaxation, visualization, and rapid relaxation skills were taught.

Results

The table below shows the distribution of patients with good outcomes at 6-month follow-up. Note that 7 patients dropped out of the study: 3 from the treatment and 4 from the control group.

		Good outcome		
		Yes	No	Total
Group	Treatment	19	8	27
aroup	Control	5	21	26
	Total	24	29	53

Proportion with good outcomes in treatment group:

19/27 ≈ 0.70 → 70%

Proportion with good outcomes in control group:

5/26 ≈ 0.19 → 19%

5

Understanding the results

Do the data show a "real" difference between the groups?

- Suppose you flip a coin 100 times. While the chance a coin lands heads in any given coin flip is 50%, we probably won't observe exactly 50 heads. This type of fluctuation is part of almost any type of data generating process.
- The observed difference between the two groups (70 19 = 51%) may be real, or may be due to natural variation.
- Since the difference is quite large, it is more believable that the difference is real
- We use statistical tools to determine if the difference is so large that we should reject the notion that it was due to chance.

6


Generalizing the results


Are the results of this study generalizable to all patients with chronic fatigue syndrome?

These patients had specific characteristics and volunteered to be a part of this study, therefore they may not be representative of all patients with chronic fatigue syndrome. While we cannot immediately generalize the results to all patients, this first study is encouraging. The method works for patients with some narrow set of characteristics, and that gives hope that it will work, at least to some degree, with other patients

/

1.2: Data Basics





Types of variables (cont.)

	gender	sleep	bedtime	countries	dread
1	male	5	12-2	13	3
2	female	7	10-12	7	2
3	female	5.5	12-2	1	4
4	female	7	12-2		2
5	female	3	12-2	1	3
6	female	3	12-2	9	4

- gender: categorical
- sleep: numerical, continuous
- bedtime: categorical, ordinal
- countries: numerical, discrete
- dread: categorical, ordinal could also be used as numerical

Practice

What type of variable is a telephone area code?

- (a) numerical, continuous
- (b) numerical, discrete
- (c) categorical
- (d) categorical, ordinal


What type of variable is a telephone area code?

- (a) numerical, continuous
- (b) numerical, discrete
- (c) categorical
- (d) categorical, ordinal

13

Relationships among variables Does there appear to be a relationship between the hours of study per week and the GPA of a student? 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 Hours of study / week Can you spot anything unusual about any of the data points? There is one student with GPA > 4.0, this is likely a data error.

Based on the scatterplot on the right, which of the following statements is correct about the head and skull lengths of possums? (a) There is no relationship between head length and skull width, i.e. the variables are independent. (b) Head length and skull width are positively associated. (c) Skull width and head length are negatively associated. (d) A longer head causes the skull to be wider. (e) A wider skull causes the head to be longer.



Associated vs. independent

- When two variables show some connection with one another, they are called associated variables.
 - Associated variables can also be called dependent variables and vice-versa.
- If two variables are not associated, i.e. there is no evident connection between the two, then they are said to be independent.

17

1.3: Overview of Data Collection Principles

Populations and Samples

Finding Your Ideal Running Form



Research Question: Can people become better, more efficient runners on their own, merely by running?

Population of Interest: All people

http://well.blogs.nytimes.com/2012/08/29/finding-your-ideal-running-form

Sample: Group of adult women who recently joined a running group

Population to which results can be generalized: Adult women, if the data are randomly sampled

Anecdotal evidence and early smoking research

- Anti-smoking research started in the 1930s and 1940s when cigarette smoking became increasingly popular. While some smokers seemed to be sensitive to cigarette smoke, others were completely unaffected.
- Anti-smoking research was faced with resistance based on anecdotal evidence such as "My uncle smokes three packs a day and he's in perfectly good health", evidence based on a limited sample size that might not be representative of the population.
- It was concluded that "smoking is a complex human behavior, by its nature difficult to study, confounded by human variability."
- In time researchers were able to examine larger samples of cases (smokers), and trends showing that smoking has negative health impacts became much clearer.
 Brandt, The Cigarette Century (2009). Basic Books.

Census

- Wouldn't it be better to just include everyone and "sample" the entire population?
 - This is called a census.
- There are problems with taking a census:
 - It can be difficult to complete a census: there always seem to be some individuals who are hard to locate or hard to measure. And these difficult-to-find people may have certain characteristics that distinguish them from the rest of the population.
 - Populations rarely stand still. Even if you could take a census, the population changes constantly, so it's never possible to get a perfect measure.

Taking a census may be more complex than sampling.

21



Exploratory analysis to inference

- Sampling is natural.
- Think about sampling something you are cooking you taste (examine) a small part of what you're cooking to get an idea about the dish as a whole.
- When you taste a spoonful of soup and decide the spoonful you tasted isn't salty enough, that's exploratory analysis.
- If you generalize and conclude that your entire soup needs salt, that's an
 inference.
- For your inference to be valid, the spoonful you tasted (the sample) needs to be representative of the entire pot (the population).
 - If your spoonful comes only from the surface and the salt is collected at the bottom of the pot, what you tasted is probably not representative of the whole pot.
 - If you first stir the soup thoroughly before you taste, your spoonful will more likely be representative of the whole pot.

23

Sampling bias example: Landon vs. FDR

A historical example of a biased sample yielding misleading results




In 1936, Landon sought the Republican presidential nomination opposing the reelection of FDR.



The Literary Digest Poll

- The Literary Digest polled about 10 million Americans, and got responses from about 2.4 million.
- The poll showed that Landon would likely be the overwhelming winner and FDR would get only 43% of the votes.
- Election result: FDR won, with 62% of the votes.
- The magazine was completely discredited because of the poll, and was soon discontinued.



25

The Literary Digest Poll - what went wrong?

- The magazine had surveyed
 - its own readers,
 - oregistered automobile owners, and
 - registered telephone users.

These groups had incomes well above the national average of the day (remember, this is Great Depression era) which resulted in lists of voters far more likely to support Republicans than a truly *typical* voter of the time, i.e. the sample was not representative of the American population at the time.

26

Large samples are preferable, but...

- The Literary Digest election poll was based on a sample size of 2.4 million, which is huge, but since the sample was biased, the sample did not yield an accurate prediction.
- Back to the soup analogy: If the soup is not well stirred, it
 doesn't matter how large a spoon you have, it will still not taste
 right. If the soup is well stirred, a small spoon will suffice to test
 the soup.

27

Practice

A school district is considering whether it will no longer allow high school students to park at school after two recent accidents where students were severely injured. As a first step, they survey parents by mail, asking them whether or not the parents would object to this policy change. Of 6,000 surveys that go out, 1,200 are returned. Of these 1,200 surveys that were completed, 960 agreed with the policy change and 240 disagreed. Which of the following statements are true?

- I. Some of the mailings may have never reached the parents.
- II. The school district has strong support from parents to move forward with the policy approval.
- $\label{eq:linear_linear} III. \ \ It is possible that majority of the parents of high school students disagree with the policy change.$
- IV. The survey results are unlikely to be biased because all parents were mailed a survey.

(a) Only I (b) I and II (c) I and III

I and III (d) III and IV

(e) Only IV

A school district is considering whether it will no longer allow high school students to park at school after two recent accidents where students were severely injured. As a first step, they survey parents by mail, asking them whether or not the parents would object to this policy change. Of 6,000 surveys that go out, 1,200 are returned. Of these 1,200 surveys that were completed, 960 agreed with the policy change and 240 disagreed. Which of the following statements are true?

- L. Some of the mailings may have never reached the parents.
- II. The school district has strong support from parents to move forward with the policy
- III. It is possible that majority of the parents of high school students disagree with the policy change.
- IV. The survey results are unlikely to be biased because all parents were mailed a survey.

(a) Only I

(b) I and II

(c) I and III (d) III and IV

(e) Only IV

Explanatory and Response Variables

• To identify the explanatory variable in a pair of variables, identify which of the two is suspected of affecting the other:

explanatory variable $\xrightarrow{might\ affect}$ response variable

 Labeling variables as explanatory and response does not guarantee the relationship between the two is actually causal, even if there is an association identified between the two variables. We use these labels only to keep track of which variable we suspect affects the other.

Explanatory and Response Variables

- Observational study: Researchers collect data in a way that does not directly interfere with how the data arise, i.e. they merely "observe", and can only establish an association between the explanatory and response variables.
- Experiment: Researchers randomly assign subjects to various treatments in order to establish causal connections between the explanatory and response

If you're going to walk away with one thing from this class, let it be "correlation does not imply causation".

1.4: Observational studies and sampling strategies

New study sponsored by General Mills says that eating breakfast makes girls thinner

Study: Breakfast Helps Girls Stay Slim I love these studies....and finding out who sponsored them!

By ALEX DOMINGUEZ, Associated Press

Girls who regularly ate breakfast, particularly one that includes cereal, were slimmer than those who skipped the morning meal, according to a study that tracked nearly 2,400 girls for 10 years.

Girls who ate breakfast of any type had a lower average body mass index, a common obesity gauge, than those who said they didn't. The index was even lower for girls who said they ate cereal for breakfast, according to findings of the study conducted by the Maryland Medical Research Institute. The study received funding from the National Institutes of Health and cereal-maker General Mills.

"Not eating breakfast is the worst thing you can do, that's really the take-home message for teenage girls," said study author Bruce Barton, the Maryland institute's president and CEO.

The fiber in cereal and healthier foods that normally accompany cereal, such as milk and orange juice, may account for the lower body mass index among cereal eaters, Barton said.

The results were gleaned from a larger NIH survey of 2,379 girls in California, Ohio and Maryland who were tracked between ages 9 and 19. Results of the study appear in the September issue of the Journal of the American Dieteltic Association.

Nearly one in three adolescent girls in the United States is overweight, according to the association. The problem is particularly troubling because research shows becoming overweight as a child can lead to a lifetime struggle with obesity.

As part of the survey, the girls were asked once a year what they had eaten during the previous three days. The data were adjusted to compensate for factors such as differences in physical activity among the girls and normal increases in body fat during adolescence.

http://www.peertrainer.com/LoungeCommunityThread.aspx?ForumID=1&ThreadID=3118

What type of study is this, observational study or an experiment?

"Girls who regularly ate breakfast, particularly one that includes cereal, were slimmer than those who skipped the morning meal, according to a study that tracked nearly 2,400 girls for 10 years. [...] As part of the survey, the girls were asked once a year what they had eaten during the previous three days."

This is an observational study since the researchers merely observed the behavior of the girls (subjects) as opposed to imposing treatments on them.

What is the conclusion of the study?

There is an association between girls eating breakfast and being slimmer.

Who sponsored the study?

General Mills.

3 Possible Explanations

1. Eating breakfast causes girls to be thinner.







2. Being thin causes girls to eat breakfast.







3. A third variable is responsible for both. What could it be? An extraneous variable that affects both the explanatory and the response variable and that make it seem like there is a relationship between the two are called confounding variables.









35


Images from: http://www.appforhealth.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/08/ipn-cerealfrijo-300x135.jpg, http://www.dreamstime.com/stock-photography-too-thin-woman-anorexia-model-image2814892.


Obtaining Good Samples

- Almost all statistical methods are based on the notion of implied randomness.
- If observational data are not collected in a random framework from a population, these statistical methods -- the estimates and errors associated with the estimates -- are not reliable.
- Most commonly used random sampling techniques are simple, stratified, and cluster sampling.









A city council has requested a household survey be conducted in a suburban area of their city. The area is broken into many distinct and unique neighborhoods, some including large homes, some with only apartments. Which approach would likely be the *least*

- (a) Simple random sampling
- (b) Cluster sampling
- (c) Stratified sampling
- (d) Blocked sampling

41

1.5: Experiments

Practice

A city council has requested a household survey be conducted in a suburban area of their city. The area is broken into many distinct and unique neighborhoods, some including large homes, some with only apartments. Which approach would likely be the *least* effective?

- (a) Simple random sampling
- (b) Cluster sampling
- (c) Stratified sampling
- (d) Blocked sampling

4.

Principles of experimental design

- 1. Control: Compare treatment of interest to a control group.
- **2. Randomize**: Randomly assign subjects to treatments, and randomly sample from the population whenever possible.
- Replicate: Within a study, replicate by collecting a sufficiently large sample. Or replicate the entire study.
- **4. Block**: If there are variables that are known or suspected to affect the response variable, first group subjects into blocks based on these variables, and then randomize cases within each block to treatment groups.

More on Blocking



- We would like to design an experiment to investigate if energy gels makes you run faster:
 - o Treatment: energy gel
 - Control: no energy gel
- It is suspected that energy gels might affect pro and amateur athletes differently, therefore we block for pro status:
 - o Divide the sample to pro and amateur
 - Randomly assign pro athletes to treatment and control groups
 - Randomly assign amateur athletes to treatment and control groups
 - Pro/amateur status is equally represented in the resulting treatment and control groups

45

Why is this important? Can you think of other variables to block for?

Practice

A study is designed to test the effect of light level and noise level on exam performance of students. The researcher also believes that light and noise levels might have different effects on males and females, so wants to make sure both genders are equally represented in each group. Which of the below is correct?

- A. There are 3 explanatory variables (light, noise, gender) and 1 response variable (exam performance)
- B. There are 2 explanatory variables (light and noise), 1 blocking variable (gender), and 1 response variable (exam performance)
- C. There is 1 explanatory variable (gender) and 3 response variables (light, noise, exam performance)
- D. There are 2 blocking variables (light and noise), 1 explanatory variable (gender), and 1 response variable (exam performance)

46

Practice


A study is designed to test the effect of light level and noise level on exam performance of students. The researcher also believes that light and noise levels might have different effects on males and females, so wants to make sure both genders are equally represented in each group. Which of the below is correct?


- A. There are 3 explanatory variables (light, noise, gender) and 1 response variable (exam performance)
- B. There are 2 explanatory variables (light and noise), 1 blocking variable (gender), and 1 response variable (exam performance)
- C. There is 1 explanatory variable (gender) and 3 response variables (light, noise, exam performance)
- D. There are 2 blocking variables (light and noise), 1 explanatory variable (gender), and 1 response variable (exam performance)


47

Random Assignment vs. Random Sampling ideal Random No random observational experiment assignment assignment studies No causal conclusion. Causal conclusion, Random correlation statement generalized to the whole Generalizability generalized to the whole sampling population. population. No causal conclusion, No random No Causal conclusion, correlation statement only only for the sample generalizability sampling for the sample. Causation Correlation observational experiments studies 48

1.6: Examining Numerical Data







Mean

The *sample mean*, denoted as X, can be calculated as


$$\bar{x} = \frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{n},$$

where $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$ represent the n observed values.

The population mean is also computed the same way but is denoted as μ . It is often not possible to calculate μ since population data are rarely available.


The sample mean is a *sample statistic*, and serves as a *point estimate* of the population mean. This estimate may not be perfect, but if the sample is good (representative of the population), it is usually a pretty good estimate.


33





Histograms - Extracurricular Hours


- Histograms provide a view of the data density. Higher bars represent where the data are relatively more common.
- Histograms are especially convenient for describing the shape of the data distribution.
- The chosen bin width can alter the story the histogram is telling.

























Variance

Variance is roughly the average squared deviation from the mean.

$$s^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{n-1}$$

- The sample mean is $\bar{x} = 6.71$, and the sample size is n = 217.
- The variance of amount of sleep students get per night can be calculated as:



$$s^{2} = \frac{(5 - 6.71)^{2} + (9 - 6.71)^{2} + \dots + (7 - 6.71)^{2}}{217 - 1} = 4.11 \text{ hours}^{2}$$

65

Variance (cont.)

Why do we use the squared deviation in the calculation of variance?

- To get rid of negatives so that observations equally distant from the mean are weighed equally.
- To weigh larger deviations more heavily.

66

Standard Deviation

The *standard deviation* is the square root of the variance, and has the same units as the data.

$$s = \sqrt{s^2}$$

 The standard deviation of amount of sleep students get per night can be calculated as:



- $s = \sqrt{4.11} = 2.03 \ hours$
- We can see that all of the data are within 3 standard deviations of the mean.

67

Median

The *median* is the value that splits the data in half when ordered in ascending order.

If there are an even number of observations, then the median is the average of the two values in the middle.

$$0, 1, \underline{2, 3}, 4, 5 \rightarrow \frac{2+3}{2} = 2.5$$

Since the median is the midpoint of the data, 50% of the values are below it. Hence, it is also the 50th percentile.

Q1, Q3, and IQR

- The 25th percentile is also called the first quartile, Q1.
- The 50th percentile is also called the median.

Anatomy of a Box Plot

50

40

30

20

10

of study hours / week

- The 75th percentile is also called the third quartile, Q3.
- Between Q1 and Q3 is the middle 50% of the data. The range these data span is called the *interquartile range*, or the *IQR*.

$$IQR = Q3 - Q1$$


69

suspected outliers max whisker reach & upper whisker Q₃ (third quartile) median

Q₁ (first quartile)

Box Plot

The box in a box plot represents the middle 50% of the data, and the thick line in the box is the median.



70

Whiskers and Outliers

 $\ensuremath{\textit{Whiskers}}$ of a box plot can extend up to 1.5 x IQR away from the quartiles.

max upper whisker reach = $Q3 + 1.5 \times IQR$ max lower whisker reach = $Q1 - 1.5 \times IQR$

IQR: 20 - 10 = 10

max upper whisker reach = $20 + 1.5 \times 10 = 35$ max lower whisker reach = $10 - 1.5 \times 10 = -5$

A potential *outlier* is defined as an observation beyond the maximum reach of the whiskers. It is an observation that appears extreme relative to the rest of the data.


/2

Outliers (cont.) Why is it important to look for outliers?

- Identify extreme skew in the distribution.
- Identify data collection and entry errors.
- Provide insight into interesting features of the data.

. .

Extreme Observations How would sample statistics such as mean, median, SD, and IQR of household income be affected if the largest value was replaced with \$10 million? What if the smallest value was replaced with \$10 million? Oe+00 2e+05 4e+05 6e+05 8e+05 1e+06 Annual Household Income




Robust Statistics


Median and IQR are more robust to skewness and outliers than mean and SD. Therefore,


- for skewed distributions it is often more helpful to use median and IQR to describe the center and spread
- for symmetric distributions it is often more helpful to use the mean and SD to describe the center and spread


If you would like to estimate the typical household income for a student, would you be more interested in the mean or median income?

Median









Pros and Cons of Transformations

 Skewed data are easier to model with when they are transformed because outliers tend to become far less prominent after an appropriate transformation.


of games 70 50 25 ... log # of games 4.25 3.91 3.22 ...

 However, results of an analysis might be difficult to interpret because the log of a measured variable is usually meaningless.

What other variables would you expect to be extremely skewed? Salary, housing prices, etc.

81

1.7: Considering Categorical Data





Contingency Tables


A table that summarizes data for two categorical variables is called a *contingency table*.


The contingency table below shows the distribution of students' genders and whether or not they are looking for a spouse while in college.


		looking for spouse		
		No	Yes	Total
gender	Female	86	51	137
	Male	52	18	70
	Total	138	69	207












































Why is the chart with the overlay better?



- The overlay shows that, although Apple can boast more than 400 million iPhones sold for all time, its quarterly sales of the product have declined over the last three quarters.
- Also worth noting is that the chart shown gives a preview of Apple's sales ahead of its fourth-quarter earnings release on October 21
- Judging by the change in slope on its chart, quarterly sales of iPhones continued to decline

101

Child poverty before and after government intervention - UNICEF, 1996 Finland 1991 What does the term "poverty" Sweder 1992 mean to you? Denmark 1992 What does this chart tell you? Switze 1982 The United States has the Belgium 1992 highest rate of child poverty Luxembour 1985 among developed nations (22% Norway 1991 of children under 18). Austria' Its government does the least— Netherl through taxes and subsidies—to France 1984 remedy the problem (size of blue bar and percent difference Italy 1991 between orange and blue bars). United Ki The poverty line is defined as Israel 1986 50% of national median income. Ireland 1987 Canada 1991 Australia United Sto

A Titanic Misconception Class Count First 325 285 Second Third 706 885 Crew Three times as many crew members as second-class passengers The eyes are tricked by the area being nine times as large for the crew. 900 102

Number of On-Time Flights Time of Day Day Night Overall 90 out of 100 10 out of 20 100 out of 120 90% 50% 83% Jill 19 out of 20 75 out of 100 94 out of 120 75% 75% 78% Which pilot had a better on-time flight record? Moe was better overall. Jill was better for both day and night flights.

Simpson's Paradox: An association or comparison that

when the data are combined to form a single group. This

holds for all of several groups can reverse direction

reversal is called Simpson's paradox.

Simpson's Paradox

1.8: Case Study:

Gender Discrimination

Data

At a first glance, does there appear to be a relationship between promotion and gender?

		Promotion		
		Promoted	Not Promoted	Total
Gender	Male	21	3	24
	Female	14	10	24
	Total	35	13	48

% of males promoted: 21 / 24 = 0.875 % of females promoted: 14 / 24 = 0.583

107

Gender Discrimination

- In 1972, as a part of a study on gender discrimination, 48 male bank supervisors were each given the same personnel file and asked to judge whether the person should be promoted to a branch manager job that was described as "routine".
- The files were identical except that half of the supervisors had files showing the person was male while the other half had files showing the person was famale
- It was randomly determined which supervisors got "male" applications and which got "female" applications.
- Of the 48 files reviewed, 35 were promoted.
- The study is testing whether females are unfairly discriminated against.

Is this an observational study or an experiment?

B.Rosen and T. Jerdee (1974), "Influence of sex role stereotypes on personnel decisions", J.Applied Psychology, 59:9-14.

106

Practice

We saw a difference of almost 30% (29.2% to be exact) between the proportion of male and female files that are promoted. Based on this information, which of the below is true?

- A. If we were to repeat the experiment we will definitely see that more female files get promoted. This was a fluke.
- B. Promotion is dependent on gender, males are more likely to be promoted, and hence there is gender discrimination against women in promotion decisions.
- C. The difference in the proportions of promoted male and female files is due to chance, this is not evidence of gender discrimination against women in promotion decisions.
- Women are less qualified than men, and this is why fewer females get promoted.

We saw a difference of almost 30% (29.2% to be exact) between the proportion of male and female files that are promoted. Based on this information, which of the below is true?

- A. If we were to repeat the experiment we will definitely see that more female files get promoted. This was a fluke.
- Promotion is dependent on gender, males are more likely to be promoted, and hence there is gender discrimination against women in promotion decisions. Maybe
- C. The difference in the proportions of promoted male and female files is due to chance, this is not evidence of gender discrimination against women in promotion decisions. Maybe
- Women are less qualified than men, and this is why fewer females get promoted

109

Two Competing Claims


- "There is nothing going on." (Null Hypothesis)
 Promotion and gender are independent, no gender discrimination,
 observed difference in proportions is simply due to chance.
- "There is something going on." (Alternative Hypothesis)
 Promotion and gender are dependent, there is gender discrimination, observed difference in proportions is not due to chance.

110

A Trial as a Hypothesis Test

Hypothesis testing is very much like a court trial.

- H₀: Defendant is innocent H_A: Defendant is guilty
- We then present the evidence collect data.



- If they were very unlikely to have occurred, then the evidence raises more than a reasonable doubt in our minds about the null hypothesis.
- Ultimately we must make a decision. How unlikely is unlikely?

Image from http://www.nwherald.com/_internal/cimgl0/oo1il4sf8zzaqbboq25oewbg99wpot

111

A Trial as a Hypothesis Test (cont.)

- If the evidence is not strong enough to reject the assumption of innocence, the jury returns with a verdict of "not guilty".
 - The jury does not say that the defendant is innocent, just that there is not enough evidence to convict.
 - The defendant may, in fact, be innocent, but the jury has no way of being sure.
- Said statistically, we fail to reject the null hypothesis.
 - We never declare the null hypothesis to be true, because we simply do not know whether it's true or not.
 - o Therefore we never ``accept the null hypothesis".

A Trial as a Hypothesis Test (cont.)

- In a trial, the burden of proof is on the prosecution.
- In a hypothesis test, the burden of proof is on the unusual claim.
- The null hypothesis is the ordinary state of affairs (the status quo), so it's the alternative hypothesis that we consider unusual and for which we must gather evidence.

113

Recap: Hypothesis Testing Framework

- We start with a *null hypothesis* (H₀) that represents the status quo.
- We also have an alternative hypothesis (HA) that represents our research question, i.e. what we're testing for.
- We conduct a hypothesis test under the assumption that the null hypothesis is true, either via simulation (today) or theoretical methods (later in the course).
- If the test results suggest that the data do not provide convincing evidence for the alternative hypothesis, we stick with the null hypothesis. If they do, then we reject the null hypothesis in favor of the alternative.

114

Simulating the experiment...


- ... under the assumption of independence, i.e. leave things up to chance.
- If results from the simulations based on the *chance model* look like the data, then we can determine that the difference between the proportions of promoted files between males and females was simply *due to chance* (promotion and gender are independent).
- If the results from the simulations based on the chance model do not look like the data, then we can determine that the difference between the proportions of promoted files between males and females was not due to chance, but *due to an actual effect of gender* (promotion and gender are dependent).


115

Application Activity: Simulating the Experiment

Use a deck of playing cards to simulate this experiment.

- Let a face card represent not promoted and a non-face card represent a promoted. Consider aces as face cards.
 - Set aside the jokers.
 - Take out 3 aces >> there are exactly 13 face cards left in the deck (face cards:
 Δ κ Ω I)
 - Take out a number card >> there are exactly 35 number (non-face) cards left in the deck (number cards: 2-10).
- Shuffle the cards and deal them intro two groups of size 24, representing males and females.
- 3. Count and record how many files in each group are promoted (number cards).
- 4. Calculate the proportion of promoted files in each group and take the difference (male female), and record this value.
- 5. Repeat steps 2 4 many times.





Do the results of the simulation you just ran provide convincing evidence of gender discrimination against women, i.e. dependence between gender and promotion decisions?

- A. No, the data do not provide convincing evidence for the alternative hypothesis, therefore we can't reject the null hypothesis of independence between gender and promotion decisions. The observed difference between the two proportions was due to chance.
- B. Yes, the data provide convincing evidence for the alternative hypothesis of gender discrimination against women in promotion decisions. The observed difference between the two proportions was due to a real effect of gender.

119

Practice

Do the results of the simulation you just ran provide convincing evidence of gender discrimination against women, i.e. dependence between gender and promotion decisions?

- A. No, the data do not provide convincing evidence for the alternative hypothesis, therefore we can't reject the null hypothesis of independence between gender and promotion decisions. The observed difference between the two proportions was due to chance.
- B. Yes, the data provide convincing evidence for the alternative hypothesis of gender discrimination against women in promotion decisions. The observed difference between the two proportions was due to a real effect of gender.

