

University of Manitoba
Department of Statistics

STAT 4600: Computational Statistics

Take-home Part of the Final Exam

Instructor: Alexandre Leblanc

Date: Thursday, April 12, 2018.

Due date: Wednesday, April 18, 12:00 pm (noon).

Instructions:

- This is an exam: there should be absolutely no collaboration between students.
- Show as much detail as you can: please pay attention to the quality and clarity of the work you turn in.
- Pay particular attention to the clarity of your R code.
- Justifications/explanations should be short and clear. They should not be very lengthy.
- All work is to be turned in electronically and done within RMarkdown.
- The take-home part of the exam contains four questions on nine pages (including the cover page).

Good Luck!

Question 5: Refer to Question 2 from the first part of the Exam.

Consider once again the integral

$$I = \int_{-1}^1 (1+x) \log(1+x) dx,$$

and recall that our goal was to approximate this integral using different Monte Carlo schemes.

In each of the following cases, approximate the sampling distribution of the resulting Monte Carlo estimator by repeating the Monte Carlo experiment 500 times for a simulation size of $n=1000$. Then, make a histogram of the 500 values thus obtained and obtain a 95% confidence interval for I based on the quantiles of the simulated sampling distributions. Make the required comparisons based on this output.

- (A) Approximate the above integral based on the approach outlined in Question 2 (A).
- (B) Approximate I based on the antithetic variable strategy outlined in Question 2 (B).
- (C) Approximate I by using the randomized trapezoidal rule based on (3).
- (D) How do the three approaches compare to each other in terms of performance?

Randomized Riemann sums: The basic Monte Carlo approximation to an integral can be viewed as a random version of a Riemann sum. Indeed, the standard Riemann approximation of

$$J = \int_a^b f(y) dy,$$

is given by

$$J_R = \frac{(b-a)}{n} \sum_{i=0}^n f(y_k), \quad (2)$$

where $a = y_0 < y_1 < \dots < y_n = b$ form a fixed grid of evenly spaced points. Then, the standard Monte Carlo approximation of J simply consists in replacing the fixed grid y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n by points that are randomly uniformly generated on (a, b) .

An improved and more general deterministic approach, when comparing to (2), is to use the trapezoidal rule which approximates J by

$$J_T = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (y_{k+1} - y_k) [f(y_k) + f(y_{k+1})], \quad (3)$$

based on a grid $a = y_0 < y_1 < y_2 < \dots < y_{n-1} < y_n = b$, even if the points are not equally spaced. A Monte Carlo approximation of J can be constructed based on this and using points that are uniformly generated on (a, b) .

Question 6: Refer to Question 3 from the first part of the Exam.

Consider once again the change-point model for the *British coal mining disaster data* for the years 1851 to 1962. The observed data can be defined in R with:

```
counts <- c(4, 5, 4, 1, 0, 4, 3, 4, 0, 6, 3, 3, 4, 0, 2, 6, 3, 3, 5, 4, 5, 3,
1, 4, 4, 1, 5, 5, 3, 4, 2, 5, 2, 2, 3, 4, 2, 1, 3, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 0, 0,
1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 3, 1, 0, 3, 2, 2, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 2, 1, 0,
0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 2, 3, 3, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 4, 2, 0, 0, 0, 1, 4, 0, 0, 0,
1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1)
```

```
years <- 1851:1962
```

We here wish to carry out the analysis started earlier.

(A) Graph the profile log-likelihood for k and display the MLE of k on that graph.

What year corresponds to the change-point that you have identified?

(B) To complete the analysis of the coal mining data, make a scatterplot of **counts** versus **years**.

To this graph, add lines displaying the estimated Poisson means before and after the change-point ($\hat{\lambda}_1$ for $i = 1, \dots, \hat{k}$ and $\hat{\lambda}_2$ for $i = \hat{k} + 1, \dots, 112$), and the Poisson mean that would be obtained from fitting a Poisson model with no change-point to the data.

Question 7: Refer to Question 4 from the first part of the Exam.

First, recall that the number of goals scored by Chelsea in home games is assumed to satisfy

$$X_{1i}|\lambda_C, \theta_C \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_C + \theta_C),$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 19$, and in away games,

$$X_{2i}|\lambda_C \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_C),$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 19$. Again, random scores are considered to be conditionally independent, given their respective rates and the prior adopted for Λ_C and Θ_C is given by (I). The number of goals scored by Chelsea, playing at home and away, was:

```
home.chelsea <- c(2, 3, 1, 3, 4, 5, 2, 1, 3, 4, 2, 3, 3, 4, 1, 2, 4, 3, 5)
away.chelsea <- c(2, 2, 0, 2, 2, 1, 3, 1, 1, 0, 3, 1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 0, 3, 1)
```

- (A) Find the (joint) posterior mode $(\hat{\lambda}_{C,\text{MAP}}, \hat{\theta}_{C,\text{MAP}})'$.
- (B) Obtain an approximation to the Bayes estimators of λ_C and θ_C by running the Monte Carlo experiment you described in part (A) of Question 4.
- (C) Obtain an approximation to the Bayes estimators of λ_C and θ_C by running the Monte Carlo experiment you described in part (B) of Question 4.

Now, assume also that for Tottenham,

$$Y_{1i}|\lambda_T, \theta_T \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_T + \theta_T),$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 19$ when playing at home, and

$$Y_{2i}|\lambda_T \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_T),$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 19$ while playing on the road, with the same independence assumption and prior distributions. The number of goals scored by Tottenham in all their games was:

```
home.tottenham <- c(1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 3, 5, 3, 2, 2, 4, 1, 4, 3, 2, 4, 4, 2, 2)
away.tottenham <- c(1, 4, 2, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 4, 4, 2, 0, 0, 1, 2, 3, 6, 0, 7)
```

- (D) Create an R function that, based only one simulation, returns estimates of the posterior probability

$$p_d = \mathbb{P}(|\Theta_C - \Theta_T| < d | x, y)$$

for values of d to be passed as argument (as a vector). Your function should also take an optional argument **GRAPH** (with default set to **TRUE**) that produces a graph of p_d for values of $d \in (0, 1)$.

Finally, use your function to approximate p_d for $d = 0.05, 0.1, \dots, 0.5$ and to produce the graph of p_d .

Question 8:

The results to the 1271 regular season games played (each team played 82 games during the regular season) in 2017-18 are available in the file `NHL_1718.csv`.

- (A) Write an R function `season.summary` that produces a table summary of the league results as shown below in Figures [1](#), [2](#) and [3](#). That function should take the following two arguments:

- `scores`: the data frame containing the results to all the games,
- `display.by`: defaulted to `league` to produce a summary of the results for the league as a whole, but that could also take the values `division` or `conference`.

Also, note that teams are always ordered (overall or within conferences or divisions)

- first according to total points (two for each win, one for each loss in overtime or shootout), displayed as `PTS` in summary tables,
- then, in case of a tie, in terms of the number of wins excluding shootout wins, displayed as `ROW` in the summary tables,
- finally, if two teams are still tied, in terms of goal difference, displayed as `GD` in the summary tables.

This is important as the ordering between some of the teams would be wrong otherwise. Finally, the composition of the conferences and divisions in the NHL is given in Table [1](#) and all variables to be displayed in the different summaries are defined in Table [2](#)

- (B) In order to determine the league champion, at the end of the regular season, the best teams enter the *playoffs* to determine the league champion and winner of the Stanley Cup. The teams are selected to participate in the playoffs according to the following scheme:

- the top three teams in each division automatically qualify,
- within each conference, two ‘wild card’ teams are identified (these are the best two teams of the conference that did not qualify as top three from one of either divisions so that it is possible for a division to hold both wild card spots),
- in total, each conference sees 8 teams entering the playoffs.

Write a second R function that, given a data frame `scores` as used in part (A), returns a list of teams entering the playoffs:

- `$atlantic` : the top three teams that qualify from the Atlantic division,
- `$metro` : the top three teams that qualify from the Metropolitan division,
- `$east.wild.cards` : the two wild card teams from the Eastern Conference,
- `$central` : the top three teams that qualify from the Central division,
- `$pacific` : the top three teams that qualify from the Pacific division,
- `$west.wild.cards` : the two wild card teams from the Western Conference.

- (C) The data that you were provided in the file `NHL_1718.csv` also contains the attendance at each game throughout the season.

Write an R function that, given a data frame `scores` as used above, returns the average attendance for the home games of each NHL team. As a side effect, the function should produce a graph displaying these numbers in a clear way. The graph should also display the League average attendance per game.

Table 1: Composition of conferences and divisions in the NHL, 2017-18 season

Eastern Conference	
Atlantic Division	Metropolitan Division
Boston Bruins	Carolina Hurricanes
Buffalo Sabres	Columbus Blue Jackets
Detroit Red Wings	New Jersey Devils
Florida Panthers	New York Islanders
Montreal Canadiens	New York Rangers
Ottawa Senators	Philadelphia Flyers
Tampa Bay Lightning	Pittsburgh Penguins
Toronto Maple Leafs	Washington Capitals
Western Conference	
Central Division	Pacific Division
Chicago Blackhawks	Anaheim Ducks
Colorado Avalanche	Arizona Coyotes
Dallas Stars	Calgary Flames
Minnesota Wild	Edmonton Oilers
Nashville Predators	Los Angeles Kings
St. Louis Blues	San Jose Sharks
Winnipeg Jets	Vancouver Canucks
	Vegas Knights

Table 2: Variables to be displayed in summary

W :	Total number of wins
L :	Total number of losses in regular time
OSL :	Total number of losses in overtime and shootout
PTS :	Total number of points
ROW :	Total number of wins in regular time and overtime (excluding shootout)
GF :	Total number of goals scored
GA :	Total number of goals allowed
GD :	Goal difference $GF - GA$

Figure 1: Overall season summary that should be produced for Part (A)

```
> season.summary(hockey)
```

	W	L	OSL	PTS	ROW	GF	GA	GD
Nashville Predators	53	18	11	117	47	267	211	+56
Winnipeg Jets	52	20	10	114	48	277	218	+59
Tampa Bay Lightning	54	23	5	113	48	296	236	+60
Boston Bruins	50	20	12	112	47	270	214	+56
Vegas Knights	51	24	7	109	47	272	228	+44
Washington Capitals	49	26	7	105	46	259	239	+20
Toronto Maple Leafs	49	26	7	105	42	277	232	+45
Minnesota Wild	45	26	11	101	42	253	232	+21
Anaheim Ducks	44	25	13	101	40	235	216	+19
Pittsburgh Penguins	47	29	6	100	45	272	250	+22
San Jose Sharks	45	27	10	100	40	252	229	+23
Los Angeles Kings	45	29	8	98	43	239	203	+36
Philadelphia Flyers	42	26	14	98	40	251	243	+8
Columbus Blue Jackets	45	30	7	97	39	242	230	+12
New Jersey Devils	44	29	9	97	39	248	244	+4
Florida Panthers	44	30	8	96	41	248	246	+2
Colorado Avalanche	43	30	9	95	41	257	237	+20
St. Louis Blues	44	32	6	94	41	226	222	+4
Dallas Stars	42	32	8	92	38	235	225	+10
Calgary Flames	37	35	10	84	35	218	248	-30
Carolina Hurricanes	36	35	11	83	33	228	256	-28
NY Islanders	35	37	10	80	32	264	296	-32
Edmonton Oilers	36	40	6	78	31	234	263	-29
NY Rangers	34	39	9	77	31	231	268	-37
Chicago Blackhawks	33	39	10	76	32	229	256	-27
Vancouver Canucks	31	40	11	73	31	218	264	-46
Detroit Red Wings	30	39	13	73	25	217	255	-38
Montreal Canadiens	29	40	13	71	27	209	264	-55
Arizona Coyotes	29	41	12	70	27	208	256	-48
Ottawa Senators	28	43	11	67	26	221	291	-70
Buffalo Sabres	25	45	12	62	24	199	280	-81

Figure 2: Season summary, presented by division, that should be produced for Part (A)

```
> season.summary(hockey, display.by='division')
$Atlantic
      W  L OSL PTS ROW  GF  GA  GD
Tampa Bay Lightning 54 23   5 113  48 296 236 +60
Boston Bruins       50 20  12 112  47 270 214 +56
Toronto Maple Leafs 49 26   7 105  42 277 232 +45
Florida Panthers    44 30   8  96  41 248 246  +2
Detroit Red Wings   30 39  13  73  25 217 255 -38
Montreal Canadiens  29 40  13  71  27 209 264 -55
Ottawa Senators     28 43  11  67  26 221 291 -70
Buffalo Sabres      25 45  12  62  24 199 280 -81

$Metropolitan
      W  L OSL PTS ROW  GF  GA  GD
Washington Capitals 49 26   7 105  46 259 239 +20
Pittsburgh Penguins 47 29   6 100  45 272 250 +22
Philadelphia Flyers  42 26  14  98  40 251 243  +8
Columbus Blue Jackets 45 30   7  97  39 242 230 +12
New Jersey Devils    44 29   9  97  39 248 244  +4
Carolina Hurricanes  36 35  11  83  33 228 256 -28
NY Islanders         35 37  10  80  32 264 296 -32
NY Rangers           34 39   9  77  31 231 268 -37

$Central
      W  L OSL PTS ROW  GF  GA  GD
Nashville Predators 53 18  11 117  47 267 211 +56
Winnipeg Jets       52 20  10 114  48 277 218 +59
Minnesota Wild      45 26  11 101  42 253 232 +21
Colorado Avalanche  43 30   9  95  41 257 237 +20
St. Louis Blues     44 32   6  94  41 226 222  +4
Dallas Stars        42 32   8  92  38 235 225 +10
Chicago Blackhawks  33 39  10  76  32 229 256 -27

$Pacific
      W  L OSL PTS ROW  GF  GA  GD
Vegas Knights       51 24   7 109  47 272 228 +44
Anaheim Ducks       44 25  13 101  40 235 216 +19
San Jose Sharks     45 27  10 100  40 252 229 +23
Los Angeles Kings   45 29   8  98  43 239 203 +36
Calgary Flames      37 35  10  84  35 218 248 -30
Edmonton Oilers     36 40   6  78  31 234 263 -29
Vancouver Canucks  31 40  11  73  31 218 264 -46
Arizona Coyotes     29 41  12  70  27 208 256 -48
```


Figure 3: Season summary, presented by conference, that should be produced for Part (A)

```
> season.summary(hockey, display.by='conference')
$Eastern
```

	W	L	OSL	PTS	ROW	GF	GA	GD
Tampa Bay Lightning	54	23	5	113	48	296	236	+60
Boston Bruins	50	20	12	112	47	270	214	+56
Washington Capitals	49	26	7	105	46	259	239	+20
Toronto Maple Leafs	49	26	7	105	42	277	232	+45
Pittsburgh Penguins	47	29	6	100	45	272	250	+22
Philadelphia Flyers	42	26	14	98	40	251	243	+8
Columbus Blue Jackets	45	30	7	97	39	242	230	+12
New Jersey Devils	44	29	9	97	39	248	244	+4
Florida Panthers	44	30	8	96	41	248	246	+2
Carolina Hurricanes	36	35	11	83	33	228	256	-28
NY Islanders	35	37	10	80	32	264	296	-32
NY Rangers	34	39	9	77	31	231	268	-37
Detroit Red Wings	30	39	13	73	25	217	255	-38
Montreal Canadiens	29	40	13	71	27	209	264	-55
Ottawa Senators	28	43	11	67	26	221	291	-70
Buffalo Sabres	25	45	12	62	24	199	280	-81

```

$Western
```

	W	L	OSL	PTS	ROW	GF	GA	GD
Nashville Predators	53	18	11	117	47	267	211	+56
Winnipeg Jets	52	20	10	114	48	277	218	+59
Vegas Knights	51	24	7	109	47	272	228	+44
Minnesota Wild	45	26	11	101	42	253	232	+21
Anaheim Ducks	44	25	13	101	40	235	216	+19
San Jose Sharks	45	27	10	100	40	252	229	+23
Los Angeles Kings	45	29	8	98	43	239	203	+36
Colorado Avalanche	43	30	9	95	41	257	237	+20
St. Louis Blues	44	32	6	94	41	226	222	+4
Dallas Stars	42	32	8	92	38	235	225	+10
Calgary Flames	37	35	10	84	35	218	248	-30
Edmonton Oilers	36	40	6	78	31	234	263	-29
Chicago Blackhawks	33	39	10	76	32	229	256	-27
Vancouver Canucks	31	40	11	73	31	218	264	-46
Arizona Coyotes	29	41	12	70	27	208	256	-48